

Index

Page references followed by *fig* indicate an illustrated figure.

A

- Abramson, Harold, 311, 327–337
- Absolute duties notion, 8
- Accommodations for diminished capacity, 31
- Accountability: debate over mediator, 117–118; of mediator for impartiality, 295, 334
- Administrative Dispute Resolution Act, 248, 250
- ADR (alternative dispute resolution)
 provider organizations: ADA mediation Guidelines of, 45; biased roster issue for, 351–352; capacity as defined in, 45; case: Physician Mediators, 348–353; case: A Recurring Landlord-Tenant Pattern, 353–367; CPR-Georgetown Principles of, 340, 341–348, 352; ensuring fairness of mediation models of, 250–251; ethical issues faced by private and public, 339–340; National Standards of, 340–341, 342–347; quality and mediator work conditions under, 352–353. *See also* Mediation
- Advertising (Standard VII), 377
- Alabama state code: codes of ethics, 12–13; on nondisclosure of material facts, 207; on promoting party truth telling, 203
- Alberts, Jess, 200
- All-or-nothing thinking, 58
- American Arbitration Association, 10
- American Association on Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities (AAIDD), 46
- American Bar Association (ABA), 10, 178. *See also* Model Rules of Professional Conduct for Attorneys (ABA)
- American Psychiatric Association, 46
- Americans with Disabilities Act, 35, 40, 51
- Anger: biases related to, 58; description and impact of, 57–58; disputant autonomy and, 58–59; Harry's Will case on, 64–66; Laurie and Paul's Divorce case on, 67–85. *See also* Conflict
- Anxiety: description and impact of, 59–60; disputant autonomy and, 60–61
- Appreciation capacity component, 30
- Arkansas state code, 118
- Arnold, Matthew, 306
- Association for Conflict Resolution, 10
- Attorney misconduct: case: An Attorney's Wrongful Termination Claim, 256–259; Model Rules mandate to disclose, 255–256
- Attorneys: case: An Attorney's Wrongful Termination Claim, 256–259; conflicting interests of, 216–217; power imbalance and role of, 108; question on competency of, 216. *See also* Malpractice; Model Rules of Professional Conduct for Attorneys (ABA)

- An Attorney's Wrongful Termination Claim case, 256–259
- Autonomy. *See* Disputant autonomy
- B**
- BATNA (best alternative to a negotiated agreement): anger and resistance to, 59; case: Tunemaker "Attorney" consideration of, 164–165, 168*fig*–169, 175; case: Who Owns the Dance?, 210; case: Ziba and Ahmed's Iranian-American Divorce possible use of, 334–335, 337; ill-informed disputant and perceived, 144. *See also* Negotiations
- Bernard, Phyllis, 354–358, 366–367
- Beyond Reason* (Fisher and Shapiro), 56
- Bickerman, John, 182, 183–187, 196–197
- A Boutique Relationship case: background information on, 284; Bruce E. Meyerson's comments on, 284–287, 291–292; editor's thoughts and comments on, 291–293; Model Rules on conflict of interest in, 286, 287, 289–292; Model Standards on conflict of interest in, 285–286, 288–289; Wayne Thorpe's comments on, 287–291, 292
- Bridging cultural gaps, 312–313, 331–333
- Brodrick, Melissa, 220–222, 225
- Brown v. Board of Education*, 129
- Bully tactics problem, 107
- Bush, Robert A. Baruch, 22, 123
- C**
- CAL (guardian ad litem), 269
- California state code, 120–121
- Can Mary Mediate Her Residency? case: background information on, 31–32; capacity to bind oneself question of, 33–35; capacity to participate question of, 32–33
- Capacity: accommodations for, 31; ADA mediation Guidelines' definition of, 45; cases on, 31–53; components for mediation, 30; medical versus legal, 28; state criteria for legal, 29
- CASA (court-appointed special advocate), 269
- Cases: An Attorney's Wrongful Termination Claim, 256–259; A Boutique Relationship, 284–293; Can Mary Mediate Her Residency?, 31–35; A Costly Snap, 159–163; Divorce, Custody, and Domestic Violence, 88–89, 92–93; Divorce and Possible Child Abuse, 260–275; Duties of Care—Mediating with a Crooked Doctor, 236–240; An Employment Termination, 212–226; Hannah and Yoav's Brooklyn Divorce, 313–318; Harry's Will, 64–66; Hey, Neighbor—Don't Touch My Clothesline or Compost!, 133–135; Kevin's Disability—Dreams and Duress, 35–53; Laurie and Paul's Divorce, 67–85; Outrageous Offshore, 180–182; Physician Mediators, 348–353; A Recurring Landlord-Tenant Pattern, 353–367; Shady Leaves, 182–198; Super Tom, 102–111; Sympathy for the Tenant?, 293–303; Tennis Center Negligence?, 281–284; Tongan Slip and Fall, 135–153; The Toxic Playground, 240–253; Tunemaker "Attorney," 163–176; Vulnerable Workers and an Oppressive Employer, 130–133; Who Owns the Dance?, 208–212; Wrongful Death, 136–153; Ziba and Ahmed's Iranian-American Divorce, 318–337
- Catastrophizing bias, 58
- Center for Dispute Settlement, 340
- Center for Public Resources (CPR)—Georgetown Commission on Ethics and Standards of Practice. *See* CPR—Georgetown Principles
- Chartered Institute of Arbitrators (CIArb), 189
- Charybdis versus Scylla problem, 2

- Child abuse: appropriate disclosures related to, 264–265; case: Divorce and Possible Child Abuse, 260–275; confidentiality expectations when reporting, 267–272; ending the mediation session and making report on, 263–265; evaluating the likelihood of, 262–263; GAL or CASA mediation participation in cases of, 269; inquiring into allegation of, 266–267; mediators' reporting duties related to, 259–260. *See also* Domestic violence
- Child Protective Services: appropriate disclosures to, 264–265; child abuse criteria by, 274; loss of self-determination to, 261; mediation participation by, 269
- Civil disobedience, 186–187
- Collectivist cultures, 307–308, 309
- Comments to Rule 4.1: case: Who Owns the Dance? on, 210–211; description of, 207–208
- Communication: as capacity component, 30; influence of listeners, 81
- Competency: diminished capacity and, 28, 30–53; mediator Sham a law, 321; of misinformed and ill-informed disputants, 113–153; National Standards and CPR-Georgetown Principles on mediator, 343–346; question on lawyer's, 216; Standard IV on, 374; unrepresented disputants' informed consent and, 155–176, 215–216
- Confidentiality: case: An Attorney's Wrongful Termination Claim, 256–259; case: Divorce and Possible Child Abuse, 260–275; case: Duties of Care—Mediating with a Crooked Doctor, 236–240; case: A Recurring Landlord-Tenant Pattern issue of, 363; case: The Toxic Playground, 240–253; child abuse reports and expectations of, 267–272; criminal conduct as annulling, 178, 185–186; as critical value in mediation, 247; defendants' misrepresentation of assets and issue of, 217–218; duty to keep disputant disclosures secret, 228–231; as evidentiary privilege, 229; explaining scope and limits of, 265–266; factors affecting expectations of, 247–249; Florida Mediation Confidentiality and Privilege Act on, 271; Model Rules of Professional Responsibility on, 200–201, 217; Model Standards on, 200–201, 217–218, 228, 234–235, 243, 248, 266, 270, 285, 363, 374–375; National Standards and CPR-Georgetown Principles on, 347; nondisclosure of material facts and mediator, 207; tailoring parties' expectations of, 234–236; Uniform Mediation Act (UMA) on privilege of, 178, 185–186, 229, 231–232, 250. *See also* Disclosures; Mediation ethical values
- Conflict: CPR-Georgetown Principles on disclosing, 341–342; intervention as act of temerity, 26; narrative mediation approach to, 23–24; research on how power generates and sustains, 103; transformative mediation perspective on, 22, 68. *See also* Anger
- “Conflict-saturated story,” 23
- Conflicts of interest: case: A Boutique Relationship, 284–293; case: A Recurring Landlord-Tenant Pattern issue of, 362–363; case: Sympathy for the Tenant?, 293–303; case: Tennis Center Negligence?, 281–284; case: Ziba and Ahmed's Iranian-American Divorce and mediator, 322; dealing with personal or professional ties, 278–280; Standard III on, 278–279, 294, 322, 373–374; ties based on subject matter alliances, 280–281; when party's attorney has, 216–217.

- Conflicts of interest (*continued*)
See also Impartiality; Interests; Mediators
- Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women (UN), 331, 333–334
- Copyright dispute mediation: case: A Costly Snap, 159–163; case: Tunemaker “Attorney,” 163–176; case: Who Owns the Dance?, 208–212. *See also* Property rights mediation
- A Costly Snap case, 159–163
- CPR-Georgetown Principles: on confidentiality, 347; description of, 340–341; on disclosing conflicts and curbing the profit motive, 341–342; on ensuring quality and disputant choice, 343–346; on ethics for mediators, 347; on mediator work conditions ensuring quality, 352
- Criminal conduct: case: Duties of Care—Mediating with a Crooked Doctor, 236–240; case: Outrageous Offshore, 180–182; case: Shady Leaves, 182–198; civil disobedience as, 186–187; confidentiality annulled in case of, 178, 185–186; considering nature of the, 186–187, 192–193; mediating with parties engaged in past, 188–189; mediator attitudes and values regarding rule of law and, 179–180, 193–196; Model Standards and state codes on “furthering,” 177–179, 181, 185–186, 189; working with parties to avert future, 189–191
- Cultural differences: bridging gap between, 312–313, 331–333; buyer-seller example of complications of, 310–311; case: Hannah and Yoav’s Brooklyn Divorce, 313–318; case: Ziba and Ahmed’s Iranian-American Divorce, 318–337; four steps in dealing with, 311–313, 329–335; individualistic versus collectivist, 307–308, 309; in legal age for self-determination, 321–323; low-context versus high-context, 308; low-power-distance versus high-power-distance, 308, 309–310; low-uncertainty-avoidant versus high-uncertainty-avoidant, 309; monochronic versus polychronic, 308–309; self-determination and issues of, 321–323; universalist versus particularist, 308; when to consider withdrawal due to, 313, 333–335. *See also* Disputants
- Culture: bridging gaps between different, 312–313, 331–333; current definition of, 306–307; disputing style related to, 307–310; doing research on other, 312, 330–331; Jewish Orthodoxy versus secular, 315–316; mediator understanding one’s own, 311–312, 329–330; Model Standards as providing the primary lens for, 329–330; parties and shared understanding of, 324
- Culture and Anarchy* (Arnold), 306
- D**
- Decision making: disputant’s diminished capacity for, 28–53; facilitating disputants in their, 27; Model Standards on voluntary, 106. *See also* Ethical decision making
- Depression: description of, 62; disputant autonomy and, 62–63
- Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders* (DSM-IV), 46
- Diminished capacity: accommodations for, 31; case: Can Mary Mediate Her Residency?, 31–35; case: Kevin’s Disability—Dreams and Duress, 35–53; cautions when declaring disputant, 28; components of, 30; in legal settings, 29
- Disclosures: case: An Attorney’s Wrongful Termination Claim, 256–259; child abuse report and appropriate, 264–265; interests

- advanced by protecting mediation from, 229–231; legal rules requiring, 235; mandate for attorney misconduct, 255–256; mediator duty to keep secret disputant, 228–231; permitted in case of malpractice, 237–240; preventing future harm by, 231–232; righting past wrongs through, 232–234; UMA procedures for judicial direction on, 250. *See also* Confidentiality
- Disputant autonomy: conditions which may put at risk, 27; determining capacity for, 25, 28–53; evaluative versus facilitative mediation support of, 20–21; of misinformed and ill-informed disputants, 113–153; relationship between emotions and, 55–85; tensions between substantive fairness and, 113–153; as underlying mediation value, 3–4; unrepresented disputants and, 155–176, 215–216, 353–3567. *See also* Power imbalance; Self-determination
- Disputant autonomy capacity: accommodations for, 31; case: Can Mary Mediate Her Residency?, 31–35; case: Kevin’s Disability—Dreams and Duress, 35–53; cautions when declaring disputant unfit, 28; components of, 30; in legal settings, 29; medical versus legal, 28; of misinformed and ill-informed disputants, 133–153; penetrating inquiry required to determine, 25, 28; of unrepresented disputants, 155–176, 215–216
- Disputant autonomy and emotions: Harry’s Will, 64–66; Laurie and Paul’s Divorce, 67–85
- Disputants: dealing with lies told by, 199–226; declared unfit, 28; determining need for support person, 48–49; facilitating decision making by, 27; “favored nation” status of, 279; grievance procedures for dissatisfied, 345–346; handling bully tactics by, 107; the misinformed and ill-informed, 113–153; “reasonable person” inquiry on relationships with, 279; unrepresented, 155–176, 215–216, 353–367. *See also* Cultural differences
- Ditech “People Are Smart” logo, 145
- Divorce mediation: case: Divorce, Custody, and Domestic Violence, 88–89, 92–93; case: Divorce and Possible Child Abuse, 260–275; case: Hannah and Yoav’s Brooklyn Divorce, 313–318; case: Laurie and Paul’s Divorce, 67–85; case: Super Tom, 102–111; case: Ziba and Ahmed’s Iranian-American Divorce, 318–337; ethical intuitionism applied to, 15–16; going beyond the ethical pale in, 17–18; range of acceptable action in, 16–17
- Divorce Mediation Standards. *See* Model Standards of Practice for Family and Divorce Mediation
- Divorce and Possible Child Abuse case: Art Hinshaw comments on, 260–265, 273, 274, 275; background information on, 260; editor’s thoughts and comments on, 273–275; evaluating likelihood of abuse in, 262–263; explaining scope/limits of confidentiality and privilege, 265–266; Gregory Firestone comments on, 265–275; preliminary concerns regarding, 261; promoting children’s best interests in, 261–262; sending the session and making the report, 263–265
- Domestic violence: assessing red flags and resilience in cases of, 91; assessing whether mediation is an option, 92–93; autonomy and power imbalance due to, 88–89,

- Domestic violence (*continued*)
 92–93; substance abuse, mental illness, and, 90; types of imbalance in cases of, 89–91. *See also* Child abuse
- Dozier, Dan, 171–174, 175–176
- Dred Scott v. Sandford*, 6
- Duties of Care—Mediating with a Crooked Doctor case: background information on, 236–237; ethical side of disclosures in, 238–240; legal realities of disclosures in, 237–238
- Duty-based ethics, 7–8
- Duty-to-warn rule, 252
- E**
- Eddy, Bill, 106–109, 110–111
- Educable mentally retarded, 46, 51
- Einstein, Albert, 145
- Emotions: anger, 57–59, 64–66, 67–85; anxiety, 59–61; case: An Employment Termination case (version 1), 215; case: Harry’s Will, 64–66; case: Laurie and Paul’s Divorce, 67–85; defined as “felt experience,” 56; depression and, 62–63; positive and negative, 57; relationship between autonomy and, 55–56; sadness and grief, 61–62; shame and guilt, 63
- Employment mediation: case: An Attorney’s Wrongful Termination Claim, 256–259; case: An Employment Termination, 212–226; case: Vulnerable Workers and an Oppressive Employer, 130–133
- An Employment Termination case (version 1): avoiding assumptions and looking for facts, 220; defendants’ misrepresentation of assets issue, 217–218; description of, 212–213; Dwight Golann’s comments on, 214–218, 225; editor’s thoughts and comments on, 225; lawyer appears incompetent issue, 216; lawyer has conflicting interests, 216–217; mediator role in, 221–222; mediator suspects dishonesty, 212–213, 214–218; Melissa Brodrick’s comments on, 220–222, 225; plaintiff seems distraught issue, 215; unrepresented disputant issue, 215–216
- An Employment Termination case (version 2): defendants’ misrepresentation of assets issue, 218–219; description of, 213; Dwight Golann’s comments on, 218–219, 225; editor’s thoughts and comments on, 225–226; mediator on perceptions and assumptions in, 222–224; on mediators staying humble, 224; mediator’s suspicions of dishonesty are verified, 213, 218–219; Melissa Brodrick’s comments on, 222–224, 225
- Empowerment, 22
- Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC), 126, 127
- Equal mediation discussions, 100
- Equal Pay Act (1965), 126–127
- ethical decision making: beyond the ethical pale in, 17–18; case: Duties of Care—Mediating with a Crooked Doctor, 238–240; using codes as basis of, 10–14; limitations of ethical codes for, 14; range of acceptable action, 16–17; rejecting rigidity in mediation and, 15–18; tough choices required for, 1–2. *See also* Decision making; Mediation ethics
- Ethical intuitionism: applied to divorce mediation, 15–16; mediation and, 9; theory of, 7
- Ethical theories: Kantian deontology, 7–8; Ross’s theory of ethical intuitionism, 7, 8–9; utilitarianism, 7, 8
- Evaluative mediation model: autonomy supported by, 20–21; comparing substantive fairness perspective of facilitative and, 21; description of, 20; Super Tom case application of, 107–108

- Evaluative mediators: comparing substantive fairness perspective of facilitative and, 21; facilitating informed decision making with justice, 132; informed consent and substantive fairness approach by, 123, 144–145
- Exon, Susan Nauss, 297–303
- F**
- Facilitative mediation model: autonomy as defined and supported by, 20–21; comparing substantive fairness perspective of evaluative and, 21; description of, 19–20
- Facilitative mediators: comparing substantive fairness perspective of evaluative and, 21; facilitating informed decision making with justice, 132; informed consent and substantive fairness approach by, 122–123
- “Fairness interventions,” 146–147. *See also* Substantive fairness
- “Favored nation” status, 279
- Fear and power imbalances, 96
- Fees/other charges (Standard VIII), 378
- Financial resources, 94
- Firestone, Gregory, 265–275
- Fisher, Roger, 56, 75
- Fiss, Owen, 118
- Florida Mediator Confidentiality and Privilege Act, 271
- Florida state code: concern for substantive fairness in, 118–119; on confidentiality of criminal activity, 186; Rules for Court-Appointed Mediators on informed consent, 119
- Folger, Joseph P., 22, 123
- Foucault, Michel, 79
- “The four legals” (Virginia), 70–71
- Friedman, Gary, 325
- Fuller, Lon, 124
- G**
- Gadlin, Howard, 324
- Geertz, Clifford, 75
- Get* (Jewish certificate ending the marriage), 314
- Golann, Dwight, 214–218, 225
- Goldilocks intervention approach, 170, 175
- Grief and sadness, 61–62
- Grievance procedures, 345–346
- Guidelines on Termination of Mediation (CIArb), 189
- Guilt and shame: description and impact of, 63; Harry’s Will case on, 64–66; Laurie and Paul’s Divorce case on, 67–85
- Gunning, Isabelle, 322–323
- H**
- Hannah and Yoav’s Brooklyn Divorce case: background information on, 313–315; finding balance during, 317–318; Jewish Orthodoxy versus secular culture, 315–316; self-identification issue of, 316; validating Hannah’s choice during, 316–317
- Harry’s Will case: assessing the emotions of disputants, 64–65; background information on, 64; two possible tracks by mediator, 65–66
- Hey, Neighbor—Don’t Touch My Clothesline or Compost! case: background information on, 133–134; facilitating parties to formulate their own norms, 134–135
- High-context culture: low-power-distance compared to, 308; Ultra-Orthodox Jewish, 316
- High-power-distance culture, 308, 309, 310
- High-uncertainty-avoidant cultures, 309
- Himmelstein, Jack, 325
- Hinshaw, Art, 200, 260–265, 273
- I**
- Ill-informed claimants: case: Tongan Slip and Fall, 135–153; case: Wrongful Death, 136–153;

- Ill-informed claimants (*continued*)
mediator impartiality and, 141, 144;
power imbalance issue for, 141–142
- Impartiality: case: A Boutique
Relationship, 284–293; case: A
Recurring Landlord-Tenant
Pattern issue of, 362; case: Sympathy
for the Tenant?, 293–303; case:
Tennis Center Negligence?,
281–284; case: Ziba and Ahmed’s
Iranian-American Divorce issue of,
321; ill-informed claimants and,
141, 144; mediator subject matter
expertise issue of, 300; Standard II
on mediator’s responsibility for,
295, 334, 372; Standard VI Quality
of the Process on, 116, 148, 172,
295, 334. *See also* Conflicts of
interest; Mediators
- Individualistic cultures, 307–308
- Informal mediation environment, 97
- Information: leveling the informational
field, 100–101; mediator
“knowledge” of legal outcomes,
142–143, 145; power imbalance due
to limited, 97; substantive
fairness/informed consent of
ill-informed claimants, 135–153
- Informed consent: case: A Costly Snap,
159–163; case: Hey, Neighbor—
Don’t Touch My Clothesline or
Compost!, 133–135; case: Tongan
Slip and Fall, 135–153; case:
TuneMaker “Attorney,” 163–176;
case: Vulnerable Workers and an
Oppressive Employer, 130–133;
case: Wrongful Death, 136–153;
evaluative mediator approach to,
123, 132, 144–145; facilitative
mediator approach to, 122–123,
132, 144–145; factors influencing
attitudes toward, 121–124; factual
context of case influencing, 122;
mediator impartiality required for,
141, 144; of misinformed and
ill-informed claimants, 135–153;
Model Standards on, 106, 114–115;
norm-advocating model on,
126–127, 129–130; norm-educating
model on, 125–126, 128–129;
norm-generating model on,
124–125, 128; process of
selecting model for, 127–130; state
codes on, 119–121; unrepresented
disputants’ competency and,
155–176, 215–216. *See also*
Self-determination
- Institute of Judicial Administration, 340
- Interests: advanced by protecting
mediation from disclosures,
229–231; attorneys with conflicting,
216–217; promoting children’s best,
261–262; of unrepresented third
party, 243–244, 246, 249. *See also*
Conflicts of interest
- Internal threats, 4
- J**
- Jewish Orthodoxy culture, 315–316
- Jurisdiction issue, 68–69
- K**
- Kant, Immanuel, 7–8
- Kantian deontology, 7–8
- Kentucky state code, 158
- Kevin’s Disability—Dreams and
Duress case: background
information on, 35–36; Carol B.
Liebman’s comments on, 36–44, 51,
52; determining Kevin’s capacity
for, 42–44, 45–49; editor’s thoughts
and comments on, 51–53; Mary
Radford’s comments on, 44–51,
52–53; maximizing Kevin’s ability
to participate, 49–51; structuring
the mediation for, 37–42; What
should the mediator do in advance?
question of, 37–38
- Knowledge resources, 94
- L**
- Lack, Jeremy, 182, 187–191, 197
- Landlord-tenant mediation: case: A
Recurring Landlord-Tenant Pattern,
353–367; case: Sympathy for the

- Tenant?, 293–303. *See also* Property dispute mediation
- Laurie and Paul’s Divorce case:
background information on, 67;
creating agenda of respect, 81–82;
Dorothy Della Noce’s
transformative perspective on,
67–73, 82, 83–85; editor’s thoughts
and comments on, 82–85; influence
of the listener in, 81; inquiring into
relations of power, 79–80; John
Winslade’s narrative perspective on,
73–82, 83, 84–85; policy of the
jurisdiction impact on, 68–69;
reflexivity used in, 78–79
- Law and Public Policy Committee of the
Society for Professionals in Dispute
Resolution report (1991), 340
- Lawyers. *See* Attorneys
- Lazarus, Richard, 56–57
- Legal capacity: medical versus, 28; of
misinformed and ill-informed
disputants, 113–153; state criteria
for, 29; of unrepresented disputants,
155–176, 215–216
- Lerner, Harriet, 60
- Liebman, Carol B., 36–44, 51, 52
- Lies. *See* Misrepresentation
- Listeners’ mediation influence, 81
- Love, Lela P., 136–146, 151, 152, 153
- Low-context culture:
high-power-distance compared to,
308; secular liberal, democratic, 316
- Low-power-distance culture, 308
- Low-uncertainty-avoidant cultures, 309
- Lyotard, Jean-François, 74
- M**
- Macfarlane, Julie, 182, 191–196,
197–198
- Malpractice: permitted disclosures
related to, 237–240; repudiating
mediated settlement due to,
233–234; UMA (Uniform
Mediation Act) approach to,
233–234. *See also* Attorneys
- Marijuana trafficking case, 182–198
- Massachusetts state code, 158
- Material facts: avoiding assumptions
and looking for, 220; nondisclosure
of, 207; Rule 4.1 on representation
of, 207–208, 210–211
- Mediation: CIARB’s Guidelines on
Termination of Mediation, 189;
cultural issues related to, 305–337;
ethical intuitionism and, 9;
“furthering” criminal conduct
issues of, 177–198; mediator
conflicts of interest, 278–303; as
option in case of domestic violence,
92–93; power imbalance as general
issue in, 93–102; power sources in,
94–95; rejecting rigidity in, 15–18;
repudiating settlement due to
malpractice, 233–234; Standard IX
on advancement of practice of,
378–379; state codes on pro se
court-connected, 158–159; time
allocations for, 359–361;
unrepresented third parties to,
245–244, 246, 249, 252. *See also*
ADR (alternative dispute
resolution) provider organizations
- Mediation ethical codes: Americans
with Disabilities Act Mediation
Guidelines, 11; conclusions
regarding limitations of, 14;
CPR-Georgetown Principles, 340,
341–348, 352; inconsistencies
among, 11–13; inconsistencies
within, 13–14; Model Rules of
Professional Responsibility,
200–201, 217; Model Standards of
Practice for Family and Divorce
Mediation, 11, 12–13, 266, 268, 270;
National Standards of ADR,
340–341, 342–347; Victim-Offender
Mediation Association
Recommended Guidelines, 11. *See
also* Model Rules of Professional
Conduct for Attorneys (ABA);
Model Standards of Conduct for
Mediators; State codes
- Mediation ethical values: balancing,
6–9; balancing competing as, 18;

- Mediation ethical values (*continued*)
 disputant autonomy as, 3–4;
 procedural fairness as, 3, 4–5;
 substantive fairness as, 3, 5–6, 21.
See also Confidentiality; *specific value*
- Mediation ethics: balancing competing values of, 6–9; case: Physician Mediators, 348–353; case: A Recurring Landlord-Tenant Pattern, 353–367; effect of mediation model or philosophy on, 24; loss entailed by, 1; National Standards and CPR-Georgetown Principles on, 341–348; policy of the jurisdiction issue of, 68–69; questions and lessons posed by, 2; underlying values of, 3–6. *See also* Ethical decision making
- Mediation models: effect on ethical deliberation, 24; evaluative, 20–21, 107–108, 123, 132, 144–145; facilitative, 19–21, 122–123, 132; narrative, 23–24, 74–82, 123–124; personal growth, 22–24; problem-solving, 19–21; relationship-building and personal growth, 22–24. *See also* Mediator philosophy
- Mediation quality: ADR provider organization provisions ensuring, 252–253; autonomy versus mediator responsibility for, 67, 106, 110; balancing disputant autonomy and information for, 73; case: Divorce, Custody, and Domestic Violence on problem of, 88–89, 92–93; case: Physician Mediators on ADR provider responsibility for, 348–353; case: A Recurring Landlord-Tenant Pattern issue of, 359–361, 364; case: Sympathy for the Tenant? promotion of, 295–296; case: Ziba and Ahmed’s Iranian-American Divorce case issue of, 322; codes are not enough to ensure, 14; conditions required for preceding over, 106–107; conflict as providing opportunity to improve, 22, 68; determining diminished capacity impacting, 51; legal or social norms used to measure, 83; mediator skills in facilitating, 36; misinformed/ill-informed disputants issues for, 113–153; National Standards and CPR-Georgetown Principles on ensuring, 343–346; Standard VI on impartiality and, 116, 148, 172, 295, 334, 364, 375–377; state codes on requirements for, 118–119; time allocations to ensure, 359–361; unrepresented disputants and challenges for, 155–176, 215–216. *See also* Power imbalance
- Mediator interventions: “fairness,” 146–147; Goldlocks option to, 170, 175; handling disputant bully tactics, 107; “helping” the unrepresented party, 159, 215–216; implications of, 167–170; measures to help cope with power imbalance, 100–102, 356–358; prohibition from advocating for weaker participant, 97–98; unrepresented parties and three basic options for, 165–167
- Mediator philosophy: balancing competing value commitments and, 18; effect on mediation ethics by, 24; fees and other charges by, 378; informed consent and substantive fairness attitudes, 122–124. *See also* Mediation models
- Mediators: advancement of mediation practice by, 378–379; advertising and solicitation by, 377; assessing a depressed disputant, 62–63; balancing competing value commitments, 18; CI Arb’s Guidelines on Termination of Mediation by, 189; criminal conduct issues and attitudes/values of, 179–180; dealing with an angry

- disputant, 59; debate over
 accountability of, 117–118;
 determining disputant capacity for
 autonomy, 25, 28–53; duties to
 promote truth telling and to be
 truthful, 201–203; duty to avoid
 harm by, 26; An Employment
 Termination case on role of,
 221–222; facilitative, 19–21; “the
 four legals” of, 70–71; “knowledge”
 of outcome by the, 142–143, 145;
 mediating multiculturally, 305–337;
 Model Standard on postmediation
 relationships by, 285, 288; National
 Standards and CPR-Georgetown
 Principles on competence/ethics of,
 343–347; personal values/attitudes
 about rule of law, 179–180,
 193–196; protecting reputation of,
 109; self-examination of impartiality
 by, 300–301; on staying humble,
 224; subject matter expertise of, 300;
 understanding of their own culture
 by, 311–312, 329–330; when to
 consider withdrawal due to cultural
 differences, 313, 333–335; work
 conditions under ADR provider
 organizations, 352–353. *See also*
 Conflicts of interest; Impartiality;
 Model Standards of Conduct for
 Mediators; State codes
- Mencken, H. L., 365
- Menkel-Meadow, Carrie, 320–327,
 335–337
- Mental illness/domestic violence
 relationship, 90
- Mental retardation: AAIDD definition
 of, 46; educable, 46, 51; factors
 impacting functioning of persons
 with, 46–47; Kevin’s
 Disability—Dreams and Duress on,
 35–53; maximizing ability to
 participate in case of, 49–51
- Merit as power, 94
- Meyerson, Bruce E., 284–287, 291–292
- Misinformed disputants: case: Hey,
 Neighbor—Don’t Touch My
 Clothesline or Compost!, 133–135;
 case: Tongan Slip and Fall, 135–153;
 case: Vulnerable Workers and an
 Oppressive Employer, 130–133;
 case: Wrongful Death, 136–153;
 classification systems on norms and
 informed consent of, 124–130;
 mediator’s attitudes toward,
 122–124; Model Standards on
 informed consent and, 114–115;
 Model Standards on substantive
 fairness and, 115–118; state codes
 on informed consent and, 119–121;
 state codes on substantive fairness
 and, 118–119
- Misrepresentation: case: Duties of
 Care—Mediating with a Crooked
 Doctor, 236–240; case: An
 Employment Termination,
 212–226; case: Who Owns the
 Dance? 208–212; of defendants’
 assets, 217–219; fraudulent
 misstatements of material fact,
 204–205; Model Rules of
 Professional Conduct on, 200–201;
 Model Standards on mediator duties
 related to, 201–203; nondisclosure
 of material facts, 205–207; opinions
 as to value, authority, and the
 bottom line, 207–208; pervasiveness
 of mediation-told, 199–201; reasons
 for pervasiveness during
 negotiations, 200–201; Rule 4.1 on,
 207–208, 210–211; rules regulating
 attorney conduct during
 negotiation, 203–208
- Model Rules of Professional Conduct
 (ABA): on clarifying roles for
 unrepresented parties, 156–158;
 mandate to disclose other attorneys’
 misconduct, 255–256; on mediator
 conflict of interest and impartiality,
 286, 287, 289–292; on
 nondisclosure of material facts, 205;
 pervasive confusion over obligations
 under, 200–201; Rule 4.1 of,
 207–208, 210–211. *See also*

- Model Rules of Professional Conduct (ABA) (*continued*)
 American Bar Association (ABA); Attorneys; Mediation ethical codes
- Model Standards of Conduct for Mediators: allowing disclosures, 257; balancing autonomy with fairness using the, 114–121; on confidentiality (Standard V), 200–201, 217–218, 228, 234–235, 243, 248, 266, 270, 285, 363, 374–375; on conflicts of interest (Standard III), 278–279, 294, 322; on conflicts of interest (Standard V), 285–286, 288–289; description of, 10–11, 370; on duties related to truth/lies (Standard VI), 201–203; inconsistencies between other codes and, 12–13; inconsistencies within, 13; on informed consent, 106, 114–115; placing burden of impartiality on mediator (Standard II), 295, 334; on postmediation relationships (Standard III), 285, 288; on providing enough time for quality process, 359–360; as providing the primary cultural lens for disputes, 329–330; on Quality of the Process and impartiality (Standard VI), 116, 148, 172, 295, 334, 364, 375–377; regarding “further criminal conduct,” 177–179, 181, 185–186, 189; self-determination as defined by, 139, 147, 148, 171–172; on substantive fairness, 115–118; on voluntary decisions and absence of undue influence, 106. *See also* Mediation ethical codes; Mediators; State codes
- Model Standards of Conduct for Mediators (August 2005): note on construction, 371; preamble of, 370; Standard I. Self-Determination, 371–372; Standard II. Impartiality, 372; Standard III. Conflicts of Interest, 373–374; Standard IV. Competence, 374; Standard IX. Advancement of Mediation Practice, 378–379; Standard V. Confidentiality, 374–375; Standard VI. Quality of the Process, 375–377; Standard VII. Advertising and Solicitation, 377; Standard VIII. Fees and Other Charges, 378
- Model Standards of Practice for Family and Divorce Mediation: description of, 11, 12–13; on explaining scope/limits of confidentiality and privilege, 266; on honoring party expectations of confidentiality, 268, 270
- Moffitt, Michael, 164–170, 175, 176
- Monk, G., 23
- Monk, Gerald, 123
- Monochronic culture, 308–309
- Moral conviction, 94–95
- Mosten, Forrest S., 103–106, 110
- Multicultural mediation: case: Hannah and Yeav’s Brooklyn Divorce, 313–318; case: Ziba and Ahmed’s Iranian-American Divorce, 318–337; disputing style related to culture, 307–310; self-identification issue of, 307–310, 316
- N**
- Nader, Laura, 118
- Narrative mediation model: on informed consent and substantive fairness, 123–124; Laurie and Paul’s Divorce case application of, 74–82; overview of, 23–24
- National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Laws, 178
- National Standards for Court-Connected Mediation Programs: on confidentiality, 347; description of, 340–341; on educating litigants about mediation process, 342; on ensuring access to mediation services, 342–343; on ensuring quality and disputant choice, 343–346; on ethics for mediators, 347–348; on mandatory

- referrals, 359; on unrepresented parties, 158
- Nazi soldiers ethical problem, 8–9
- Negative imputation of motive bias, 58
- Negotiations: reasons for
misrepresentation during, 200–201;
rules regulating attorney conduct during, 203–208. *See also* BATNA (best alternative to a negotiated agreement)
- Noce, Dorothy Della, 67–73, 82, 83–85
- Nolan-Haley, Jacqueline, 146–150, 151–153
- Norm-advocating model: importance of autonomy in, 126; informed consent perceived through, 126–127; issues to consider when selecting, 129–130
- Norm-educating model: autonomy commitment by, 125; informed consent perceived through, 125–126; issues to consider when selecting, 128–129
- Norm-generating model: informed consent perceived through, 124–125; issues to consider when selecting, 128
- North Carolina state code: concern for informed consent in, 120; concern for substantive fairness in, 119; on nondisclosure of material facts, 207; on pro se court-connected mediation, 158–159; on promoting party truth telling, 203
- O**
- Odyssey* (Homer), 2
- Oregon state code: on nondisclosure of material facts, 207; on promoting party truth telling, 203
- Outrageous Offshore case, 180–182
- Overgeneralization bias, 58
- P**
- Pain infliction, 95
- Pardy, Bruce, 241–245, 251–253
- Particularist cultures, 308
- Perception resource, 95
- Personal conflict of interest, 278–280
- Personal growth mediation models, 22–24
- Personal traits: argumentative skills as, 96–97; power through, 95
- Peters, Don, 199
- Physician Mediators case: ADR provider responsibility for mediation quality, 349–350; background information on, 348–349
- Policing intimidating behavior, 100
- Polychronic cultures, 309
- Pou, Charles, 246–253
- Power imbalance: assessing domestic violence red flags, resilience, and, 91; benefits of mediating versus the alternative in face of, 98–100; case: Divorce, Custody, and Domestic Violence, 88–89, 92–93; case: A Recurring Landlord-Tenant Pattern case, 353–367; case: Super Tom, 102–111; deciding on mediation option in domestic violence, 92–93; domestic violence and types of, 89–91; enlisting nonattorney party assistants in case of, 357; as general mediation issue, 93–102; ill-informed claimants and issue of, 141–142; mediator measures to help cope with, 100–102, 356–358; risks of mediating in face of extreme, 96–98; role of attorneys in, 108; weighing risks and benefits of, 95–96. *See also* Disputant autonomy; Mediation quality; Substantive fairness
- Power/power relations: conflict generated and sustained by, 103; informal mediation environment and perceived, 97; low-power-distance versus high-power-distance culture, 308; sources in mediation, 94–95; three techniques to balance, 100–101
- Preexisting duties, 7–8
- Preventing future criminal conduct, 113

- Preventing future harm, 231–232
- Problem-solving mediation models:
 evaluative, 20–21; facilitative, 19–21
- Procedural fairness, 3, 4–5. *See also*
 Substantive fairness
- Professional conflict of interest: case: A
 Boutique Relationship, 284–293;
 Model Standards' guidance on,
 278–280
- The Promise of Mediation* (Bush and
 Folger), 22
- Property dispute mediation: case: The
 Toxic Playground, 240–253;
 fraudulent misstatements of
 material fact on, 204–205;
 nondisclosure of material facts in,
 205–207; opinions on value,
 authority, and bottom line,
 207–208. *See also* Landlord-tenant
 mediation
- Property rights mediation: case: A
 Costly Snap, 159–163; case: Hey,
 Neighbor—Don't Touch My
 Clothesline or Compost! on,
 133–135; case: Tunemaker
 "Attorney," 163–176. *See also*
 Copyright dispute mediation
- Q**
- Quality of the Process. *See* Mediation
 quality
- R**
- Radford, Mary, 44–51, 52–53
- Real estate. *See* Property disputes
 "Reasonable person" inquiry, 279
- A Recurring Landlord-Tenant Pattern
 case: attempted to redress the power
 imbalance during, 355–356;
 background information on,
 353–354; debunking the default
 argument, 355; editor's thoughts
 and comments on, 366–367;
 fairness issues during, 361–363;
 mediator planning to resolve issues
 of, 364–365; modifying city
 ordinance to address, 358; Phyllis
 Bernard's comments on, 354–358,
 366–367; Susan M. Yates's
 comments on, 358–365, 366–367
- Reflective sadness, 61–62
- Relationship-build mediation models,
 22–24
- Reporting duties: case: An Attorney's
 Wrongful Termination Claim,
 256–259; case: Divorce and Possible
 Child Abuse, 260–275; for child
 abuse, 259–260; mandate on
 attorney misconduct, 255–256
- Reputation (mediator), 109
- Respect, ethical agenda of, 81–82
- Riskin, Len, 19
- Ross, W. D., 7, 8–9, 15
- Rule 4.1 (Comments): case: Who Owns
 the Dance? on, 210–211; description
 of, 207–208
- Rule of law: case: Zibe and Ahmed's
 Iranian-American Divorce and
 relevance of, 324–326; description
 of, 6; fairness issue and, 5–6;
 mediator attitudes and values
 regarding the, 179–180, 193–196;
 requiring specific disclosures, 235;
 social norms embodied in, 25–26.
See also Shari'a law
- S**
- Sadness and grief, 61–62
- Sanford, Dred Scott v.*, 6
- Scylla versus Charybdis problem, 2
- Section 551(2) [Restatement of Torts],
 205
- Section of Dispute Resolution (ABA),
 10, 178
- Self-determination: as autonomy, 3–4;
 case: A Recurring Landlord-Tenant
 Pattern on essence of, 362; as critical
 to mediation, 45; cultural
 differences issues and determining,
 321–323; loss to Child Protective
 Services in case of child abuse, 261;
 Model Standards on, 139, 147, 148,
 171–172, 371–372; protecting
 integrity of, 107; Virginia Standards
 favoring, 71. *See also* Disputant
 autonomy; Informed consent

- Self-identification: case: Hannah and Yoav's Brooklyn Divorce issue of, 316; cultural differences of, 307–310
- Sexual harassment: case: Vulnerable Workers and an Oppressive Employer, 130–133; Title VII regulations on, 132
- Shady Leaves case: avoiding complicity in future misconduct, 185–186; background information on, 182–183; codes and future criminal conduct in, 189; editor's thoughts and comments on, 196–198; Jeremy Lack's comments on, 182, 187–191, 197; John Bickerman's comments on, 182, 183–187, 196–197; Julie Macfarlane's comments on, 182, 191–196, 197–198; mediating with parties engaged in past criminal conduct, 188–189; mediation should not be used to shield criminal activity in, 183–185; mediator's moral judgment considered in, 193–196; nature of the crime as issue in, 186–187, 192–193; working with parties to avert future criminal act, 189–191
- Shame and guilt: description and impact of, 63; Harry's Will case on, 64–66; Laurie and Paul's Divorce case on, 67–85
- Shapiro, Dan, 56
- Shari'a law: American divorce and relevance of the, 325; issue of shared cultural acceptance of, 324; mediator competency in, 321; religion-based mediation using, 320; UN Universal Declaration found to violate norms of, 334. *See also* Rule of law; Ziba and Ahmed's Iranian-American Divorce case
- Situational threats, 4
- Slip and Fall. *See* Tongan Slip and Fall case
- "Snitch rule," 256
- Solicitation (Standard VII), 377
- State codes: allowing disclosures, 257; concern for informed consent in, 119–121; concern for substantive fairness in, 118–119; on confidentiality expectations in child abuse cases, 270–271; evidentiary protections conferred by, 229; on future criminal conduct, 189; on pro se court-connected mediation, 158–159; regarding "further criminal conduct," 177–179, 186. *See also* Ethical codes; Mediators; Model Standards of Conduct for Mediators; *specific state codes*
- Stulberg, Josh, 117–118
- Subject matter alliances: assessing mediator's, 280–281; case: Tennis Center Negligence, 281–284
- Subject matter expertise, 300
- Substance abuse/domestic violence relationship, 90
- Substantive fairness: case: Hey, Neighbor—Don't Touch My Clothesline or Compost!, 133–135; case: Physician Mediators on ADR provider model's, 350–353; case: A Recurring Landlord-Tenant Pattern and issues of, 361–363; case: Tongan Slip and Fall, 135–153; case: Vulnerable Workers and an Oppressive Employer, 130–133; case: Wrongful Death, 136–153; definition of, 3; evaluative mediator approach to, 21, 123, 132, 144–145; facilitative mediator approach to, 21, 122–123, 132; factors influencing attitudes toward, 121–124; factual context of case influencing, 122; ill-informed claimants and, 135–153; Model Standards on, 115–118; narrative mediation, 24; state codes on, 118–119; tensions between disputant autonomy and, 113–153; as underlying mediation value, 5–6. *See also* "Fairness interventions";

- Substantive fairness (*continued*)
 Power imbalance; Procedural fairness
- Super Tom case: background information on, 102; Bill Eddy's comments on, 106–109, 110–111; editor's thoughts and comments on, 110–111; ethical questions raised by, 106–109; Forrest S. Mosten's comments on, 103–106, 110
- Support person: determining need for, 48–49; nonattorney party assistants as, 357
- Suspicious bias, 58
- Susskind, Lawrence, 117–118
- Sympathy for the Tenant? case:
 background information on, 293; deciding to take the case, 294–295; dilemma for tenant-oriented mediator, 298; editor's thoughts and comments on, 302–303; ongoing tension and dilemma during, 301–303; possible actions by mediator during, 298–301; promoting mediation quality during, 295–296; remaining impartial during, 296–297; Roger Wolf comments on, 294–297, 302–303; Susan Nauss Egan's comments on, 297–303
- T**
- Tax evasion case, 120–122
- Tennessee state code, 120
- Tennis Center Negligence? case:
 background information on, 281–282; keeping subject matter bias in mind, 283–284; postmediation activity, 283; taking and continuing the, 282–283
- Texas state codes, 159
- Third party: determining need for support person, 48–49; duty-to-warn, 252; interests of unrepresented, 243–244, 246, 249; Model standards on rebalancing power strategies by, 355–358; nonattorney party assistants for support, 357
- Thorpe, Wayne, 287–291, 292
- Threats: autonomous decisions and internal, 4; autonomous decisions and situational, 4
- Time allocations: ensuring quality of process through adequate, 359–360; working to expand the mediation session, 360–361
- Title VII, 132
- Tongan Slip and Fall case: background information on, 135–136; editor's thoughts and comments on, 151–153; informed consent issue of, 138; Jacqueline Nolan-Haley comments on, 146–150, 151–153; Lela P. Love comments on, 136–146, 151, 152, 153; substantive fairness issue of, 136–138
- The Toxic Playground case:
 background information on, 240–241; Bruce Parady's comments on, 241–245, 251–253; Charles Pou's comments on, 246–253; confidentiality duty in, 243; editor's thoughts and comments on, 251–253; factors affecting confidentiality expectations, 247–249; interests of unrepresented third party in, 243–244, 246, 249; limited role of the mediator in, 241–242; mediation ethics during, 244–245; mediator not as fact finder in, 242–243; possible mediator strategies for, 249–251; what the mediator is permitted to do during, 245
- Transformative mediation model: on informed consent and substantive fairness, 123; Laurie and Paul's Divorce case application to, 68, 69–70; overview of, 22–23
- Truth-telling: case: An Employment Termination, 212–226; case: Who Owns the Dance?, 208–212; fraudulent misstatements of

- material fact, 204–205; Model Rules of Professional Conduct on, 200–201; Model Standards on, 201–203; nondisclosure of material facts, 205–207; Rule 4.1 on requirements for, 207–208, 210–211; rules regulating attorney conduct in negotiations, 203–208
- Tunemaker “Attorney” case: allowing disputants to have control, 174; background information on, 163–164; BATNA arguments and response in, 164–165, 168*fig*–169, 175; Dan Dozier’s comments on, 171–174, 175–176; determining competence issue of, 171–172; editor’s thoughts and comments on, 175–176; good mediation practice recommended for, 172–173; Michael Moffitt’s comments on, 164–170, 175, 176; paying attention to intangibles in, 173–174
- U**
- Ultra-Orthodox Jewish culture, 315–316
- Ulysses, 1–2
- UN Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women, 331, 332–334
- UN Universal Declaration of Human Rights, 332–334
- Understanding capacity component, 30
- Undue influence, 106
- Uniform Mediation Act (UMA): on confidentiality as evidentiary privilege, 229; on confidentiality waived in case of criminal activity, 178, 185–186; on disclosure related to malpractice, 237–238; expansive approach to malpractice by, 233–234; on party expectations of confidentiality, 267–268, 270–271; on preventing future harm through disclosure, 231–232; on procedures for judicial direction on disclosures, 250
- United Nations Educational Scientific and Cultural Organization, 306
- United Nations Report of the Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination Against Women, 330
- Universalist cultures, 308
- Unknowability principle, 142–143, 145
- Unrealistic demanding bias, 58
- Unrepresented parties: case: A Costly Snap, 159–163; case: An Employment Termination case (version 1), 215–216; case: A Recurring Landlord–Tenant Pattern, 353–367; case: Super Tom on, 102–109; case: Tunemaker “Attorney,” 163–176; complexities with, 155–156; guidance from the codes on, 158–159; mediator “help” to, 159; mediator’s explanation on procedures to, 156–157; third party neutral’s strategies for rebalancing power of, 355–358; three basic options for mediators with, 165–167
- Ury, William L., 76
- Utilitarian, 7, 8
- V**
- Vermont Law Review*, 117
- Victim-Offender Mediation Association Recommended Guidelines, 11
- Virginia state code: concern for informed consent in, 120; favoring self-determination, 71; “the four legals” of, 70–71; Laurie and Paul’s Divorce case application of, 69–73; on nondisclosure of material facts, 207; on promoting party truth telling, 202–203; relevance of, 68–69
- Vulnerable Workers and an Oppressive Employer case: background information on, 130–131;

Vulnerable Workers and an Oppressive Employer case (*continued*)
facilitating informed decision making with justice, 131–133

W

Who Owns the Dance? case, 208–212

Winslade, John, 23, 73–82, 83, 84–85, 123

Wolf, Roger, 294–297, 302–303

Wrongful Death case: background information on, 136; editor's thoughts and comments on, 151–153; informed consent issue of, 138; Jacqueline Nolan-Haley comments on, 146–150, 151–153; Lela P. Love comments on, 136–146, 151, 152, 153; substantive fairness issue of, 136–138

Y

Yates, Susan M., 358–365, 366–367

Z

Ziba and Ahmed's Iranian-American Divorce case: background information on, 318–319; BATNA possibility in, 334–335, 337; Carrie Menkel-Meadow's comments on, 320–327, 335–337; clash between religious, communitarian and legal systems, 320–321, 328; cross-cultural four-step approach to, 328–335; editor's thoughts and comments on, 335–337; Harold Abramson's comments on, 327–335; mediator conflict of interest in, 322; quality of process issue of, 322; relevance of the law in, 324–326; self-determination issues of, 321–323; shared cultural understanding by parties in, 324. *See also* Shari'a law