INDEX

Blogging about negative experiences, Absenteeism: and costs of bias, 48 30 Accountability, 176 Breast-feeding support, 119–120 Admissions: early, 146–147; legacy, Bringing in new customers, 3 143, 147 Bureau of Labor Statistics, 56, 65-66 Advantages, hidden, 143–144 Affirmative action, 157–158 American Electronics Association, 63 Candland, Catherine C., 65-66 Assignment, 113-114, 121 Career development, 5 Assumptions: faulty, 2-3; incorrect, Caruso, Pete, 147 Caucasian men: what employers 74–76 Auditing performance reviews, 95-96, could have done to retain, 99, 100 97 Caucasian women: what employers could have done to retain, 99, 100 CEOs, 33; as chief change-makers, Balancing career and family, 5 45-47; and diversity, 35-36; sur-Banaji, Mahzarin, 69 veys, 96-98 Barriers, hidden, 166–167, develop-CFOs: surveys, 98 ment of, 5-6, 138-139 educational Chao, Elaine L., 149 barriers, 140-149; eradicating the Civil Rights Act (1964), 169 wrong way, 83-84; housing, health Civil Rights Act (1991), 129 care, and in stability, 139-140 Client behavior: and reputational Barriers to getting first job, 154–155 damage, 59, 60 Bayer Corporation, 96 "Client comes first" policy, 3–4 Behaviors: unfair or negative, 8 Climate, 115-116, 122 "Best of ..." lists. See lists of best com-Coca-Cola, 41 panies College admissions: early, 146–147; Bias: costs of, 48–57, 62–66; guiding legacy, 143, 147 principles for addressing, 175–176; College choice, 150–151 hidden, 4, 158, 166–167; implicit, Commitment, 176–177 76 - 78Communication styles, 143 Company culture, 114-115, 122 Black Enterprise magazine: lists of best companies, 39, 41 Complaint channels, 54–55, 104–105 Blind spots: targeting, 78-79. See also Conduct, inappropriate, 116-118, stereotypes 122 - 123Bliss, Bill, 56 Confidentiality, 87–88

Consistency, 176 E Corporate citizenship, 118-119, 123 Early admissions, 146–147 Corporate costs of bias: company Early warning signals, 89–90 complaint channels, 54-55; dam-Educational barriers, 140–146; barriers aged reputations, 57; ignoring to success in university, 151–153; the problem, 52-54; turnover, dismantling, 146-149; judging can-55 - 57didates based on school, 150–151 EEOC, 37-38, 53 Corporate leavers, 31–32; European experiences, 132-135; sources of E-mail: inappropriate, 165 stories, 197-199; what employers Employee engagement, 169 could have done to get employees Employee resource groups (ERGs), to stay, 98–100 Employment Policy Foundation (EPF), Corporate Leavers Survey, 194–196 Costs of bias, 48–49; company complaint channels, 54-55; costs out-Environment: signs of, 111; unwelside the office, 50-52; damaged coming, 5 reputations, 57; ignoring the prob-Equal Employment Opportunity Comlem, 52-54; job stress, 49-50; mission. See EEOC opportunity costs, 63; turnover, Essence magazine. lists of best compa-55-57; to workers, jobs and the nies, 39, 42 economy, 62-66 Ethnic climate, 115–116, 122 Costs of harassment, 161–165 European experiences of corporate Costs of unfairness: calculations to leavers, 132–135 determine, 189-196 Exit interviews, 29–30 Cultural climate, 115–116, 122 Culture, 114-115, 122; and global business, 128-130 Family-oriented workplaces, 119–120, Customer retention, 61 123 FedEx Corporation, 42 D Feedback, 5 Daily worklife, 112–113, 121 Focus groups, 85–86 Development, 113-114, 121 Fortune magazine: lists of best compa-Discrimination laws 169-172; revinies, 39, 41 sion of, 172–173 Friedman, Thomas L., 64 Discrimination lawsuits: fear of, 170 Diversity: failure of efforts, 2; faulty assumptions, 2-3; gap between Gays: what employers could have done to retain, 99, 100 policy and reality, 37–39; poster child vs. problem child, 10–12 Gender climate, 115-116, 122 Diversity efforts: and recruitment, 4 Gladwell, Malcolm, 34, 47 Diversity game, 36-37 Global business, 128–130; conflicting

role of women, 130–131; European

experiences of corporate leavers, 132–135; global tensions and con-

flicts, 131; judgments about soci-

eties, 132

Diversity Inc. magazine: lists of best

companies, 41, 46

Diversity training, 159–160

Domestic partner benefits, 123 Douglass, Frederick, 82 Globalization, 64 Golden, Daniel, 147

Η

Hampden-Turner, Charles, 126
Harassment, 129; cost of, 161–165. See also moral harassment
Health: and costs of bias, 48
Health care, 139–140
Hidden advantages, 143–144
Hidden barriers, 166–167; development of, 5–6, 138–139; educational barriers, 140–149; eradicating the wrong way, 83–84; housing, health care, and instability, 139–140
Hidden biases, 4, 158, 166–167
Housing, 139–140

I

Implicit Association Test (IAT), 69
Implicit associations, 69–70
Implicit bias, 76–78
Inappropriate conduct, 116–118, 122–
123; inappropriate reply-all e-mail, 165
Incivility, 6–7
Inclusiveness, 88–89
Incorrect assumptions, 74–75
Initiative for Diversity in Education and Leadership (IDEAL) Scholars, 64
Instability, 139–140
Interviews, 72–74, 111–112
Investors in People, 34

J

Job interviews, 72–74, 111–112 Job stress, 49–50, 53–54 Journal of Marriage and Family, 51 Judging candidates based on school, 150–151

K

Klein Associates, 26 Knowledge economy, 66

L

Laws, 169–172 Leadership: commitment of, 5 Legacy admissions, 143, 147 Lesbians: what employers could have done to retain, 99, 100 Letters of recommendation, 71–72 Level Playing Field Institute, 14, 31; Summer Math and Science Honors (SMASH) Academy, 145 Lewis, Tene, 49-50 Lists of best companies, 39-43; making the list, 44 Litigation avoidance, 175 Losing the Competitive Advantage? The Challenge for Science and Technology in the United States (Kazmierczak), 63 The Loyalty Effect (Reichheld and Teal), 59

M

Management: and diversity, 33–36
Many Globalizations (Berger and Huntington), 128
Maternity leave, 119–120
Men, Caucasian: what employers could have done to retain, 99, 100
Mentoring, 5, 113–114, 121
Metrics, 110
Moral harassment, 106, 117. See also harassment
Morgan Stanley, 37–38
Mothers: support for, 119–120, 123
Motivation: and costs of bias, 48

N

Negative behaviors, 8

\mathbf{o}

Opportunity costs of bias, 63 Organizational commitment, 176–177 Outsourcing: exit interviews, 29–30

P

Parents: support for, 119–120, 123 People of color: what employers could have done to retain, 98, 99–100 Performance reviews, 23–27; audits, 95–96, 97
Personal costs of bias: costs outside the office, 50–52; job stress, 49–50
Policies, 100–101; addressing all forms of behavior, 102; justifying, 102–103; specificity, 103; talking about power, 103; zero-tolerance stance, 101–102
Pregnancy discrimination claims, 170
Problem-solving, 104–105
Project Implicit, 69
Proposition 209 (California), 159
Protected/nonprotected classes, 170

R

Racial climate, 115-116, 122 Ranking best companies. See lists of best companies Ranking prospective employees, 110 Reasons for addressing bias, 175-176 Recommendation: letters of, 71–72 Recruitment: costs of reputational damage to recruitment, 58-60; and diversity efforts, 4 Reibstein, David, 63 Reichheld, Frederick, 61 Religious climate, 115-116, 122 Reputational damage, 57; and client behavior, 59, 60; consumer-related, 61–62; recruitment-related costs, 58–60; risks, 58 Responsibility for diversity issues, 173 - 175

S

Riding the Waves of Culture (Trompe-

naars and Hampden-Turner), 126

Resumes, 70–71, 183–187

Roizen, Heidi, 28

Sasser, W. Earl, 61 Schwarzenegger, Arnold (Gov.), 160 Screening techniques, 110 Self-promotion, 27–29 Sexual harassment: training, 160 Shuttle diplomacy, 104 SMASH Academy, 145 Social identity, 141-142 Society: and costs of bias, 48 Sodexho Marriott Services, 41 Standardized tests, 149 Steele, Claude, 77 Stereotypes, 5, 20–21, 67–68; and educational disparity, 141; going beyond personal stereotypes, 79-80; targeting blind spots, 78–79 Stress, 49-50 Surveys, 86–90; of CEOs and CFOs, 96–98; questions to ask individuals who left voluntarily, 92; questions to ask individuals who turned down job offers from your organi-

Т

all employees, 91 Systems audit, 92-95

zation, 91-92; questions to ask of

Teachers' expectations, 141

The Price of Admission (Golden), 147–148

Inghman, Shirley M., 139

Tipping point, 21–23

Training, 105–107; diversity training, 159–160

Transparency, 176

Trompenaars, Fons, 126

Trust of corporations, 119

Turnover, 6–7; cost to U.S. businesses, 7–10; costs of, 55–57

U

Unconscious bias, 68 Unfair behaviors, 8 Unfairness, 6–7; cost to U.S. businesses, 7–10; cumulative effects of, 12–13 U.S. Census Bureau, 56, 140 U.S. Department of Labor, 56 U.S. Treasury, 63

v

Values, 114–115, 122 Vetting potential employers, 109–112; checklist, 120–124 W

Wal-Mart, 40-41

Women: conflicting role of, 130-

131

Women, Caucasian: what employers could have done to retain, 99,

100

Working Mother magazine: lists of best

companies, 39 Worklife, 112–113

Z

Zero-tolerance rule, 177 Zero-tolerance stance, 101–102

Attip. Immin. Shookshop. com