INDEX

ACC (Acquisition Community Connection) [DAU], 117, 119,

120 fig, 132	product relationships including,
Accountability issue, 93, 95–96	165 fig
ACEP (Acquisition Career	structure of, 168-170
Enhancement Program)	Annual Repor
Working Group, 3	evaluation data included in,
Acquisition Policy Resource Center	164-165 fig, 166
(DAU), 114, 115	product relationships and, 84fig
AET PAT (Acquisition, Education,	purpose and functions of,
and Training Process Action	83, 171
Team) Final Report [1997], 6	structure of, 171 fig
AKSS (AT&L Knowledge Sharing	Awards
System)	ASTD BEST, 18, 151
ACC (Acquisition Community	Corporate University Exchange, 18
Connection) of, 117, 119,	CUBIC, 18, 151
120fig, 132	facilitating sense of workforce
DAU Virtual Library or, 119,	pride, 183–184
121 fig	given to DAU, 18–19fig
description and penefits of,	tips and techniques for winning,
116–117, 122	186fig
DoD Deskbook of, 132	USDLA Distance Learning, 18
"All hands" communication, 45–46	See also Competition
Allen, M., 54 <i>e</i>	•
American Society of Training and	В
Development, 76	Balanced Scorecard in the Federal
American Society of Training and	Government (Whittaker), 80
Development (ASTD) BEST	Barley, K., 55 <i>e</i>
award, 18, 151	Barnett, K., 55e
Anderson, F., 5, 6, 9, 24, 30, 46, 56	The bathtub story, 125–126, 128–129
Annual Performance Plan	Benchmarking
development of, 83, 90-92	benefits of, 181–182
strategic goals statement in, 93,	organizations DAU used for, $65e$
94 fig	seeking solutions through, 63-66
Strategic Plan relationship to,	Bersin and Associates, 143
84 fig	Bersin, J., 55 <i>e</i> , 143

Annual Performance Report (APR)

described, 164, 166, 168 page from, 170 fig

Best practices. See DAU best	Cohort training, 204-205
practices	Cold War, 2
Beyond Goldwater-Nichols report, 8	College graduates (2005), 198fig
Brandon Hall, 76	Commission on Government
Business architecture	Procurement (1972), 2
factors for successful change	Communication
of, 164	to "all hands," 45–46
finding new solutions for trans-	during middle managers
forming, 162–164	meetings, 44–45
modular approach to transform-	organizational alignment and
ing, 162	need for, 27–28
moving from disconnected data	on PLM as best practice, 133-134
to, 161 <i>fig</i>	Communities of Practice (CoPs)
preparing for transformation of,	learning architecture for building,
160–162	109–111, 116–124, 137–138
See also Learning architecture	process of building, 155-136
Business Week, 29	Competition
"Butts in seats" concept, 147–148	continual improvement facilitated
	by, 184–185
\mathbf{C}	evolving environment of global,
Caterpillar, 59, 61, 63	197-200
CDSC (Curricula Development	intellectual capital valuation
and Support Center) [DAU],	advantage in, 209
41, 132	See also Awards
Change leadership	Composure, 27
characteristics of, 26–28	Conferences/symposia
Chief Learning Officer (CLO)	adapting ideas presented in, 63
roles in, 28–30	"attacking" the event, 62
as key to change, 26	learning architecture support of,
See also DAU senior leadership;	116
DAU transformation	list of, 60e
Chief Learning Officer (CLO)	seeking solutions by attending,
educator role of 28–29	59–61
organization alignment and, 28	strategy for choosing/attending, 61
Chief Learning Officer magazine,	Consultants
18, 200	caveat emptor (buyer beware)
Classroom training courses	regarding, 57–58
e-learning versus, 123–124	DAU culture considered by, 58–59
learning and development pro-	DAU solutions using, 54, 56
ductivity of, $127 fig$	list of DAU, $54e-56e$
location of DAU, 16	Continual improvement
CLC (Continuous Learning Center)	competition as facilitating,
[DAU], 115–116, 122	184–185
CLO Dashboard, 156–160, 166,	DAU environment of, 175–180
167fig	DAU sector leadership facilitating,
Cohen, W. S., 8	180–184

key takeaways for, 190 for strategic planning, 83 moving to the future through DAU Continuous Learning Center leadership visioning and, (CLC), 115-116, 122 185 - 189DAU Curricula Development and new technology facilitating, Support Center (CDSC), 41, 132 174 - 175DAU (Defense Acquisition University) Corporate University Best in Class birth of, 2-3 (CUBIC) award, 18, 151 current state of, 14–19 fig description of, 1-2 Corporate University Exchange Awards, 18 future of, 191-210 Corporate university model hidden problems of, 4-6 as agent of change, 12 key takeaways for understanding characteristics of, 10-11 transformation of, 20 as DoD advantage, 64-66 national awards given to, 18-19fig Motorola's, 59-60 rethinking the, 6–8 tactical and strategic functions of, understanding role of, 25–30 workforce reached by, 13fig-14 77–78fig Courses. See Training courses DAU environment/culture Covey, S., 22 consultant familiarity with, 58-59 Criticism continual improvement as part of, acceptance of, 47 as resistance to change, 46–47 description of, 30–31 new technology and impact on, Curriculum CDSC (Curricula Development 174 - 175and Support Center) for, 41 rejecting the Super Bowl hang-David D. Acker Library support over, 176, 178 of, 119 DAU organization/structure use of strategic, 15 agile course delivery, 16, 18 See also Training courses corporate university model used in, 10-11, 12 Customers sector leadership benefits to, 182 early form of, 3-4 upward organizational alignment institutionalized solutions within, and, 33fg 51 - 71key takeaways for understanding transformation of, 20 Data Mart, 154-156, 166 redesigning and retooling the, DAU Acquisition Community 9 - 12Connection (ACC), 117, 119, regional, 17fig strategic curriculum of, 15 120fig, 132 transformation snapshot of, 12-14 DAU Acquisition Policy Resource Center, 114, 115 world-class faculty of, 15 DAU best practices See also Organizational alignment DAU sector leadership benefits of exposure to, 181 creation of, 180 for evaluation program, 151 for learning architecture, 111 organizational benefits of, PLM as, 133-134 180 - 184

DAU senior leadership	FAR 15 Rewrite initiative of, 22–24
change in (2000), 10	DoD (Department of Defense), 22,
changing model for hiring, 42–43	30–31, 73, 85
commitment and buy-in by, 93	DoD Deskbook, 132
guiding principles followed by,	Downward alignment. See Vertical
11–12	(downward) organizational
leadership visioning by, 186–189	alignment
reading materials accessed by, 54	-
realistic goals, responsibility, and	E
accountability of, 93, 95-96	E-learning training courses
upward alignment and goals of,	classroom versus, 123–124
34–37	description of, 16, 18
vertical downward alignment by,	learning and development
40–48	productivity of, 127fig
See also Change leadership	Echols, M. E., 55 <i>e</i>
DAU transformation	Enabling strategies
of business infrastructure, 160-164	development of, 86
challenges facing, 184-185	overview of goals and, 87fig-88fig
establishing learning architecture	EPRA (Enterprise Performance
for, 107–138	Review and Analysis),
evaluation strategy development	166–158, 180
and, 139–172	Evaluation data
leadership as key to, 26	Annual Performance Report
organizational alignment, 21–49	(APR) use of, 164–165 fig, 166,
resistance to DAU transformation	168–170fig
and, 46–48	Annual Report use of, 164–165fig,
seeking solutions for, 51–71	166, 171 fig
setting the direction for, 21–22,	EPRA (Enterprise Performance
73–105	Review and Analysis), 166–168,
strategic goals related to, 86	180
Strategic Plan developed for, 77–96	operational effectiveness,
See also Change leader hip	143–150, 151–156
David D. Acker Library, 119, 121fig	organizational effectiveness, 150,
DAWIA certification courses,	156–160
112–113	on tactical and strategic tasks,
DAWIA (Defense Acquisition	142–143fig
Workforce Improvement Act)	why we collect, 140–142
[1990], 3, 30, 112–113	Evaluation strategy
Decisiveness, 27	Data Mart tool used in, 154–156, 166
Defense Acquisition Guidebook, 117	DAU's best practice for, 151
Defense AT&L magazine, 116	key takeaways for developing
Disability standards, 18	smart, 171–172
DoD AT&L (Department of Defense	Kirkpatrick evaluation model used
Acquisition, Technology and	as, 56, 151–154
Logistics)	measuring operational
described, 1, 85	effectiveness, 143–150, 151–156

measuring organizational	strategic planning for establish-
effectiveness, 150, 156–160	ing, 80–81 <i>fig</i>
See also Performance Plan	upward alignment and leadership,
Executive training courses, 113	34–37
	GPRA (Government Performance
F	Results Act) [1990], 80
Faculty	
DAU enterprise-wide perfor-	Н
mance metrics on, 149	Hamre, J. J., 9
DAU world-class, 15	"Heading check," 35–36
FAR (Federal Acquisition Regula-	"Hearing problem," 5–6, 22
tion) 15 Rewrite, 22–24	"High Impact Learning Organizations"
Federal disability standards, 18	survey (2004), 143–144fig
Fitzhugh Commission (1970), 2	Higher education
FOF (Friend of Frank's), 130	change in bachelor's degrees
The future	(1991-2002), 139fig
evolving global competitive	college graduates (2005), 198fig
environment and, 197–200	Hiring model, 42–43
incorporating technology in, 192	Hoff, T., 53, 202
intellectual capital valuation	Hoover Commission (1949), 2
approach in, 206–209	Hoo er Commission (1955), 2
key takeaways for, 210	1105.01 (1550), 2
shrinking workforce talent pool	I
and, 194–197	IBM, 59, 63
Future learning	Institutionalizing organization
cohort training approach to, 204–205	alignment
new approaches to, 200–201	overview of, 37–38
Point of Need approach to,	starting at the top, 38
201–203	strategic process for, 39 fig
simulation approach to, 203-204	Intellectual capital valuation
talent management approach to,	as competitive advantage, 209
205–206	importance of, 206–207
West of the second	intellectual depreciation problem
G	of, 207–209
Gansler, J. S., 7	International training courses, 113
Ganzler, J., 9	
GAO Best Practices Report (1999), 6	J
Global competitive environment,	Johnson, J., 43
197–200	Joint Capabilities Integration and
Goals	Development System, 115
Annual Performance Plan	•
statement on strategic, 93	K
creating strategic, 86	Key takeaways
enabling strategies to accomplish,	for continual improvement, 190
86–88 fig	for developing evaluation strategy,
issue of having realistic, 93, 95–96	171–172

Key takeaways (continued)	Learning architecture
for establishing a learning	conferences/symposia support
architecture, 137–138	of, 116
for the future, 210	considering modern learning
for organizational alignment, 48-49	needs for, 109–110
for seeking solutions, 70–71	creating value-added and evolv-
for setting the direction, 104–105	ing culture of learning, 119,
for understanding DAU transfor-	122–124
mation, 20	DAU best practices for, 111
Kirkpatrick, D. J., 55e, 56	key takeaways for establishing,
Kirkpatrick evaluation model	137–138
expert workshop presented on, 56	knowledge sharing through,
illustrated diagram of DAU's use	116–119, 120fig–121fig
of, 153 <i>fig</i>	NT + OO = COO strategy of,
Level I of, 151–152	136–137fig
Level II of, 152	See also Business architecture;
Level III of, 152	Performance Learning Model
Level IV of, 154	(PLM); Training courses
measuring course quality using,	Legislative statutes
151–154	DAWIA (Detense Acquisition
Knowledge Advisors, 151	Workionee Improvement Act)
Knowledge Sharing System. See	[1990], 3, 30, 112–113
AKSS (AT&L Knowledge	GPKA (Government Performance
Sharing System)	Results Act) [1990], 80
Kreig, K. J., 18	Veterans Benefit Act, 24–25
meig, m.j., 10	Logistics Management Institute
L	Report (1998), 6
Leadership. See DAU sector leadership	Report (1990), 0
Leadership visioning	M
description and importance of,	Management Concepts, 80
186–187	Masie, E., 55 <i>e</i> –56 <i>e</i>
exposure, discernment	McMahon, P., 37
implementation process of,	Meister, J. C., 56e
187fig-189	Metrics
See also Vision	Annual Performance Report
Learning	(APR)/Annual Report use of,
future approaches to workforce,	164–165 <i>fig</i> , 166
200–206	operational effectiveness,
to learn, 133–135	143–150, 151–156
measuring ROI versus analytics of,	organizational effectiveness, 150,
145–147	156–160
Point of Need, 201–203	on tactical and strategic tasks,
See also Training courses Learning analytics	
Learning analytics	142–143 fig
	why we collect, 140-142
definition of, 144–145	why we collect, 140–142 Microsoft, 63
	why we collect, 140-142

Mission statement Organizational effectiveness definition of function of, 74–75 CLO Dashboard measuring, organizational alignment and role 156-160, 166, 167fig of, 21-22 reasons for measuring, 150 strategic goals related to, 86 Organizational mind-set, 44–48 as tactical function, 77-78fig Oscar, K., 6 Motorola, 63 Motorola's corporate university, 59-60 Packard Commission report, 3 Perceived value, 183 NT + OO = COO strategy, 136-137 figPerformance Learning Model (PLM) Continuous Learning Center OCR (Office of Corollary Responsi-(CLC) as part of, 115-116, 122 bility), 90, 92 continuous learning component Online Computer Library Center, of, 115–116 described, 197-109 119, 121fig Online courses. See E-learning traindiagram of, 108fig ing courses four main components of, 110-112 Operational effectiveness definition of, 143 implementing the, 124–133 knowledge sharing component of, measuring, 143-150 Operational effectiveness metrics 116 - 119"butts in seats," 147–148 optimizing the, 133-137fig course quality, 151-154 performance support component Data Mart, 154-156, 166 of, 113–115 DAU enterprise-wide perfortraining courses component of, mance framework for 149-150 112 - 113learning analytics versus ROI, See also Learning architecture 145 - 147Performance Learning Model Operational vision 75–77 (PLM) implementation OPR (Office of Primary Responsibilyear one—preparation, 129-130 ity), 90, 91–92 year three-maturation, 132–133 Organizational alignment year two-implementation, DAU environment and, 30-31 130 - 132keeping the main thing as the Performance Plan main thing, 22-24 CLO Dashboard measuring, key takeaways for, 48-49 156–160, 166, 167 fig mission focus during, 21-22 developing annual, 83, 90-92 rapid delivery of veteran training evaluating and recalibrating, due to, 24-25 141-142 understanding role of DAU as measuring strategic/tactical outcomes of, 142-143fig part of, 25-30 operational effectiveness of, vertical downward, 40-48 143-150, 151-156 vertical upward, 31–39 See also DAU organization/structure organizational effectiveness of, Organizational culture, 30-31 150, 156-160

Performance Plan (continued) Senior leadership. See DAU senior Strategic Plan relationship to, 84fig leadership See also Evaluation strategy Setting direction Performance Report, 83, 84fig early victories in, 96-104 Performance Support program on education vs. practitioner consulting efforts associated with, training, 74fig getting in the game for, 73 described, 113-114 key takeways for, 104-105 RDT (Rapid Deployment mission statement for, 21-22, Training) capability of, 114-115, 122, 154 operational vision for, 75–77 Performance Targets, 90, 91 strategic planning for, 77–96 Performance Tasks, 90-91 Setting direction victories Phillips, J. J., 56*e* moving toward the early, 96-97 Point of Need learning, 201-203 program management training as, Positive attitude, 26–27 100 - 102President's Management Agenda, 85 the reorganization as, 97–100 success as breeding success, 103-104 R Simulations, 203-204 RDT (Rapid Deployment Training), 114-115, 122, 154 Soviet Union, 2 Reading materials Stakeholders DAU reading list, 52*e*–53*e* identifying and engaging, 34 recommended, 51-52 sector leadership benefits to, 182 senior leaders using resources of, 54 upward organizational alignment Resistance to change, 46-48 and, 33fig Resources Strategic challenges development, conferences and symposia, 59-63 85 - 86consultants, 54-59 Strategic goals reading materials, 51-54 Annual Performance Plan statestrategic partnerships as, 66–70 ment on, 93, 94fig takeways for solutions through, development of, 86 70 - 71overview of enabling strategies Respect, 27 and, 87fig-88fig Responsibility issue, 93, 95–96 Strategic partnerships identifying, 67-68, 70 partial list of DAU, 68e-69e Seeking solutions seeking solutions through, 66-67 benchmarking practice used for, as win-win proposition, 70 63–66, 65*e* Strategic Plan conferences and symposia commitment and buy-in to, 93 resources for, 59-63 creating strategic goals step of, 86 consultants for, 54-59 development of strategic challenges step of, 85-86 key takeways for, 70-71 reading materials for, 51–54 enabling strategies to accomplish strategic partnerships for, 66-70 goals, 86-88fig

four basic steps listed, 85 new technology to facilitate, goal alignment step of, 85 174 - 175long-term continuity of, 89-90 online, 16, 18 near-term flexibility of, 88-89 Point of Need approach to, realistic goals, responsibility, and 201 - 203accountability issues of, 93, simulation used in, 203-204 95 - 96talent management approach to, Strategic planning 205-206 Annual Performance Report See also Curriculum; Learning; (APR) role in, 164–165fig, 166 Learning architecture Annual Report role in, 83, 84fig, Training magazine, 18, 200 Training Top 100, 151 164–165fig, 166 Transformation. See DAU DAU's best practice for, 83 as dynamic process/relationships transformation of, 83-84fig importance of, 78-79 resources and elements of, 80-83 USDLA Distance Learning Awards, 18 tactical/strategic functions of supporting mission, 77-78fig Value perception, 183 Strategic tasks Vance, D., 59, 61 of corporate universities, 77–78 fig metrics on, 142-143fig Vertical (downward) organizational Symposia. See Conferences/symposia alignment changing opinions during, 40-41 Т changing organizational mind-set Tactical tasks during, 44-48 of corporate universities, 77-78 changing people during, 42-44 metrics on, 142-143fig changing positions during, 41-42 Talent management, 205-206 described, 40 Vertical (upward) organizational Targeted training approach, 115 Technology alignment facilitating continual improvedescribed, 31-32 ment, 174-175 institutionalizing, 37–39 fig incorporated in DAU future, 192 recognizing power and influence Topcover, 36–37 role in, 32, 34 stakeholders and customers as Training courses assignment-specific, 113 part of, 33fig classroom, 16 understanding leadership goals during, 34-37 classroom versus e-learning, 123 - 124Veterans Benefit Act, 24-25 cohort approach to, 204-205 Vision DAWIA certification, 112-113 operational, 75–77 executive and international, 113 as strategic function, 77-78fig strategic planning role of, measuring operational effectiveness of, 143-150 80-81 figmeasuring quality of, 151-154 See also Leadership visioning

Wall Street Journal, 29

W

Whiteside, M., 160
Whittaker, J. B., 80
Workforce
civilian numeric changes
(2004-2014) in U.S., 195fig
college graduates (2005) entering
the, 198fig
DAWIA mandating improvement
of, 3, 30, 112–113
facilitating sense of pride/enthusiasm in, 183–184

intellectual capital valuation of, 206–209
meeting the needs of new generations entering the, 192–194
predicted changes in, 194–197
reached by DAU (Defense Acquisition University), 13 fig-14
talent management of, 205–206
Wynne, M., 10

Z

Zaleski, A., 43, 108 Zeroed-In Technologies, 156

ALTO HAMAN BOOKShop COM