

---

# INDEX

---

## A

Ability, 12, 13  
 Action planning data collection, 179–180*t*  
 Active Management  
   Reinforcement (AMR)  
     building confidence of learners using, 141–142  
     description of, 13, 20  
     exploring the use of, 15  
     as key learning and performance factor, 141–143  
     as learning transfer strategy, 158–159  
     as performance readiness factor, 12, 17, 24, 28–29  
     as readiness evaluation component, 164, 166  
     risk assessment leading to recommendations on, 38  
   *See also* Learners; Managers; Performance readiness  
 Alignment  
   execution in the work setting step in, 11*t*  
   five key factors of, 22*t*–31

  keys to linkage and, 20–21  
   results component of, 19–20  
   *See also* Performance Alignment and Linkage (PAL) process  
 American Society for Training and Development, 87  
 Analysis. *See* Data analysis;  
   Situational risk analysis  
 Asia Pacific Management Institute in Shanghai, 87  
 Assessment Strategy and Plan job aid, 78, 79*e*–80*e*  
 Assessments  
   Assessment Strategy and Plan job aid, 78, 79*e*–80*e*  
   data collection using, 84  
   learner readiness, 151–153  
   rapid mission-related needs assessment, 204*t*–205*t*, 206  
   risk, 37, 38, 92–96, 93*e*, 98–107  
   *See also* Evaluation; Situational Needs Assessment

## B

Barbazette, J., 83  
 Big Sky Medical Group scenario

AMR strategy created for, 142  
 Assessment Strategy and Plan  
   job aid for, 78, 79*e*–80*e*  
   on delivery which facilitates habit changes, 150–151  
 Intake Scope and Alignment Document for, 94  
 Performance Alignment  
   Contract for, 120*e*–124*e*  
   rapid verification of results plan and strategy for, 173–178*e*  
   risk assessment in, 94  
   Root Cause Analysis Matrix for, 95*e*–96*e*  
   Situational Risk Assessment and Analysis Tool Set for, 99*e*–107*e*  
 Six Signals in, 54–55  
 Solution Proposal Format  
   example for, 114*t*–118*t*  
   transfer strategy development in, 155*t*–156*t*  
 Bloom, B., 1  
 Brinkerhoff, R. O., 166  
 Broad, M., 157  
 Burkett, H., 126, 167  
 Business change signal, 54*t*, 61–62

Business compliance signal, 54*t*, 63–64

Business deficiency signal, 54*t*, 58–59

Business drivers

- Solution Proposal Format and, 114*t*
- verifying, 43*t*, 55

Business opportunity signal, 54*t*, 62–63

Business outcome

- analyzing data on, 184–185
- guiding objectives of, 97
- as key alignment factor, 22*t*–25, 29–31
- linking execution in the work setting and, 10*t*, 29–31

Outcome Report on, 195*e*

performance and evaluation

- framework component of, 165*t*

Stone's performance-centered framework on, 5*t*, 6

training funding must match performance results and, 205*t*

up front identification of, 22*t*–25

## C

Causal indicators, 200–201

Client education

- evaluation inquiry component of, 125–126
- expectations component of, 125
- forecasting the ROI component of, 126–129
- guiding principle on continual, 125
- by negotiating solution/performance alignment contract, 119–124
- performance consultant role in, 113–114, 119
- Solution Proposal Format for, 114*t*–118*t*

Client resistance

- initial meeting strategies to overcome, 50–51
- training processes and problem of, 49–50

Clients

- educating the, 113–129
- follow client lead when interviewing, 57
- importance of meeting the needs of the, 209–210
- initial meeting with, 50–51
- preferences of, 5*t*, 14, 165*t*
- rapid verification of results
  - decision by, 125–126, 162–164
- revisiting expectations of, 126
- Six Signals regarding needs of, 52, 54*t*–64
- training professional partnering with, 209
- See also* Situational Needs Assessment

Communication

- client decision regarding reporting results, 43*t*, 125
- of demonstrated results to sponsors, 202–203
- improving training communication to stakeholders, 206*t*–208*t*
- Outcome Report, 193*e*–194*e*
- reporting evaluation findings/follow-up recommendations, 191–194
- training and performance design guided by, 26–27

Comparison groups, 188

Compatibility, 12

Confidence

- delivery facilitating learner, 149–150
- as learning and performance factor, 141–142
- as performance readiness component, 12, 13, 28

Contracts

- guideline on securing client, 43*t*, 112

Learning and Performance Contract, 147–148*t*, 153

- negotiating performance alignment, 38, 109–130
- performance alignment contact, 119–125

Costs per person, 117*t*

Critical incident method, 84

## D

Data

- flawed, 181
- follow-up, 179–183
- organization records, 85, 181–182
- ownership of the evaluation, 182

Data analysis

- disappointment and success, 171, 185–186, 187*t*
- rapid verification of result approach to follow-up performance data, 183–191
- rapid verification of result approach to readiness, 179
- See also* Situational risk analysis

Data collection

- action planning for, 179–180*t*
- five C's of, 85–86
- methods of, 83–85
- from participants versus experts, 183
- rapid verification of results on follow-up performance, 179–191
- rapid verification of results on performance readiness, 179
- sources for, 85–87, 181–183

Delbecq, A. L., 83

Delivery. *See* Training delivery

Design. *See* Training and performance design

Direct use knowledge, 200

Duran, J., 12

## E

EBS Printing Company scenario, 20, 21*t*

Edison Electric Institute, 87

80/20 rule, 12, 24, 25, 113, 158

Environmental scanning, 85

European Management Association, 87

Evaluation

- decision criteria for type of, 169*t*

- getting client's decision
    - regarding, 43*t*, 125
  - initial reaction surveys for, 152–153
  - isolating the effects issue of, 186, 188–189
  - rapid verification of results
    - approach to, 125–126, 161–196
  - traditional approach to, 125, 162, 164, 166, 169*t*
  - See also* Assessments
  - Evaluation frameworks
    - follow-up performance evaluation, 164, 165*t*
    - rapid verification of results, 164, 165*t*, 166
    - readiness evaluation, 164, 165*t*, 166–167
    - traditional evaluation, 166
  - Evaluation Strategy and Plan, 174*e*–178*e*, 192
  - Execution deficiency signal, 54*t*, 59–60
  - Execution gap
    - analysis of, 88–89, 90*e*
    - Solution Proposal Format on root causes of, 114*t*
  - Execution Gap Analysis, 88–89, 90*e*
  - Execution in the work setting
    - guiding objectives of, 97
    - identifying ways to influence proper, 73
    - linking business outcome and, 10*t*, 29–31
    - linking objectives for readiness and, 134–135
  - Outcome Report on, 194*e*
  - as path to alignment and results component, 11*t*
  - performance and evaluation
    - framework component of, 165*t*
  - questions posed on, 7–8
  - Snappy Telecommunications
    - International scenario of, 8–9, 10*t*
  - Stone's performance-centered framework on, 5*t*
  - triggering transfer strategy and, 42
  - World Class University scenario of, 9
- F**
- Face-to-face interviews, 84
  - Financial issues
    - costs per person, 117*t*
    - fiscal responsibility/management, 204*t*
    - performance results/business outcomes matching funding, 205*t*
  - Five Key Factors
    - business outcome needs, 22*t*–26, 23*t*, 36
    - development and delivery linked to training/performance, 22*t*, 23*t*, 27–29, 40
    - execution in work setting, 22*t*, 23*t*, 29, 42
    - execution linked to business outcome measures, 22*t*, 23*t*, 29–31, 73
    - propose solution in context of, 43*t*, 112
    - training and performance design, 22*t*, 23*t*, 26–27, 29, 114*t*
  - Focus groups, 33
  - Follow-up action recommendations, 191–195*e*
  - Follow-up performance
    - conducting evaluation of, 43–44, 157
    - rapid verification of results evaluation of, 179–191
    - timing of data collection on, 189–191
    - See also* Learning transfer
  - Forecasting ROI, 118*t*, 126–129
- G**
- Gagné, R., 1
  - Gilbert, T., 1
  - Go/No Go solution decision, 38, 111*fig*, 126
  - Guidelines. *See* Performance Alignment and Linkage (PAL) guidelines
  - Guiding Objectives and Measures
    - analysis of, 97–98
    - as PAL process guiding principle, 43*t*
  - Gupta, K., 83
  - Gyrotech Outcome Report, 193*e*–194*e*
- H**
- Habits
    - delivery facilitating change of, 150–151
    - as deterrent to learning, 28, 142
    - related to mishandling information, 73
    - Solution Proposal Format addressing old, 116*t*
    - See also* Learners
  - Handoff briefing
    - recommendations on, 134–135, 140
  - Training and Performance Design Specifications Document for, 136*e*–139*e*
  - Harless, J., 1
  - HIPAA Privacy Rule, 72, 97, 128, 152
- I**
- Importance factor, 141
  - Ineffective habits, 28
  - Information
    - identifying ineffective habits related to mishandling, 73
    - identifying typical failures in handling, 73
    - privacy issues related to, 72–73, 97, 128, 152
  - Informed client buy-in, 26–27
  - Initial reaction surveys, 152–153
  - Institute of Nuclear Power Operations, 87
  - Intake Scope and Alignment Document
    - Big Sky Medical Group scenario use of, 94
    - example of, 66*e*–71*e*
    - issues addressed by, 65
    - overview of, 64–66
  - International Society for Performance Improvement, 87

Isolating the effects  
 importance of evaluation, 186  
 methods for, 188–189

## J

Japan Management Association, 87  
 Job or task analysis, 84  
 Judgmental sampling, 81

## K

Kirkpatrick, D. J., 1–2, 4, 166  
 Kirkpatrick's evaluation model  
   four levels of, 4  
   Phillips' Return on Investment  
     (Level 5) addition to, 4  
 Knowles, M., 1

## L

Learners  
   assessing readiness of, 151–153  
   building confidence of, 12, 13,  
     28, 141–142  
   relevance to, 22*t*, 23*t*,  
     26–27, 141  
   surveys on initial reaction of,  
     152–153  
   willingness of, 12, 13,  
     28–29, 143  
*See also* Active Management  
   Reinforcement (AMR); Habits;  
   Performance readiness;  
   Performers  
 Learning  
   assessing readiness for, 41–42  
   ineffective habits as deterrent  
     to, 28  
   key factors for, 141–143  
 Learning and Performance Action  
   Plan, 179–180*t*  
 Learning and Performance  
   Contract, 147–148*t*, 153  
 Learning transfer  
   follow-up action and strategy for,  
     153–159  
   guiding principle on focusing  
     the, 149  
   Solution Proposal Format  
     on, 117*t*  
   triggering, 42

*See also* Follow-up performance  
 evaluation; Performance  
 transfer

Learning transfer strategy  
   AMR as part of, 158–159  
   developing an effective,  
     154–158  
   importance of developing,  
     153–154  
 Lewin, K., 1

## M

Mager, R. F., 1, 135  
 Management Performance  
   program, 202  
 Managers  
   aligning design and development  
     role of, 143  
   ensuring training professional's  
     knowledge, 40  
   training professional partnering  
     with clients and, 209  
*See also* Active Management;  
   Reinforcement (AMR);  
   Organizations  
 Measures  
   developing performance, 26  
   guiding objectives and, 43*t*,  
     97–98  
   linking readiness and  
     execution, 134  
   Proposed Solution Format on,  
     115*t*–116*t*  
 Meier, D., 1, 141

## N

National Association of  
   Manufacturers, 87  
 Needs assessment. *See* Situational  
   Needs Assessment  
 New expectation signal, 54*t*, 60–61  
 Newstrom, J., 157  
 Nominal group technique  
   (NGT), 83

## O

Objectives  
   development of training and  
   performance, 26

guiding measures and, 43*t*,  
   97–98  
   linking readiness and execution,  
     134–135  
   Proposed Solution Format on,  
     115*t*–116*t*  
 Observation of work, 84–85  
 Organization records  
   data collection from, 85,  
     181–182  
   flawed data, team data, and  
     cautions about, 181–182  
 Organizations  
   handling the outside view of  
     training in, 203–206  
   training function must align with  
     philosophy of, 204*t*  
*See also* Managers  
 Outcome Report, 193*e*–194*e*

## P

Pareto, V., 12  
 Pareto's Principle, 12  
 Per person costs, 117*t*  
 Performance  
   key factors for, 141–143  
   objectives and measures of, 26  
   theoretical and practical  
     influences on, 1–2  
 Performance Alignment and  
   Linkage (PAL) guidelines  
   1. verifying business drivers, 43*t*,  
     55, 114*t*  
   2. gathering data from credible  
     sources for assessment, 43*t*, 86  
   3. identifying performance,  
     requirements, performance  
     gaps, root cause, related needs,  
     guiding objectives, 43*t*, 89  
   4. ensuring the guiding objectives  
     and measure guide selection/  
     design of solution, 43*t*, 97–98  
   5. propose solution in context of  
     Five Key Factors and secure  
     client contract, 43*t*, 112  
   6. performance consultant  
     role when presenting  
     recommendations, 43*t*, 113  
   7. continually educating clients  
     on performance solution  
     design value, 43*t*, 125

- 8. getting client's evaluating and reporting decisions, 43*t*, 125
- 9. linking readiness and execution objectives and measures, 43*t*, 134
- 10. altering and renegotiating solution design, 43*t*, 140
- 11. focusing delivery and transfer process, 43*t*, 149
- 12. acquiring and managing sponsorship, 43*t*, 199
- Performance Alignment and Linkage (PAL) phases
  - 1. situational needs assessment and performance design, 36–38, 111*fig*
  - 2. design and development, 39–40, 77*fig*, 133*fig*, 146*fig*
  - 3. delivery and execution, 41, 133*fig*, 146*fig*
  - 4. verification and follow-up, 43–44, 163*fig*
- Performance Alignment and Linkage (PAL) process
  - illustrated diagram of, 35*fig*
  - introduction to the, 34
  - key alignment factors as inherent in, 31
  - new perspectives of performance and, 33–34
- Performance-Centered Framework used with, 5
- phases and steps of, 36–44
- See also* Alignment; Training and performance process
- Performance Alignment and Linkage (PAL) steps
  - 1. scope the project/utilize six signals to determine assessment strategy, 36–37, 52, 53*fig*, 54*t*–64
  - 2. conduct detailed assessment/analysis and identify alternative solutions, 37, 75–107*e*, 77*fig*
  - 3. administer situational risk assessment/analysis, 37–38, 77*fig*, 92–107
  - 4. propose solution and negotiate performance alignment contract, 38, 109–130
  - 5. go/no go solution decision, 38, 111*fig*, 126
  - 6. finalize delivery design and strategy for performance transfer, 39, 131–160
  - 7. develop/acquire focused performance solution components, 40, 140–141
  - 8. implement pre-engagement action, 41, 146*fig*, 147–148
  - 9. delivery performance solution/assess learning readiness and initial reaction, 41–42, 146*fig*, 149–153
  - 10. trigger transfer strategy and work setting execution, 42, 146*fig*, 153–159
  - 11. rapid verification of results/follow-up action steps, 43–44, 125–126, 161–196
- Performance alignment contact components and format of, 120*e*–124*e*
  - guiding principle on educating clients and negotiating the, 125
  - negotiating the solution and the, 119–120
- Performance barriers, 16*t*–17
- Performance frameworks
  - Kirkpatrick's evaluation model, 4
  - Phillips' Return on Investment, 4
  - See also* Performance-Centered Framework
- Performance readiness
  - assessment of, 151–152
  - five key components of, 12–13, 24
  - guiding objectives of, 97
  - lack as performance barrier, 16
  - linking objectives of execution and, 134–135
  - Outcome Report on, 193*e*–194*e*
  - performance and evaluation framework component of, 165*t*
  - rapid verification of results data on, 179
  - Situational Needs Assessment of, 13
  - Solution Proposal Format on, 114*t*–116*t*, 117*t*
  - Stone's performance-centered framework on, 5*t*, 12
- See also* Active Management Reinforcement (AMR); Learners; Performance-Centered Framework
- Performance results, 20
- Performance transfer, 39
- See also* Learning transfer
- Performance-Centered Framework
  - business outcome element of, 5*t*, 6, 10*t*, 22*t*–25, 29–31, 97, 165*t*, 184–185, 195*e*, 205*t*
  - elements listed, 4–5*t*
  - execution in the work setting element of, 5*t*, 6–11*fig*, 29–31
  - preferences element of, 5*t*, 14, 165
  - See also* Performance frameworks; Performance readiness
- Performers
  - everyday choices made by, 2–3
  - training delivery enabling the, 145, 147
  - See also* Learners
- Phillips, J. J., 2, 4, 126, 165, 166, 167, 181, 182
- Phillips, P. P., 126, 167
- Pre-engagement action
  - implementing, 41, 147–148
- Learning and Performance Contract, 147–148*t*, 153
- purposes of, 147
- Solution Proposal Format on, 116*t*
- sponsorship required for, 148
- Preferences (client)
  - performance and evaluation framework component of, 165*t*
  - Stone's performance-centered framework on, 5*t*, 14
- Privacy
  - determining reasons for violations of, 72–73
  - Privacy Act and HIPAA guidelines on, 72, 97, 128, 152
- Proposed solutions
  - educating the client on the, 113–129
  - Five Key Factors context of, 43*t*, 112
  - influencing your client regarding the, 110–113

Proposed solutions (*Continued*)  
 performance consultant role  
 when presenting, 43*t*, 113  
 Solution Proposal Format, 112*t*,  
 114*t*–118*t*

## Q

Questionnaires/surveys, 84

## R

Random sampling, 82  
 Rapid mission-related needs  
 assessment, 204*t*–205*t*, 206  
 Rapid verification of results  
 advantages of using, 161–162  
 brief outline for use of,  
 170–171  
 client decision to use, 125–126,  
 162, 164  
 comparing traditional and,  
 162, 164  
 evaluation framework for, 164,  
 165*t*, 166  
 illustrated diagram of, 168*fig*  
 introduction to, 43–44  
 isolating the effects issue of, 186,  
 188–189  
 philosophy of, 170  
 steps of, 167–173, 179,  
 181–195*e*  
 success and disappointment  
 analysis used in, 171, 185–186,  
 187*t*, 202–203  
 Rapid verification of results steps  
 1. determine purpose/verify  
 sponsorship, 167, 169–170  
 2. develop plans for low-intensity  
 rapid verification strategy,  
 170–178*e*  
 3. collect and analysis readiness  
 data, 179  
 4. collect and analyze follow-up  
 performance data, 179–191  
 5. communicating findings/  
 recommending follow-up  
 actions, 191–195*e*  
 Readiness evaluation, 164, 165*t*,  
 166–167  
 Relevance  
 as key alignment factor, 22*t*, 23*t*

as key learning and performance  
 factor, 141  
 training and performance design  
 guided by, 26–27  
 Reporting  
 evaluation findings/follow-up  
 recommendations, 191–194  
 getting client's decision  
 regarding, 43*t*, 125  
 Outcome Report, 193*e*–194*e*  
 as sponsor channel of  
 communicating demonstrated  
 results, 202–203  
 Risk assessment  
 Active Management  
 Reinforcement (AMR)  
 suggestions from, 38  
 administering situational, 37  
 benefits of conducting, 92–95  
 Root Cause Analysis Matrix, 93*e*,  
 95*e*–96*e*  
 as Situational Needs Assessment  
 step, 77*fig*  
 Situational Risk Assessment and  
 Analysis Tool Set for, 99*e*–107*e*  
 Robinson, D., 1  
 Robinson, J., 1  
 ROI forecasting  
 calculating, 126–129  
 rapid verification of results  
 inclusion of, 164, 166  
 Solution Proposal Format  
 on, 118*t*  
 ROI (Return on Investment)  
 business outcome measured  
 through, 30–31  
 calculation of, 126–129, 166  
 forecasting the, 118*t*, 126–129,  
 164, 166  
 Phillips' Level 5 on, 4  
 Root cause  
 analysis of, 89, 91*e*–93, 95*e*–96*e*  
 as key factors of alignment,  
 22*t*, 23*t*  
 Solution Proposal Format on,  
 114*t*, 116*t*  
 training and performance design  
 guided by, 26–27  
 Root Cause Analysis, 91*e*  
 Root Cause Analysis Matrix, 92,  
 95*e*–96*e*  
 Rummeler, G., 1

## S

Sampling procedures, 81–83  
 Simple random sampling, 81  
 Situational Needs Assessment  
 client behaviors and suggested  
 solutions, 49–51  
 client request role of, 48–49  
 design for, 77*fig*  
 development and function of, 37  
 getting started with the, 46  
 performance readiness  
 determined through, 13  
 proactive role of, 47–48  
 rapid mission-related needs  
 assessment, 204*t*–205*t*, 206  
 risk assessment using, 37, 38,  
 92–96, 93*e*, 98–107  
 steps of, 52–73  
 tool set for, 99*e*–107*e*  
*See also* Assessments; Clients  
 Situational Needs Assessment steps  
 1. Six Signals, 36–37, 52, 53*fig*,  
 54*t*–64  
 2. Intake Scope and Alignment  
 Document, 64–71*e*  
 3. next steps to take, 72–73  
 Situational risk analysis  
 the approach for, 76  
 completing the, 75–76  
 data collection methods and  
 sources, 83–87  
 Execution Gap Situational risk  
 analysis, 90*e*  
 root cause, 89, 91–93  
 sampling procedures used for,  
 81–83  
 the strategy used for, 76, 78–81  
 tool set for, 37, 99*e*–107*e*  
 types of detailed, 87–93  
*See also* Data analysis; Risk  
 assessment; Situational Needs  
 Assessment  
 Six Signals  
 Big Sky Medical Group scenario  
 on process of, 54–55  
 overview of, 36–37, 52, 53*fig*,  
 54*t*–58  
 signal 1: business deficiency, 54*t*,  
 58–59  
 signal 2: execution deficiency,  
 54*t*, 59–60

- signal 3: new expectation, 54*t*, 60–61
  - signal 4: business change, 54*t*, 61–62
  - signal 5: business opportunity, 54*t*, 62–63
  - signal 6: business compliance, 54*t*, 63–64
  - Skinner, B. F., 1
  - Snappy Telecommunications
    - International scenario, 8–9, 10*t*
  - Society for Human Resource Management, 87
  - Solution Proposal Format, 112*t*, 114*t*–118*t*
  - Solutions
    - aligning and proposing, 37–38
    - altering and renegotiating design of, 140
    - believing in your, 109–110
    - delivery of performance, 149–151
    - developing/acquiring
      - components for performance, 40, 140–141
    - educating the client on the proposed, 113–129
    - Five Key Factors context of proposed, 43*t*, 112
    - go/no go decision on, 38, 111*fig*, 126
    - influencing your client regarding the, 110–113
    - negotiating the performance alignment contract and, 119–124
    - performance consultant role when presenting, 43*t*, 113
  - Source estimates, 188
  - Sponsorship
    - contribution and survival functions of, 197–198
    - guiding principle on acquiring, cultivating, and managing, 43*t*, 199
    - handling the outside view of training, 203–206
    - importance of, 198–199
    - influencing, 199–203
    - verified for rapid verification of results, 167, 169–170
  - See also* Training professionals
  - Sponsorship channels
    - causal indicators, 200–201
    - communication of demonstrated results, 202–203
    - direct use knowledge as, 200
  - Stakeholders
    - communicating evaluation findings/recommendations to, 191–195*e*
    - Evaluation Strategy and Plan identification of, 174*e*–178*e*, 192
    - handling the outside view of training by, 203–206
    - improving communications about training made to, 206*t*–208*t*
    - Outcome Report made to, 193*e*–194*e*
    - reporting results to, 43*t*, 125, 191–194, 202–203
    - training processes must be visible to, 205*t*
  - Stone, R. D., 4, 126, 165, 166, 167, 181, 182
  - Stratified sampling, 82
  - Success and disappointment
    - analysis, 171, 185–186, 187*t*, 202–203
  - Surveys/questionnaires, 84
- ## T
- Task and job analysis, 84
  - Tests and assessments, 84
  - Traditional evaluation, 125, 162, 164, 166, 167*t*
  - Training
    - meeting the client needs related to, 209–210
    - new perspectives on, 3–4
    - outside organizational view of, 203–204
    - See also* Training and performance process
  - Training and performance design
    - aligning delivery and execution, 145–160
    - development and delivery focused and linked to, 27–29
    - enabling the performer through, 145, 147
    - guided by root cause and relevant needs, 22*t*, 23*t*, 26–27, 141
    - handoff briefing on, 134–140
    - handoff to development team on, 132–134
    - informed client buy-in to, 26–27
    - on pre-engagement action, 41, 116*t*, 147–148*t*
  - Training and Performance Design Specifications Document, 135, 136*e*–139*e*
  - Training and performance process
    - criteria for effective, 15*t*
    - as front-to-rear and inside-out redefinition, 43
    - improving communication to stakeholders about, 206*t*–208*t*
    - key areas of effectiveness and efficiency in, 204*t*–205*t*
    - the outside view of, 203–206
    - shifting terminology to accurately reflect, 14–15
    - three strategies to improve, 208–209
    - See also* Performance Alignment and Linkage (PAL) process; Training
  - Training delivery
    - aligning execution and, 145–160
    - developing/acquiring means of, 140–141
    - enabling the performer, 145, 147
    - guiding principle on focusing the, 149–151
    - handoff briefing finalizing the, 134–140
    - programs and services must meet promise of, 205*t*
  - Training and Performance Design Specifications Document on, 136*e*–139*e*
  - Training process, 14
  - Training professionals
    - effective communications to stakeholders by, 206*t*–208*t*

Training professionals (*Continued*)  
  importance of meeting the needs  
    of the client, 209–210  
  key areas of effectiveness and  
    efficiency by, 204*t*–205*t*  
  outside perceptions of, 204  
  partnering with clients and  
    managers, 209  
  theoretical and practical  
    influences on, 1–2  
  three strategies to improve  
    training processes taken by,  
    208–209

*See also* Sponsorship  
Trend line analysis, 188

## U

U.S. Department of Education, 87  
U.S. Department of Labor, 87

## V

Van de Ven, A. H., 83  
Visibility, 205*t*

## W

Willingness factor, 12, 13,  
  28–29, 143  
Work observation, 84–85  
Work setting execution. *See*  
  Execution in the work setting  
World Class University scenario, 9

## Z

Zhang, L., 142



<http://www.pbookshop.com>

<http://www.pbookshop.com>