

Contents

LIST OF FIGURES AND TABLES	xiii
FOREWORD	xix
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	xxiv
INTRODUCTION	xxvi
1 THE CONCEPT AND RELEVANCE OF BRAND	1
1.1 THE CONCEPT OF BRAND	1
1.1.1 <i>The accounting perspective</i>	2
1.1.1.1 <i>The brand as an intangible asset</i>	2
1.1.1.2 <i>Non-recognizable intangible assets: Internally generated brands</i>	4
1.1.1.3 <i>Trademark, brand and branded business</i>	5
1.1.2 <i>The economic perspective</i>	7
1.1.2.1 <i>Economic vs. accounting criteria</i>	7
1.1.3 <i>The management perspective</i>	8
1.1.3.1 <i>Brand and corporate reputation</i>	8
1.1.3.2 <i>Brand and visual identity</i>	11
1.1.4 <i>Brand, intangible assets and intellectual capital – Everyday vocabulary and conflated terms</i>	12
1.1.4.1 <i>Brand equity and intangible assets</i>	12
1.1.4.2 <i>Brand, intangible assets and intellectual capital</i>	14

1.2	BRAND VALUE	18
1.2.1	<i>What is brand value?</i>	18
1.2.2	<i>How do brands create value?</i>	19
1.3	THE GROWING IMPORTANCE OF THE ECONOMIC VALUE OF BRAND	20
1.3.1	<i>Business evidence</i>	20
1.3.2	<i>Social evidence</i>	22
1.3.3	<i>Economic evidence</i>	22
1.3.4	<i>Normative and institutional evidence</i>	24
1.3.5	<i>Academic evidence</i>	30
1.4	CONCLUSIONS	31
2	THE ORIGIN AND EVOLUTION OF VALUATION METHODS	33
2.1	THE ORIGIN AND EVOLUTION OF VALUATION METHODS	33
2.1.1	<i>Origins: The series of acquisitions in the 1980s</i>	33
2.1.2	<i>The first brand valuation: Rank Hovis McDougall</i>	35
2.1.3	<i>The accounting conflict generated by brand capitalization</i>	36
2.1.4	<i>Rapid development and applications</i>	37
2.1.5	<i>Who values brands today?</i>	38
2.1.6	<i>How do corporations use this tool?</i>	40
2.1.7	<i>How do investment analysts use this information?</i>	41
2.1.8	<i>How do other players use this information?</i>	43
2.2	CONCLUSIONS	45
3	BRAND VALUATION METHOD AND PROCESS	47
3.1	BRAND VALUATION PROCESS	47
3.1.1	<i>What is brand valuation?</i>	48
3.1.2	<i>The current debate: Why bother with brand valuation?</i>	48
3.1.3	<i>The purpose of brand valuation</i>	50
3.1.3.1	<i>Brand management</i>	51
3.1.3.2	<i>Accounting purposes</i>	52
3.1.3.3	<i>Internal or external transaction purposes</i>	52
3.1.4	<i>Defining the scope of valuation and the concept of brand</i>	54

3.1.5	<i>Choosing an appropriate methodology</i>	54
3.2	CONCLUSIONS	56
4	GENERAL APPROACHES TO BRAND VALUATION	57
4.1	COST APPROACH	58
4.2	MARKET APPROACH	61
4.3	INCOME APPROACH	63
4.3.1	<i>Price premium</i>	65
4.3.2	<i>Royalty savings</i>	70
4.3.3	<i>Demand drivers/brand strength analysis</i>	82
4.3.4	<i>Comparison of gross margin with that of relevant competitors</i>	89
4.3.5	<i>Comparison of operating profit with that of relevant competitors</i>	91
4.3.6	<i>Comparison with theoretical profits of a generic product</i>	92
4.3.7	<i>Cash flow or income differences with a benchmark company (“subtraction approach”)</i>	94
4.3.8	<i>Present value of incremental cash flow (the company’s value “with” and “without” brand)</i>	95
4.3.9	<i>Free cash flow (FCF) less required return on other non-brand-related assets</i>	96
4.3.10	<i>Excess earnings</i>	96
4.3.11	<i>Company valuation less value of net tangible assets</i>	102
4.3.12	<i>Real options</i>	103
5	BRAND VALUATION METHODS AND PROVIDERS	109
5.1	ABSOLUTE BRAND	110
5.2	AUS CONSULTANTS	112
5.3	BBDO	117
5.3.1	<i>Brand Equity Evaluation System (BEES)</i>	118
5.3.2	<i>Brand Equity Evaluator®</i>	121
5.3.3	<i>“Brand Equity Valuation for Accounting” (BEVA)</i>	126
5.4	BRANDIENT	129
5.4.1	<i>Brandient’s model based on demand driver analysis</i>	129
5.4.2	<i>Brandient’s model based on royalty savings</i>	131

5.5	BRANDECONOMICS	132
5.6	BRAND FINANCE	144
	5.6.1 <i>Royalty savings</i>	145
	5.6.2 <i>Earnings split</i>	147
5.7	BRANDMETRICS	151
5.8	BRAND RATING	158
5.9	CONSOR	164
	5.9.1 <i>Royalty savings model based on Valmatrix® analysis</i>	165
	5.9.2 <i>ValCALC® model (excess earnings)</i>	167
	5.9.3 <i>BVE_QTM model (core brand value plus the value of incremental efficiencies)</i>	168
	5.9.4 <i>Residual approach (market cap less the value of tangible assets)</i>	170
5.10	DAMODARAN'S VALUATION MODEL	171
5.11	FINANCIAL WORLD	174
5.12	FUTUREBRAND	179
5.13	GfK-PwC-Sattler: Advanced Brand Valuation Model	184
5.14	HERP'S MODEL	192
5.15	HIROSE MODEL	193
5.16	HOULIHAN ADVISORS	207
5.17	INTANGIBLE BUSINESS	209
5.18	INTERBRAND	215
	5.18.1 <i>Interbrand's multiplier model ("Annuity" model)</i>	216
	5.18.2 <i>Interbrand's discounted cash flow model</i>	222
5.19	KERN'S X-TIMES MODEL	232
5.20	LEV'S INTANGIBLES SCOREBOARD	234
5.21	MILLWARD BROWN OPTIMOR	236
5.22	MOTAMENI AND SHAHROKHI'S GLOBAL BRAND EQUITY VALUATION MODEL	250
5.23	PROPHET	257
5.24	REPENN'S BRAND VALUATION MODEL (SYSTEM REPENN)	260
5.25	SANDER'S HEDONIC BRAND VALUATION METHOD	262
5.26	SATTLER'S MODEL	265
5.27	SEMION	267
5.28	SIMON AND SULLIVAN'S STOCK PRICE MOVEMENTS MODEL	270
5.29	THE NIELSEN COMPANY: BRAND BALANCE SHEET AND BRAND PERFORMANCE	274

5.29.1	<i>The Nielsen Company: Brand Balance Sheet</i>	275
5.29.2	<i>The Nielsen Company's Brand Performance</i>	277
5.30	TROUT & PARTNERS	281
5.31	VILLAFANE & ASSOCIATES' COMPETITIVE EQUILIBRIUM MODEL	284
5.32	OTHER BRAND VALUATION PROVIDERS AND MODELS	289
5.33	CONCLUSIONS	293
6	A TAXONOMY OF BRAND VALUATION METHODS	295
6.1	BY USE OF FINANCIAL OR NON-FINANCIAL INDICATORS	295
6.2	BY APPLICATION OR POSSIBLE OBJECTIVES	296
6.3	CLASSIFICATION PROPOSED BY BBDO	297
6.4	CLASSIFICATIONS BASED ON MIXED CRITERIA	299
6.5	BY INTENDED UNIVERSALITY OF THE CALCULATED VALUE	300
6.6	BY ITS NATURE OR ORIGIN (ACADEMIC VS. COMMERCIAL)	301
6.7	BY APPROACH EMPLOYED (COST, MARKET AND INCOME)	303
6.8	BY METHOD OF DETERMINING THE PROPORTION OF INCOME OR REVENUE'S ATTRIBUTABLE TO BRAND	307
6.8.1	<i>Demand driver analysis</i>	309
6.8.2	<i>Ratio of loyal consumers to total consumers</i>	309
6.8.3	<i>Price premium</i>	309
6.8.4	<i>Excess earnings</i>	309
6.8.5	<i>Royalty rates</i>	312
6.8.6	<i>Operating profits comparison</i>	312
6.8.7	<i>Comparison with theoretical earnings yielded by a generic product</i>	316
6.8.8	<i>Comparison of the cash flows of branded and unbranded companies</i>	316
6.8.9	<i>Difference in price to sales ratios</i>	316
6.8.10	<i>Economies of scale</i>	316
6.8.11	<i>Differences in cash flow with a benchmark company</i>	316
6.8.12	<i>CVH (Conjoint Value Hierarchy)</i>	318
6.8.13	<i>Free cash flow less required return on assets other than brand</i>	319
6.8.14	<i>Arbitrary constant coefficients</i>	319

6.8.15	<i>Competitive equilibrium analysis</i>	319
6.8.16	<i>Equations based on accounting data</i>	321
6.9	BY METHOD OF "REPRESENTING BRAND RISK"	321
6.9.1	<i>Comparison of models by representation of brand risk</i>	321
6.9.2	<i>Classification by representation of brand risk</i>	322
6.10	BY METHOD OF "REPRESENTING THE BRAND'S GROWTH AND USEFUL LIFE"	327
6.10.1	<i>Classification by representation of the brand's useful life and long-term growth</i>	330
7	THE CURRENT SITUATION	331
7.1	GENERAL TRENDS IN BRAND VALUATION	331
7.1.1	<i>Proliferation of proprietary methods and brand valuation firms</i>	333
7.1.2	<i>Lack of understanding and credibility among brand valuation users</i>	335
7.1.3	<i>Commercial and academic concentration in Anglo-Saxon countries</i>	337
7.1.4	<i>Increasing professionalism in the sector and sophistication of brand valuation techniques</i>	338
7.1.5	<i>Growing convergence between marketing specialists and corporate finance experts</i>	338
7.1.6	<i>Widespread use of certain models among practitioners</i>	339
7.1.7	<i>Financial validity vs. widespread usage of models among practitioners</i>	341
7.1.8	<i>Worlds apart: the academic and practitioners' realms</i>	343
7.1.9	<i>The third world: "black box" methods</i>	343
7.1.10	<i>Vast inconsistency in the application of various brand valuation techniques</i>	344
7.1.11	<i>Differences in implementation</i>	345
7.1.12	<i>Diversity and divergence of results yielded by different methods</i>	346
7.1.13	<i>Determining useful life</i>	347
7.1.14	<i>Determining discount rates</i>	348
7.2	COMMON ERRORS AND MISCONCEPTIONS IN BRAND AND INTANGIBLE ASSET VALUATION	350
7.2.1	<i>Conceptual errors</i>	350

7.2.2	<i>Errors in management</i>	356
7.2.3	<i>Errors in interpretation</i>	358
7.3	CONCLUSIONS	359
8	IS CORPORATE BRAND VALUATION POSSIBLE?	363
8.1	WHAT IS "CORPORATE BRAND," AND IS IT THE SAME AS "CORPORATE REPUTATION?"	364
8.2	WHY VALUE CORPORATE BRANDS?	365
8.3	METHODOLOGICAL OPTIONS PROPOSED FOR CORPORATE BRAND VALUATION	366
8.4	MODELS BASED ON THE CONCEPT THAT "CORPORATE BRAND OR REPUTATION" ADDS VALUE TO PRODUCT BRANDS	369
8.4.1	<i>Association-Affinity Model</i>	369
8.4.2	<i>Critique</i>	372
8.4.3	<i>Model based on demand analysis</i>	373
8.4.4	<i>Critique</i>	374
8.5	MODEL BASED ON THE COMPANY VALUE'S SENSITIVITY TO VARIATIONS IN "CORPORATE BRAND OR REPUTATION" VALUE	376
8.5.1	<i>Assumptions</i>	376
8.5.2	<i>Empirical development</i>	379
8.5.3	<i>Theoretical model</i>	381
8.5.4	<i>Critique</i>	386
8.6	COREBRAND'S MODEL FOR MEASURING THE PERCENTAGE OF MARKET CAPITALIZATION ATTRIBUTABLE TO CORPORATE BRAND	388
8.6.1	<i>Brand PowerTM Analysis</i>	389
8.6.2	<i>ROI Analysis</i>	390
8.6.3	<i>Brand Equity Valuation Model</i>	390
8.6.4	<i>Stock performance forecast</i>	391
8.6.5	<i>Critique</i>	392
8.7	CONCLUSIONS	395
9	THE FUTURE OF BRAND VALUATION	397
9.1	THE PROSPECT OF METHODOLOGICAL CONSENSUS: STANDARDIZATION VS. AFFINITY OF APPLICATIONS AND METHODS	397
9.2	FUTURE TRENDS IN THE SUPPLY AND DEMAND OF BRAND VALUATION SERVICES	399
9.3	ACCOUNTING USERS: FINANCIAL OFFICERS' DISCOMFORT	402

9.4	MARKETING SPECIALISTS: USING VALUATION PRUDENTLY AND FOUNDING A NEW LANGUAGE COMPATIBLE WITH FINANCE	403
9.5	REGULATORS: BEHIND THE SCENES, BUT WITH GREAT CONFIDENCE	404
	REFERENCES	406
	INDEX	414

<http://www.pbookshop.com>
