

Contents

<i>Table of Cases</i>	ix
<i>Table of Legislation</i>	xv
1 Introduction and Overview	1
I. Legal Rather Than Legislative Responses	1
II. Law and the Public Health Rather than Public Health Law	3
III. Recurring Themes	6
A. Mainstreaming and Exceptionalism	6
B. Path Dependence	6
C. The Importance of Avoiding Discrimination	7
D. The Immanence of Human Rights Standards	8
IV. Exploring Legal Responses in a Variety of Contexts	8
2 Testing for HIV: Legal and Ethical Problems	11
I. Consensual Testing for HIV: Is Specific Knowledge Required?	12
A. The Legal Framework	13
(i) The First Legal Restraint: Battery	14
(ii) The Second Legal Restraint: Liability in Negligence	17
(iii) The Third Legal Restraint: Data Protection Legislation	18
(iv) The Fourth Legal Restraint: The Human Tissue Act 2004	18
B. Specific Consent and Opt-Out Testing	20
C. A Practical Caveat	21
II. Testing Without Consent: Unlinked Anonymous Testing	22
III. Antenatal Testing	31
A. Informed Consent in Antenatal Screening: A Loose and Elusive Concept	33
B. Applying Informed Consent to Antenatal Testing Practice	34
IV. Premarital Testing	38
V. Compulsory Testing Following Alleged Criminal Activity	40
A. The Scottish 'Mandatory Testing' Proposals	41
B. Evaluating the Legality of Mandatory Testing	44
C. The Response to the Proposals	45
VI. Testing Existing Samples Without Consent Following Accidental Exposure	46
A. The Legal Framework	47
B. Testing Without Consent: An Ethical Analysis	49

Contents

3	Confidentiality and Duties to Warn	53
I.	Medical Confidentiality and Duties to Warn: Some Early Views	54
A.	Dr Pritchard, Dr Paterson and Dr Playfair	54
B.	Embryonic Legal Restraints on Breach of Confidence	57
C.	Legal Compulsion to Breach Confidence	58
II.	The Development of Remedies for Breach of Confidence	59
III.	Modern Practice: Can a Breach of Confidence be Justified?	61
A.	When Can a Breach of Confidence be Ethically Justified?	61
B.	When Can a Breach of Confidence be Legally Justified?	64
IV.	A Step Further: Can a Breach of Confidence be Legally Required?	65
A.	Liability in Negligence for the Onward Transmission of HIV	68
(i)	Negligent Advice to One's Own Patient	68
(ii)	Can There be Liability Beyond This?	69
(iii)	Analysis: Categorising the Scenarios	70
(iv)	The ECHR and Liability for Onward Transmission	71
V.	From Principle to Practice (Almost): <i>Health Protection Agency v X</i>	72
VI.	The National Health Service (Venereal Diseases) Regulations 1974	74
A.	The History and Purpose of the Regulations	75
B.	Does the History and Purpose Matter?	77
VII.	Conclusion	78
4	Harm Minimisation and the Law	79
I.	Community Needle Exchange	80
A.	The Background to the Problem	80
B.	Moving Towards Needle Exchange	82
C.	The Lord Advocate's Guidelines	84
D.	The Efficacy of Needle Exchange	86
II.	Harm Reduction Measures In Prisons	87
A.	Can Harm Reduction Measures in Prison be Compelled by Law?	89
(i)	The Difficulty of Applying Tort Law Prospectively	90
(ii)	Could Prison Authorities Actually be Responsible in Tort?	91
B.	Harm Reduction: The English Litigation	97
(i)	<i>Fielding</i>	97
(ii)	<i>Shelley</i>	98
C.	An Alternative ECHR-based Approach?	100
III.	Conclusion	101

Contents

5	HIV and Deportation from the United Kingdom	103
	I. Resisting Deportation under Article 3 of the European Convention on Human Rights	103
	A. <i>D v United Kingdom</i>	104
	B. The Practical Impact of Davoren's Case	107
	C. <i>N v Secretary of State for the Home Department</i>	108
	D. Evaluating the Decision in <i>N</i>	110
	(i) Can this Test ever be Met?	110
	(ii) Principle or Pragmatism?	112
	(iii) Could the Appellate Committee have gone Further?	114
	II. An Alternative Approach: Asylum Claims Based on Fear of Persecution	115
	III. Access to HIV Treatment Following Refusal of a Claim for Asylum	118
	IV. Conclusion	121
6	The Criminalisation of HIV Transmission	123
	I. Criminal Liability for the Sexual Transmission of Disease: False Starts and an Entrenched Lacuna	124
	A. Disapplying General Criminal Offences: <i>R v Clarence</i>	124
	B. Rejecting Specific Criminal Legislation: The Contagious Diseases Acts	128
	C. The Legacy of the Contagious Diseases Acts: Entrenching a Lacuna	129
	II. Attacking the Lacuna: The Law Commission's Proposals	133
	III. Closing the Lacuna: Judicial Reform	135
	A. <i>R v Ireland; P v Burstow</i>	135
	B. <i>R v Dica</i>	136
	IV. The Scope of the Current Law	138
	A. Can Prosecutions be Brought in Respect of Other Sexually Transmitted Infections?	138
	B. Can Prosecutions only be Brought for the <i>Transmission</i> of Disease?	140
	C. Does the <i>Mens Rea</i> Requirement Necessitate a Positive HIV Test?	140
	(i) D's Awareness of the Risk of HIV Transmission	141
	(ii) The Application of the Decision in <i>R v Mowatt</i>	144
	D. What is Required for Consent to Operate as a Defence?	145
	E. What is the Effect of Refraining from 'High Risk' Activities?	146
	V. Evidential Issues	148

Contents

VI. Countervailing Considerations: The Case Against Criminalisation	149
A. Does Criminalisation Deter People from Seeking HIV Tests?	150
(i) The Uptake of HIV Testing in Scotland after Kelly's Conviction	151
(ii) Unlinked Anonymous Testing	151
B. Might Criminalisation Encourage Unsafe Sexual Behaviour?	153
C. Are Prosecutions Discriminatory?	153
VII. Seeking the Middle Ground: Prosecutorial Guidelines	155
VIII. Conclusion	157
7 Access to Treatment: A Brief Note on Patent Law	159
I. Patents: Nature and Justification	161
II. The International Framework: TRIPS	163
III. The Doha Declaration	164
IV. Canada's Jean Chrétien Pledge to Africa Act	165
V. Conclusion	165
<i>Index</i>	167