Index

Advantage, perceived, 164, 168
Allen, Paul, 167
Allocation methods, 239
applying at inception, 102–104
determining most appropriate, 254
and value destruction, 107–158
what they are, 133–136
and Zogenix, 69–83
Allocations:
v.s. enterprise value, 246–248
Angels, 100–101, 120
Anti-dilution protection, 108
Appraisers, 225–236
Appreciation, capital, 42
Aradigm, 59, 60
Asset approach, 73
Auditors:
mistakes, 225–236
and Practice Aid, 237–262
and value of employee stock options, 109–113
v.s. valuation professionals, 231–234
Backsolve method, 97, 98
and Kayak.com, 208
and Microsoft, 162, 168
OPM and Microsoft value, 173
and Yahoo!, 137–138, 140, 142
Battery Ventures, 215
Bell, George, 214
Benefit stream, 147
BEV [business enterprise value], 43, 137
Bid-ask spread, 261
Binomial option pricing model, 245
Black-Scholes method, 37, 39–40, 245
and Kayak.com, 205–211
shortcomings, 206
and Yahoo!, 137, 157
Board of directors, 34
Book value, 24, 128–132
Breakpoint chart, 37. See also Waterfall analysis
Breakpoints, 40, 41, 43, 210–211, 251–252
Buffet, Warren, 263–264
Buildup approach, 145
Business enterprise value (BEV), 43, 137
Call options, 107
Call value floor, 43
CalPERS, 127, 178
Capital appreciation, 42
Capital asset pricing model (CAPM), 78–79
Capitalization of earnings method, 3, 74, 77–92
Capitalization rate, 91
Capitalization) table, 5, 152
finding profit opportunities without, 199–200
Capital structure irrelevance, 90–91
CAPM (capital asset pricing model), 78–79
Carver deal term, 53, 186–187, 189–212
and Kayak.com, 200–203
review of, 235–236
using Crunchbase data, 204
Case study:
Kayak.com, 183–223
Yahoo!, 137–158
Zogenix, 57–67
Cash flow, 50
Kayak.com, 220, 221
potential, 36–40
and time, 36–40
Cashman, Josh, 238–262
Cash-on-cash return, 80, 81, 109, 127
Certificate of Incorporation, 186
Kayak.com, 192–199, 200
Chicago method. See VC method
Common stock:
calculating maximum payout per share, 71
and DLOM, 254
Common stock (Continued)
Facebook, 16–19
and hurdle rate, 200
Kayak.com, 216–222
valuing, 15–16, 247
and volatility, 123, 124–125, 139, 141
Companies, undervaluing, 107–158
Company specific risk, 146–147, 185–186, 216–217
Company value, 48–50, 54
Comparables (comps), 91–100
Kayak.com, 220
and volatility, 124
Yahoo!, 145
Compensation plans, 34
ConnectU, 13–15, 17–18, 21, 263
Contingent claims mode, 251
Continuing value, 85
Controlling interest, 17, 22
Control premium, 34–35, 114
Conversion price, 198–199
Convertible debt, 66, 252
Court rulings, 31–33
Covariance, 98
Cravens v. Welch, 212
Crunchbase, 186, 189, 191–199
Kayak.com, 201, 202, 204
Current method, 37, 39
Current value approach, 239, 241
Cutler, Joel E., 214
Cutler, Kim Mai, 42
DCF. See Discounted cash flow (DCF) method
Deal terms:

test results for Kayak.com, 203–205
and time, 36–40
Debt
as first breakpoint, 251–252
Digital Sky Technologies (DST), 16
Dilution, 108, 160, 227–228
Kayak.com shares, 198–199
Dilutive financing, 62
Discounted cash flow (DCF) method, 3, 74, 94–95, 255, 257
applying to venture-backed company, 85–105
should venture-backed companies consider, 57–67
Discount for lack of control. See DLOC (discount for lack of control)
Discount for lack of marketability. See DLOM (discount for lack of marketability)
Discounting future benefits, 74–75, 145
Discount rate, 185, 211
and capitalization rate, 91
definition, 75–76
and fair market value for minority interests, 231
and pension funds, 178–179
selecting appropriate, 254
and volatility, 180
and Yahoo!, 145–156
Discretionary earnings, 34
Dividend irrelevance theory, 90–91, 247
Dividend-paying capacity, 24
Dividends history, 90
DLOC (discount for lack of control), 18, 21, 22, 212, 217
and minority shareholders, 33–35
DLOM (discount for lack of marketability), 17, 18, 22, 23–26, 132, 181, 231
deriving for valuations, 27–35
and discount for lack of liquidity, 257
and fair market value, 255
Kayak.com, 221
and preferred stock, 256–257
and venture-fund portfolios, 254–258
and Yahoo!, 137, 141
Down round, 62
DST (Digital Sky Technologies), 16
Duff & Phelps Risk Premium Report, 146, 231
Earnings capacity, 24
EBITDA, 129, 144
Emory, John, 30
Empirical studies. See Restricted stock studies
eNPV (expected net present value) method, 243
Enron, 4, 24, 35–36
Enterprise value, 249. See also Takeover value
allocating to different classes of securities, 37
defined, 113
and equity value, 247, 251
Index

increasing, 169
Kayak.com, 220, 221
real cost of, 132–137
separating from allocation of that value, 69–72
and value destruction, 107–158
vs. allocations, 246–248
Equity market capitalization, 78
Equity risk premium (ERP), 145, 185
Equity value, 114
and enterprise value, 247, 251
market value of, 77
Estimated payout diagrams, 41–44
Excel, 124
Excite, 115, 120, 123, 214
Exit value, 169, 171, 172, 241
Expected net present value. See eNPV (expected net present value) method
Eyeball/napkin model, 186, 188–189
Facebook, 4, 13–56, 262
409A valuation of, 18–19
and ConnectU lawsuits, 14–15, 263
employees and minority interest, 21
pre-money value, 45
and Revenue Ruling 56-60, 24–25
and SecondMarket, 259–260
Series D value vs. litigation value, 51
Series D waterfall, 52, 53
valuation vs. Enron valuation, 35–36
valuing common stock compared to preferred stock, 16–19
Fair market value, 228–229
and 409A valuation, 26–28
and investment value, 230
Kayak.com, 219–220
for minority interest, 231
and quantitative studies, 256
real cost of, 132–137
Fair value, 4
defined, 110, 132, 135
inconsistencies, 126
real cost of, 132–137
FAS 157. See Topic 820
FAS123R. See Topic 718
Faust, Jeff, 225–236, 238–262
Finance hammer. See Hammer of finance
Financial buyer, 20
Financing, future, 99–100, 120–125
Flexibility, 226
Flotation cost studies, 33–35
Founding management team, 213
409A valuations, 225–236
economic impact of, 184
elements of, 19–26
Facebook valuation, 15
and fair market value, 16, 248
and takeover value, 113–119
and tax penalties, 183
trends, 242
why users don’t read them, 229–230
Freely tradable minority interest value, 22
Fundamental value, 260
Future benefit stream, 42, 145
Future cash flow, 211
and hypothetical sellers, 20
valuing, 79–83
Future financing, 99–100
Future value (FV), 79–83
Gates, Bill, 164, 167
GDP growth, nominal, 88, 89, 91–92
General Catalyst Partners (GCP), 214, 215
General partners (GPs), 176
Goodwill, 25
Google, 116, 120
Gordon growth model, 85–87, 87–90, 91–92
Granted options, 250–252
Groupon, 262
Growth:
and capitalization rate, 91
and Gordon growth model, 87–90
role on value, 86
Growth/decay formula, 75
Guideline company method, 95
Guideline transaction method, 97
Hammer of finance, 74–75, 79, 80, 144, 184–185, 203
Horizon value, 85, 94
Hurdle rate, 200, 254
Hypothetical buyer and seller, 20
Ibbotson Associates, 146, 231
Idiosyncratic risk, 8–9, 216, 218
Illiquid assets, 50–51
Implied rate of return (IRR), 81, 89, 90, 127, 128–132, 133
INDEX

Income approach, 73, 74–76, 220
Industries, mature, 86, 241
Industry risk premium, 145, 185
Intangibles, 25
Interest, ownership, 17
Intrinsic value, 248
Investment value, 228–229, 230
IPO offering-cost studies. See Flotation cost studies
IPO studies, 30
IRR (implied rate of return), 81, 89, 90, 127, 128–132, 133
ITA Software, 214
J-curve, 101
Ka-shing, Li, 14, 15
Kayak.com:
backsolve method, 208
blind deal term test results, 203–205
and Carver deal term, 186–187, 200–204
case study, 183–223
Certificate of Incorporation, 192–199, 200
common stock valuations, 217–222
comparables (comps), 220
convertible preferred stock, 217
dLDM (discount for lack of marketability), 221
terprise value, 220, 221
equity grants, fair values and intrinsic values, 218
eyeball/napkin models, 186, 188–189
fair market value, 213–220
fairness grid for option grants, 190
income approach, 220
investors in, 215
management team, 213
manual analysis using Crunchbase data, 191–199, 201
N(d1) N(d2) OPM characteristics, 208
OPM (option-pricing methodology), 210, 220
original issue share price, 198–199
payouts to GCP and Sequoia, 214
per share transaction history, 188
rapid model approach, 189–212
risks, 218
two-minute analysis, 188–189
volatility, 222
waterfall and Black-Sholes model, 205–211
Khosla, Vinod, 214
Last round pricing, 134
Letter stock, 27
Levels of value, 21
Life science valuation, 57–67
Limited partners (LPs), 176, 178
LinkedIn, 13, 117, 120
Liquidation, 200, 252
Liquidity, 23–26
as component of marketability, 22
discount for lack of, 132, 256, 257
as function of volume, 29
Litigation value, 51
Lognormal distribution, 207
Long-term market return, 78
Lorenzo Partners, 215
Mandelbaum factors, 31–32, 211–212, 231
Marketability, 22, 25–26
Marketability discount. See DLOM (discount for lack of marketability)
Marketable securities, 26
Market approach, 18
definition, 73
for estimating terminal value, 95–98
Kayak.com, 221
most popular, 95–96
Market capitalization, 70, 248–249
Market participants, 136–137
Market rate of return, 185
Market value, 128–132
MCI Worldcom, 4
Mean premium paid, 34–35
Mergerstat control premium data, 34–35
Microsoft, 5, 91
and Facebook, 14
first VC round, 163
ignoring unissued option pool, 166–169
OPM backsolve with typical option pool assumption, 170
OPM payouts and back-solved value, 173, 174
sanity tests, 162–176
Middle stage company, 254
Miller-Modigliani theory. See Dividend irrelevance theory
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Minority interest</td>
<td>17, 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>discount, 34–35, 114, 212 and DLOC, 33–35</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and fair market value, 231 value, 22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modigliani-Miller theory. See Dividend irrelevance theory</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moe, Michael</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nails of finance</td>
<td>79, 144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Narendra, Divya</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative cash flow</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netflix, 115, 120–123</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net present value (NPV), 81–82</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netscape, 120</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Next round pricing</td>
<td>248–250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nominal GDP growth</td>
<td>88, 89, 92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-controlling interest</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-marketable minority interest</td>
<td>21–23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Officers:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and option pool reserves, 179–181</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPM (option-pricing methodology), 31, 114, 147, 211, 239, 240–243, 250</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and different classes of stock, 39</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>finding inputs for, 245–246</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kayak.com, 210, 220</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lack of understanding of, 112–113</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and Microsoft, 173, 174</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and Twitter, 37–38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>using extremely high exit value as sanity test for, 171</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and Yahoo!, 140</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opportunity, perceived value, 101</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option pool reserves, 179–181, 249</td>
<td>149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>perceived advantage, 164</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unissued, 159–161, 166–169</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>valuation elements impacted by, 161–176</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option-pricing methodology. See OPM (option-pricing methodology)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Options, employee:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and auditors' valuations of, 109–113 mispriced, 8–9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>overvaluing, 107–158</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pricing, 109, 110–111 and volatility, 120</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option value, 39</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orland, Joe, 238–262</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ownership interest</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAR Investment Partners, 215</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payout diagram. See Waterfall analysis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pension funds, 178–179</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceived advantage, 164, 168</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceived risk, 211</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peter Lynch factor, 35</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portfolio Company (PCo.), 187</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-money capitalization, 61, 248</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-money value, 47–48, 266</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power method. See PWERM (probability weighted return method)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Practice Aid, 237–262</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>definitions of value approaches, 73</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fair value definition, 135</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>implied rates of returns, 133</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>six stages of development for startups, 254</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and volatility, 124–125</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>what it is, 239–243</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preferred stock:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>calculating maximum payout per share, 71 and DLOM, 256–257</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facebook, 16–19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kayak.com, 217</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>options, 107–108</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>value, 247</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCs approach to pricing, 111</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and volatility, 124–125</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-IPO studies, 30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-money capitalization, 248</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-money value, 44–55, 227–229, 266</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cost of flawed definition of, 47–48</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>using VC method vs. underwriter method, 50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>why current definition is wrong, 48–55</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>why it’s almost never company value, 48–50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yahoo! Series A, 152</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present value, 79–83</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>of benefit stream, 147</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dependence on who investors are, 120–125</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Price earnings (PE) ratio, 92–93</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pricing, next round, 248–250</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probabilities, 63–64, 211</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probability weighted return method. See PWERM (probability weighted return method)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protective put, 17, 31, 180, 181, 256</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and Yahoo!, 137, 141</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Put option, 261</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
INDEX

PWERM (probability weighted return method), 211, 239, 240–243
and subjectivity, 243–245
QDLM (quantitative discounts for lack of marketability methods), 32
QMDM (quantitative marketability discount model), 30–31
Qualitative models, 231, 253–254
Quantitative models, 30–31, 231, 256
Rand Curtiss, 231
Rapid model approach, 186, 189–212
Rate of return, 89, 90
Redemption policies, 32
Regression analysis, 98
Repurchase agreements, 32
Required rate of return, 81, 91, 116–118, 211, 231
and volatility, 131–132
Reserved options pool, 249, 250–252
Residual value, 85
Restricted stock studies, 27–29
Return risk, 131
Revenue Ruling 59-60, 23, 24–25, 136, 218, 259
Reverse solving, 98, 151
Reverse vesting, 102
Right of first refusal (ROFRs), 32
Rights and warrants, 62–63
Risk, 7–11, 185
company specific, 146–147
Risk-adjusted net present value. See rNPV (risk-adjusted net present value) method
Risk-free rate, 78, 81, 145
Risk-free securities, 81
rNPV (risk-adjusted net present value) method, 211, 243
ROFRs (right of first refusal), 32
Round-to-round pricing, 42, 115, 116, 117, 120
Sanity tests, 162–176
SAS101, 240–243
Schedule of investors, 152
Scoble, Robert, 42
Secondary sales, 258
SecondMarket, 21, 186, 189, 258–262, 265
Section 409A, 14
Securities:
marketable, 26
risk-free, 7
Sequoia Technology Partners VI, 149, 150, 156–157, 214, 215
Series A capitalization, 102, 121, 148, 241
Series B capitalization, 70, 122
Series D capitalization, 51, 52, 53
Share dilution, 227–228
Sharespost, 21, 186, 189, 258–262, 265
Sharpe ratio, 131–132
Size premium, 145, 185
Softbank Holdings, Inc., 148, 156, 158
Spectrum Equity Investors, 216
Speculation, 30
Standard deviation, 124
Standard normal distributions, 207
Standard of value, 16, 15–21, 228–229
Startups:
and 409A valuations, 233–234
employees, 264
six stages of development, 254
ways of looking at value at inception, 102
Stocks, 155
dilution, 108, 227–228
future grants of options, 250–251
purchase agreement, 152
repurchases, 258
Stocks, Bonds, Bills and Inflation Yearbook (Ibbotson), 146
Strategic buyer, 20
Subjectivity, 243–245
Synergistic buyer. See Strategic buyer
Systematic risk, 7–11, 145, 185, 216, 218
Takeover value, 22, 113–119. See also Enterprise value
Tax advisors:
and option pool reserves, 179–181
10% rule, 110–111
Terminal value, 85, 91–100
Thiel, Peter, 14
Time, 36–40, 50
to expiration, 245
impact of differences in on indicated value, 177
and simplifying assumptions, 175–176
Topic 718, 6, 135–136
Topic 820, 135, 230, 237–262
Total equity value, 69–70, 72–79
Index

Tranches, 63–64
Transaction analysis, 255
Transparency, 44
Treasury bonds, 7, 109, 146
Treasury method, 249
TVI (Technology Venture Investors), 162, 164, 167
Twitter, 37–44, 122
Two-minute analysis, 188–189

Uncertainty, 206
Underwriter method, 50
University of California, 128
Unrealized value, 260–261
Unvested options, 250–252
UTIMCO, 127

Valuation methods:
applying at inception, 102–104
Valuation of Privately-Held Company Equity Securities Issued as Compensation. See Practice Aid
Valuation professionals, 225–236
separating enterprise value from allocation of that value, 69–72
vs. auditors, 231–234
what they do, 234–235
Valuations. See also 409A valuations; type of approach, i.e. Market approach

case studies of gains and losses, 13–56
date of, 20
dependence on who investors are, 119–125
deriving DLOM, 27–55
impact of secondary sales of private company shares, 136
introduction, 1–11
measured by market participants, 99
methods regarding Zogenix, 69–83
readily observable inputs, 143–145
Value:
definition of various approaches, 73
destruction, 107–158
determining, 260
levels of, 21
overstating and understating simultaneously, 125–127
perception of, 101
role of growth in, 86
ways of looking at it, 102

VC method, 50, 55, 98–99, 211
impact on parties relying on assumptions of, 176–181
VCs:
approach to pricing preferred stock, 100–101, 111
impact on parties relying on assumptions about investments, 100–101, 176–181
Venture capital general partners (GPs), 176
Venture capital limited partners (LPs), 176, 178
Venture capital method. See VC method
Venture-fund book value, 125
Vesting, reverse, 102
Volatility, 31, 39, 40–41, 216, 246, 265, 266
of angel-funded deals, 128
and cash flow potential, 36–40
dependence on who investors are, 120–125
and discount percentage, 180
and fund returns, 130
impact on common stock, 139
and Kayak.com, 210, 222
levering, 143
and management team, 213
and options, 120
and probabilites, 63–64
relation to investors, 118
and required rate of return, 131–132
and risk, 185
and time, 36–40
and Twitter, 41–42
and Yahoo! common stock, 141
WACC (weighted average cost of capital), 76–79
Warrants:
agreements, 152
and rights, 62–63
valuing, 252–253
Washington state, 128
Waterfall analysis, 5, 37, 241, 250, 265. See also Breakpoint chart
appropriate time to use, 242
Facebook, 52, 53
Kayak.com, 205–211
Weighted average cost of capital (WACC), 76–79
Weston, Terry, 58
Who questions, 213–216
Why questions, 216
INDEX

Wiley.com/go/venturecapitalvaluation, 11, 186, 192
Winklevoss, Tyler and Cameron, 14

Yahoo!
backsolved method, 138, 140, 142
building up a discount rate for, 145–156
case study, 137–158
case study conclusions, 157–158
comps, 145
EBITDA, 144
exit value, 148, 149
financial statements, 149
option pool activity, 154
protective put, 141
readily observable valuation inputs, 143–145
reverse solve exit value, 151
round-to-round pricing, 116
secondary sales to Softbank, 158
Sequoia investment, 150

Series A investors, 120, 155
Series A pre-money estimates, 152
Series A valuation, 148
shares outstanding post Series A, 153
valuation in advance of IPO, 156–157
volatility, 123

Yield, 81

Zogenix, 57–67
company background, 58–64
convertible debt, 66
founder common stock startup allocations, 104
income approach valuation, 74
IPO filing, 64–67
pre-Series B capitalization, 65, 70
valuation methods vs. allocation methods, 69–83
valuing total equity, 72–79
WACC valuation, 76–79
Zynga, 5, 14, 136, 262