

# INDEX

---

## A

Abbey, B., 229  
“ABC” Railroad, 11*fig*–15, 14*fig*  
Action learning, 96  
Action plans: evaluating learners, 121–123*e*; examples of, 122*e*–123*e*; extent of accomplishment of, 128*e*; JHPIEGO’s learning transfer, 199, 202*e*. *See also* Useful actions  
Actual results, 59  
Actual workforce performance, 59  
Alzheimer Knowledge Exchange, 20  
*American ground: Unbuilding the World Trade Center* (Langewiesche), 48  
American Red Cross, 20–21  
*ASTD 2004 State of the Industry Report*, 210  
ASTD (American Society for Training and Development), 55, 56, 90*t*, 92, 206  
*ASTD Competency Study* (2004), 56

Authority: chain of command, 10–11, 17

Autonomous performers: factors supporting stakeholders as, 152*t*, 153–154; organizational factors supporting, 44–47, 45*t*

Avalon Theater, 21

AWOC (Advanced Warning Operations Course): as moderately complex system example, 38, 39; planned stakeholder strategies, 106*t*–109*t*

## B

Baldwin, T. T., 83*t*, 84, 88*t*, 92  
Barton, C., 20  
Bates, 90*t*  
Beer, V., 214, 215  
Bellman, G. M., 143, 156  
Bethune, G., 48  
Block, P., 48, 77  
Boothman, T., 90*t*  
Brache, A. P., 26, 41, 43, 44, 51, 60,

66, 85, 117, 153, 162, 165, 190, 191

Brinkerhoff, R. O., 89*t*, 92

Broad, M. L., 58, 85, 87, 88*t*, 89*t*, 92, 99, 100, 101, 111, 117, 160, 195, 196, 205

Brown, J. S., 212

Burtt, H. E., 82*t*, 84

## C

CBT (computer-based training), 209, 217

Cerrito, J. C., 91*t*

Chambers, J., 210

Cheney, D., 38

Chevalier, R., 26

Cisco Corporation, 213

Clark, R. E., 220, 228

CN (Canadian National Railway), U.S. Operations: background of operations, 167–168; evaluation process used by, 181–182;

- L.I.F.E. book of, 178*fig*–180, 182; management/leadership performance, 169–170; as moderately complex system, 39; organization climate, 169; performance gap analysis of, 168–169, 171*t*; safety rule book revision process plan by, 172; safety rule documents (“hanging books”) of, 170; transfer of learning scaffold within, 172, 173*t*–178
- Co-worker performance support, 35
- Coaching, 95
- Cole, K., 209
- Collins, A., 212
- Communication: e-learning case study on improving call center, 222–223; as learning strategy, 9
- Communities of practice: described, 95; e-learning as, 213–214; matrix for transfer of e-learning to performance, 227*t*
- Community performance support, 36
- Complex systems: diagramming, 12–13; establishing foundation of performance improvement in, 1–3; HPT (human performance technology) used in, 61–63; knowledge and abilities in organizations as, 69–71, 70*t*; living and working in, 6–8; organizational factors supporting performance in, 40–47; organizational performance factors in, 41*t*; performance consultant expertise in, 66–69, 67*t*–68*t*; primary stakeholder collaboration required in, 62–63; transfer of learning in international, 185–205. *See also* Highly complex systems; Moderately complex systems; Systems
- Conference Board study (1994), 60
- Consulting: defining, 71–72; during HPT process, 138–139*fig*, 140; with managers in performance intervention, 139*fig*, 141*t*, 144–150; marketing skills in, 142; moving from partnering to, 138–150; performance consultant expertise for, 72*t*–73*t*; performance consultant knowledge and abilities for, 74*t*–75*t*; of performance consultants with stakeholders, 53, 71–75*t*. *See also* Partnering
- Corporate University Xchange, 215, 228
- CPT (Certified Performance Technologist), 56
- Creating evaluation instruments to predict behavior transfer*: (Lanigan), 135
- Csoka, L. S., 60
- Customer performance support, 35
- D**
- de Tantillo, L., 38
- Dean, P. J., 26, 51
- Decision-making: e-learning myopic management, 220–221; HPT (human performance technology) based, 133; partnership relationships and inclusion in, 139*fig*, 141*t*, 149
- Desired organizational results, 59
- Desired workforce performance, 59
- Dessinger, J. C., 58, 191, 206
- Diagramming complex systems, 12–13
- DOH (Development and Operations Hydrologist) Representative for Training, 39
- Driscoll, M., 216, 229
- Duguid, P., 212
- E**
- E-learning: as community of practice, 213–214; comparing other learning media to, 209; defining, 208–209; design elements for, 214–215; disablers of, 219*e*–223; enablers of, 216*e*–219; investments in and use of, 210; as Just-in-time work and performance support, 212–213; stakeholder support for transfer to performance, 223, 224*t*–227*t*; workplace context of, 212. *See also* Learning
- E-learning case studies: Cisco Corporation’s experience, 213; dysfunctional communication of call center, 222–223; keeping engineers current, 218–219; retail point of sale cashier training, 210–212
- The elements of user experience*: (Garrett), 229
- Elliott, 156
- EPSS (electronic performance support systems), 96, 209
- Ester, R., 178, 180
- Evaluation: CN, U.S. Operations use of, 181–182; levels of training intervention, 116–132; redefined for e-learning, 221; as tool measuring and supporting performance, 113–116
- Evaluation levels: Kirkpatrick’s four-level framework for, 116–119*t*; Level 1, 117*t*–118*t*, 120–126*e*; Level 2, 118*t*, 126–127; Level 3, 118*t*, 127–131*e*; Level 4, 118*t*, 131–132; Level 5, 117, 119*t*, 132
- Evaluators: learning/performance support and role of, 132–134; partnerships between performance consultants and, 134; performance support by, 34; recommended transfer strategies for, 105*t*–106*t*
- Executives: matrix for transfer of e-learning to performance, 224*t*; as primary performance stakeholders, 31
- F**
- FAA (Federal Aviation Administration), 146–147
- Family system, 7
- Federal Railway Administration (U.S. FRA), 12, 178, 182
- Feldstein, H. D., 90*t*
- Finley, K. W., Jr., 94*t*, 97, 158, 207, 219
- Fischer, O., 209
- Flawless consulting*: (Block), 48, 77
- Fleishman, E. A., 82*t*, 84
- Ford, J. K., 44, 46, 51, 83*t*, 84, 88*t*, 91*t*, 92, 153
- Frequency Severity Indices, 164
- Friedman, T., 6
- From worst to first*: (Bethune), 48
- Fundamentals of human performance technology*: (Van Tiem, Moseley, and Dessinger), 206
- Funder performance support, 36–37

**G**

- Garrett, J. J., 229  
 Gautier-Downes, J., 209  
 Georgia Mental Health Training Project (MHMRSA), 15–17, 16*fig*, 40  
 Georgia MHA (Mental Health Association) chapter, 36  
 Gilbert, T. E., 26, 51, 57, 85  
 GM (General Motors), 10  
 Gordon, S., 211  
 Greer, M., 111  
 Ground Zero (World Trade Center), 21

**H**

- Hale, J., 111, 135, 155  
*Handbook of human performance technology*: (Stolovitch and Keys), 111, 206  
 Harless, J. H., 57, 85  
 Harris, E. F., 82*t*, 84  
 Healthcare system, 7  
 Henschel, P., 214  
 Hester, T. W., 16, 17  
 Hierarchical chain of command, 10–11, 17  
 Highly complex systems: characteristics of, 15, 50*e*–51*e*; examples of stakeholders in, 40; Georgia Mental Health Training Project (MHMRSA), 15–17, 16*fig*; knowledge about, 53; Ontario Long Term Care Institute, 17–19*e*, 18*fig*, 20. *See also* Complex systems  
 Hile Group, 11  
 Hile, J., 101, 158  
 Hodges, T. K., 102, 114, 121, 133, 134, 135  
 Holton, E. F., 90*t*, 117  
*How to measure training results*: (Phillips and Stone), 135  
 HPT (human performance technology): commitment to process of, 49; in complex systems, 61–63; CPT designating skills in practice of, 56; described, 33; evaluation role in, 115; knowledge about, 53; making decisions based on, 133; manager and per-

former knowledge and abilities for, 65*t*–66; moving into consulting relationship during, 138–139*fig*, 140; overview of, 57–58; performance consultant expertise for, 63–66, 64*t*–65*t*; process of, 58*fig*–61; stakeholder involvement in process of, 62*fig*, 144*fig*. *See also* Performance consultants

*Human Competence: Engineering Worthy Performance* (Gilbert), 26, 57

**I**

- Identity theft, 8  
 Individual level. *See* Job/performer level  
 Infection prevention practices case study, 200–203  
*InfoLine* (ASTD), 206  
 Informal learning strategic, 95–96  
*Instructional and cognitive impacts of web-based education* (Abbey), 229  
 Instructional interventions: described, 2*c*; evaluation as tool to measure/support, 113–132; examples of, 60–61. *See also* Learning interventions; Performance interventions  
 International complex system: infection prevention practices case study on, 200–203; overview of, 185–187*fig*; typical healthcare, 187*fig*. *See also* JHPIEGO Corporation (Baltimore)  
 International Federation of Red Cross and Red Crescent Societies, 21  
 Internet information, 221  
 Interventions: instructional, 28, 60–61, 113–132; learning, 87, 88*t*–94*t*, 96–98; noninstructional, 28, 60; training, 43, 81–84*t*, 99–109*t*, 116–132. *See also* Performance interventions  
 ISPI (International Society for Performance Improvement), 55, 56, 57

**J**

- Janoff, S., 147  
 JHPIEGO Corporation (Baltimore): action plan used by, 199, 202*e*;

highly complex system of, 40, 186; improved infection prevention practices developed by, 200–203; performance improvement process used by, 190–194, 191*fig*; performance matrix used by, 201*t*; primary factors affecting worker performance at, 191*e*; training systems used at, 188–190, 189*fig*; transfer of learning to performance at, 194–199, 196*t*; typical outdoor training session (Kenya), 186*fig*. *See also* International complex system

Job/performer level: defining, 26, 51*e*; definition of performance at, 66; performance gap causes at, 60

Judicial Watch, 38

**K**

- Keeps, E. J., 58, 61, 111, 143, 156, 206, 220  
 Key stakeholders. *See* Primary stakeholders  
 Kinley, K. W., Jr., 94*t*, 96  
 Kirkpatrick, D. L., 116, 119  
 Kirkpatrick's four-level framework, 116–119*t*  
 KM (knowledge management) systems, 95  
 Knowledge topics: for partnering and consulting expertise, 73*t*; for performance consultants, 53, 54*t*–55*t*, 64*t*  
 Knowles, M., 214  
 Kotter, J. P., 83*t*, 84  
 KSAs (knowledge, skill, and abilities): autonomous performers determining own, 44; factors supporting applications of, 45*t*–47, 51*e*; level of confidence in applying new, 120–121*e*; marketing consulting, 142; mutually beneficial, 161, 164–166*fig*; mutually validating, 166*fig*–167; in organizations as complex systems, 69–71, 70*t*; in partnering and consulting, 74*t*–75*t*; performance consultant development resources, 53, 54*t*–55*t*; required by performance consultants, 53; stakeholders as autonomous performers in applying, 152*t*–154; transfer of learning scaffold,

- 164, 165*t*. *See also* Performers; Useful actions
- Kyung-Hyun, K., 210
- ## L
- LaBonte, 156
- Langdon, D., 48
- Langewiesche, W., 21, 48
- Lanigan, M. L., 114, 120, 135
- Learners: action plans for applying new learning, 121–123; level of confidence in applying new skills, 120–121*e*; levels of evaluating training intervention, 116–132; perceptions of potential barriers by, 123–124*e*; reports on stakeholder support strategies by, 125*e*–126*e*
- Learning: action, 96; importance of evaluation to, 115–116; new definition of transfer to performance from, 86–87; new systems for, 95–96; partnering relationship and ongoing, 139*fig*, 141*t*, 143–144; role of evaluators in support of, 132–134. *See also* E-learning
- Learning communities in cyberspace*: (Palloff and Pratt), 229
- Learning interventions: nontraining with built-in support for performance, 93*t*–94*t*; stakeholder support for performance following, 87, 88*t*–91*t*, 92–93; stealth training as nonlearning type of, 96–98. *See also* Instructional interventions; Performance interventions
- Learning scaffold: CN, U.S. Operations transfer of, 172, 173*t*–178; transfer process of, 164, 165*t*
- Learning transfer: JHPIEGO's learning to performance, 194–199; new definition of, 86–87; scaffold of, 164, 165*t*, 172, 173*t*–178; stakeholder strategies for, 98–101, 99*e*–100*e*; stakeholder support for e-learning, 223, 224*t*–227*t*. *See also* Performance; Transfer matrix
- Level 1 evaluation, 117*t*–118*t*, 120–126*e*
- Level 2 Evaluation, 118*t*, 126–127
- Level 3 Evaluation, 118*t*, 127–131*e*
- Level 4 Evaluation, 118*t*, 131–132
- Level 5 Evaluation, 117, 119*t*, 132
- Lewis, J. E., 16, 17
- L.I.F.E. book (CN, U.S. Operations), 178*fig*–180, 182
- Linking learning and performance*: (Hodges), 135
- Long Term Care Institute (Ontario), 17–19*e*, 18*fig*, 20, 40
- ## M
- McDermott, R., 20, 93*t*, 95
- Magiuka, R., 88*t*, 92
- Managers: consulting in performance intervention with, 139*fig*, 141*t*, 144–150; HPT knowledge and abilities by, 65*t*–66; knowledge and abilities in organizations as complex systems, 69–71, 70*t*; knowledge and skills in partnering/consulting for, 74*t*–75*t*; matrix for transfer of e-learning to performance, 224*t*; as moderately complex system stakeholders, 38; organizational factors and roles by, 42, 43; organizational performance factors knowledge by, 66; performance improvement through partnering with, 138–144; as primary performance stakeholders, 31; recommended transfer strategies for, 103*t*; stakeholder performance and factors/actions by, 151*t*–154
- Marketing consulting skills, 142
- Marquardt, M. J., 94*t*, 96
- MASIE Center, 90*t*, 92
- Mentor performance support, 36–37
- MHA (Mental Health Association) [Georgia chapter], 36
- MHM RSA (Georgia Mental Health Training Project), 15–17, 16*fig*, 40
- Moderately complex system: “ABC” Railroad, 11*fig*–15, 14*fig*; characteristics of, 8–9, 50*e*; diagram of, 9*fig*–11; examples of stakeholders in, 38–39; knowledge about, 53. *See also* Complex systems
- Montesino, M. U., 89*t*, 92
- Mosel, J. N., 83*t*, 88*t*
- Moseley, J. L., 58, 191, 206
- Moving from training to performance: A practical guidebook* (Robinson and Robinson), 77
- Moving from training to performance*: (Robinson and Robinson), 155–156
- Muir, J., 6
- Multiple systems, 7–8
- Muoio, A., 213, 221
- ## N
- National Energy Policy Development Group, 38
- The new language of work* (Langdon), 48
- New York Times*, 6
- Newstrom, J. W., 83*t*, 84, 85, 89*t*, 92, 101, 111, 117, 160, 195, 205
- NOAA (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration), 38
- Noninstructional interventions: described, 28; examples of, 60
- NWS (National Weather Service): as example of moderately complex system, 38–39; transfer of training matrix for, 106*t*–109*t*
- NWSEO (National Weather Service Employees Organization), 39
- ## O
- OKE (Ontario Knowledge Exchange in Community and Long Term Care), 20
- Ontario Long Term Care Institute, 17–19*e*, 18*fig*, 20, 40
- Opposing stakeholders, 37–38
- Organization level: defining, 26, 51*e*; performance gap causes at, 60
- Organizational performance factors: in complex systems, 41*t*; described, 51*e*; manager knowledge of, 66; overview of, 40; supporting relatively autonomous performance, 44–47; supporting supervised performance, 41–43
- Organizations: increasing complexity of, 6; stakeholders in, 8; as systems, 6–7
- Other stakeholders: knowledge and skills in partnering/consulting

for, 74*t*–75*t*; knowledge/abilities in organizations as complex systems for, 69–71, 70*t*; learner reports on support strategies of, 125*e*–126*e*; matrix for transfer of e-learning to performance, 226*t*; strategies to support performance by, 130*e*–131*e*; supporting performance by, 34–37. *See also* Stakeholders

## P

- Palloff, R., 214, 229
- Partner performance support, 34–35
- Partnering: between performance consultants and S&H professionals, 160–183; complementarity areas for high-impact performance, 161–167; defining, 71, 138; with managers, 138–144; moving to consulting from, 138–150; performance consultant expertise for, 72*t*–73*t*; performance consultant knowledge and abilities for, 74*t*–75*t*; of performance consultants with stakeholders, 53, 71–75*t*; prospective performance consultant, 162; resources supporting involvement of stakeholders in, 140*t*–141*t*. *See also* Consulting
- Partnering complementarity areas: key shared values, 161–162; listed, 161; mutually beneficial KSAs, 164–167; parallel history and evolution, 162–164
- Partnering relationships: step 1: establishing, 139*fig*, 140*t*, 142–143; step 2: learn and educate on an ongoing basis, 139*fig*, 140*t*–141*t*, 143–144; step 3: consulting on specific performance problem, 139*fig*, 141*t*, 145; step 4: identification of key stakeholder representatives, 139*fig*, 141*t*, 146–147; step 5: confer with manager/key stakeholders, 147–148; step 6: additional conference with manager/key stakeholders, 139*fig*, 141*t*, 148–149; step 7: designing intervention and including stakeholders in decisions, 149; step 8: implementing the intervention, 139*fig*, 141*t*, 149–150; step 9: finishing the intervention, 139*fig*, 141*t*, 150
- Peachy, C., 182
- Performance: defined at job/performer level, 66; described, 26; establishing foundation for improving, 1–3; importance of evaluation to, 115–116; organizational factors supporting complex system, 40–47; role of evaluators in support of, 132–134; stakeholder strategies to support, 98–109*t*; stakeholder support for, 87–98; supporting stakeholder, 151*t*–154; workplace barriers to, 123–124*e*, 129*e*. *See also* Learning transfer; Performance problems
- Performance analysis: asking the right questions during, 97*fig*; described, 59
- Performance consultant expertise: in complex systems, 66–69, 66*t*–67*t*; development of, 53, 55–56; development resources for knowledge/skills, 53, 54*t*–55*t*; partnering and consulting, 72*t*–73*t*
- Performance consultants: defining, 32–33, 52; development resources for, 53, 54*t*–55*t*; functioning as, 56; HPT expertise for, 63–66, 64*t*–65*t*; HPT expertise resources for, 64*t*–65*t*; matrix for transfer of e-learning to performance, 224*t*–225*t*; as moderately complex system stakeholders, 39; partnerships between evaluators and, 134; as primary performance stakeholder, 32–33; prospective best performance partners for, 162; recommended transfer strategies for, 104*t*–105*t*; resistance to engaging with full performance improvement by, 163; S&H professionals partnering with, 160–183; stakeholder performance and factors/actions by, 151*t*–154; stakeholders partnering/consulting with, 71–75*t*. *See also* HPT (human performance technology)
- The performance consultant's fieldbook*: (Hale), 155
- Performance consulting: Moving beyond training* (Robinson and Robinson), 77, 155
- Performance gaps: CN U.S. Operations analysis of, 168–169, 171*t*; common workforce, 60; determining cause of, 60; identifying, 59
- Performance interventions: consulting with managers in, 139*fig*, 141*t*, 144–150; following performance analysis, 60–61; instructional, 28, 60–61; noninstructional, 28, 60; supporting performance of stakeholders in, 151*t*–154; typical stakeholder, 29*t*–30*t*. *See also* Interventions
- Performance levels: job/performer, 26, 51*e*; organization, 26, 51*e*; team performer, 26, 51*e*
- Performance matrix (JHPIEG), 201*t*
- Performance opportunity: described, 59; determining cause of, 60
- Performance problems: consulting with managers on specific, 139*fig*, 141*t*, 145; low levels following training interventions, 81–87, 82*t*–84*t*; organizational factors related to, 43. *See also* Performance
- Performance-based evaluation*: (Hale), 135
- Performance-based management*: (Hale), 111
- Performance-based qualification systems, 96
- Performers: autonomous, 44–47, 45*t*; described, 27, 51*e*; executives and managers of, 31; HPT knowledge and abilities by, 65*t*–66; job/performer level goal for, 27; knowledge and abilities in organizations as complex systems, 69–71, 70*t*; knowledge and skills in partnering/consulting for, 74*t*–75*t*; matrix for transfer of e-learning to performance, 225*t*–226*t*; as moderately complex system stakeholders, 38–39; as primary performance stakeholder, 32; recommended transfer strategies for, 104*t*; supervised, 43. *See also* KSAs (knowledge, skill, and abilities)

- Petersen, D., 164, 183
- Phillips, J. J., 34, 102, 114, 119, 120, 123, 127, 133, 135
- “Pillars of e-Learning Success” (Corporate University Xchange), 215
- Pratt, K., 214, 229
- Primary stakeholders: collaboration required in complex systems, 62–63; described, 51*e*; executives and managers of performers, 31; partnership relationships and role of, 139*fig*, 141*t*, 146–149; supervisors, team leaders, 31–32. *See also* Stakeholders
- PRIME II, 206
- Pucel, D. J., 91*t*
- R**
- Reading resources: for partnering and consulting expertise, 72*t*; for performance consultants, 53, 54*t*, 64*t*
- Regulator performance support, 36
- Rein, L., 38
- Research: on low levels of transfer of training to performance, 82*t*–85; on stakeholder support for performance following learning interventions, 88*t*–93
- Resources: autonomous performer creation of own, 44; performance consultant development, 53, 54*t*–55*t*; performance consultant HPT expertise, 64*t*–65*t*
- Results gap, 59
- Robinson, D. G., 32, 51, 53, 77, 155, 160
- Robinson, J. C., 32, 51, 53, 77, 155, 160
- ROI (Return on Investment) [Evaluation Level 5], 117, 119*t*, 132
- ROI (Return on Investment) formula, 132
- Rossett, A., 209
- Rummler, G. A., 26, 41, 43, 44, 51, 58, 60, 66, 85, 117, 153, 156, 162, 165, 190, 191
- Ruona, 90*t*
- Ryder, M., 213
- S**
- S&H (Safety and Health) professionals: benefits of partnering with, 159, 160–161; CN U.S. Operation’s safety rule book revision by, 167–182; lessons learned from performance consulting partnering with, 161–167
- Safety Management: A human approach* (Petersen), 184
- Saltzman, P., 209
- Saturn Corporation, 10
- School system, 7
- Scott, G. E., 183
- Seitz, 90*t*
- Senge, P., 6–7, 21
- September 11, 2001, 21, 32
- Sheppard, L., 44, 46, 51, 91*t*, 92, 153
- Sierra Club, 6, 38
- “Simple” systems, 8
- Skills. *See* KSAs (knowledge, skill, and abilities)
- Sleight, D., 44, 46, 51, 91*t*, 92, 153
- SMEs (subject matter experts) performance support, 35
- Snyder, W. M., 20, 93*t*, 95
- SoL (Society for Organizational Learning), 21
- SOO (National Science and Operations Officers) Program Coordinator, 39
- Special interest group performance support, 36
- Sponsor performance support, 36–37
- Stakeholder transfer strategies: organization of, 98; recommended template for, 99*e*–100*e*; transfer matrix tool for managing, 99–101
- Stakeholders: defining, 8, 26, 27, 50*e*; different performance roles by, 27–28; highly complex system, 40; HPT involvement by, 62*fig*, 144*fig*; learner reports on support strategies of, 125*e*–126*e*; moderately complex system, 9*fig*, 10, 38–39; need for performance problems involvement by, 85–86; performance interventions by, 28, 29*t*–30*t*; resources supporting partnering involvement of, 140*t*–141*t*; support for performance following learning interventions, 87–93; supporting and opposing, 37–38; supporting performance of, 151*t*–154; which support performance, 34–37. *See also* Other stakeholders; Primary stakeholders
- Stakeholders partnering/consulting. *See* Consulting; Partnering
- Standards of Performance Technology, 56
- Stealth training, 96–98
- Stolovitch, H. D., 58, 61, 84*t*, 111, 143, 156, 206, 220
- Stone, R. D., 34, 102, 114, 119, 120, 123, 127, 133, 135
- Sugrue, B., 210
- Sullivan, P. L., 158, 185, 190, 198
- Supervised performance support, 41–43
- Supervisors: matrix for transfer of e-learning to performance, 224*t*; organizational factors and roles by, 42; as primary performance stakeholders, 31–32; recommended transfer strategies for, 103*t*–104*t*
- Supplier performance support, 35–36
- Supporting stakeholders, 37–38
- Svenson, R., 94*t*, 95
- Systems: described, 7; multiple, 7–8; “simple,” 8. *See also* Complex systems
- T**
- Tannenbaum, S. I., 84*t*
- Team leaders: as primary performance stakeholders, 31–32; recommended transfer strategies for, 103*t*–104*t*
- Team performer level, 26, 51*e*
- Techniques of safety management*: (Petersen), 183
- Training across international borders* (Sullivan), 206
- Training ain’t performance* (Stolovitch and Keeps), 156
- Training interventions: levels of evaluation of, 116–132; low performance levels following, 81–87,

- 82*t*–84*t*; research on lack of transfer to performance from, 82*t*–84*t*; tendency for managers to recommend, 43; transfer matrix for, 99–109*t*
- Transfer of learning*: (JHPIEGO and PRIME II), 206
- Transfer matrix: e-learning, 224*t*–227*t*; JHPIEDGO's, 196*t*–198*t*; key point in evolution of the, 101; for managing stakeholder strategies, 99–101; planned AWOC stakeholder strategies, 106*t*–109*t*; recommended strategies for specific stakeholders, 103*t*–106*t*; recommended template for, 99*e*–100*e*. *See also* Learning transfer
- Transfer of training*: (Broad and Newstrom), 111, 205
- Tyson, A., 44
- U**
- Union representative performance support, 36
- U.S. Agency for International Development, 40
- US Airways, 37–38
- Useful actions: for partnering and consulting expertise, 73*t*; resources on performance consultant's, 53, 55*t*, 65*t*. *See also* Action plans; KSAs (knowledge, skill, and abilities)
- User performance support, 35
- V**
- Van Tiem, D. M., 58, 191, 206
- VPNs (virtual protected networks), 214
- W**
- WDTB (NWS Warning Decision Training Branch), 38, 39
- Web-based training*: (Driscoll), 229
- Weisbord, M., 147
- Wenger, E., 20, 93*t*, 95
- Wilson, B., 213
- Wlodkowski, R. J., 214, 216
- Work process level, 60
- Working safe*: (Scott), 183
- Workplace barriers: examples of actual, 129*e*; learner perceptions of potential, 123–124*e*
- X**
- Xiao, J., 98*t*
- Y**
- Yelon, S., 44, 46, 51, 91*t*, 92, 153
- Yukl, G., 84*t*

<http://www.pbookshop.com>