

A

- ABAP. *See* Advanced business application programming (ABAP)
- Action-based testing, 342. *See also* Keyword-driven automation approach
- Ad hoc system changes, 300, 309
- Ad hoc tests, 72, 166
- Advanced business application programming (ABAP), 2, 15, 16, 20, 21, 23, 52, 63, 167, 192, 200, 204, 227, 231, 245, 255, 263, 301
- Alexander, Christopher, 12
- Altova UModel, 47
- Application under test (AUT), 11
- Approval (sign-offs)
 - automated test cases, 91
 - production changes, 309, 310
 - requirements, 44
 - test case, 233, 239
 - test plans, 176
 - test results, 3
 - test strategies, 176
- ARIS, 47
- Arsin Corporation, test tool evaluation form, 101–106
- ASAP Roadmap Methodology, 2, 7, 28
 - accelerator for BPP template, 236
 - and early testing, 9
 - feasibility check, 45
 - integration test, 59
 - requirements, developing, 45
 - test case templates, 183–185, 232, 233
 - test strategy, 174
 - testing activities, 269
 - workshops, conducting, 42
- Ascendant, 2, 28
 - and business scenarios, 41
 - experience with and cost estimates, 67
 - prioritizing requirements, 52, 53
 - test case templates, 232, 233
 - test plan sample, 176
 - test strategy template, 174, 175
 - use of in developing requirements, 39
- Audits, 3, 4, 170, 171, 288, 305, 317
- Automated testing
 - capacity testing. *See* Capacity testing
 - testing
 - criteria for business process test automation, 163, 164
 - failure signs, 167, 169, 170
 - functional testing. *See* Functional testing
 - and number of resources needed, 200
 - processes, 32
 - production-based SAP system. *See* Production-based SAP system
 - regression testing. *See* Regression testing

Automated testing (*cont.*)
 sources of automation,
 160–161
 test results, 287, 288
 tools. *See* Test tools
 types of tests suitable for,
 161–166

AutoSys, 15

Autotester, Inc., test tool
 evaluation form, 107–114

AutoTester ONE Special Edition
 for SAP, evaluation form,
 107–114

B

Basis team
 and capacity testing, 258
 and performance testing, 17
 as source of individual resources,
 226
 and system changes, 310, 312
 test readiness review, 178

Basis team leader
 approval of test plan and test
 strategy, 176
 as member of change control
 board, 55

Batch Data Communication (BDC),
 227

Beta testing, 211

Black box testing, 1, 7, 15

BMC Patrol, 253

Bolt-ons, 16, 17, 160, 200, 251,
 311

Boundary testing, 4

Budget, 4, 68, 171. *See also* Costs

Build-operate-transfer (BOT), 327,
 332

Build versus buy analysis, 81, 82

Business analysts (BAs), 5, 40–42,
 61, 63, 64, 71, 86, 169, 231,
 233, 238

Business process master list
 (BPML), 49, 160, 161

Business process procedures
 (BPPs), 21, 161
 authoring tools, 221
 and production changes, 310,
 313
 and quality assurance, 173, 197
 as source of information for test
 case, 231, 233, 236, 237
 template, 183, 236

Business processes
 changes to and maintenance of
 automated test components,
 84
 criteria for test automation, 163,
 164
 diagrams, software for designing,
 47
 gathering and analyzing, 87
 and structure of functional
 teams, 24
 and test automation, 91

Business rules, 87

Business scenarios, 41

Business Warehouse (BW), 16, 231,
 251

C

Calendar, test execution, 274–276

Caliber-RM, 50

Capability Maturity Model
 (CMM), 3, 26, 173, 272, 286,
 325

Capacity testing
 analysis, 264–266
 automated, 253–264
 execution, 259–264
 importance of, 243–244
 manual, 253–255, 260
 monitoring, 260, 261
 need for, 243, 244

- planning, 244–253
- and production-based systems, 266
- Roadmap templates, 244
- test design and construction, 253–259
- trial runs, 258, 259
- triggers for, 244, 245
- types of, 243, 245, 246
- Cascading effects of system changes, 299, 302, 304, 316
- CATT, 262, 277
- CCMS, 253
- Certification processes, 58
- Certify, test tool evaluation form, 131–139
- Change control board (CCB), 29
 - and capacity testing, 265
 - defect management, 285
 - defect resolution, 290, 292
 - and help desk system requests, 49. *See also* Help desk
 - members of, 55
 - production changes, 316
 - requirements management, 38, 50
 - role and responsibilities of, 55, 56
 - and system changes, 18
 - waivers, evaluation of impact, 60
- Change management team, 17, 29–33, 310
- Checklist, test readiness review, 31, 32, 179–182
- Class library framework, 79–82, 87, 88
- Code-free automation approach, 76, 82–84, 87, 88
- Coding practices, 88
- Commitment from management, 72, 73
- Computer Aided Testing Tool (CATT), 262, 277
- Compuware Corporation, 304
 - test tool evaluation form, 115–121
- Configuration
 - changes, 49, 75, 83, 84, 162
 - and maintenance of test components, 84
- Configuration team
 - and capacity testing, 258
 - leader approval of test plan and test strategy, 176
 - and performance testing, 17
 - and scenario testing, 16
 - as source of individual resources, 225, 226
 - structure of, 24
 - and system changes, 310, 313, 314
 - test readiness review, 178
 - unit testing, 14
 - and user acceptance testing, 17
- Conflicts of interest and independent testing, 57
- Consistency, requirements, 51
- Consultants, 5, 6, 61, 64, 231, 241
- Continuous process improvement
 - lessons learned, documentation of. *See* Lessons learned
 - and outsourcing, 327
 - and tester evaluation, 205
 - testing, 22
- Control-M, 15
- Corrective actions, 26
- Costs
 - automation, 73
 - estimating, 4, 61–68
 - licensing fees, 82
 - and outsourcing, 24
- Customer input (CI) templates, 39–49, 53, 236
- Customer Relationship Management (CRM), 251

Customization, 31, 91, 160, 163, 203

D

Data

changes to, 84, 85
 defects, 293
 dictionary, 232, 233, 236
 and functional test automation, 72, 74–76, 82–85, 87
 historical data, 62, 63, 68, 276
 loading, 202, 223
 master data, 40, 232
 migration testing, 18, 237
 test data, collection of, 290
 and test dependencies, 277, 288
 values, 183, 304

Data-driven approach to
 automation, 72, 76, 77, 342.
See also Keyword-driven
 automation approach

Database administrator (DBA), 247, 260

Database team, 17, 229

Databases

defects, 285, 288, 398
 and frameworks, 78, 79
 Microsoft Access, 222
 test data, 85

Defects, 3

aging, 280, 281
 density, 282, 283
 fix retest, 281
 and implementation partners, 59
 management, 285, 288, 298
 newly opened, 281, 282
 prevention, 11
 reporting, 285, 290–298
 and role of quality assurance, 174. *See also* Quality assurance (QA) standards
 severity levels, 292, 294, 295

and test engineer self-evaluation, 214–217

and test management tools, 170, 171

trend analysis, 281, 282

Deloitte Consulting, 28

Department of Defense (DoD), 28, 29, 287

Destructive testing, 72

Development objects, 49. *See also* Report, interface, conversion, enhancement, work flow and form (RICEWF) objects

Development team

and capacity testing, 258
 and development testing, 15, 16, 227, 228

and scenario testing, 16
 structure of, 24

and system changes, 310, 312
 test readiness review, 178

Development team leader

approval of test plan and test strategy, 176
 as member of change control board, 55

Development testing, 15, 16, 227, 228

Diagramming. *See also* Unified Modeling Language (UML)

flow processes. *See* Flow process diagrams

processes and requirements, 3

Documentation, 3

approvals, 311

automation, 71, 72, 91

capacity testing, 255, 256

inadequate, 169

lessons learned, 21, 23, 26–28, 31, 173, 198, 265

need for, 285, 286

and outsourcing, 88

requirements, 9, 11, 44

retention of, 4
and system changes, 313
test results, 285–287

DOORS, 50

Dustin, Elfriede, 50

E

Early testing, importance of, 9–13

Early Watch, 253

eCATT, 92, 221

Eighty/twenty rule (Pareto's principle), 247, 251

End users. *See also* User acceptance test (UAT)
and developing requirements, 38, 45–47
and functional requirements, 37
hands-on testing, 3
help desk tickets. *See* Help desk
and integration testing, 17
and performance testing, 162, 163
questionnaires, 48
as source of individual resources, 225, 226
surveys, 48
and system changes, 310, 312
and test cases, 238, 239
training. *See* Training
as workshop participants, 42

Entrance criteria, 3, 58, 176, 177

Estimates
automation timeline, 86–88
costs, 62, 63, 65–67
and test execution calendar, 274
test schedule, 268, 269

Evolutionary model, 28

Exit criteria, 3, 58, 59, 176, 177

Expected test results, 183

Expert judgment model, 67, 68, 274

Extended Computer Aided Test Tool (eCATT), 92, 221

F

Feasibility check, 45

Flow process diagrams, 4, 32, 222, 231, 233, 237, 313

Frameworks approach, 76–82, 86–88

Functional requirements
documenting, 13
and managing requirements, 49
and prioritization, 52
and requirements traceability matrix, 54. *See also* Requirements traceability matrix (RTM)
as source of information for test case, 251
and test cases, 161

Functional specifications, 236, 237, 313

Functional team, 24, 55, 310, 312

Functional testing, 3
approaches, 76–83
business case for, 69–71
documentation, 71, 72
management, 85–87
negative testing, 72
outsourcing scripting, 87–89
pitfalls, 74–76
positive testing, 72
for regression testing, 71
success factors, 72–74
test library maintenance, 83–85
testers, evaluating, 209, 210
“to-be” processes, 72
when to automate, 71, 72

G

Gap analysis, 38, 39, 42, 43, 45, 47–48, 193, 240, 301

Good manufacturing practices (GMPs), 65

Graphical user interface (GUI), 4,
49, 79–83, 311

H

Hands-on testing, 3

Hardware resources, 224, 225

Help desk

and automated test tools, 224

and cost estimates, 63

and emergency changes, 300

and production changes, 47, 310

reduction of complaints as

objective of SAP, 243

and SAP implementation, 5, 6

and scope creep, 49, 55

as source of requirements,

37–39, 42, 47–49

and system changes, 227, 301,

302, 310

system defects, 20

and traceability of requirements,

53

Historical information model, 67,

68, 274

IBM, 28

Ascendant. *See* Ascendant

test tool evaluation form,

150–159

I

IDS Scheer, 47

IEEE, 3, 7, 28, 56, 57, 173

Implementation methodologies, 2,

5–8, 28, 29, 62, 63, 65–67,

162

Independent verification and

validation (IV&V), 56, 57. *See*

also Verification

Industry regulations

and CI templates, 43, 44

requirements, 37

as source of requirements, 38

Infrastructure team, 17

Institute of Electrical and

Electronics Engineers (IEEE),

3, 7, 28, 56, 57, 173

Integrated Relationship team,

325–327

Integration manager, 55, 176

Integration team, 18, 310, 312

Integration testing, 16, 17,

160–162, 178–185, 201, 228,

301

Intellicorp, 47

Intermediate documents (IDOCs),

251, 255, 262

Interviews, 45–47

iTKO Inc., test tool evaluation

form, 140–149

K

Key or action word framework,

79, 86, 88

Keyword-driven automation

approach, 340–346

L

Legacy systems, 228

and capacity testing, 247

and challenges in SAP testing, 5

and data migration, 23

and data verification, 275

and development testing, 15, 16,

228

documentation, 39

and quality assurance, 175

as source of requirements, 38,

42, 45

and test cases, 21, 232, 237, 238

and test team members, 199, 204

Lessons learned

capacity testing, 265

and changes to testing, 31
 and cost estimates, 61, 63, 66
 need for capturing, 19
 from outsourcing, 331, 332
 and peer reviews, 239
 repository for, 28
 reviewing and documenting, 21,
 23, 26–28, 31, 173, 198
 LiveModel, 47
 Load testing, 162, 243, 245, 247,
 255–259, 261, 263, 264. *See also* Capacity testing
 Loadrunner, 253
 Logs, 283, 287. *See also* Test
 results
 Luminare, 253

M

Maintenance
 automated test components, 84,
 85
 test cases, 240, 241
 Manual keystrokes, capturing, 91
 Manual testing, 3, 202
 ad hoc tests, 72
 capacity testing, 253–255
 destructive testing, 72
 production-based SAP system,
 299, 304–306
 random testing, 72
 and signs of automation failure,
 169, 170
 and system changes, 298
 test results, 287, 288
 Mercury Deployment Management
 Extension for SAP Solutions,
 309
 Mercury Interactive, 50, 253,
 309
 Metrics
 test case planning, 239, 240
 test execution, 278–283

N

Naming conventions, 75, 76, 85,
 88
 Narratives, 4, 32, 47, 222. *See also*
 Unified Modeling Language
 (UML)
 Negative testing, 4, 14, 15, 72
 Nonfunctional requirements, 13
 Nonfunctional testing, 210, 211

O

Offshoring, 319, 325
 Origins of SAP, 1, 2
 Orthogonal arrays testing systems
 (OATS), 4, 306, 333–340
 OSS (On-line Service System), 18,
 49, 63, 66, 162, 190, 203,
 227, 267, 299, 300, 302, 311
 Outsourcing
 benefits of, 319–321
 build-operate-transfer (BOT)
 model, 327, 332
 costs, 24
 defined, 319
 deliverables-based project, 323
 documentation, 88
 factors to consider, 321, 322
 and Integrated Relationship
 team, 325–327
 lessons learned, 331, 332
 managed service, 323, 324
 managed staffing, 324
 offshore, 319, 325–327
 offsite, 325–327
 onsite, 325
 payment terms, 329
 scripting, 87–89
 as source of individual resources,
 225
 staff augmentation, 324, 328
 terms of testing service, 327–331

Outsourcing (*cont.*)
 test automation, 91
 test teams, 22, 24
 and testing system changes, 313,
 314

P

Pareto's principle (80/20 rule), 247,
 251
 Pass/fail criteria, 178
 Patches, 49, 60, 66, 73, 83, 84,
 162, 190, 203, 223, 267, 289,
 300, 302
 Peer reviews, 3, 31, 44, 233, 238,
 239
 Performance testing, 17, 160–162,
 201, 228, 329, 301, 302
 Pilot project, 73, 74
 Positive testing, 4, 72
 Prioritization, requirements, 36,
 51–53
 Production-based SAP system
 approvals for changes, 309, 310
 automated testing, 299,
 305–309, 314–317
 and capacity testing, 266
 and cost estimates, 65–67
 rainy-day scenarios, 306
 requirements, sources of, 42, 47,
 48
 sunny-day scenarios, 305–309,
 311
 support for testing, 313, 314
 system changes, 300–303
 testing challenges, 302, 304, 305
 types of tests, 310–312
 Production support, 3
 Production team, 225, 226
 Project Management Institute
 (PMI), 26
 Project management operations
 (PMO), 29, 56, 57, 178

Project manager, 55, 176, 178, 258
 Prototypes and demonstrations,
 39, 45, 47, 53, 55, 58, 63, 166

Q

Quality assurance (QA) standards,
 3, 4
 applicability of, 186, 187
 and cost estimates, 61
 limitations of, 186, 187
 quality defined, 35
 and quality management (QM)
 module, 173
 quality measures, 12
 test case template, 183–186
 test cases, 240
 test criteria, 176–178
 test plan and strategy, 174–176
 test readiness review, 178–183
 Quality assurance (QA) team
 composition of team, 201, 202
 and cost estimates, 61, 65
 and diversion from primary job
 responsibilities, 187, 188
 evaluating testers, 205–214
 integrated with test team, 191
 number of resources needed,
 200–201
 project preparation phase, 190
 responsibilities and skills sets
 required, 197, 198
 role and responsibilities, 173, 174
 skills, 188, 191–199
 and test cases, 233
 test team differences, 188–190
 when to add to project, 190, 191
 Quality Center (TestDirector), 50
 Quality management (QM)
 module, 173
 Questionnaires
 capacity test planning, 247–250
 end users, 45–48

R

- Rainy-day scenarios, 306
- Random testing, 72
- Rational Functional Tester, test
 - tool evaluation form, 150–159
- Rational Requisite Pro, 50
- Rational Rose, 47
- Rational Unifying Process (RUP), 4
- Record and play, 74–77, 85
- Regression testing, 3, 4, 18, 32, 71, 160–162, 229, 233, 299, 301, 302, 304, 310, 311, 314
- Regulatory compliance, 57, 285, 287, 309, 314
- Relational databases, 79
- Releases
 - previous release as source of requirements, 37, 38, 47, 48 and system changes, 300, 301 testing criteria, 177, 213
- Remote function calls (RFCs), 41
- Repetitive nontesting tasks, 167
- Report, interface, conversion, and enhancement (RICE) objects, 301, 313
- Report, interface, conversion, enhancement, work flow and form (RICEWF) objects, 15, 16, 37, 49, 237, 271
- Reports, 200, 201, 285. *See also* Test reporting
- Repositories
 - and cost estimates, 67
 - database, 86
 - documentation of business processes, 47
 - lessons learned, 28
 - requirements, 47, 50, 54, 55, 222
 - and test management tools, 288, 298
 - test plan and strategy, 176
 - tests, 170, 171, 176, 241, 283, 308 and tracking approvals and changes, 309 vendors, 50
- Request for proposal (RFP), 331
- Requirements, 4
 - ambiguous, 51, 53, 251
 - approval process, 44
 - and defect prevention, 11. *See also* Defects
 - defined, 36
 - development objects, 37, 49
 - documentation, 9, 11, 44
 - drafting, 38, 39
 - early testing, 9, 11
 - evaluating, 50–53
 - examples of well-written and poorly-written, 251–253
 - failure to meet, 7
 - feasibility check, 45
 - functional. *See* Functional requirements
 - inspection, 44
 - linking, 50
 - management tools, 38, 49, 50, 170, 171, 221, 222
 - methods for gathering, 39–49
 - peer review, 44
 - performance, 49
 - prioritizing, 36, 51–53
 - and quality, 12, 13, 35, 36
 - repositories, 47, 50, 54, 55, 222
 - security, 37, 49
 - as source of information for test case, 236, 237
 - sources of, 37, 38
 - and system changes, 313
 - system performance, 37
 - terminology, 37, 38
 - and test case, 232
 - testing, 11, 12

- Requirements, (*cont.*)
 - traceability matrix. *See* Requirements traceability matrix (RTM)
 - types of, 37
 - UML, use of. *See* Unified Modeling Language (UML)
 - usability, 49
 - user interviews, 45–47
 - verification, 12, 13, 56–60
 - work flow, 49
 - workshops, use of, 38, 42–45
 - Requirements-based testing, 31, 35
 - Requirements traceability matrix (RTM), 3, 4, 35
 - construction of, 58
 - developing, 53, 54
 - inadequate, 6, 7
 - quality assurance team, 190
 - and requirement management tools, 221, 222
 - and requirements-based testing, 31
 - and test cases, 237
 - test team, 190
 - and verification of requirements, 58
 - Requisite Pro, 56
 - Resources, 219, 220
 - environment, 225
 - hardware, 224, 225
 - individual, 225–229
 - quality assurance (QA) team, 200, 201
 - software, 222–224
 - test lab, 220, 221
 - test team, 189, 190, 200, 201, 204, 205
 - Resumption criteria, 178
 - Return on investment (ROI)
 - and test case automation, 164–166
 - test tools, 7, 8, 166, 167, 169
 - Reverse engineering, 11
 - RICEWF. *See* Report, interface, conversion, enhancement, work flow and form (RICEWF) objects
 - Roadmap Methodology. *See* ASAP Roadmap Methodology
 - RTM. *See* Requirements traceability matrix (RTM)
- ## S
- SAP Assessor Tool, 304
 - SAP modules, 24, 173, 300, 302, 306, 308, 311
 - SAP objects, transporting, 222, 309
 - Sarbanes-Oxley (SOX), 4, 52, 57, 65, 286, 309
 - Scenario testing, 16, 161, 162, 164, 165, 177, 201, 227
 - Schedule, 4, 62, 65. *See also* Test schedule
 - Scope and purpose of book, 2–4
 - Scope creep, 49, 55
 - Scope of testing, 4, 6, 7, 65–67
 - Scope statement, 45, 46
 - Screen/window framework, 79–81, 87, 88
 - Screenshots, 186, 225, 262, 283, 288, 292, 305, 310, 314, 316, 317
 - Scripts
 - capacity testing, 255, 256, 258, 262
 - CATT, 277
 - and cost estimates, 63
 - documentation, 267
 - eCATT, 221
 - and functional testing, 71, 75–79, 84–89
 - outsourcing, 87–89, 330

- script coding, 86, 87
- test script, 231, 240, 284, 299, 302, 306, 308, 309, 311, 313–317
 - and test tools, 75, 91, 92, 163, 164, 199, 221, 272
 - and testers, 208, 213
- Security testing, 14, 175, 301
- SEI. *See* Software Engineering Institute (SEI)
- Serena-RTM, 50, 58
- Service-level agreements (SLAs), 4
 - and capacity testing, 259, 263, 265, 266
 - and outsourcing, 323, 324
 - and performance testing, 17
 - and requirements, 253
 - and system changes, 311
- Site surveys as source of requirements, 38
- SiteScope, 253
- Six Sigma, 173, 176
- Smart Draw, 47
- Smoke testing, 7, 161, 301
- Software development
 - and early planning, 268
 - life cycle, 9, 267, 328
 - outsourcing, 319
 - and system quality, 35
 - testers, 12
- Software Engineering Institute (SEI), 4, 7, 28, 286
 - Capability Maturity Model. *See* Capability Maturity Model (CMM)
- Software resources, 221–224
- Solution Manager, 28, 42, 47. *See also* ASAP Roadmap Methodology
 - automating sunny-day scenarios, 306–308
 - CI templates, 39–49, 53, 236
 - stress and volume tests, templates for planning, 175, 247
 - use of in developing requirements, 39
 - white paper for documenting test strategy, 174, 175
- Spreadsheets
 - capacity test design, 253
 - and frameworks, 78–80
 - test case templates, 183, 186, 231, 233
 - test data, 85
 - test results, storing, 283, 288
- Standards
 - coding, 85
 - independent testing, 56–60
 - naming conventions, 75, 76, 85, 88
 - quality assurance. *See* Quality assurance (QA) standards
 - test case conventions, 74–76
- Statistical process control (SPC), 247
- Stress testing, 162, 175, 220, 247
- String tests, 16, 160, 201, 219, 301
- Structured Query Language (SQL), 79, 192, 263
- Subject matter experts (SMEs), 5
 - and automated testing approaches, 87
 - and capacity testing, 247, 258
 - and estimates, 61, 64
 - and exit criteria, 177
 - and functional test automation, 71, 86
 - and integration testing, 17, 228
 - as members of change control board, 55
 - as members of test team, 198, 199, 206

Subject matter experts (SMEs)
 (*cont.*)
 and peer reviews of test cases,
 238
 requirements, gathering
 information for, 39
 and scenario testing, 16, 227
 and signs of test automation
 failure, 167, 169
 as source of individual resources,
 225, 226, 320
 and technical experts, 199, 208,
 209
 test case review, 238
 user acceptance testing, 58
 as workshop participants, 42
 Success testing criteria, 178
 Sucid Corporation, test tool
 evaluation form, 122–130
 Sunny-day scenarios, 306, 308,
 309, 311
 Supplier Relationship Management
 (SRM), 251, 311. *See also*
 Bolt-ons
 Suspension criteria, 3, 177
 System architect, 61, 64
 System changes. *See also*
 Production-based SAP system
 documentation, 313
 emergency (ad hoc), 300, 301,
 310
 enhancements, 49, 66
 impact of, assessing, 316, 317
 and maintaining test cases, 240,
 241
 outputs, 313, 314
 patches. *See* Patches
 planned, 300, 301
 and regression testing, 18
 testing activities, 64
 upgrades. *See* Upgrades
 System modules, addition of and
 need for new requirements, 38

T

Table-driven testing, 342. *See also*
 Keyword-driven automation
 approach
 Taguchi, Genichi, 306, 334
 Technical expertise, 198, 199, 208,
 209
 Technical specifications, 231, 236,
 237
 Technical testing, 18, 229
 Templates
 Ascendant, 174, 175, 232, 233
 business process procedures,
 183, 236
 capacity testing, 244
 customer input (CI), 39–49, 236
 and documenting lessons
 learned, 27
 evaluation matrix template,
 93–100
 and outsourcing, 24
 and quality assurance, 173, 186
 Solution Manager, 175
 stress test planning, 175, 249
 test case, 174, 175, 183–186,
 231–237, 254
 test strategy, 174
 testing SAP, 23, 29, 30
 Test accelerators, 367–376
 Test analysts, 86
 Test approach
 changes, managing, 29–33
 implementation methodologies.
See Implementation
 methodologies
 project components, 1
 review of existing practices,
 19–22
 software methodologies, 28, 29
 Test cases, 3
 automated, 4, 160, 161, 167,
 232

- building, 232, 233
- characteristics of well-written, 232, 233
- customized template, 234, 235
- data dictionary example, 236
- design of, 231
- execution of. *See* Test execution
- maintaining, 240–241
- methods for automating, 168
- metrics, 239, 240
- and number of resources needed, 200, 201
- and orthogonal arrays (OATS), 4, 306, 333–340
- peer review, 238, 239
- production-based changes, 314, 315
- reuse of, 232
- sources of information for, 231, 233, 236–238
- templates, 174, 175, 183–186, 231–237, 254
- test scenarios, 308
 - and test tools, 161–166
 - and use of implementation partners, 58, 59
- Test criteria, 176–178
- Test Data Migration Server, 223
- Test design
 - and automated testing, 78, 86, 89
 - and number of resources needed, 200, 201
 - and test management tools, 170, 171
 - tools for, 91
- Test engineers
 - and automated testing, 78, 82, 86, 163
 - and benefits of code-free automation, 83
 - evaluating, 205, 207, 211, 212
 - responsibilities and skills required, 194–196
 - and script coding, 87–89
 - self-evaluation, 214–217
 - and signs of test automation failure, 167, 169
 - skill level, impact of on test execution schedule, 272
- Test environment, 73, 74, 196, 225
- Test execution, 233, 267, 268
 - automated, 267
 - calendar, 274–276
 - capacity testing, 259–264
 - logs and results, 283
 - manual, 267
 - metrics, 278–283
 - and number of resources needed, 200, 201
 - purpose of, 267
 - test dependencies, 277, 278
 - and test management tools, 170, 171
 - test schedule, 267–274, 278–283
 - tools for, 91
- Test harness, 199, 208, 350–354
- Test labs, 220, 221
- Test lead, 193, 194
- Test libraries, 71, 73, 76, 83–87, 89, 204, 299, 306, 309, 316
- Test management tools, 3, 91, 92, 170, 171, 308
 - test case templates, 231, 233
 - test data collection, 290
 - test results, storing, 283
 - and testing metrics, 278
- Test manager
 - lessons learned, documenting, 27
 - and managing changes, 29–33
 - and peer review of test cases, 239
 - responsibilities and skills required, 192, 193
 - and test strategy, 174
 - tester evaluation, 205–214

- Test plan, 1, 4, 29–33, 91, 170, 171, 174–176, 200, 201
- Test program tasks, 269–271
- Test readiness review (TRR), 20, 31, 32, 178–183, 233, 277
- Test reporting, 170, 171, 200, 201, 285
- Test repository, 170, 171, 176, 241, 283, 308
- Test results, 3, 4
 - documentation, 285–287
 - screenshots, 289, 290, 292
 - storing, 283, 287, 290
- Test schedule, 267, 274, 278–283
- Test scripts. *See* Scripts
- Test strategy, 4, 9, 29–33, 190
- Test team
 - borrowed resources, 204, 205, 225, 226
 - centralized, 22, 23
 - composition of, 202–205
 - and cost estimates, 61, 64, 66
 - decentralized, 22–24
 - formation of, 3
 - integrated with QA team, 191
 - and integration testing, 17
 - manager of as member of change control board, 55
 - number of resources needed, 200, 201
 - outsourced, 22, 24
 - and performance testing, 17
 - permanent team, 202–204
 - project preparation phase, 190
 - and quality assurance, 174, 188–190
 - and regression test, 18
 - resources, 187, 188
 - and scenario testing, 16
 - skill sets, 191–198
 - structure, 22–26
 - system changes, 310, 312, 314, 317
 - test lab responsibility, 220, 221
 - test readiness review, 178
 - and user acceptance testing, 17
 - when to add to project, 190, 191
- Test tools
 - Arsin Corporation, 101–106
 - automation, 3, 4, 16, 17
 - automation failure signs, 167, 169, 170
 - Autotester, Inc., 107–114
 - benefits of, 166, 167
 - CATT, 262, 277
 - commercial vendors, 92
 - Compuware Corporation, 115–121
 - eCATT, 92, 221
 - evaluation criteria, 160
 - evaluation matrix template, 95–100
 - IBM, 150–159
 - iTKO Inc., 140–149
 - methods of automation, 167, 168
 - production-based SAP system, 306
 - readiness for, 91
 - return on investment, 7, 8
 - role of, 92
 - software, 221
 - Sucid Corporation, 122–130
 - types of tests suitable for
 - automation, 161–166
 - use of, 91, 92
 - vendor survey, 92
 - Worksoft, Inc., 131–139
- Testers. *See also* Test team
 - early involvement, need for, 11, 12
 - evaluating, 205–214
 - expectations, 207, 208
 - lack of skills and knowledge, 5, 6

- Testing committee, 29, 30
- Testing practices, basic principles, 2, 3
- TestPartner, evaluation form, 115–121
- Text editors
 - capacity test design, 253
 - and frameworks, 79
 - test case templates, 183, 186, 231, 233
 - test data, 85
 - test results, storing, 288
- “The system shall” statements, 11
- Third-party organizations
 - documenting lessons learned, 27
 - independent verification of requirements, 56–58
 - test case review, 238
 - third-party verification, 3
- ThreadManager, 28
- “To-be” processes, 72, 87
- Total quality management (TQM), 35, 176
- Touch points, 41, 49, 51, 72, 193, 304, 306, 309, 310
- Traceability, requirements, 51, 53.
See also Requirements traceability matrix (RTM)
- Training, 8, 72–74, 91, 310, 313
- Transaction codes, 160, 161, 278, 304, 308
- Transporting objects, 20, 26, 32, 222, 309
- TRR. *See* Test readiness review (TRR)
- U**
 - UML. *See* Unified Modeling Language (UML)
 - Unified Modeling Language (UML), 3, 11, 32, 47, 222, 237
 - Unit testing, 3, 14, 15, 227, 301
 - Upgrades, 20, 27, 32, 49, 56, 201, 223, 300, 302, 311
 - Usability testing, 18, 37, 346–350
 - Use case, 11, 13, 47, 48
 - User acceptance test (UAT), 17, 53, 228, 229. *See also* End users
 - Department of Defense requirements, 29
 - resources, 219
 - and role of change control board, 55
 - and test cases, 235, 238, 239
 - test lab, use of, 220
 - and test strategies, 175
 - verifications, 58, 59
- V**
 - V-shaped model, 9
 - Validation of system design, 3
 - Verification
 - independent verification and validation (IV&V), 56, 57
 - of objects, 167
 - and outsourcing, 320, 326
 - points, 161
 - requirements, 12, 13, 56–60
 - service-level agreements, 263
 - of system design, 3
 - Versions
 - control, 85, 88, 173, 176, 197, 222, 223, 241
 - and test management tools, 170, 171, 241
 - Visio, 222
 - Volume testing, 162, 247
- W**
 - Waivers, 58–60
 - Waterfall model, 9, 28

- Weigers, Karl, 38, 316
White box testing, 15
Work Breakdown Structure (WBS),
269–271
Workshops, 38, 42–45
Worksoft, Inc., test tool evaluation
form, 131–139
Workstations, 224, 225

<http://www.pbookshop.com>