

INDEX



A

- Abstraction, leaps of, 253–254
- Action (or practical) experiments: in
 Chris-Peter relationship, 116; designing,
 167–170; dilemma of, 171–172; in
 Gavin-Fine relationship, 167–170;
 learning from failed, 169; for revising
 outdated knowledge, 219–221. *See also*
 Frame experiments
- Action strategies. *See* Practical knowledge and
 action strategies
- Actions: focus on, *versus* motives, 164–165;
 framing of, 101, 106–107; mapping
 reactions and, 159–162; pattern
 formation derived from, 101, 102–103,
 105, 117, 159–162; reactions interlocked
 with, 101, 102–103, 105, 159–162,
 168–169, 177
- Allen, W., 119
- Ambitious goals, 135–137
- Analytic knowledge: cultural, 234; defined,
 232; personal, 234–235. *See also*
 Experiential knowledge
- Anatomy Framework: basic idea of, 13, 14,
 101; in Chris-Peter case example,
 100–116, 117; elements of, 101–102,
 117; explaining interactions with, 247,
 254; mapping relationships with, 89–117
- Annie Hall*, 119
- Apple: Apple II division of, 26, 30, 38, 40; Jobs
 removed from, 41–45; Jobs-Sculley
 relationship at, 3–8, 12, 17–46, 48–49;
 Lisa product line of, 19, 24, 27, 30;
 Macintosh division of, Jobs in charge of,
 26–36; Macintosh division of, poor
 results of, 38–42; near demise of, 4–8,
 38–42; Sculley's CEO deal at, 19–22
- Argyris, C., 12, 243
- Armchair reflection, 86–87, 88
- Assessment: of assets and liabilities, 147,
 149–151; of degree of interdependence,
 123–124; of odds for success, 127–129;
 of outside pressures, 145–147; of a
 relationship, 144–151; of relationship
 change, 138
- Assets, assessing a relationship's, 147, 149, 150
- Asymmetric access, 164–165
- Asymmetrical awareness, 104–105

B

- “Bedford, T.” *See* Naughton-Bedford case
 example
- Begley, S., 63
- Behavior: evaluation of, 251–252; explanation
 of, 248–250; focus on, *versus* motives,
 156, 164–165; genetic and
 environmental factors in, 62–64; internal
 and external factors in, 248; power of
 relationships to change, 62–64, 65;
 predicting consequences of, 250–251
- Behavior change: assessing progress in, 138;
 assessing the likelihood of success for,
 127–129; individual *versus* relationship,
 133, 141, 222; misconceptions about,
 133–135, 141; motives and, 156,
 164–165; power of relationships to

Index

- effect, 62–64, 65; small moves toward, 186–187. *See also* Relationship transformation
- Behavioral repertoires: breadth of, 238, 240–241; in Chris-Peter relationship, 114–116; defined, 231; depth of, 238–240; expanding, 168–169, 237; guide to, 114, 231–241; intersecting, as basis of patterns, 168–169; relationship formation and, 23; role of, 102, 114; social contexts and, 102, 114; themes of, 114–116; types of, 102, 114, 231. *See also* Experiential knowledge; Interpretive strategies
- “Being Steve Jobs’ Boss” (Kahney), 6
- Beliefs: as analytic knowledge, 234–235; cultural, 235–236; as moral knowledge, 235–236
- Birth of the Mind, The* (Marcus), 64
- Blaming: in Chris-Peter relationship, 115; game of, 12, 65; in individual perspective, 48, 49, 50–54, 62, 65, 82
- Body language, 102, 103, 164
- Boyatzis, R., 9
- Brain: hot and cool systems of, 80, 81–83, 85–86; mutability of, 64
- British empire, in World War II, 55–61
- BusinessWeek*, 38, 120
- C**
- Calibration, of pattern maps, 162, 164–165
- Cameron, S., 70
- Change efforts, organizational, 135. *See also* Behavior change; Relationship transformation
- Characters and caricatures, turning people into, 144, 234
- Childhood: power of relationships to shape behavior in, 64; residual effects of, 201–203, 204–208, 209, 211
- Chris-Peter relationship: analysis of, 104–105; Anatomy Framework applied to, 100–116, 117; background on, 90–91; frames in, 106–111; improvement of, 116–117; social contexts in, 111–114; stages of, 91–99
- Churchill, W., and F. Roosevelt, 55–61
- “Clear Inc.” case example, 50–54
- Clothier, S., 1
- Cognitive psychology, 64
- Coleman, D., 38, 40
- Comic relief, 139–140, 191
- Complexity, of people, 254
- Conflict: avoidance of, 115; as theme in Chris-Peter relationship, 114–116; upside of, 115; using, to strengthen a relationship, 69–88. *See also* Heat of the moment
- Conlin, M., 120, 197
- Constraints, assessment of, 145–147
- Constructs, built from experiential knowledge, 234–235
- Control-autonomy dilemma, 189, 216
- Cool system, of brain: defined, 80; shifting into, 81–83, 85–86, 88
- Cool topics, 79–80
- Coordination, degree of interdependence and, 124
- Couples, research on, 11
- Cowardice, appealing to, 154–155
- Cuban missile crisis, 78–79
- Cultural knowledge: advantages and disadvantages of, 237–238; analytic, 234; defined, 232; moral, 235–236; narrative, 233; personal knowledge *versus*, 237–238; practical, 236. *See also* Experiential knowledge
- D**
- “Dan Gavin.” *See* Gavin-Fine relationship; Gavin-Turner relationship
- “David,” 96–97, 98, 104, 113
- Dawes, H., 70
- Decision making, degree of interdependence in, 124
- Defending strategy, 240
- Demands, assessment of, 145–147
- Description(s): calibration of, 162, 164–165; explanation and, 247, 249; of interactions, 155–159, 162, 164–165, 246–247
- Development: assessment of relationship’s potential for, 123; implicit theory of, 218–219. *See also* Personal growth
- Difficulty of change, assessing, 128
- Disney, 8

INDEX

- Dispositions: blaming problems on, 48, 49, 50–54, 62, 65, 82; cultural values and, 235–236; describing, 87; focus on, *versus* relationships, 14–15, 87, 89–90, 253–254; genetics and, 62–64, 65; individual perspective and, 48, 49, 50–54, 62, 65, 82; labels and, 253–254; power of relationships *versus*, 62–64, 65; shifting perspective away from, 82–83, 88
- Disruption of patterns: action experiments step in, 167–170; in Chris-Peter relationship, 116; dilemma of, 171–172; in Gavin-Fine relationship, 143–172; mapping patterns step for, 152–166; objectives of, 137, 143–144; relationship assessment step for, 144–151; results of, 137, 170–172; steps in, 137, 144–170; in three-stage model of relationship change, 137, 143–172
- E**
- Edmondson, A., 79
- Eisner, M., 8
- Elliot, J., 40, 42
- Emotional intelligence, 9
- Emotional responsibilities, informal negotiation of, 23
- Emotional tyrant role, 108
- Emotions: dampening of, 115; engaging, through maps, 165; framing and, 106, 175–178; in the heat of the moment, 79, 80, 82–83; from historical events, 203, 208; shifting from hot to cool, 81–83, 88; as theme in Chris-Peter relationship, 114–116; upside of, 115. *See also* Heat of the moment
- Entrenchment, 39
- Evaluation: description *versus*, 155–156; of interactive behavior, 155–156, 251–252
- Expectations: building hope and, 140–141; unrealistic, 134
- Experience: genetic predisposition *versus* learning from, 62–64; knowledge-building from, 231
- Experiential knowledge: analytic, 232, 234–235; aspects of, 114, 230, 231; childhood events and, 201–203, 204–208, 211; in Chris-Peter relationship, 114–116; cultural, 231, 232–236, 237–238; gaps or slippage in, 240–241; mapping based on, 247–248; moral, 203, 208, 232, 235–236; narrative, 203, 208, 217–218, 232–234; personal, 231, 233–236; personal and cultural, compared, 237–238; practical, 232, 236–237; restructuring of outdated, 209–223; revisiting and revising, 197–230; role of, 102, 114; types of, 232–238; unconscious, 247–248, 251. *See also* Practical knowledge and action strategies; Theories; Values
- Explanations: personal, in experiential knowledge, 234–235; step of, in mapping interactions, 247–250
- Exposure, fear of, 198–203, 210–213, 226–227
- F**
- Failure. *See* Setbacks and failures
- Far Side, The*, 6, 53
- Feynman, R., 254
- “Fine, S.” *See* Gavin-Fine relationship
- Fiorina, C., 8
- Flood, C., 69
- Follett, M. P., 69
- “Four Horsemen of the Apocalypse, The,” 11
- Frame experiments, 186–195
- Frames and framing: dynamics of, 106, 174–175; freezing, 174–181; of goals, 109–111; implications of, 110–111; interlocking, 106–107, 177, 179, 181; inventing new, 181–185; mapping, 179, 181; naming, 178–179; in relationship development stage, 25–26; role of, in pattern repetition, 101, 106–111, 174–175; of roles relative to others, 108; of the situation, 107; uncovering, through in-the-moment reactions, 107–111, 175–179. *See also* Interpretive strategies; Reframing
- Franklin and Winston* (Meacham), 55
- Fun, in relationship change, 139–141, 191
- Fundamental attribution error, individual perspective and, 15, 62, 64

Index

- Future: anticipating relationship challenges of the, 225–227; step of returning to the, 223–228
- G**
- Gains, consolidating, 223–224
- Game playing: of blame game, 12, 65; in Chris-Peter relationship, 104; in Naughton-Bedford relationship, 53–54; of waiting game, 12, 65, 133
- “Gavin, D.” *See* Gavin-Fine relationship; Gavin-Turner relationship
- Gavin-Fine relationship (Dan and Stu): action experiments step in, 167–169; background on, 143–144; demands and constraints on, 145–147; disruption-of-patterns stage of, 143–172; frame experiments step in, 186–195; freeze frame step in, 174–181; invent-new-frames step in, 181–185; mapping patterns step in, 152–166; reframing stage of, 173–196; relationship assessment step in, 144–151; restructure-outdated-knowledge step in, 209–223; return-to-the-future step in, 223–228; revising stage of, 197–230; revisit-past-events step in, 198–209
- Gavin-Turner relationship: background on, 71–78; “flaming” voice mail incident in, 75–77, 82–86; reflecting and reframing in, 82–86
- Gaye, M., 47
- Genetics, power of relationship; *versus*, 62–64, 65
- Gladwell, M., 89
- Glavin, B., 28
- Goals: conflicting, 109–111; framing of, 109–111; for relationship change, scope of, 135–137
- “Goldstein, H.,” 153
- Goleman, D., 9
- “Gregory, J.,” 212–213
- “Guide to Behavioral Repertoires, A,” 114, 231–241
- H**
- Havel, V., 131
- Heat of the moment: in Cuban missile crisis, 78–79; emotions in, 79, 80; freezing frames in the, 175–179; in Gavin-Turner case example, 71–78, 82–88; hot and cool systems of the brain and, 80, 81–82; in Lincoln-Stanton case example, 69–71; recalling new frames in the, 185; reflecting and reframing in, 81–88, 185, 196; strengthening relationships in times of, 69–88
- Heifetz, R., 9
- Here-we-go-again moments, 246
- Hewlett-Packard, 8
- Historical events: restructuring outdated knowledge from, 209–223; revisiting, 197–209
- Hitler, A., 55, 57–58
- Hobbit, The* (Tolkien), 133
- Hope, creating, 140–141
- Hot system, of brain: defined, 80; shifting out of, 81–83, 88
- Hot topics, 79–80, 81
- Humility, 165
- Humor, 139–140, 191
- I**
- IBM, 28, 34, 38
- Ignoring, of inconsequential relationships, 126
- “I’m OK. You’re OK. We’re Not OK,” 47
- Impact assessment, 127
- Individual perspective: assumptions of, 12, 48–55, 65; causes of, 81, 89–90; in “Clear Inc.” case example, 50–54; in Jobs-Sculley case example, 48–50; on people or relationships, 49; shifting out of, 81–82; on substance or issues, 49
- Inference, ladder of, 243. *See also* Ladder of Reflection
- Informal structures: breakdown of, 43–45; definition and elements of, 117; development of, 30–34; evolution of, 34–36; frames and, 101, 106–111. *See also* Patterns of interaction; *Relationship headings*
- Information sharing, degree of interdependence and, 123–124
- Inside pressures, assessment of, 147, 148
- Interactions: act-react template for mapping, 159–162; capturing, 152–155, 246;

INDEX

- describing, 155–159, 246–247;
mapping, in Gavin-Fine relationship,
152–166; mapping, with Ladder of
Reflection, 152–166, 243–253. *See also*
Patterns of interaction
- Interdependence: assessing the degree of,
123–124; in coordination, 124; in
decision making, 124; in information
sharing, 123–124; relationship strategies
according to degree of, 124–126
- Interpersonal rights, informal negotiation of, 23
- Interpersonal takeover, 103–104
- Interpretive strategies: action-based *versus*
intention-based, 164–165; breadth of,
238–239; 240–241; in Chris-Peter
relationship, 114–116; depth of,
238–240; role of, 102, 114, 231, 238;
types of, 238–241; unconscious dynamic
of, 174–175. *See also* Frames and
framing; Reframing
- Investment, in relationships: calculating odds
for success of, 127–129; costs of
dysfunctional relationships and,
134–135; decision making related to,
119–129, 134–135; by degree of
interdependence, 123–124; impact
assessment and, 127; Investment Matrix
for, 120–126; by relative importance,
122–123; segment-specific strategies for,
124–126; Sequencing Matrix for,
126–129; strategy of, 126
- Investment Matrix, 120–126
- J**
- Jobs, S.: on Apple's near demise, 4–5;
background of, 19; in charge of
Macintosh division, 26–36; firing of,
4–5; leadership style of, 22, 25; in
meeting with Xerox executives, 28–29,
34; removal of, 41–45; return of, 3, 4.
See also Jobs-Sculley relationship
- Jobs-Sculley relationship: accounts of, 3–8, 12;
development stage of, 26–36; end stage
of, 37–45; formation stage of, 18–25;
individual perspective in, 48–50;
informal structure breakdown in, 43–45;
informal structure development in,
30–34; informal structure shift in,
34–36; initial meeting in, 19–20; others'
explanations of, 17–18; themes in, 24, 25
- Johnson, S., 143
- K**
- Kendall, D., 20, 31
- Kennedy, J. F., 78–79
- Khrushchev, N., 78–79
- Knowledge, experiential. *See* Experiential
knowledge
- Kouzes, J., 9
- L**
- Labels, 253–254
- Ladder of Inference, 243
- Ladder of Reflection: conclusion rung of, 245,
247–254; description rung of, 155–159,
246–247; explanation rung of, 247–250;
in Gavin-Fine relationship, 152–166; in
Gavin-Turner case example, 83–86;
graphical depiction of, 81, 244;
implications of, 252–253; observation
rung of, 245, 246–247; origins of, 243;
selection rung of, 246; using, to map
interactions, 152–166, 243–254; using,
to observe and map interactions,
244–253; using, to shift perspective,
81–88; using labels *versus*, 253–254
- “Lang, K.,” 94, 97, 100, 111, 113
- Larson, G., 6, 53
- Laughter, 139–140
- Leaders: assessing a relationship's impact on,
127; control-autonomy dilemma of, 189,
216; development of, through
relationships, 123; dispositional approach
to, 14–15; hot topics and, 80; individual
perspective in, 12, 48–55, 65; relational
perspective in, 12–13, 47–48, 55–62
- Leadership: importance of relationships to,
8–12; research on relationships and,
10–11
- Leadership Challenge, The* (Kouzes and Posner),
9
- Leadership on the Line* (Heifetz and Linsky), 9
- Liabilities, assessing a relationship's, 147,
149–151
- Limelight, pattern of avoiding, 198–203,
210–213, 226–227

Index

- Lincoln, A., 67; and E. Stanton, 69–71
 Linsky, M., 9
 Loss, protecting against catastrophic, theme of, 203–208
- M**
- Management, relationship, 126
 Mangold, C., 31
 Mapping and maps: action experiments designed from, 167–170; Anatomy Framework for, 13, 14, 89–117; calibration of, 162, 164–165; in Chris-Peter case example, 100–116; experiential knowledge and, 247–248; in Gavin-Fine case example, 152–166; of interlocking frames, 179, 181; Ladder of Reflection for, 152–166, 244–253; steps in, summarized, 166
 Marcus, G., 64
 Markkula, M., 20, 22
 McChrystal, S. A., 8
 Meacham, J., 55, 59, 60–61
 Meaney, M., 63
 “Merrimac” case example: background on, 71–73, 143–144; “FastStart” unit of, 74–78; “Focuspoint” product business launch of, 143–144, 153, 176, 190; founding friends of, 71–79; Gavin-Fine relationship in, 143–172, 173–196, 197–230; Gavin-Turner relationship in, 71–78, 82–86. *See also* Gavin-Fine relationship; Gavin-Turner relationship
 Moral knowledge: cultural, 235–236; defined, 232; personal, 203, 208, 236. *See also* Experiential knowledge; Values
 Moral logic, 236
 Motivation, for change, 127
 Motives, focus on behavior *versus*, 156, 164–165
 Myers-Briggs assessment, 87, 253–254
- N**
- Narrative knowledge, 232–234; culturally shared, 233; personal, 203, 208, 217–218, 233–234. *See also* Experiential knowledge
 Naughton-Bedford case example, 50–54
 Negotiating strategy, 238, 240
 Newsweek, 63
 Norms, cultural, 235–236
- O**
- Obama, B., 8
 Organizations: assessing a relationship’s impact on, 127; change efforts in, 135; developmental relationships in, 123; relationships at fault lines of, 119–120; strategic relationships in, 122; symbolic relationships in, 122
 Outside pressures, assessment of, 145–147
 Ovitz, M., 8
- P**
- Past events: restructuring outdated knowledge from, 209–223; revisiting, 197–209
 Patterns of interaction: action experiments to disrupt, 167–170; act-react template for mapping, 153–162; Anatomy Framework of, 100–117; disruption of, 137, 143–172; formation of, 101, 102–103, 105; frame experiments and, 106–195; frames and repetition of, 101, 106–111, 173–175; mapping, 89–117, 152–166, 243–253; mistakes in changing, 133–135; nonproductive, in case example, 82, 83; persistence of, 173–174, 196, 198; productive, in case example, 85–86; reframing, 173–196; revising knowledge for, 197–230; third-party perspective on, 182. *See also* Disruption of patterns; Interactions
 Pearl Harbor, 57, 58
 PepsiCo, 19, 20, 24, 25, 26, 31
 Persona, assuming a, 234
 Personal action strategies. *See* Practical knowledge and action strategies
 Personal growth: assessing inside pressures for, 147, 148; relationships as context for, 116–117, 123, 141, 229
 Personal histories, revisiting, 197, 198–209
 Personality type inventories, 87, 253–254
 Perspective(s): frames and, 106–107; hot and cool systems and, 80, 81; shifting, through reflecting and reframing, 69–88; shifting, with revised outdated

INDEX

- knowledge, 213; taking multiple, 239, 240
- “Petersen, D.,” 94–95
- Poland, in World War II, 57–58
- Popper, K., 61
- Posner, B., 9
- Power, shared preoccupation with, 24
- Practical experiments. *See* Action experiments
- Practical knowledge and action strategies: in Chris-Peter relationship, 114–115; expanding one’s, 237; in Gavin-Fine relationship, 203, 208; as part of experiential knowledge, 114, 232, 236–237; use and types of, 236–237. *See also* Experiential knowledge
- Prediction: of behavioral consequences, 250–251; to get at people’s reactions, 162, 163
- Principles, derived from moral knowledge, 236
- Professional services firm. *See* “Merrimac” case example
- Protection theme, 203–208
- Psychological rewards, informal negotiation of, 24
- Q**
- Questioning: debate *versus*, 159; genuine *versus* insincere, 165; to get at people’s reactions, 162, 163
- “Quipsalot, G.,” 210–213
- R**
- Rat behavior, 63
- Reactions: action experiments for disrupting, 167–170; actions interlocked with, 101, 102–103, 105, 117, 159–162, 168–169, 177; frame experiments with, 186–195; getting at approaches to, 107–111, 162, 163, 175–178; mapping actions and, 159–162; pattern formation derived from, 101, 102–103, 105, 117; reframing, 181–185
- Readiness, for change, 127
- Realistic goals, 135–137
- Reflection: in Gavin-Turner case example, 81–86; for knowledge-building, 241; in Ladder of Reflection, 81, 244–253; on setbacks and failures, 139; steps in, 244–253; unhelpful “armchair,” 86–87, 88
- Reframing: dilemma of, 196; frame experiments step in, 186–195; freeze frame step in, 174–181; in Gavin-Fine case example, 173–196; in Gavin-Turner case example, 81–86; invent-new-frames step in, 181–185; for knowledge-building, 241; in Ladder of Reflection, 81; objectives of, 137, 173–174; paradox of, 174, 188; results of, 137, 195–196; of setbacks and failures, 139; steps in, 137, 174–195; in three-stage model of relationship change, 137, 173–196; unhelpful way of, 87
- Reiss, D., 62–63
- Rejection, in communication, 157–158
- Relational capabilities, 13; described, 81–88; in Gavin-Turner case example, 71–78, 82–88; using, in the heat of the moment, 69–88. *See also* Reflection; Reframing
- Relational disorders, 47
- Relational perspective: assumptions of, 12–13, 48, 55–62, 65; in Franklin-Churchill case example, 55–61; on people or issues, 61; shifting into, 81–86; on substance or issues, 61; taking responsibility in, 47–48, 55
- Relationship building: overview of, 13; in times of conflict, 69–88
- Relationship change. *See* Relationship transformation
- Relationship development stage: dynamics of, 25–36; informal structure development in, 30–36; interpretive frames established in, 25–26; in Jobs-Sculley case example, 26–36
- Relationship formation stage: dynamics of, 18–25; informal terms in, understanding the, 18, 22–24; in Jobs-Sculley case example, 19–25; themes and patterns in, 23–25
- Relationship strengthening: assessing likelihood of success for, 127–129; investment and sequencing decisions in, 119–129, 134–135; mapping patterns and structures for, 89–117; using the heat of the moment for, 69–88

Index

- Relationship transformation: action experiments step in, 167–170; anticipating future challenges in, 225–227; dilemmas inherent in, 171–172, 196, 229–230; disrupting-of-patterns stage of, 137, 143–172; frame experiments step in, 186–195; freeze frame step in, 174–181; in Gavin-Fine relationship, 143–172; how to, 14; having fun with, 139–141, 191; individual change *versus*, 133, 141; invent-new-frames step in, 181–185; key points about, 141; mapping patterns step in, 152–166; metrics for assessing, 138; misconceptions about, 133–135; paradoxes of, 144, 174, 188; possibility of, 14; principles and practices for, 135–141; realistic and ambitious aspirations in, 135–136; reframing stage of, 137, 173–196; relationship assessment step in, 144–151; resilience building for, 138–139, 229; restructure-outdated-knowledge step in, 209–223; return-to-the-future step in, 223–228; revising stage of, 137, 197–230; revisit-past-events step in, 197, 198–209; setbacks in using, 138–139; small moves toward, 186–187; sustaining, 223–228; three-stage model of, 136, 137
- Relationship-ending stage: dynamics of, 37–45; informal structure breakdown in, 43–45; in Jobs-Sculley case example, 37–45
- Relationships: basic strategies for handling, 13, 124–126; as context for individual growth, 116–117, 123, 141, 229; developmental importance of, 123; *ignore* strategy for, 125; importance of, to leadership, 8–11; *invest* strategy for, 126; *manage* strategy for, 125; managing the costs of, 119–129; power of, to shape behavior, 62–64, 65; scholarship on, 10–11; *separate* strategy for, 125; setting terms of new, 227; stages of, 17–46; as strategic asset *versus* liability, 3–11, 147, 149–151; strategic importance of, 122; symbolic importance of, 122; underestimating the costs of, 134–135
- Reliability theme: action sequences for revising, 219–221; in Chris-Peter relationship, 107, 108, 110, 115–116; in Gavin-Fine relationship, 208, 213–222; implicit theories derived from, 214–215; narratives derived from, 217–218
- Renewal strategy, 240–241
- Resilience: building, 138–139, 229; hope and, 140–141
- Responsibility, taking: *versus* blaming others, in individual perspective, 50–54, 62, 65; in relational perspective, 47–48, 55
- Revising: dilemma of, 229–230; in Gavin-Fine relationship, 197–230; objectives of, 137, 197–198; restructure-outdated-knowledge step in, 209–223; results of, 137, 228–230; return-to-the-future step in, 223–228; revisit-past-events step in, 198–209; stage of, 137, 197–230; steps in, 137, 197–228
- Roles: cultural, 233; framing, in relation to others, 108
- Roosevelt, F.: quotation, 3; and W. Churchill, 55–61
- Rose, F., 19, 21, 22, 25, 29–30, 39
- ### S
- “SafetyNet” case example, 90–99. *See also* Chris-Peter relationship
- Sarcasm, 210–213
- Scenes, cultural, 233
- Schön, D. A., 243
- Scott, M., 20
- Sculley, J.: on Apple and Jobs, 6–8; Apple board’s siding with, 4–5, 42; background of, 19; on decision to remove Jobs, 41–42, 43; fateful decisions of, 30–31; leadership style of, 20, 22, 25; on meeting with Xerox executives, 28–29, 34; path of, to becoming Apple CEO, 19–22; at PepsiCo, 19, 20, 24, 25, 26, 31. *See also* Jobs-Sculley relationship
- “Secureware” case example, 90–99, 100–116. *See also* Chris-Peter relationship
- Sedaris, D., 17, 45
- Selection, of interactions to map, 246
- Self-concepts, 108, 110

INDEX

- Self-deprecation, 154–155
 Self-fulfilling prophecies, 106, 219
 Self-interest, 147
 Sensing strategy, 238, 239, 240
 Separation, structural, 126
 Sequencing Matrix, 120, 126–129
 Setbacks and failures: of action experiments, 169; learning from, 138–139, 169
 Sherwood, R., 58–59
 Shock of recognition, 165
 Singapore, 57
 Situations: framing, 107; personal *versus* cultural knowledge applied to, 237–238; practical strategies for, 236–237; role assumption and, 108
 Slippage, 240–241
 Social contexts: behavioral repertoires and, 102, 114; in Chris-Peter relationship, 111–114; elements of, 112; role of, 101, 111; table of, 112, 113–114
 Sossa, M., 173
 Soviet Union, World War II and, 58, 59–60
 Speculation, to get at people's reactions, 162, 163
 Stalin, J., 59–60
 Stanton, E., and A. Lincoln, 69–71
 Stereotypes, cultural, 233
 Strategic importance, of a relationship, 122
 Strategies. *See* Interpretive strategies; Practical knowledge and action strategies
 Structures. *See* Informal structures
 “Stu.” *See* Gavin-Fine relationship
 Summers, L., 8
 Sustainability, building: by anticipating future challenges, 225–227; by consolidating gains, 224–225; by defining new objectives, 225; in return-to-the-future step, 223–228; by setting terms of new relationship, 227
 Symbolic importance, of a relationship, 122
- T**
- Testing strategy, 238, 240
 Themes: in Chris-Peter relationship, 114–116; derived from personal history, 198–209; in relationship formation stage, 23–25
- Theories, explicit: mapping interactions with, 248–251; pitfalls in using, 253–254
 Theories, implicit: in Chris-Peter relationship, 115–116; derived from childhood events, 203, 208, 211; in Gavin-Fine case example, 218–219; of learning, 218–219; as part of experiential knowledge, 114, 232, 234–235
 Thompson, C. R. “Tommy,” 59
 Threatened-subject role, 108
 Three-stage model of relationship change: *disrupt* stage of, 137, 143–172; *reframe* stage of, 137, 173–196; *revise* stage of, 137, 197–230; table of, 137; use of, 136. *See also* Relationship transformation
Time, 47
 Tolkien, J.R.R., 133
 “Turner, L.” *See* Gavin-Turner relationship
- U**
- Undiscussables, 124
 United Nations, 59–60
 United States, in World War II, 55–61
- V**
- Values: in Chris-Peter relationship, 115–116; cultural, 235–236; for evaluating behavior, 251–252; as part of experiential knowledge, 114, 232, 235–236; personal, 203, 208, 236
 Voice, tone of, 110, 183
- W**
- Waiting game, 12, 65, 133
 Weaver, R., 38
 World War II, Franklin-Churchill relationship and, 55–61
 Wozniak, S., 20, 38–39
- X**
- Xerox, 28–29, 34
- Y**
- Yocam, D., 26