Alternatives, 42–43, 54–56, 58, 92, 94, 99, 101–103, 105, 114, 120, 127, 131, 138, 218–246, 273–277 development of, <i>See</i> Development Process	Constraints, 51, 55, 74, 208, 210, 213, 235 Cost, 13–14, 16–17, 19, 23, 89, 94–108, 110, 113, 127–131, 133–135, 141, 146–147, 149–151, 161, 165, 174–178, 182, 196–197, 207, 210–215, 220–223,
implementation of, 273, 277 resolution of, 274–275	249–252, 255–256, 258, 261, 265–266, 273–277
review and assessment of, 273–274	design to, 67
tracking of, 278	function matrix, 176–177, 179
Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP), 118,	lifecycle, 15, 63-64, 99-108, 131, 219,
120–125, 138	222, 245
fundamental scale, 120–121	model, 61–62, 95–97, 131, 260
American Customer Satisfaction Index, 35	price, 24, 26–27, 30–34
Annuity, 103–104	target, 94
Aristotle, 24, 199–200 Assumptions, 36, 40–41, 51, 200, 203,	types of, 65, 101–102 visibility, 94
221–222, 232, 234	Creativity, 41, 49–50, 52, 76, 149, 158, 163,
221 222, 232, 231	174, 184–186, 196, 198
Baseline concept, 94, 106, 116, 120,	checklist, 193,
123–125, 127, 206, 208, 210–211.	Curie, Marie, 47–48
218–219, 221, 223, 225, 226 230,	Customer, 2, 4–5, 9, 12, 23, 29–37, 39, 46,
234–235, 246,	89, 95, 111, 116–117, 121, 130, 146,
Bernoulli, Daniel, 25–26	149-151, 161-162, 165-166, 189, 272,
Bias, Cognitive, 25, 200-201	293,
Brainstorming, 52, 77, 84, 192, 207, 213	Customer Value Analysis, 33–37
Brain Sketching, 192	
Brain Writing, 192–193	da Vinci, Leonardo, 145–146
Bytheway, Charles, 156, 163, 165, 174,	Decision Lens, 124–127
	Depreciation, 102
Chamberlin, Thomas, 41–42	Development Process, 218–233
Chanel, Coco, 247–248	costs, 220–223
Change, 248–249, 252, 257–258, 261,	narratives, 220, 230–232
270–273 forms of 272, 273	performance, 220, 223–225
forces of, 272–273 implementing, 273	risk assessment, 220, 225–230 technical viability, 220–221
stages of, 270–271	Discount Rate, 103–104
Communication, 6, 9, 36–39, 42, 75, 201,	Discount Rate, 103–104
248–251, 260, 272, 290–291	Economics
dynamics, 290–291	behavioral, 3
Confucius, 45	engineering, 105
Consensus, 36–37, 39, 56, 71, 125, 137,	Edison, Thomas, 217–218
209–210, 232, 235	Einstein, Albert, 49, 183–184, 191, 193
, , = , ==	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,

Eisenhower, Dwight, 281-282	Function Logic, 156
Elicitation, 201, 204–206	and, 162–163
Endowment Effect, 29	how-why, 156-159
Escalation, 104–105	or, 162–163
Evaluation Techniques, 207	when, 159
comparison, 207–209	
evaluationmatrix, 53, 207, 210-215	Gantt Chart, 79, 91-92, 134
nominal group technique, 207,	General Electric, 1-2, 10-12
209–210	
simple rating, 207–208	Heuristics, 201–207, 249
Exit Briefing, 256–257	anchoring, 201-203
	availability, 201, 203-204
Facilitation, 193, 286–290	conformation, 202, 204-206
gauge, 290	representativeness, 202, 206-207, 249
skills, 287–289	
Fallon, Carlos, 29, 30, 32-33, 70,	Inflation, 104–105
Flowchart, 91-92, 172-173	Interest Rate, 99, 103-106
Framing Effect, 28–29	\sim
Franklin, Benjamin, 269–270	Job Plan, 48–58, 260, 284, 286, 289–
Function, 4–5, 7, 11–13, 15, 19, 22,	291
29–31	Preparation 50-51, 60-61, 79-80
abstraction, 152-155	Information, 50–51, 88–89, 130–131
activity, 158	Function, 50, 52, 146, 179–180
assumed, 150-151, 161, 166,	Speculation, 50, 52,184, 196
basic, 6, 146, 150-165, 176, 182	Evaluation, 50, 53, 200, 213
classifying, 150–154	Development, 50, 53-54, 218, 233
defining, 146–149	Presentation, 50, 55, 248, 260–261
dependent, 162	Implementation, 50, 55, 270, 278
higherorder, 153, 161–162, 166	Jobs, Steve, 87–88
independent, 159, 162	
ladder of abstraction, 153–155, 176, 189	Kahneman, Daniel, 27-28, 202
lower order, 161	Kick-Off Meeting, 89, 111, 137-138,
required, 151, 174, 180	142
secondary, 150–152, 155-157, 159,	
161, 163, 169, 176, 180	Leadership, 282–286
sell, 146–148 151	frameworks, 282-285
unwanted, 151, 161, 169,	principles, 285–286
work, 147–148	versus facilitation, 289-290
Function Analysis, 5, 146–182	Lombardi, Vince, 59–60
Random Function Determination,	
152–156, 165, 180	Miles, Lawrence, 1–2, 8, 10–12, 29–30,
Function Analysis Concept Design	49–5, 152. 156, 187, 200,
(FACD), 68	Value Foundation, 307
Function Analysis System Technique	Milestone, 65, 71–72, 83, 141
(FAST), 63, 146, 154–179, 260	Monte Carlo Analysis, 110, 229-230
classical, 163–164	
customer, 165–166	New York, City of, 17-18
dimensioning of, 174-179, 181-182	Network Diagram, 91, 156, 158, 172
sensitivitymatrix, 176–170	
structure, 159–163	Opportunity, See Risk
scope lines, 159–160	Owner, 89, 95, 99–101, 105–108, 111,
technical, 165, 167–171	113, 118, 147, 149, 227–228

Paired Comparison Method, See AHP Project Management Institute, 7, 22, 90 Parametric Estimating, 61 Prospect Theory, 27 Pareto Analysis, 62, 63, 95-96, 131, 134 Presentations, 257–259 Quality, 2, 12, 16, 29, 35, 148, 272 Present-Worth Method, 105-108 Performance, 22–25, 29–34, 37, 41, 52–54, Risk, 2-4, 8, 23, 25, 27-29, 31, 35, 39, 42, 56, 62-63, 65-66, 68-70, 77, 81-82, 84, 52-54, 62, 71, 82, 108-109, 210-213, 89, 101, 111, 115–131 137–142, 220, 225–230, 233, 249, 251–252, 147-151, 157, 161-162, 165, 169, 174, 270-274, 276, 279 176, 179-180, 196-197, 203-204, acceptance, 227-228 207–208, 210–211, 214–215, 218–220, avoidance, 227 enhancement, 228 223–226, 230–232, 234, 246, 265 assessment, 123-125, 128, 218-220, exploitation, 228 identification, 109 223–224, 226, 230–232, 234, 246, 265 attribute, 51–53,68–70, 81–82, 115–127, impact, 108, 111-113, 276 131, 137-139, 142-143, 176, 180, management, 109 203-204, 207-208, 210-211, 214 mitigation, 227 attributematrix, 120-122, 127, 138, 142 monitoring and Control, 110 intensity, 120-122, 138 opportunity, 196, 228 parameters, 115-118 probability, 110-111, 113, 225-230, 276 priorities, 120, 122-125, 127-129 probability and impact matrix, 111-113, profile, 125 174, 276 qualitative, 109-110, 229 requirement, 51, 68–70, 81–82, 115, 137, 141, 204 quantitative, 110, 229 PowerPoint, 250-251 register, 67, 109, 111–112 Prestudy Meeting, 51, 60, 66, 69, 74–76, 81 response strategy, 110, 226–228 Product, 22, 23, 30, 32–37, 56, 60, 69, sharing, 228 71, 74 threat, 196, 225-230 scope, 23 transference, 227 Program Requirements Clause, 66 Roadblock, 45, 186–191, 201, 249 Project ,4-8, 22-23 life cycle, 63–65, 90, 94, 110, 227 Saaty, Thomas, 118, 122 SAVE International, 13-14, 293, 296 management, 2, 7–8, 23, 68, 90, 101–102, 108, 219 Schedule, See Time manager, 7–8, 23, 51 63, 78, 81, 90– Sequence Flow Chart, 96, 98-99 91, 114, 130, 142, 270–275, 277–279, Sensitivity Analysis, 126-127 292 Site Visit, 130, 142, 144 phase, 63-65 Smith, Adam, 21-22, 24, Socratic Method, 287-289 purpose and need, 56, 67, 69, 120–121, Sunk Cost Effect, 29 152 schedule, 22-24, 31-32, 54-56, 72, 82, Synergism, 9, 192, 286 scope, 23, 36-37, 39, 66-68, 89-91, 98, Teambuilding, 78–79 116, 130, 219, 227–228 Threat, See Risk selection, 61–63 Time, 22–23, 31, 52–56, 62–63, 71, 82, 89, sponsor, 111, 113, 222 91-93, 110, 127, 128-131, 134, 136, 141, 149–150, 157, 172, 174, 176, stakeholder, 8–9, 15–16, 18, 37, 39, 56, 196-198, 211-212, 214-216, 219, 223, 58, 89, 111, 114, 118, 120, 125, 131, 210, 219, 249, 256, 273-275, 278 227-230, 251-252, 255-256, 260, 265, team, 38-39, 49, 51, 55, 66, 78, 89-92, 275, 277 95, 100–111, 114–115, 118, 120, 123, Time Value of Money, 103-104 128, 138, 205, 219–222, 230, 232–233 Tversky, Amos, 27-29, 202

Uncertainty, See Risk Useful Life, 99, 101, 103, 105–106 User, See Customer U.S. Government Army Corps of Engineers, 14–15, 65–66, 228 Department of Defense, 12–13, 15 Department of the Interior, 13 Department of State, 13 Environmental Protection Agency, 13 Federal Highway Administration, 13, 67 Forest Service, 13 General Services Administration, 13, 99 Navy, Facilities Engineering Command, 68 Navy Bureau of Ships, 12 Office of Management & Budget, 15 Veteran's Administration, 13 Utility, 24–30, 32 expected, 25–27 marginal, 27 subjective, 25, 27 Utility Curve, 117–119 Value, types of concept of, 22 esteem, 24–25 exchange, 24–25 functional, 29–32, 127, 149–150 market, 24 use, 24–25 Value, reasons for poor, 36–45 Value Change Proposal, 66 Value Incentive Clause, 65–66	Value Methodology, 4–19 applications, 14–19 definition of, 4 history of, 10–13 laws and regulations, 7 teamwork culture, 8–10 terms for, 4 training, 72, 293, 295–296 Value Metrics, 31, 37, 39, 56–57, 66, 68, 89, 101, 111, 115–116, 120, 124, 131, 138, 146, 174, 196, 210, 223–225, 248–249, 251–256, 260, 270, 274 Value Strategies, 128, 251–256, 262, 265, 279, 280 Value Study agendas, 76–77, 84 goals and objectives, 70–71 logistics, 76 participants, 72 reports, 248, 251, 257–260, 266–267, 270, 273, 278–280 schedule 72–76 scope, 71 sponsors, 8, 70–76 team selection, 78–79 timing, 51, 60, 63–64 Value professionals, 291–297 characteristics of, 291–293 program manager, 295 skills, 292 specialist, 294 standards of conduct, 295–296 team member, 295 temperaments, 292–293 von Neumann, John, 27
Value Index, 33, 256 Value Matrix, 127–130, 255–256, 260, 265–267, 274	Work Breakdown Structure, 67, 91 Worth, 25, 32–33
207 201, 2/3	W O1011, 47, 74—77