

Index

A

Academy of Human Resource
Development Standards on Ethics and
Integrity, 215, 225–226 *fig*
American Evaluation Association
Guiding Principles, 215, 220–225 *fig*
American Psychological Association,
Ethical Principles of Psychologists and
Code of Conduct, 216
Applicability, 73
Artifacts, 63, 66 *fig*
Assessing competency gaps, 149–150 *fig*
Atlas TI, 67

B

Barriers to performance, 37 *fig*
Behavioral information: analyzing,
145–146; obtaining, 141–144
Behavioral interviews: described, 139,
141; obtaining behavioral
information, 141–144; obtaining
preliminary information, 141; pitfalls
to avoid, 144–145; sample script for,
142 *fig*
BEM (Behavior Engineering Model), 33
Benchmarking, 176

Bergmann, H., 136
Block, P., 190, 194
BMC (Boehringer Mannheim
Corporation) case study, 125–128
Boyatzis, R., 133
Brache, A. P., 38, 39, 166
Bracken, D., 56
Brainstorming, 177
Brannick, M. T., 106
Business analysis, 32
Business goals: establishing knowledge
and skills assessment, 82; Statement of
the Problem, 84; strategic needs
assessment, 160
Business Issues Worksheet, 162 *fig*
Business plan, 167 *fig*
Business process, 160
Business unit, 160

C

Call center case study, 34–35
Callahan, M., 106
Case studies: BMC (Boehringer
Mannheim Corporation), 125–128;
call center, 34–35; Jefferson County
Public Schools, 44; Midsize

- Case studies, *continued*
- Community Savings Bank, 152–155*fig*; Oregon Community College Association, 84–85; Packaged Delivery, Inc., 96–103*fig*; pump manufacturing firm, 177–178; XYZ Corporation, 180–185*fig*
 - Casey, M. A., 49, 50
 - Cause analysis, 32
 - CCC (Coconino Community College) [Arizona], 231
 - Challenging questions, 16
 - Change: assessing readiness for, 178–179; benchmarking to institute, 176; selecting interventions for, 179
 - Charting future environment, 177–178
 - Closed-ended questions, 54–55, 58*fig*
 - Competencies: assessing gaps in, 149–151*fig*; definition of, 135; domain of related, 135
 - Competency dictionary: creating a, 146–147*fig*; definition of, 136
 - Competency model: Competency Model Worksheet, 147, 148*fig*; constructing, 146–147; definition of, 135–136; implementing, 151; Midsize Community Savings Bank case study on, 155*fig*
 - Competency-based needs assessment: benefits and drawbacks of, 134; critical success factors of, 134–136; described, 24, 132–133; Midsize Community Savings Bank case study on, 152–155*fig*; perspective of, 25*fig*; phases of, 136–155*fig*; Toolkit job aids related to, 132, 140*fig*; when to use, 133–134
 - Competency-based needs assessment phases: 1: developing project plan, 137–139, 140*fig*; 2: conducting behavioral interviews, 139, 141, 145; 3: constructing competency model, 146–148*fig*; 4: assessing gaps, 149–150*fig*; 5: implementing model, 151
 - Consistency, 73
 - Consumer Electronics Five Forces Model, 164*fig*
 - Contracting needs assessment, 190–195
 - Critical Incident Method, 141
 - Cronshaw, S. F., 106
- ## D
- Data analysis: of behavioral information, 145–146; of competency gaps, 149; knowledge and skills assessment, 93–94; Packaged Delivery, Inc. report on, 99*fig*–102*fig*; qualitative data, 67, 71–72; quantitative data, 67, 68*fig*–71; reliability, validity, trustworthiness issues of, 72–73; types of, 67
 - Data collection: determining types and sources of data for, 85; identifying types of tools used in, 86–87; knowledge and skills assessment, 80, 81–83; methods of, 44–63, 64*fig*–66*fig*; as needs assessment skill, 43–44; reliability, validity, trustworthiness issues of, 72–73; technology and, 63, 67; training requirements analysis prior to, 93
 - Data-collection methods: comparison of primary, 64*fig*–66*fig*; documents and artifacts, 63, 66*fig*, 81–82; focus groups, 46, 49–51*fig*, 65*fig*; interviews, 44–46, 47*fig*–49*fig*, 64*fig*; observation, 60, 62, 66*fig*; surveys, 51–60, 61*fig*–62*fig*, 65*fig*
 - De la Teja, I., 136
 - Descriptive statistics, 68
 - Digital Equipment Corporation, 132

Dillman, D. A., 59

Documents: current organizational performance, 166–168; data collection using, 63, 66*fig*; 81–82; managing needs assessment, 204–211. *See also* Reports

Domain, 135

Draft Needs Assessment Proposal: T&J Marine Products, 197*fig*–201*fig*

Duple, R., 21–24

Dyckman, A. M., 152

E

Environment: charting future, 177–178, 182*fig*; external, 163–165, 181*fig*; internal, 166–177, 181*fig*–182*fig*

Eoyang, G., 39

Ethical issues: Academy of Human Resource Development Standards on Ethics and Integrity on, 215, 225–226*fig*; American Evaluation Association Guiding Principles on, 215, 220–225*fig*; contracting needs assessment and, 192, 195; examples of real-life needs assessment, 215*fig*; ISPI (International Society for Performance Improvement) Code of Ethics on, 215, 225, 226*fig*; Joint Committee on Standards for Educational Evaluation on, 216–220*fig*; overview of needs assessment, 213, 214–216

Evaluation Center (Western Michigan University), 216

Evaluation in Organizations (Russ-Eft & Preskill), 85

Evident deep structures, 40

Excel, 67

External environment: affecting organizational performance, 163; Five Forces model on, 163–165

F

FAQs (frequently asked questions): 1. on increasing usability of needs assessment, 227–229; 2. on controlling inappropriate uses of needs assessment, 229; 3. on managing multiple stakeholders, 229–230; 4. on increasing stakeholder participation, 230–232; 5. on relationship between assessment and evaluation, 233; 6. on additional helpful resources, 233, 234*fig*–235*fig*; 7. on informal needs assessments, 233, 235–236

Fields, D. C., 135, 136

Fill-in-the-blank questions, 54, 55

Fine, S. A., 106

Fishbone (or Ishikawa) diagram, 175, 176*fig*

Fisher, S. P., 169

Five Forces model, 163–165

Flanagan, J. C., 136, 141, 145

Focus groups: compared to other methods, 65*fig*; conducting, 50; definition of terms for analysis of data, 51*fig*; described, 46, 49; preparing, 49–50; reporting on, 50

Forecasting the Financial Benefits of HRD (Swanson & Gradous), 36

Foxon, M., 135, 136

Frequencies, 68*fig*, 69*fig*

G

Gap Analysis Worksheet, 179*fig*

Gayeski, D., 63

General Electric, 132

Gephart, M. A., 166

Gilbert, T., 30, 33, 36

Goals. *See* Business goals

Grabowski, B. L., 136

Gradous, D. B., 36, 211

Griffiths, B., 134
 Group performance, 33–34
 Guba, E. G., 73
 Guiding Principles, 220–225*fig*
 Gupta, K., 3

H

Hale, J. A., 41
 Hallmark Cards, 132
 Harless, J., 30, 36
 High performers, 112
 Holton, E. F., III, 44
 HPT (human performance technology):
 benefits of needs assessment to, 20;
 common requests made to, 14;
 initiatives as driver of, 29; needs
 assessment challenges facing,
 236–237; training programs design
 role by, 78–79
 HPT initiatives: designed to produce
 economic value, 32; as drivers, 29;
 target audience of, 85, 86
 HRD (human resource development):
 benefits of needs assessment to, 20;
 common requests made to, 14; needs
 assessment challenges facing,
 236–237; needs assessment standards
 for, 226; training programs design role
 by, 78–79
 HRD initiatives: designed to produce
 economic value, 32; as drivers, 29;
 target audience of, 85, 86
 Hurson, K., 136

I

Improving Performance (Rummler &
 Brache), 38, 166
In Action: Conducting Needs Assessment
 (Phillips & Holton), 44
 In-person report presentations, 210–211

Individual Learning Development Plan,
 136, 151
 Individual performance needs, 31
 Individual performance problems, 36–37
 Internal environment: business strategy,
 166; current performance of,
 166–168; identifying causes of
 performance gaps, 177; organizational
 performance and, 166; organizational
 performance models on, 169–170;
 procedure analysis of, 175–176;
 process mapping of, 172–175; SIPOC
 diagram on, 170–172
 International Board of Standards for
 Training, Performance, and
 Instruction, 132, 191
 Interquartile range, 71
 Interval scales, 56–57, 58*fig*
 Interview scripts: behavioral interview,
 142*fig*; described, 46; sample, 47*fig*
 Interviews: approaches to, 46; compared
 to other methods, 64*fig*; conducting
 behavioral, 139, 141–145; data
 collection using, 44–45; Packaged
 Delivery, Inc. report on assessment,
 100*fig*–102*fig*; sample protocol, script,
 and questions, 47*fig*; tips for
 conducting, 49*fig*; tips for developing
 interview questions, 48*fig*; tips for
 preparing, 48*fig*; types of, 45–46, 64*fig*
 Ishikawa (or fishbone) diagram, 177,
 178*fig*
 ISPI (International Society for
 Performance Improvement) Code of
 Ethics, 215, 225, 226*fig*

J

Jefferson County Public Schools, 44
 Job Activity Self-Assessment, 88*fig*
 Job analysis questionnaire, 112,
 113*fig*–115*fig*

- Job responsibilities: described, 109;
gathering behavioral information on,
141–144; refining the, 117
- Job and task analysis: benefits and
drawbacks of, 107–108; Boehringer
Mannheim Corporation case study on,
125–128; case study on conducting,
125–128; critical success factors of,
108; definition of terms, 108–109;
described, 24, 106–107; job task
statements/standards for, 109–111;
key phases in conducting, 111–119;
perspective of, 25*fig*; Quality Control
Inspector Position Training Plan,
130*fig*; time-saving tips on, 119, 124–
125; Toolkit job aids for, 105–106,
120*fig*–124*fig*; when to use, 107
- Job and task analysis phases: 1: preparing,
112–115*fig*; 2: conducting job task
analysis work session, 115–118; 3:
developing and presenting
recommendations, 118–119; overview
of, 111–112
- Job task analysis work session: described,
115–116; identifying job tasks, 117,
identifying recommendations, 118;
preparing for the, 116–117; refining
job responsibilities during, 117
- Job task statements: writing, 109–110;
writing standards for, 110–111
- Job tasks: definition of, 109; identifying,
117; PTP (position training plan) on,
126–128, 130*fig*
- Joint Committee on Standards for Educa-
tional Evaluation, 215, 216–220*fig*
- Jordan, B., 235
- K**
- Kaufman, R., 30, 31, 38
Keller, M., 125
King, S. B., 191
- Klein, J. D., 136
- Knowledge and skills assessment: benefits
and drawbacks of, 80; critical success
factors for, 80; described, 24; five
phases of, 80–103*fig*; goals of, 82, 84;
Job Activity Self-Assessment for, 88*fig*;
obtaining holistic perspective through,
83; OCCA (Oregon Community
College Association), 84–85;
Performance Skills Profile for,
89*fig*–91*fig*; perspective of, 25*fig*;
purposes of, 86*fig*; Toolkit job aids
related to, 77–78; when to use, 79
- Knowledge and skills assessment phases:
1: gathering preliminary data, 80,
81–83, 84–85, 98*fig*; 2: planning, 80,
83, 85–87, 98*fig*; 3: performing
training requirements and analysis,
80–81, 87–93, 99*fig*; 4: analyze data,
81, 93–94, 99*fig*–102*fig*; 5: preparing
and presenting report, 81, 95–103*fig*
- Knowledge and skills assessment report:
components of, 95; guidelines for
writing, 95–96; for Packaged Delivery,
Inc., 97*fig*–103*fig*; on training course
outline, 103*fig*
- Krueger, R. A., 49, 50
- KSA (knowledge, skills, ability):
competency, 135; learning channels to
acquire, 126; PTP (position training
plan) on required job, 126, 127; work
session on how to develop, 127–128.
See also Training programs
- L**
- Learning channel, 126
Learning needs, 31
Levine, E. L., 106
Likert, R., 56
Likert-type scales, 56, 58*fig*
Lincoln, Y. S., 73

M

McClelland, D. C., 132, 136
 McLagan, P., 132
 McNamara, C., 194
 Mager, R., 30, 36
 Managing needs assessment: contracting
 a needs assessment, 190–195;
 documents, 204–211; needs
 assessment proposal, 195–204;
 overcoming potential obstacles to,
 193*fig*–194*fig*; overview of, 190
 Mankins, M. C., 167
 Marrelli, A. F., 135
 Mathews, B. P., 23
 Matrix (needs assessment), 26*fig*–27*fig*
 Mean, 70
 Measure of variability, 70
 Measuring performance, 32–36
 Median, 70
 Midsize Community Savings Bank case
 study, 152–155*fig*
 Mission statement, 160
 Mode, 69
 Multiple-choice questions, 55–57, 58*fig*

N

Needs: BMC (Boehringer Mannheim
 Corporation), 125–126; common
 requests made to HRD and HPT, 15;
 definition of a, 15*fig*; differences/
 similarities among requested, 14–20;
 individual performance, 31; learning,
 31; Midsize Community Savings Bank
 case study on, 152; Packaged Delivery,
 Inc. case study on, 97; prioritizing,
 30–32; strategic or operational
 business, 31; wants versus, 30–31;
 XYZ Corporation case study on, 180
 Needs assessment: additional resources
 for, 233, 234*fig*–235*fig*; benefits of,
 20–21; bridging the theory-practice

gap, 2–3; competency-based, 24,
 25*fig*, 131–155; contracting,
 190–195; example of multiple
 stakeholder participation in,
 230*fig*–231*fig*; FAQs (frequently asked
 questions) on, 227–237; job and task
 analysis, 24–25*fig*, 105–130*fig*;
 knowledge and skills, 24–25*fig*,
 77–103*fig*; management of, 189–211;
 overcoming potential obstacles to,
 193*fig*–194*fig*; overview about, 1,
 13–14; political nature of, 216;
 proposing, 195–204; strategic,
 24–25*fig*, 157–186; usability of,
 227–229. *See also* Assessments
 Needs assessment approaches: by BMC
 (Boehringer Mannheim Corporation),
 126–128; competency-based needs
 assessment, 24, 25*t*; considerations in,
 21–24; job and task analysis, 24, 25*t*;
 knowledge and skills assessment, 24*t*;
 matrix of, 26*fig*–27*fig*; Midsize
 Community Savings Bank case study
 on, 153–154; Packaged Delivery, Inc.
 report on, 97–98; perspective of,
 25*fig*; strategic needs assessment,
 24–25*t*; XYZ Corporation report on,
 180*fig*–183*fig*
 Needs Assessment Checklist, 196*fig*
 Needs assessment requests: differences
 among, 18–20; similarities among,
 14–18. *See also* Proposals
 Neutrality, 73
 NVIVO, 67

O
 Observation, 60, 62, 66*fig*
 OCCA (Oregon Community College
 Association), 84–85
 OEM (Organization Elements Model),
 38, 39*fig*

- One-on-one interviews, 64*fig*
 - Online survey tools, 52
 - Open-ended questions, 54, 58*fig*
 - Operational business needs, 31
 - Oral reports, 210–211
 - Organizational Development Network, Principles of Practice, 216
 - Organizational performance: business strategy and, 166; documenting current, 166–168; identifying causes of gaps in, 177, 179*fig*; mapping, 169–170; measuring, 32–41, 168*fig*, 169–176. *See also* Performance
 - Organizational performance models: overview of, 169–170; procedure analysis, 175–176; Process Map Worksheet, 173*fig*–174*fig*; process mapping, 172–175; SIPOC, 170–172
 - Organizational performance problems: diagnosing systems, 39–41; managing organizational chart white space, 38–39; OEM used to define, 38, 39*fig*
 - Organizations: benchmarking to institute new process, 176; charting future environment of, 177–178, 182*fig*; diagnosing performance problems in, 38–41; external environment factors of, 163–165, 181*fig*; internal environment factors of, 166–177, 181*fig*–182*fig*; traditional and systems views of, 40*fig*
 - Overview of Book Contents*, 6*fig*
- P**
- Packaged Delivery, Inc. assessment case study, 97*fig*–103*fig*
 - Paul, K., 56
 - Percentages, 68*fig*, 69*fig*
 - Performance: barriers to, 37*fig*; identifying causes of gap in, 177, 179*fig*; internal environment factors influencing, 166–177; measuring, 32–41, 168*fig*, 169–176. *See also* Organizational performance
 - Performance analysis, 32
 - Performance Consulting* (Robinson & Robinson), 85
 - Performance diagnoses: individual performance problems, 36–37; organizational performance problems, 38–39
 - Performance measurement: diagnosing causes of poor, 36–41, 37*fig*; issues related to, 32–36; models used for, 168, 169–176; sample types of, 168*fig*
 - Performance Skills Profile, 89*fig*–91*fig*
 - Performance statement, 135
 - Performance-improvement plan: assessing readiness for change, 178–179; planner for, 161, 185*fig*; selecting interventions, 179; XYZ Corporation case study on, 182*fig*–184*fig*
 - Phillips, J. J., 36, 44, 211
 - Phillips, P. P., 36
 - Phone interviews, 64*fig*
 - Pilot-testing surveys, 58–59
 - Piontek, M., 205
 - Pipe, P., 36
 - Porter, M. E., 7, 163, 165
 - Preskill, H., 16, 73, 85, 205
 - Prioritizing needs, 30–32
 - Problems: futility of throwing resources at, 16; individual performance, 36–37; organizational performance, 38–39; perceived as opportunities for improvement, 21
 - Procedure analysis, 177–178
 - Process boundary, 160
 - Process Map Worksheet, 173*fig*–174*fig*
 - Process mapping, 160, 172–175
 - Project creep, 202

Project plan: step 1: establishing parameters, 137–138; step 2: identifying key players, 138–139; step 3: developing work plan, 139

Project shrink, 202

Proposals: gathering preliminary data on, 196; issues to consider for, 196–197; practical tips for writing, 202–204; by T&J Marine Products, 197*fig*–201*fig*; ways to use the, 202. *See also* Needs assessment requests

PTP (position training plan), 126, 127–128, 130*fig*

Putz, P., 235

Q

Qualitative data: analyzing, 71–72; described, 67

Quality Control Inspector Position Training Plan, 130*fig*

Quantitative data: analyzing, 68–71; described, 67; examples of frequency and percentage calculation for, 68*fig*–69*fig*

Questionnaire (job analysis), 112, 113*fig*–115*fig*

Questions: behavioral interview, 141–145; developing interview, 48*fig*; developing survey, 53–57, 58*fig*; FAQs (frequently asked questions) on needs assessment, 227–237; Packaged Delivery, Inc. report on assessment, 100*fig*–102*fig*; sample competency assessment survey, 150*fig*

Quick Reference Guide to Chapters, 10*fig*

R

Range, 70

Reliability, 72, 73

Reports: considerations in writing, 205, 210; in-person presentations and oral,

210–211; Packaged Delivery, Inc., 102*fig*–103*fig*; T&J Marine Products example of, 206*fig*–209*fig*. *See also* Documents

Responsibilities. *See* Job responsibilities

Return on Investment (ROI) Basics (Phillips & Phillips), 36

Richey, R. C., 135, 136

Robinson, D. G., 53, 85

Robinson, J. C., 53, 85

ROI (return-on-investment), 35–36

Root cause analysis, 177

Rossett, A., 30, 60

Rothwell, W. J., 136, 191

Rummler, G. C., 30, 38, 39, 166

Russ-Eft, D., 3, 16, 73, 85, 136, 150, 226

S

SAS, 67, 68

Scales: competency assessment, 150*fig*; interval, 56–57, 58*fig*; Likert-type, 56, 58*fig*

Schwarz, R., 49

Scripts. *See* Interview scripts

Scriven, M., 16

Shepherd, A., 106

SIPOC diagram, 170–172

Situation analysis, 84

Sleezer, C., 3, 169

Software: data analysis, 67, 68; data-collection, 52, 63, 67

Spector, J. M., 136

Spencer, L. M., 132, 136

Spencer, S. M., 132, 136

SPSS, 67, 68

Stakeholders: example of needs assessment with multiple, 231*fig*–232*fig*; increasing participation of, 230–232; managing multiple, 229–230

Standard deviation, 71

- Statement of the Problem, 83, 84–85
- Statistics (descriptive), 68
- Stayer, J., 96
- Steele, R., 167
- Strategic needs, 31
- Strategic needs assessment: benefits and drawbacks of, 159–160; Business Issues Worksheet, 162*fig*; critical success factors of, 160–161; described, 24–25, 158–159; key phases of, 161–185*fig*; partnership required during, 159; perspective of, 25*fig*; Process Map Worksheet, 173*fig*–174*fig*; Toolkit job aids related to, 157–158, 162*fig*, 173*fig*–174*fig*, 179*fig*; when to use, 159; XYZ Corporation case study on, 180–185*fig*
- Strategic needs assessment phases: 1: gathering preliminary information, 161–162*fig*; 2: examining external environment, 163–165, 181*fig*; 3: examining internal environment, 166–177, 181*fig*–182*fig*; 4: charting future environment, 177–178*fig*, 182*fig*; 5: developing performance-improvement plan, 178–179*fig*, 182*fig*–184*fig*
- Subtle deep structures, 40
- Surface structures, 40
- Surveys: for assessing competency gaps, 150*fig*; compared to other methods, 65*fig*; conducting and follow-up, 59–60; design phase, 52–53; develop questions phase, 53–57; online tools and services for creating, 52; phases listed, 51; pilot-test phase, 58–59; prepare phase, 51–52; scales used in, 56–57, 58*fig*; tips for preparing and implementing, 61*fig*–62*fig*; writing cover letter phase, 57; writing instructions phase, 57
- Swanson, R. A., 30, 36, 124, 175, 211
- Systems Model of Evaluation, 16–17*fig*, 22*fig*
- Systems thinking, 39
- ## T
- T&J Marine Products: in-process reports for, 206*fig*–209*fig*; Needs Assessment Draft, 197*fig*–201*fig*
- Technology: data analysis software, 67, 68; data-collection, 52, 63, 67
- Toolkit job aids: Business Issues Worksheet, 162*fig*; Competency Dictionary—Sales, 147*fig*; Competency Model Worksheet, 147, 148*fig*; competency-based needs assessment, 132, 140*fig*, 147*fig*, 148*fig*; Competency-Based Needs Assessment Project Plan, 140*fig*; Gap Analysis Worksheet, 179*fig*; Individual Learning Development Plan, 136, 151; job analysis questionnaire, 112, 113*fig*–115*fig*; job and task analysis, 105–106, 112, 113*fig*–115*fig*, 120*fig*–124*fig*; Job Training and Non-Training Recommendations, 120*fig*–124*fig*; knowledge and skills assessment, 77–78, 87, 92–93, 96; Needs Assessment Checklist, 196*fig*; Process Map Worksheet, 173*fig*–174*fig*; Quality Control Inspector Position Training Plan, 130*fig*; strategic needs assessment, 157–158, 162*fig*, 173*fig*–174*fig*, 179*fig*
- Torres, R. T., 205
- Training programs: HRD and HPT design role for, 78–79; as learning channel to acquire job knowledge, 126; needs assessment for, 32; Packaged Delivery, Inc. report on, 103*fig*

Training programs, requirements analysis for, 87–93; PTP (position training plan) for, 126, 127–128, 130*fig*; recommendations on, 118–119, 120*fig*–124*fig*; target audience of, 86. *See also* KSA (knowledge, skills, ability)

Training recommendations: developing and presenting, 118–119; identifying, 118; Toolkit Form on, 120*fig*–124*fig*

Training requirements analysis: data-collection, 93; develop assessment tools, 87–93; purpose of, 87

Trustworthiness, 72, 73

Truth value, 73

Two-choice questions, 55, 58*fig*

U

U.S. Army Operation Oversight Office, 170, 171*fig*

U.S. Small Business Administration, 166

Usability issues, 227–229

V

Validity, 72–73

Van Buren, M. E., 166

W

Walt Disney Company, 132

Wants, 30–31

Web sites: Academy of Human Resource Development, 215; American Evaluation Association, Guiding Principles, 215; American Psychological Association, 216; on ethic guidelines, 215–216; on HRD professional standards, 226; ISPI Code of Ethics, 215, 225, 226*fig*; Joint Committee on Standards for Educational Evaluation, 215; Organization Development Network, 216; U.S. Army Operation Oversight Office, 171*fig*

White, R., 132

X

XYZ Corporation case study, 180–185*fig*