----- Index

A

- Action plans: application/implementation, 196–203; data collection using, 24; impact/consequences, 221–227
- Action plans (application/implementation): advantages and disadvantages of, 202–203; checklist of success factors for, 202*e*; described, 196, 198; developing, 198–199; Form for an Action Plan, 197*e*; successful use of, 199–201
- Action plans (impact/consequences): advantages of using, 226–227; business impact data using, 221–226; calculating ROI and monetary value, 225–226; sample for, 223e; SMART approach to, 222; timing of, 223
- Action-maze case studies, 150
- ADDIE model, 22
- Alabama automobile industry, 336 Alden, J., 310
- AllTheWeb (web scarch engine), 276 Alrichs, N. S., ?72
- Altavista (web search engine), 276
- American Management Association (AMA), 126
- American Society for Training and Development (ASTD), 74, 75, 76, 82, 96
- American Society for Training and Development (ASTD) Benchmarking Forum, 92
- Analysis: described, 24; determining job performance needs, 53–54; dilemma of needs, 33–35; initial cost assessment and, 294; of intangible mea-

sures, 315; learning needs, 59; trendline, 242–244

Analysts, 15

Annual Employee Benefits Report, 293 Annualized values approach, 297 Anonymous feedback iscue, 123 Apple, 322

- Application/implementation data collection. action plans/follow-up assignments for, 196–203; focus groups used for, 191–193; improving response rates, 184, 186–189; interviews used for, 189–191; methods used for, 168*e*; on-the-job observation used for, 193–196; performance contracts used for, 203–204; questionnaire content checklist, 185*e*; questionnaires used in, 172*e*–179*e*; responsibilities for, 171; timing of, 170–171
- Application/implementation measurements: case study on, 166; challenges of, 166–168; developing ROI using, 168, 206–209; examples of coverage areas for, 169*t*–170*t*; identifying barriers and enablers, 165; key issues related to, 168*e*–171, 179– 184; reasons for, 163–166; setting evaluation targets, 382; transfer of learning, 204–206; using data from, 209*fig*–211
- Applications/implementation objectives: data collection, 169; described, 21*e*–22*e*, 65*e*, 68; development of, 69–70*e*; making progress with, 179–180; typical, 69*e*

Appraisal costs, 268 Assessment center method, 154–155 ASTD (American Society for Training and Development), 74, 75, 76, 82, 96 ASTD Benchmarking Forum, 92 Attention: measuring employee, 332*e*; problem of employee, 331–332 *Attention Economy* (Davenport & Beck), 332 Attentionscape, 332 Attentionscape, 332 Attentiones. *See* Employee attitudes Audiences. *See* Report audiences

B

Bad news delivery, 389e The Balanced Scorecard (Kaplan and Norton), 216 Ball, R., 344 Barriers: data identifying, 183; definition of program, 165; to successful implementation, 205e. See also Obstacle removal; Resistance BCR (benefit/cost ratio), 28, 297-298 Beck, J. C., 331, 332 Bendle, N. t., 265 Berkman, R., 278 Birdsong, R., 287 Bishop, K., 287 Block, P., 365 Blue money items, 272 Booz Allen Hamilton, 321 Boston Consulting Group, 322 Boulton, R.E.S., 309 Broad, M. L., 206 Brochures (program), 361t, 363 Brown, S. F., 320 Business impact. See Impact/ consequences measurements Business Week's most innovative companies list, 322 Butler, C., 329

С

Caltex, 79 Campanella, J., 267 Cantrell, S., 344 Case studies: on application/implementation measuring, 166; on business needs, 53; on determining payoff needs, 43-44; on employee commitment, 325-326; on job performance needs, 54, 55-58; on learning needs, 61; on learning/confidence measuring, 139-140; measuring learning through use of, 153-154; on preference needs, 64-65; used as reporting format, 361t, 363-634e; types of, 153 Casio, W., 330 CEOs (chief executive officers), 14 Certification, 136 CFOs (chief financial officers), 300 Chain of impact: application/implementation, 164-165; breaking the, 213; described 16, 19; impact/consequences, 230e, 233e-234e; learning/confidence, 136; seeking the, 18 fig Chain of impact questions: when the measure is in defined set. 233e-234e; when measure is known, 234e; when the measure is unknown, 230e Champion, 379 Checklists: action planning, 202e; questionnaire content, 185e Cisco Systems, 338 Clients: as evaluation stakeholder, 14; monetary value conversion matching needs of, 285-286; ROCE (return on client expectations), 300, 301e. See also Customer service Commitment: employee organizational, 325; input reflecting, 75 Communication: measuring team, 320; as politically sensitive issue, 349-350; principles of reporting, 350-352; process for reporting, 352-354; streamlining, 368-369. See also Feedback; Reporting results Communication Model for Results, 352, 353 fig

- Communication tools, 362–363
- Competencies: building database on improvements of, 161; measuring employee, 330; use and development of, 135
- Complex case studies, 153
- Compliance issue, 134–135
- Conflict/cooperation measures, 319
- Consistent testing, 159
- Control groups, 25, 240fig–242
- Cooperation/conflict measures, 319
- Corbett, M. F., 337
- Coscarelli, W., 144, 158
- Cost categories: accumulation and estimation, 296; hard data on, 46*e*, 47; major types listed, 91–92*t*, 294*t*
- Cost data: accurate ROI calculated using, 78; applying credibility test to, 285, 286fig; costs without benefits, 292-293; disclosure of all costs for, 290-292; using estimates of costs for, 281–282; fully loaded costs, 292; using historical records to gather, 271-274; Iceberg Principle of fully loaded turnover, 272, 273 fig, using input from experts to convert soft, 274-276; input measurements providing, 77-78; linking with other measures, 280-281; precision issue of, 290; quality, 267–269*e*; reasons for monitoring costs through, 288-289; reporting, 92-94, 292-293; sources of program costs, 84e; tracking program costs, 86–94; using values from external databases, 276-279e. See also Data; ROI (return on investment)
- Cost issues: average cost per learning hour received, 95*fig*; BCR (benefit/ cost ratio) calculation, 28, 297–298; cost reporting, 92–94; cost-tracking, 293–296; costs of individual program steps, 89–90*fig*; danger of costs without benefits, 88–89; employee benefits factor, 91; estimating program cost, 26; importance of moni-

toring, 289, 290–293; input measurements providing data on, 77– 78; major cost categories, 91–92*t*; pressure to disclose all costs, 87–88; prorated versus direct costs, 90–91; sources of costs, 89*t*; sources of program costs, 84*e*; T&D (training and development) costs, 77–78. *See also* Expenditures

- Cost-tracking issues: acquisition costs, 295; application and implementation costs, 295; development of solution, 295; employee benefits factor, 293; evaluation and reporting, 296; initial analysis and assessment, 294; maintenance and monitoring, 295; prorated versus direct costs, 293; support and overhead, 296 Coverage measures, 76
- Creativity: described, 323–324; innovation relationship to, 320–322
- Credibility test: applying the, 285; data conversion four-part text, 286*fig*
- Griterion-referenced tests (CRT), 145, 148–149, 150*t*
- Critical-incident case studies, 153
- Cross, R., 344
- Cultural differences, 79
- Customer database, 179
- Customer dissatisfaction costs, 268
- Customer relationship management (CRM) software, 179
- Customer service: as data category, 48*e*, 49; intangibles of, 317*t*–318*t*; reaction/planned action for, 101–102, 128; typical questions asked about, 316*fig. See also* Clients

Customer Service Links, 316*fig*–317 Customers: estimate of impact input by, 253–254; reaction/planned action feedback from internal, 106–107

D

Daily Feedback Form, 125*e* Data: using application/implementation, 209*fig*-211; chain of impact, 16–19, 136, 164–165; using evaluation, 372–373*t*; higher-level, 213; using learning/confidence, 161; reaction/planned action feedback, 102, 104–105, 104–129; sources of impact, 50–51*e*; tangible vs. intangible, 50, 216; types and levels of, 17*fig. See also* Cost data; Hard data; Macro-level scorecards; Monetary value conversions; Soft data

- Data analysis. See Analysis
- Data collection: anonymous feedback issue of, 123; application/implementation, 168*e*–204; data plans/ follow-up assignments, 196–203; designed into programs, 166–167; documents for planning, 386; issues to consider for, 23–24; learning/ confidence, 144–157; on-the-job observation, 24, 193–196; reaction/ planned action, 23, 106–127, 115– 116, 118–127, 130
- Data collection methods: action plans, 24, 196–203, 221–227; focus groups, 24, 123, 191–193, 249–252; interviews, 24, 123, 189–191, 252; listed, 23–24; performance contracts, 203–204, 227–228; selecting for each level, 235–237; when standard monetary values are not available, 271–282. *See also* Questionnaires; Surveys
- Data reporting. See Peporting results Databases: customer, 179; searching external, 276–278e
- Davenport, T. H., 331, 332, 344

Deceptive feedback cycle, 108–109fig

Decision case studies, 153

Decisiveness/decision making measures, 319

Delivery: average learning hours provided via technology-based, 86*fig*; average percentage of learning hours by different, 85*fig*; costs associated with, 295; inputs tracking, 85–86; reaction/planned action data on, 112 Direct costs, 293 Discipline requirements, 33 Discounted cash flow, 304 Discussion groups, 387 Dispelling myths, 388–389 Doz, Y., 321 Dunn & Bradstreet, 76

E

- E-mail reports, 361*t*, 363
- Easterbrook, G., 339
- Educational level, 330–331
- Efficiencies: implementation/sustaining evaluation to improve, 376; inputs highlighting, 76–77; of organization teams, 319; types of measurements for, 94
- Electronic reports, 361:,363
- Employee attitudes: employee engagement, 325–326; job satisfaction, 280*fig*, 324–325; organizational communent (OC), 75, 325
- Employce capabilities: attention, 31–333, 332*e*; competencies, 330; educational level, 330–331; experience, 326–327; knowledge, 327–328; learning, 328–330
- Employee development/advancement category, 48e, 49. See also Learning/development (L&D) programs
- Employee recruitment: efficiency of, 339; relationship between turnover and, 338; sourcing and effectiveness of, 338
- Employee time: calculating monetary value of, 269–270; as cost-tracking issue, 293
- Employee turnovers: relationship between recruitment practices and, 338; relationship of job satisfaction and, 280*fig*
- Employees: attitudes of, 280*fig*, 324– 326; average expenditure per, 93*fig*; certification of, 136; consequences of unprepared, 137; identifying the barriers and enablers among, 165, 183; measuring capabilities of,

319–324; recruitment of, 338–339; rewarding the most effective, 165– 166; stress of, 339–343. *See also* Work teams; Workforce

Enablers: data identifying, 183; definition of program, 165

- Essay tests, 145, 148e
- Estimates of costs: by management team, 281–282; by participants, 281; using source with broadest perspective, 284; by staff members, 282
- Estimates of impact: calculating impact of other factors on, 254; customer input on, 253–254; using focus groups for, 249–252; internal or external expert input on, 254; isolating program effects using, 245; by managers, 252–253; by participants, 245–249
- Evaluation leader, 379-380
- Evaluation levels: characteristics of, 18*fig*; described, 15–16; as value chain, 16
- Evaluation model issues: analysis, 24; chain of impact as, 16–19; conversion of data to monetary values, 25–26; cost of programs, 26; data collection, 23–24; data reporting, 29; implementation issues, 30–31; intangible benefits, 28–29; isolating effects of learning/performance improvement, 24–25; levels and steps as, 15–16, 18, f.g.; objectives, 19, 21*e*–22*e*; operating standards or guiding principles, 29–30; ROI Process Model, 19, 20*fig*; ROI (return on investment) calculation, 27–28; stakeholders as, 13–15
- Evaluation models: issues of, 13–31; traditional ADDIE, 22. *See also* ROI Methodology Process Model
- Evaluations: benefits of measurement and, 7–10; challenges facing measurement and, 4–7; costs associated with, 296; using data gathered from, 372–373*t*; dilemma surrounding, 2; global evaluation trends regarding,

3-4; guiding principles of, 29-30; implementation and sustaining, 30-31, 295, 375-390; key questions to ask about, 2e-3; myths of measurement and, 10-13. See also Learning/development (L&D) programs; Reporting results Evaluator stakeholders, 15 Exercise case studies, 153 Exercises/activities, 155-156 Expenditures: average expenditure per employee, 93t; benefits of monitoring, 288-289, 290-293; costs associated with program, 296; as percentage of payroll, 93 fig. See also Cost issues Experts. See Subject-matter experts External failure costs, 268

F

Facilitator: learning/confidence data to evaluation, 161; reaction/planned action data to evaluate, 128; reaction/ planned action feedback from, 107, 112–113

Facilities data, 112

- Fair Labor Standards Act (FLSA), 88, 291
- Farris, P. W., 265

FedEx, 47

Feedback: estimates of costs, 281–284; estimates of impact, 249–254; program progress and routine, 364– 371*e*; reaction/planned action, 102, 104–129; 360-degree, 333–334. *See also* Communication; Reporting results

Fill-in-the-blanks tests, 148e

Finance/accounting staff: estimates of costs by, 282; as evaluation stakeholder, 15

Financial term misuse, 300-301e

- Fishman, C., 264
- Fister, S., 329
- Flawless Consulting (Block), 365
- FLSA (Fair Labor Standards Act), 88, 291

Focus groups: application/implementation, 191–193; data collection using, 24; estimates of impact using, 249–252; guidelines for, 192–193; reaction/planned action, 123
Follow-up assignments. *See* Action plans
Forecasting, 25, 244–245 *Fortune* "Best Places to Work" list, 326, 335
Fully loaded costs, 292

G

Generation X, 79 Gladwell, M., 343 Google, 276, 322 Graham, M., 287 Green money items, 272 Grey Tops, 79 Growing Old Frantically, 79 Guiding principles, 29–30

H

Haley, K., 344 Hard data: business impact soft versus, 215–216; converting to money, 263*t*; cost category of, 46*e*, 47; output category of, 45, 46*e*; quality category of, 45, 46*e*; steps to convert into monetary value, 259–261; dime category of, 46*e*, 47. See also Data; Soft data Hock, R., 276 Hours tracking, 80

I

IBM, 321–322 Iceberg Principle, 272, 273*fig* Image category, 48*e*, 49–50 Impact study report, 359*e*–360*e*, 369*e* Impact/consequences data collection: action plans for, 221–227; performance contracts for, 227–228; questionnaires for, 228–235; selecting the appropriate method for, 235–237 Impact/consequences isolation: using control groups for, 240*fig*–242; estimates used for, 245–254; factors to consider for, 255; forecasting, 25, 244–245; identifying other factors step of, 238–240; importance of, 237–238; trend-line analysis for, 242–244

- Impact/consequences measurements: business performance, 219–221; initiating impact/ROI studies, 385–387; isolating effects of the program, 237–255; linking programs to specific, 217–219; reasons for, 213–215; setting evaluation targets, 382*c*; types of, 215–219
- Implementation/sustaining evaluation: assessing the climate for, 378-379; benefits of, 375-376; costs associated with, 295; developing roles/ responsibilities for, 379-382; establishing goals and plans for, 382-383 fig; initiating impact/ROI studies, 385-387; issues to be addressed during, 30–31; monitoring progress of, 390; overcoming resistance, 377e-378fig; preparing the L&D team for, 384-385; preparing sponsors and management team for, 387-388; removing obstacles to, 388-389e; revising/developing policies and guidelines for, 383-384 Improvements: communication as necessary to make, 349; data on accomplishments and, 180-181; data on knowledge/skill use, 180; data linking program to, 182; data on work/action items changes, 180; establishing monetary value on, 222,

224; reaction/planned action to plan, 128; suggestions for program, 184 Informal assessments, 156–157

Initiative/innovation category, 48*e*, 49 Innovation: measuring, 320–322; metrics for measuring, 323*fig*

- Input measurements: automated system used for, 98; indicators of, 75–78; key issues of, 97–98; objectives related to, 19, 21*e*
- Inputs/indicators measurements: automated system used for, 98; indicators listed, 75–78; tracking costs of, 86–94; tracking coverage by jobs/ functional areas, 81*t*–82; tracking delivery of, 85*fig*–86*fig*; tracking efficiencies of, 94–95; tracking hours, 80; tracking outsourcing, 95–96; tracking participants, 78–79; tracking requests for programs, 84*e*; tracking for the scorecard, 96–97, 96–97*t*; tracking topics and programs, 82–84

Intangible economy, 309

- Intangible measures: analyzing, 315; business impact, 216; clarity, accountability, timeliness aspects of, 311t; common types of, 308e; converting to monetary value, 312-314; customer service, 48e, 49, 101-102, 128, 316fig, 316t-317t; described, 50, 307–308; different approaches used for, 310-312; employee attitudes, 324-326; employee capabilities, 326-333; importance of, 28-39, 308-310; job creation and acquisition, 335–339; leadership, 333–335; link of hard-to-measure and easyto-measure 312 fig; networking, 343–345; during program life cycle, 314fig-315; stress, 339-343; team capabilities, 319-324. See also Tangible measures Intangibles: converted into tangibles, 309; identifying, 314fig-315; programs driven by, 309-310
- Intellectual capital: categories of, 133 fig;
- described, 133 Interim reports, 362
- Internal customer feedback, 106–107
- Internal failure costs, 267
- Internet-based databases, 276–279e

Interviews: application/implementation, 189–191; data collection using, 24; estimates of impact using, 252; guidelines for, 190–191; reaction/ planned action, 123; structured and unstructured. 190

J

- Job category: industry data by, 81*t*; inputs tracking coverage by, 81–82; tied to highest ROI rate, 82
- Job creation/acquisition: definition of, 336; importance of, 336–338; recruitment efficiency, 339; recruitment sourcing and effectiveness, 338
- Job growth: benefits of, 336–338; definition of, 336; productivity versus, 335–336
- Job performence needs: analysis techniques for determining, 53–54; approach to determining, 54–55; described, 53; diagnostic tools to determine, 54*e*; Southeast Corridor Bank (SCB) case study on, 54, 55–58
- Job satisfaction: data category on, 48*e*, 49; measuring employee, 324–325; relationship between employee turnover and, 280*fig* Johnson, M., 78, 79

K

Kandybihn, A., 321 Kaplan, R. S., 216, 217 Kihn, M., 321 Kirkpatrick, D., 15, 16

L

L&D. See Learning/development (L&D) programs

Langdon, D., 54

Leadership measurements: challenges of, 333; leadership inventories, 334; leadership perception, 334–335; 360-degree feedback for, 333–334 Learning: benefits of measuring, 138-139; challenges of measuring, 137-138; as employee capability, 328-330; generational differences in approaches to, 79; isolating effects of, 24-25; linking application with, 166; role in programs, 135-136; tracking average percentage of, 83fig; ways to measure, 145e-157 Learning environment data, 112 Learning needs: demonstrations on, 60; described, 58; job and task analysis of, 59; management assessment of, 60-61; observations on, 59-60; Southeast Corridor Bank (SCB) case study on, 61; subject-matter experts for determining, 58; testing, 60 Learning organizations, 134 Learning transfer problem, 134 Learning/confidence data collection: assessment center method used for, 154-155; case studies used for, 153-154; confronting test failures, 160; consistency, monitoring, and pilot testing, 159; developing tests for, 146, 147e-148e, 149-152; exercises/activities used for, 155-156; informal assessments used for 156-157; methods used for, 144-145e; questionnaires/surveys used for, 145-146; readability, scoring, and reporting, 160, reliability and validity issues of, 157-159; role playing/skill practice for, 154; technology and task simulations for, 152 - 153Learning/confidence measurements:

administrative issues of, 157–160; case study on, 139–140; challenges and benefits of, 137–140; data collection issues of, 144–157; using data from, 161; using data on, 161; reasons for, 132–137; setting evaluation targets, 382*e*; special issues of, 140–144

Learning/confidence objectives: Bloom's taxonomy cognitive levels of, 143–144; breakdown of, 140– 141*fig*; described, 21*e*, 65*e*; development of, 68*e*; timing of, 142–143; typical, 67*e*–68, 141–142*e*

- Learning/development (L&D) programs: building data collection into, 166-167; determining business needs, 44-53; determining job performance needs, 53-58; determining learning needs, 58-61; determining preference needs, 61-65; establishing objectives for, 19, 21e-22e, 65e-73; intangibles as driving, 309-310; intangibles during life cycle of, 314fig-315; isolating effects of the, 237-255; key questions to ask about, 38e-39; payoff opportunities of, 36fig-38, 39e-40e, 41-44; perceived value of, 182-183; reasons for new, 40-41c, relevance to participants, 113, 180; role of learning in, 135-136; specific impact measures linked to, 217-219. See also Employee development/advancement category; Evaluations
- Learning/development (L&D) teams: measuring capabilities of, 319–324; preparing for implementation, 384–385
- Learning/development program problems: costs of developing solutions to, 295; determining costs of, 41– 42*e*; learning transfer, 134; opportunities arising from, 43

Level 0 data. See Inputs/indicators measurements

Level 1 data. *See* Reaction/planned action measurements

Level 2 data. *See* Learning/confidence measurements

Level 3 data. See Application/ implementation measurements

Level 4 data. See Impact/consequences measurements

Level 5 data. See ROI (return on investment)

Libert, B. D., 309

Linden, A., 344 Litton Industries, 297 Look Smart (web directory), 276 Lucia, A. D., 135 Lund, T., 120

M

McGregor, J., 322 McKenna, M., 54 Macro-level scorecards: essential indicators for, 97t; from micro-level to, 370fig; reaction/planned action, 105, 129; sample, 370e-371e; tracking program, 96. See also Data; Scorecards Maintenance costs, 295 Managers: data on program support by, 183-184; estimates of costs from, 281-282; estimates of impact by, 252-253; estimating amount of improvement, 25; as evaluation stakeholder, 14; implementation preparation for, 387-388; learning needs assessed by, 60-61; reaction/ planned action feedback from, 106. See also Senior managers Marketing programs, 129 Matching tests, 147e-148e Maynard, M., 336 Meetings: presentation of results to sponsors, 366–368 fig; as reporting format, 361; streamlining communication in 558-369 Microsoft, 321-322 Middle-Aged and Manic, 79 Miller, W., 133 Monetary value conversions: described, 25-26; of employee time using compensation, 269-270; hard data to money, 263t; of intangibles to money, 312-314; labor grievance data to, 261e-262e; locating standard values for, 270e-271; output data to money, 264-266; standard quality measures for, 267-269e; steps for turning data into, 259-261; when standard values

are not available, 271–282. *See also* Data

Monetary value conversions methods: accuracy of different, 283t; applying credibility test to, 285, 286 fig; considering adjustment for time value of money, 288; considering the available resources for, 286-287; considering source of data used, 284; using estimates of costs, 281-282, 284; using historical costs from records, 271–274; using input from experts to convert soft data, 274-276; linking with other measures, 280-281; matching client's needs to, 285–286; matching type of data with appropriate, 283; using multiple techniques when feasible, 284; short-term/long-term issue of selecting, 287-288; using standard moneury values, 262–271; using values from external databases, 276–279e

Monetary values: establishing application/implementation, 181, 210; establishing impact/ consequences, 222, 224, 225–226; reasons for calculating, 258–261; standard, 262–271; technique selection and finalizing the, 282–288. *See also* ROI (return on investment); Standard monetary values Monitoring: costs associated with, 295; progress, 390; tests, 159 Muir, A. K., 268 Multiple-choice tests, 147*e*

N

N-tag, 345 Nation's Business, 91 Needs analysis dilemma, 33–35 Needs assessment: application/ implementation included in, 167–168; challenges of, 32–35; determining business needs, 44– 53; determining job performance needs, 53–58; determining learning needs, 58–61; potential payoff of, 36*fig*–44; preference needs of, 62–65 Networking: challenges of measuring, 343–344; studies on value of, 344–345 Newstrom, J. W., 206 Norton, D. P., 216, 217

0

Objective tests, 146, 147e Objectives: description of program, 19; establishing implementation, 382e-383fig; levels of, 19, 21e-22e, 65*e*-73 Objectives levels: application/ implementation, 21e-22e, 65e, 68-70e; business impact, 22e, 65e, 70-71e; importance of specific, 72-73; input, 19, 21e; learning, 21e, 65e, 67e-68e; reaction/planned action, 21e, 65e, 66e-67e; ROI, 22e, 65e, 72 Observations: on learning needs, 59-60; on-the-job, 24, 193-196 Obstacle removal: delivering bad news, 389e; dispelling myths, 388-389. See also Barriers; Resistance On-the-job observation: application/ implementation, 193-196; data collection using, 24; guidelines for effective, 193-196 Open Directory (web directory), 276 Oral examinations 145 Organization teans: communication of, 320; cooperation/conflict of, 319; decisiveness/decision making of, 319; effectiveness of, 319; innovation and creativity of, 320-324 Organizational commitment (OC) measures, 75, 325 Organizations: assessing climate of, 378-379; compliance issue of, 134-135; Dunn & Bradstreet's database of U.S., 76; employee attention problem in, 331-333; evaluating teams within, 319-324; as evaluation stakeholder, 14; implementation plan for large, 383*fig*; job creation/acquisition by, 335–339; leadership in, 333–335; learning, 134; overcoming resistance to ROI methodology, 377*e*–378*fig*; revising/ developing policies and guidelines of, 383–384; stress management program for, 341*e*–342*e* Outcomes, clarifying wanted, 33 Output category, 45, 46*e* Outsourcing, tracking program, 95–96

P

Pamphlets (program), 361t, 363 Parker, A., 344 Participants: estimate of impact by, 245–249; estimates of costs by, 281; estimating amount of improvement, 25; input measurements tracking, 78-79; perceived value of program by, 182–183; program relevance to, 113, 180; reaction/ planed action feedback from, 106, 113, 130; reaction/planned action to determine needs of, 128 Payback period, 303–304 Payoff opportunities: not-so-obvious, 40e; obvious, 39e; overview of, 36fig-38; Southeast Corridor Bank (SCB) case study on, 43-44 Penalty costs, 268 Performance contracts: application/ implementation, 203-204; data collection using, 24; impact/ consequences, 227-228 Performance improvement, isolating effects of, 24-25 Performance tests, 145, 149, 151-152 Pfeifer, P. E., 265 Phillips, J. J., 16, 115, 146, 334, 343, 345 Phillips, P. P., 115, 146, 343, 345 Pilot testing instruments, 159 Planning evaluation: determining business needs as part of, 44-53; determining job performance needs as part of, 53-58; determining learning needs as part of, 58-61; determining preference needs as part of, 61–65; needs assessment to help in, 32–65, 167–168; objectives established as part of, 19, 21*e*–22*e*, 65*e*–73, 382*e*– 383*fig*; payoff opportunities identified, 36*fig*–40*e*

Point `n Clickers, 79

Preference needs: determining, 61; impact studies on, 63–64; key issues related to, 62*e*; Southeast Corridor Bank (SCB) case study on, 64–65; typical evaluation timing events, 63*e*; typical program scoping issues, 63*e*

Prevention costs, 268

- PricewaterhouseCooper, 92
- Problems. See Learning/development (L&D) program problems
- Productivity: employee stress and impact on, 339; job growth and, 335–336
- Program assignments, data collection using, 24
- Program managers, as evaluation stakeholder, 14
- Program relevance, reaction/planned action feedback on, 113
- Program teams: data on success of, 183; as evaluation stakeholder, 15
- Programs. See Learning/development (L&D) programs

Progress reports, 302
Progressive Bank's rollow-Up Questionnaire, 172e–179e
Prorated costs, 293
Purington, C., 329

Q

Quality: calculating cost of, 267–268; standard measures for, 268*e*–269*e* Quality category, 45, 46*e* Questionnaire response rates: application/implementation, 184, 186–189; reaction/planned action, 120–121 Questionnaires: application/ implementation, 172*e*–179*e*, 185*e*; data collection using, 23; estimates of impact using, 252; impact/consequences, 228–235; learning/confidence, 145–146; measuring learning through, 145–146; reaction/planned action, 115–116, 117*e*, 118–121, 123–127, 130; recommendations for using, 130. *See also* Data collection methods

R

R&D (research & development): measuring employee creativity and, 323–324; measuring innovation of, 320

Reaction/planned action: comparing data with other programs, 105; correlations between reaction and application 104*fig*; creating macrolevel scorecard for, 105, 129; customer cervice, 101–102; feedback data on, 102, 104–129; making adjustments and changes to, 103; objectives of, 21*e*, 65*e*, 66*e*–67*e*; predictive capability of, 103–104; setting evaluation targets, 382*e*; shortcut ways to measure, 129– 130

Reaction/planned action data collection: interviews and focus groups used for, 123–127; questionnaires/ surveys used for, 115–123; sample Daily Feedback Form for, 125*e*; shortcuts for, 129–130; sources of, 106–107; suggestions for improving, 123–127; timing of, 114–115

Reaction/planned action measurements: compared with other programs, 105; content vs. non-content feedback, 107–108*e*; creating macrolevel scoreboard based on, 105; deceptive feedback cycle of, 108– 109*fig*; essential need for early feedback, 102; key areas for, 110*t*–111*t*, 112–113; making adjustments based on, 103; types of necessary, 104– 105; using the, 127–129

- Reaction/planned action objectives: described, 21*e*, 65*e*; typical areas and development of, 66*e*–67*e*
- Readability of tests, 160
- Recruitment: efficiency of, 339; sourcing and effectiveness of, 338
- Reliability: definition of, 157; learning/ confidence data, 157–159; relationship between validity and, 158*t*; tips on improving, 158*e*
- Report audiences: basis for selecting, 357–358; common target, 358*e*; different information needed by specific, 350; opinion of program by, 352; questions to ask about, 356–357; reactions to results by, 372; senior managers/sponsors, 366–368*fig*; targeting specific, 351
- Reporting formats: audience as selection factor, 351; case studies, 361*t*, 363–634*e*; e-mail and electronic media, 361*t*, 363; impact study report, 359*e*–360*e*, 369*e*; interim and progress reports, 362; meetings, 361, 366–369; options listed, 361*t*; program brochures and pamphlets, 361*t*, 363; routine communication tools for, 362–363
- Reporting results: application/ implementation data, 209/2; audience opinion of program factor of, 352; communication issues of, 348--350, cost data, 92-94, 292–293; of cost data, 92–94; costs associated with, 296; described, 29; using evaluation data, 372-373t; of implementation, 386; of inputs to executives, 97-98; on learning/confidence test results, 160; media used for, 351, 359-364e; planning communication for, 355-356; principles of communicating results for, 350-352; process for communicating results, 352-354; reactions to, 372; reasons for, 354. See also Communication; Evaluations; Feedback

Resistance: building blocks for overcoming, 378*fig*; implementation and overcoming, 377–378; typical objections to ROI methodology, 377*e*. See *also* Barriers; Obstacle removal

- Response rates. See Questionnaire response rates
- Ribstein, D. J., 265
- ROA (return on anticipation), 300, 301e
- ROCE (return on client expectations), 300, 301*e*
- ROE (return on expectations), 300, 301*e*
- ROI analysis plan, 386. See also Action plans
- ROI impact studies: example of, 369*e*; initiating, 385–387; planning documents for, 386
- ROI Institute, 219
- ROI Methodology Process Model: described, 13, 20–23*fig*; developing objectives, 19–21*e*–22*e*, 65*e*, 382*e*– 383*ng*; dispelling myths of, 388–389; methodology steps of, 20*fig*, 35*fig*. *See also* Evaluation models; *specific model steps*
- ROI (return on investment): annualized values for developing, 297; application/implementation data used for, 168, 206-209; BCR (benefit/cost ratio) measure of, 297-298; conversions using standard monetary values, 262-271; conversions when standard values are not available, 271-282; cost data required for accurate, 78; definition of, 297; discounted cash flow alternative to, 304; forecasting decision on, 43; formula for calculating, 27-28, 208, 257, 297, 298-301; impact/consequences data used for, 225-226; initiating studies on impact and, 385-387; job category tied to highest rate of, 82; leadership measurements used in, 333-335; misuse of financial terms related to,

Index

300–301*e*; objective level of, 22*e*, 65*e*, 72; payback period alternative to, 303–304; reasons for calculating, 258–261; reasons for measuring, 289*e*; setting evaluation targets, 382*e*; strategies for specific targets or expectations of, 301–302; technique selection and finalizing the values for, 282–288; when not to apply, 302–303. *See also* Cost data; Monetary values

Role playing/skill practice, learning/ confidence measured using, 154

Royal Bank of Scotland Group (RBS), 325–326

S

- Samek, S. M., 309
- Santos, J., 321
- Schmidt, L., 334
- Schrock, S., 158
- Schwartz, E. I., 322
- Scorecards: building, 369–370; definition of, 216–217; four categories of, 216; sample report, 370*e*–371*e. See also* Macro-level scorecards
- Scoring instructions, 160
- Senge, P., 134
- Senior managers: as evaluation stakeholder, 14; presentation of results to, 366–368*fig*; reaction/planned action feedback from, 107; rewarding the effective employees, 165–166; soft cost data adjusted by, 287. *See also* Managers
- Service-profit chain, 281
- Short-answer tests, 148e
- Shrock, S., 144
- Simulations: case studies using, 153; measuring learning using, 152– 153; technology and task types of, 152–153
- Smallwood, N., 311
- SMART (specific, motivating, achievable, realistic, and time-based), 222 Snapper, Inc., 264–265

- Soft data: business impact hard versus, 215–216; considering management adjustment to, 287; customer service category of, 48*e*, 49; defining, 47; employee development/ advancement category of, 48*e*, 49; image category of, 48*e*, 49–50; initiative/innovation category of, 48*e*, 49; using input from experts to convert, 274–276; steps to convert into monetary value, 259–261; work climate/satisfaction category of, 48*e*, 49; work habits category of, 48*e*-49. See also Data; Hard data
- Solution costs: of acquiring solutions from other sources, 295; of developing, 295
- Southeast Corridor Bank (SCB) case study: on business needs, 53; on determining payoff needs, 43–44; on job performance needs, 54, 55–58; on learning needs, 61; on preference needs, 64–65
- Sponsors/senior managers: as evaluation stakeholder, 14; implementation preparation for, 387–388; presentation of results to, 366– 368*fig*; reaction/planned action feedback from, 107; rewarding the effective employees, 165–166; soft cost data adjusted by, 287
- Stakeholders: different types of, 13–15; Stakeholder/Time Combinations, 206*fig*
- Standard monetary values: calculating cost of quality, 267–269*e*; for converting employee time using compensation, 269–270; for converting hard data to money, 263*t*; for converting output data to money, 264–266*e*; data conversion without availability of, 271–282; described, 262–263; locating, 270*e*–271; from sales and marketing, 265*t*–266*t. See also* Monetary values

Standard quality measures, 267–269e

Stone, R., 115, 343, 345 Streamlining communication, 368-369 Stress: described, 339; studies on effects of, 339-341 Stress management program, 341e-342e StressMap, 342 Structured interviews, 190 Subject-matter experts: converting soft data using input from, 274-276; estimate of impact input by, 254; estimating amount of improvement, 25; learning needs determined by, 58 Support/overhead, costs of, 296 Survey response rates, reaction/ planned action, 120-121 Survey samples: low-intensity reaction, 121e; moderate-intensity reaction, 122e-123e Surveys: data collection using, 23;

learning/confidence, 145–146; measuring learning through, 145–146e; reaction/planned action, 115–116, 118–121, 123–127. *See also* Data collection methods

Т

T&D (training and development) costs, inputs measuring, 77–78
Tangible measures: business impact, 216; converting intangibles into, 309; described, 50, 307. See also Intangible measures
Target audiences. See Report

Target audiences. See Report audiences

Task force: assigning implementation responsibilities to, 380–382; establishing, 380

Task simulations, 152-153

Team capability measures: communication, 320; cooperation/conflict, 319; decisiveness/decision making, 319; innovation and creativity, 320–324; team effectiveness, 319 Teams: capabilities of organization, 319–324; L&D (learning and development), 319–324, 384–385; stress management program for, 341*e*–342*e*

- Technological tools: customer database, 179; customer relationship management (CRM) software, 179; simulations, 152–153; Web-based databases, 276–279*e*
- Test classifications: by design, 145; by method used, 144; by purpose and content, 145

Test failures, 160

- Testimonials, 352
- Tests/testing: administration issues of, 159-160; classifications of, 144-145; criterion-referenced (CRT), 145, 148–149, 150^t data collected using, 24; essay, 145, 148e; fill-in-theblanks, 148e; learning needs determined using, 60; matching, 147e-148e, multiple-choice, 147e; objective, 146, 147e; oral examinations and essay, 145; performance, 145, 149, 151-152; short-answer, 148e 3M, 322 360-degree feedback, 333-334 Time category, 46e, 47 The Tipping Point (Gladwell), 343 Toyota, 322 Training magazine, 74, 75, 76, 81, 95 Trend lines, 25 Trend-line analysis, 242-244 Twain, M., 349

U

Twinkies, 79

Ulrich, D., 281, 311 Unstructured interviews, 190

V

Validity: definition of, 157; learning/ confidence data, 157–159; relationship between reliability and, 158*t*; tips on improving, 158*e*

w

Wal-Mart, 336 Waldir, A., 344 Watkins, K. E., 134 Web search engines: gathering monetary values data from, 276-278; right questions to ask when searching, 278*e*–279*e* Welch, J., 333 Whiteside, K., 54 Williamson, P., 321 Work climate/satisfaction category, 48e, 49, 280 fig, 324-325 Work cycle, 52fig

Work habits category, 48e-49

Work teams: L&D (learning and development), 319-324, 384-385; measuring capabilities of, 319-324; stress management program for, 341*e*-342*e*

Workforce: consequences of unprepared, 137; effects of stress on, 339-343; job satisfaction of, 48e, 49, 280fig, 324-325; work habits of, 48e-49. See also Employees

Y

Yahoo, 276

http://www.bookshop.com

http://www.bookshop.com