

# Index

## A

Administering 360 process: distributing questionnaires, 138–142; introducing the, 122–133; planning the, 117–122; processing the questionnaires, 142–143; selecting raters, 133–138

American Express, 16, 109

American Psychological Association, 51

Anonymity issues: ensuring rater anonymity, 119–120, 137–138; importance of addressing, 37; interviews and, 73, 82, 84; monitoring questionnaires without violating, 121–122; questionnaires and, 59–60

Appraisal systems. *See* Performance appraisal systems

Arsenal Capital Partners (Arsenal), 26–28, 38

Ashwell, L. W., 24–25

Awareness. *See* Creating awareness

## B

Baglio, V., 33–35, 38

Barker, M.-C., 27

Behavioral questions, 57–58

Behaviors: achieving business strategy/culture change, 17–18; agreed-on 360 process measures for, 224; clarifying what will be measured in data collection, 49–51; developing individual plan for improving, 162; ensuring critical job-related, 20–21; measuring job-relevant, 38, 60; monitoring progress in changing, 198–200; preliminary identification of development targeted, 180–184; strategies for changing, 189–195; 360 process providing common model of effective, 204–205

*Beyond Ambition* (Kaplan), 148

Boston Consulting Group (BCG), 206

“Building a Performance Culture” (S&P’s PMP guide), 30

Burns, R., 3

Business needs: creating awareness/skill development matching, 171; creating competency model linked to performance and, 210; linking 360 process to, 35–36; using theoretical models consistent with, 51–53. *See also* Organizations

## C

Campbell, B., 86

Capolallo, D., 45, 158

Case studies: Arsenal Capital Partners (Arsenal), 26–28, 38; creating awareness and skill development using, 172; Erie Insurance, 31–33; Financial Guarantee Insurance Company (FGIC), 28–29, 109, 123–124; Lehman Brothers, 24–26, 95; New York Life, 33–35, 38; Standard & Poor’s, 29–31. *See also* 360-degree feedback applications

Case Western Reserve, 197

Center for Creative Leadership (CCL), 13, 151, 188, 194, 212

Champions: addressing causes for lack of commitment, 108–111; answering typical objections to 360 process, 98–104; assessing your own readiness as, 96–97; developing a plan of approach, 111–113; doing your homework and preparation for, 105–108; three levels of support objectives by, 104–105

Change strategies: coaching-consulting-mentoring as, 192–193; job assignments providing developmental experience, 194–195; management training, 193–194; reading and learning as, 189–190; self-monitoring as, 190–192

“The changing Nature of Leadership” (Martin), 10

Clark, L., 34

Coaching: facilitator role in, 92–93; sharing of feedback during, 210–211; 360 process for enhancing, 209. *See also* Facilitators

## 294 INDEX

- Coaching activities, 192–193  
 Cohen, H., 95  
 Commitment: causes of lack of, 108–111; as support level, 104  
 Communication: eliminating 360 process resistance through, 108–109; importance of good 360 process, 37; interview skills related to effective, 74–76; lacking between different HRM systems, 208  
 Compass Program (American Express), 16  
 Compensation: linking 360 process, performance, and, 220–222; tips for including 360 process in decisions regarding, 222–223  
 Competencies. *See* Skill development  
 Compliance support level, 104–105  
 Confidentiality issues: ensuring response confidentiality, 119–120; importance of addressing, 37; interviews and, 73, 82, 84; monitoring questionnaires without violating, 121–122; one-on-one feedback delivery and, 152–153; questionnaires and, 59–60  
 Consulting activities, 192–193  
 Crane, J., 29–31  
 Creating awareness: appreciating perceptions of others for, 164–170; using case studies for, 171; coaching group activities for, 170; first impression of data, 164; focusing on the messages for, 164; identifying business challenge for, 171; preparing sharing and clarifying workshop meeting for, 170–171; presenting the feedback for, 163–164; value of 360 process for, 208–209; using video models for, 171–172. *See also* Skill development  
 Cromwell Consulting, 50, 100  
 Cromwell, K., 50–51, 100  
 Customer feedback, 10, 136–137
- D**
- Daley-Caravella, L., 155  
 Dalton, M., 212  
 Data: ensuring accurate and high-quality, 120–121; first impression of the, 164; involving people in interpreting the, 161–162; 360 process ensuring balanced and objective, 205; working definitions for types of, 11e–12  
 Data collection: decisions regarding how to approach, 21, 22, 41–67; ensuring quality, 22; frequency of, 143; sound 360 process to ensure valid, 224–225; working definitions for information included in, 11e–12. *See also* Interviews; Questionnaires  
 Data collection selection: available resources factor for, 47–48; participant organization level factor for, 44–46; population size factor for, 44; type of data to be collected factor for, 46  
 Development Goal Worksheet, 196e  
 Development goals: establishing, 195–198; need to clarify, 207; 360 process supporting/reinforcing, 205–206  
 Development plan: including additional follow-up activities using, 200; individual role in creating, 162; Lehman Brother's use of 360 process for leadership, 24–26; monitoring progress through, 198–200, 211; productivity measures component of, 200–201; strategies for change component of, 189–195; tactics to include in, 187–189; tips on effective use of, 195–198  
 Development systems: how 360 process enhances, 208–209; problems with traditional, 206–208; purpose of, 206; tips for integrating 360 process into, 209–211  
 DeVries, D., 14–15, 70, 71, 72, 81, 182
- E**
- Edison, T. A., 41  
 Eichinger, S. W., 190  
 EPCOT, 135  
 Erie Insurance, 31–33
- F**
- Face validity confirmation, 53  
 Face-to-face interviews, 81  
 Facilitator certification, 174–175  
 Facilitators: coach role of, 92–93; feedback session management by, 162–163; internal vs. external, 173–174; selecting feedback delivery, 172–175; selecting interviewer, 74–76. *See also* Coaching  
 Feedback: customer, 10, 136–137; development of 360-degree form of, 8–9; difficulty to getting upward, 19; short history of corporate use of, 7–11  
 Feedback Consolidation Worksheet, 179e  
 “Feedback rich” environment, 199  
 Feedback session: choosing location of, 150–151; delivery methods for, 151–172; group work, 163–172; scheduling the, 150; selecting facilitators and coaches for, 172–175. *See also* Presenting feedback  
 Feedback session delivery: comparison of, 161e; ensuring effectiveness of, 160–163; group feedback workshop approach to, 155–157; issues to consider when selecting, 151–152, 159–160; one-on-one approach to, 152–154; self-study approach to, 157–159

*Feedback to Managers: A Review and Comparison of Multi-Rater Instruments for Management Development* (CCL), 13

Financial Guarantee Insurance Company (FGIC), 28–29, 109, 123–124

Follow-up activities: consolidating the feedback using, 178–180; continually adding new, 200; formally linked to human resource management systems, 201; importance of providing, 38–40, 177–178; other priorities interfering with, 208; preliminary identification of development targets, 180–184; Problem Solving, 182–183; sharing and clarifying meeting, 184–187

Fujifilm USA, 36

*FYI for Your Improvement—A Guide for Development and Coaching* (Lombardo and Eichinger), 190

## G

Gattinella, M., 48, 93, 109

Greenfield, H., 24, 25, 26

Group feedback workshops, 155–157, 161e

Group interviews, 81

Group orientation meetings: introducing 360 process through, 122–124; outline for raters, 125–126; outline for recipients, 124–125

Group work sessions: approach I: creating awareness, 163–171; approach II: creating awareness and skill development, 171–175; description of, 163

## H

*The Handbook of Multi-Source Feedback* (Bracken, Timmreck, and Church), 203

The Hartford, 118

Hartford Financial Services Group, 39

Henrikson, C. R., 46

Hesiod, 117

Holmes, O. W., 23

Howard, G., 16, 35

Human resource management (HRM): formally linking follow-up activities to, 201; lack of communication between different departments, 208; organizational requirements for supporting 360 process by, 223–226; 360 process used for Arsenal, 27–28; 360-degree feedback component of, 20–21, 203–206. *See also* Organizations; Performance management systems

## I

Improvement plan. *See* Development plan

Individual performance: development plan to improve, 162, 187–201; 360-degree feedback used to enhance, 18–20

Influence Skills Survey, 139–140

Inter-rater agreement, 56–57

Internal consistency, 56

Interview feedback reports: preparing the, 85–86; presenting the, 90–93; sample format for, 86–90

Interviewer-facilitators. *See* Facilitators

Interviews: advantages and disadvantages of using, 70–73; clarifying purpose of, 73–74; conducting effective, 83–85; confidentiality of, 73, 82, 84; considering using questionnaires versus, 43–48; deciding on participants, 79–80; determining format for, 80–81; developing questions for, 77–79; feedback report on, 85–90; finalizing objectives and clarifying deliverables, 76–77; interviewer-facilitators of, 74–76, 82–93; making decision to make or buy, 65–66; presenting the feedback from, 90–93; scheduling the, 81–83; testing previous opinions given during, 85; 360-degree feedback data collected through, 14–15, 43. *See also* Data collection

Introductory letters, 128–133

## J

Job assignment experience, 194–195

## K

Kaplan, R., 147–148

Kaplan-DeVries, 14, 70

Knowledge feedback, description of, 11e

Korn/Ferry Institute, 34

Kushnir, S., 37

## L

La Belle, T., 197–198

Lane, J., 36

Leadership development: creating development plan for, 187–195; using development plan for, 195–201; Lehman Brother's use of 360 process for, 24–26

*Leadership in Organizations* (Yukl), 39

Learning by Design, 19, 71

Lehman Brothers, 24–26, 95

Lehman's 720 Process, 26

## 296 INDEX

Leone, P., 16, 104, 109  
*The Lessons of Experience* (CCL), 194  
 Levitt, T., 203  
 Live 360 (MetLife), 45–46, 95  
 Lombardo, M. M., 190

## M

McCaughey, S., 29, 31  
 The McGraw-Hill Companies, 29, 30, 48, 93, 199  
 Management by objectives, 7  
 Management training, 193–194  
 Managers: downward feedback by, 7–8;  
   enlisting 360 process support of, 36–37;  
   implementing 360 feedback  
   recommendations support for, 65; origins of  
   360-degree feedback use by, 8–11; sharing  
   of feedback with, 210–211. *See also* Senior  
   managements  
 Marquis, J., 27, 28, 38  
 Martin, A., 10  
 Meetings: group orientation, 122–126; group  
   work sessions, 163–175; one-on-one  
   orientation, 126–128; for sharing and  
   clarifying feedback, 184–187  
 Mentoring, 192–193  
 MetLife “360 On Demand,” 157–158  
 MetLife Live 360, 45–46, 95  
 Monitoring progress, 198–200, 211  
 Multi-Rater Competency Assessment,  
   30  
 Multidirectional behavior, 58–59

## N

New York Life, 33–35, 38  
 Nieroth, P., 19–20, 71, 72, 86  
 Novelli, P., 37

## O

*The Office Survival Guide* (Puder-York),  
   75  
 OgilvyOne, 37  
 One-on-one feedback delivery, 152–154, 161e  
 One-on-one orientation meetings, 126–128  
 Open-ended questions: interview, 43, 77;  
   questionnaire, 42, 70  
 Organizational culture: FGIC’s 360 process for  
   integrating, 28–29; S&P’s 360 process used  
   to build performance, 29–31; supporting  
   open and honest feedback, 223; 360 process  
   for clarifying behaviors related to, 17–18  
 Organizations: “Feedback rich” environment  
   of, 199; requirements for using 360 process  
   in, 223–226; 360 process to build bench

strength of, 31–33; tips on introducing the  
 360 process in, 219–220. *See also* Business  
 needs; Human resource management  
 (HRM)

Orientation meetings: group, 122–126;  
 one-on-one, 126–128

## P

Participant recipients: fear of exposing  
 weaknesses by, 149; group orientation  
 meeting for, 124–125; planning how they  
 will be informed, 117–118; point of  
 contact provided to, 140; rater selection by,  
 118–119; setting guidelines for maximum  
 use of, 121; sharing and clarifying feedback  
 meeting and, 184–187; unwilling to  
 challenge self-perception, 147–149. *See also*  
 Raters

Performance: agreed-on 360 process measures  
 for, 224; appraisal of, 214–220;  
 compensation tied to, 220–223; creating  
 competency model linked to business needs  
 and, 210; individual, 18–20, 162, 187–201;  
 monitoring improvement of, 198–200, 211;  
 team, 18–20; 360 process for organizational  
 culture supporting, 29–31; 360 process  
 providing common model of effective,  
 204–205; 360-degree feedback used to  
 enhance, 18–20. *See also* Productivity

Performance appraisal systems: how 360  
 process enhances, 217–218; information  
 processing issue of, 216; multi-rater  
 performance, 220; performance criteria  
 consistency issue of, 215–216; problems  
 with traditional, 215; purposes of, 214–215;  
 self-image issue of, 216; tips for successfully  
 using 360 process in, 218–220

Performance management systems: appraisal  
 component of, 214–220; linking  
 performance and compensation in,  
 220–223; Performance Management and  
 Measurement (PMM) as, 18, 20, 30, 199;  
 using 360-degree feedback as part of,  
 211–214. *See also* Human resource  
 management (HRM)

Performance Management and Measurement  
 (PMM), 18, 20, 30, 199

Personal questions, 58

Personnel Decisions International, 190

Pethick-Lawrence, E., 145

Planning: administration of 360 process,  
 117–122; including stakeholders in process  
 of, 109–110

Point of contact, 140

Polak, A., 39–40, 118

Pomerance, H., 18, 20, 199, 200

Positive questions, 58

- Presenting feedback: choosing facilitators and coaches for, 172–175; ensuring that delivery method is effective, 160–163; ensuring that people get the most out of feedback, 146–151; group work session approaches to, 163–172; methods for delivering the feedback, 151–160; 360 interview report, 85–93; 360 questionnaire report, 59–64. *See also* Feedback session; 360-degree feedback resistance
- Price issues: as instrument selection factor, 53–54; making decision to make or buy instruments, 65–66
- Problem Solving activity, 182–183
- Productivity: measures of, 200–201; New York Life's 360 process to increase, 33–35. *See also* Performance
- Puder-York, M., 75, 78
- ## Q
- Questionnaire issues: assessing questionnaire research quality, 54–57; confirming face validity, 53; price considerations, 53–54; reliability, 55–57; theoretical models, 51–53
- Questionnaire selection: benefits of interpretative frameworks to consider in, 63e–64; general issues to consider for, 43–48; in-depth evaluation phase of, 54–59; initial search phase of, 49–54; support material issue of, 64–65
- Questionnaires: distributing the, 138–142; feedback report on, 59–65; issues to consider for selecting, 43–65; making decision to make or buy, 65–66; overview of data collection using, 41–42; planning how to monitor, 121–122; using open-ended questions in, 42, 70; processing the, 142–143; 360-degree feedback data collected through, 12–14. *See also* Data collection
- Questions: characteristics and types of, 57–59; confirming face validity of, 53; developing interview, 77–79; open-ended, 42, 43, 70, 77; for preliminary identification of development targets, 182–183
- ## R
- Raters: email reminder to, 131–132; ensuring anonymity of, 119–120, 137–138; evaluation support provided by, 219; group orientation meeting for, 125–126; invitation to, 129–130; observation training of, 219; selecting, 118–119, 133–138; setting guidelines for maximum use of, 121; sharing and clarifying feedback meeting and, 184–187. *See also* Participant recipients
- Rech, P., 31–32
- Recipients *See* Participant recipients
- Reliability: inter-rater agreement issue of, 56–57; internal consistency issue of, 56; test-retest consistency issue of, 55–56. *See also* Validity
- Resistance support level, 105. *See also* 360-degree feedback resistance
- Rusk, D., 69
- ## S
- Sawyer, R., 36
- Scharlatt, H., 146
- Scheduling interviews, 81–83
- Seifert, C. F., 199
- Self-development phases, 148–149
- Self-invitation, 130–131
- Self-monitoring, 190–192
- Self-Monitoring Checklist, 191e
- Self-perception barrier, 147–149
- Self-reminder email, 132–133
- Self-study feedback session, 157–159, 161e
- Senior management: championing 360 process to, 98–104; identifying key decision-makers among, 106–108; importance of gaining support of, 36–37. *See also* Managers
- Sharma, D., 31
- Simon, H., 224
- Skill development: using case studies for, 171; development plan for improving, 162, 187–201; establishing development opportunities with, 210; identifying business challenge for, 171; monitoring progress in, 198–200; preliminary identification of targets for, 180–184; rehearsing, 172; skill feedback used in, 11e; using video models for, 171–172. *See also* Creating awareness
- Snow White and the Seven Dwarfs* (Disney film), 145
- Society for Human Resource Management (SHRM), 206
- Society of Human Resource Managers, 4
- Stakeholder Map: illustrated diagram of, 107e; steps for creating a, 106–108
- Stakeholders: causes of resistance by, 108–111, 112e, 146–151; “hidden,” 106; identifying, 106–108; including them in planning process, 109–110
- Standard & Poor's, 29–31
- Style feedback, 11e
- The Successful Manager's Handbook: Development Suggestions for Today's Managers* (PDI), 190

## 298 INDEX

## T

- Team performance, 18–20
- Telephone interviews, 80
- Test-retest consistency, 55–56
- Theoretical model-business needs relationship, 51–53
- 360 interview reports: preparing the, 85–86; presenting the, 90–93; sample format for, 86–90
- “360 On Demand” (MetLife), 157–158
- 360 questionnaire reports: comparing feedback from others with self-perception, 60–61; comparing person’s ratings to norms, 61; confidentiality issue of, 59–60; displaying feedback for items as well as categories, 62; identifying feedback from different perspectives, 60; identifying job-related behaviors, 60; including recommendations in, 62–63; interpretive frameworks for feedback included in, 63e–64; overview of, 59
- 360-degree feedback: administrating the process of, 117–143; assessing your own knowledge about, 4–6; creating champions and selling idea of, 95–114; description of, 6; human resource management enhancement through, 20–21, 203–223; key decisions about using, 21–22; organizational requirements for using, 223–225; where and how applications of, 15–21. *See also* 360-degree feedback resistance
- 360-degree feedback applications: clarifying behaviors achieving business strategy/culture change, 17–18; enhancing individual and team performance, 18–20; ensuring development of critical job-related behaviors, 20–21; lessons learned about, 35–40; manager survey on the “how” of, 16–17; manager survey regarding, 15–16; questions to ask about, 23–24. *See also* Case studies
- 360-degree feedback decisions: on collecting and presenting feedback, 21, 41–67; ensuring quality data collection, 22; on getting widespread support, 21–22; providing training, development, follow-up activities, 22
- 360-degree feedback lessons: get senior management support, 36–37; importance of communication about 360 process, 37; linking 360 process to strategy or business need, 35–36; measuring behaviors relevant to jobs, 38; provide ongoing support and follow-up, 38–40
- 360-degree feedback resistance: addressing lack of input in 360 planning, 109–110; causes

- of stakeholder, 108–111, 112e, 146–151; it is not the best use of resources, 98–99; it is too risky, 99–100; it is too time-consuming and distracting, 100–102; it will not make any difference, 102; negative perceptions of multi-source feedback, 110–111; regarding how feedback will be used, 111; regarding purpose of 360 process, 108–109; things are fine, so why bother?, 103–104. *See also* Presenting feedback; Resistance support level; 360-degree feedback
- 360-Degree Feedback Users’ Conference survey (1995), 211–212
- Training: lack of priorities and resources for, 207; providing rater observation, 219; 360 process clarifying priorities of, 209
- Training systems: how 360 process enhances, 208–209; problems with traditional, 206–208; purpose of, 206; tips for integrating 360 process into, 209–211
- Trainer, D., 39

## U

- UBS Investment Bank, 18, 20, 199
- Unbalanced feedback issue, 149–150

## V

- Validity: agreed-on performance measures to ensure, 224; confirming face, 53; sound 360 process to ensure, 224–225; technical report references to, 57. *See also* Reliability
- Video conference interviews, 80
- Video models, 171–172

## W

- Weakness exposure issue, 149
- Weatherhead School of Management (Case Western Reserve), 197
- White, R., 15
- Wilmington Trust Wealth Advisory Services (WAS), 16, 35
- Woodroffe, S., 28–29, 35–36, 37, 109–110, 123–124
- Worksheets: Development Goal Worksheet, 196e; Feedback Consolidation Worksheet, 179e; Self-Monitoring Checklist, 191e
- World Federation of Personnel Management Associations (WFPMA), 206

## Y

- Yukl, G., 39, 177, 179, 191, 196, 199, 212–213