
CONTENTS

1. Introduction 1

Part I Perspective: Legislative Role of the Judge and Human Rights Law

2. Legislative Role of the Judge: A Vital Force in the Life of the Law: 12
- I. The Core Truth: All Roads Lead to Rome 15
 - II. The Core Truth in Retrospect: An International Perspective 17
 - III. Legislative Role of the ECJ and the ECHR 19
 - IV. Legislative Role of the International Court of Justice 29
 - V. The Development of Law and Judicial Ideologies 32
 - VI. Appraisal 34
3. Relationship between Human Rights and International Law: 37
- Principle of Human Dignity versus Principle of State Sovereignty:
- I. Basis of International Law: The Principle of Sovereignty 39
 - II. Basis of Human Rights Law: The Principle of Human Dignity 49
 - III. Appraisal: Principle of Human Dignity in Retrospect and Prospect 72

Part II The Development of Human Rights Law by the International Court of Justice: Contentious Cases

- Introduction to the Contentious Procedure of the Court 87
4. Corfu Channel case (*United Kingdom v Albania*) (1947–1949) 105
- I. The Principle of Elementary Considerations of Humanity 105
 - II. Judge Alvarez: Manifest Misuse of a Right Not Protected by Law 107
5. South West Africa cases (*Ethiopia v South Africa; Liberia v South Africa*): Violation of Human Rights Law Led to Formation of Human Rights Law (1960–1966) 109
- I. Prelude 109
 - II. Norm of Non-Discrimination and 1962 Judgment: Court Has Jurisdiction to Adjudicate Upon the Merits 112

III. Judges Jessup and Bustamante: Voting in Favour of 1962 Judgment with Human Rights Additions	115
IV. Second Phase Judgment: Compositional Politics a Setback to Human Rights	117
V. Disproportionate Quorum: A Setback to Human Rights	120
VI. Second Phase Judgment: Legal Formalism Circumvents Human Rights	122
VII. Judge Tanaka and the Development of Human Rights Law	126
VIII. Judge Jessup: Principle of Equal Rights is Universal and Apartheid is a Justiciable Issue	142
IX. Judge Padilla Nervo: The Principle of Non-Discrimination and Obligation to Promote Respect for Human Rights are Internationally Recognized in Most Solemn Form	144
X. Judge Wellington Koo: A Nation is a Developed Nation only if all its Citizens are Treated on the Basis of Equality before the Law	146
XI. Judge Koretsky: Racial Discrimination an Issue of Vital Importance	147
XII. Judge Mbanefo's Dynamic Interpretation: Mandate and Apartheid	148
XIII. Judge Forster's Bold Teleological-Sociological-Natural Interpretation of Law Condemns Apartheid	149
XIV. Postlude: Violation of Human Rights Law Led to Formation of Human Rights Law	150
6. Barcelona Traction, Light and Power Company, Limited (New Application: 1962) case (<i>Belgium v Spain</i>) (1962–70)	157
I. Human Rights Run <i>Erga Omnes</i>	157
II. Enforcement of Human Rights	160
7. United States Diplomatic and Consular Staff in Tehran case (<i>USA v Iran</i>) (1979–1981)	163
I. Human Dignity and Diplomatic Immunity	163
II. 1948 Universal Declaration of Human Rights is Binding in Character	165
8. Military and Paramilitary Activities in and against Nicaragua case (<i>Nicaragua v USA</i>) (1984–1991)	167
I. The Use of Force not an Appropriate Method to Ensure Respect for Human Rights	167
II. The Principle of Self Determination: adherence to a particular doctrine Does Not Violate Customary International Law	170
9. East Timor case (<i>Portugal v Australia</i>) (1991–1994): Human Rights versus State Sovereignty (1991–1994)	171
I. Some Preliminary Reflections	171

Contents

ix

II. Human Dignity through Self-Determination v the Power of State Sovereignty	174
III. Court Upholds the State Sovereignty in the face of Human Dignity	176
IV. Monetary Gold Principle v Human Rights	181
V. 'We the Peoples', Self-Determination and State Sovereignty	185
VI. Sacred Trust of Civilization v State Sovereignty	187
VII. Dissent: Internal and Public:	190
a) Judge Weeramantry: the principle of self-determination is the very basis of nationhood	190
b) Judge Weeramantry: practical operation of different aspects of right erga omnes	191
c) Judge Weeramantry: 'principle of self-determination can itself be described as central to the Charter'	192
d) Judge Skubiszewski: four elements concerning Law, Justice and Human Dignity	194
e) Judge Skubiszewski: three elementary assumptions about Self-Determination	195
f) Public Dissent	199
VIII. Nevertheless: The Court did Add Authority to the Various Areas	202
IX. Conclusion	204
10. Application of the Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide Case (<i>Bosnia and Herzegovina v Serbia Montenegro</i>) (1993–) Prohibition of Genocide as <i>Jus Cogens</i>	207
11. Legality of Use of Force cases (<i>Yugoslavia v Belgium; Yugoslavia v Canada; Yugoslavia v France; Yugoslavia v Germany; Yugoslavia v Italy; Yugoslavia v Netherlands; Yugoslavia v Portugal; Yugoslavia v Spain; Yugoslavia v UK; Yugoslavia v USA</i>) (1999–)	213
I. Yushima: Human Rights Issues of the Gravest Nature: Law Remained Silent When the Bombs Spoke	214
II. <i>Grund</i> Case, <i>Grund</i> Subject, <i>Grund</i> Law and <i>Grund</i> Obligation	216
III. <i>Obiter Dicta</i> and <i>Ratio Decidendi</i> : A Contradiction of Human Rights	218
IV. <i>Prima Facie</i> Jurisdiction and Human Rights	221
V. The Development of Human Rights Law: Static Jurisdiction v Dynamic Law	225
12. Arrest Warrant of 11 April 2000 (<i>Democratic Republic of the Congo v Belgium</i>): An Analysis of Human Dignity of the People, for the People by the People (2000–2002)	229
I. Some Preliminary Reflections	229

x	<i>Contents</i>	
	II. The Factual Background of the <i>Yerodia</i> case	232
	III. Why Separate the issues of Universal Jurisdiction and Immunity	235
	IV. Doctrine of Immunity and Concept of Human Dignity	237
	a) Judge Al-Khasawneh: the Concept of Combating of Grave Crimes Prevails Over the Rules of Immunity	241
	V. The Principle of Universal Jurisdiction and the Concept of Human Dignity	243
	a) Judge Guillaume: The Clarity of the Principle of Universal Jurisdiction	246
	b) Judge Ranjeva: Territoriality as the Basis of Entitlement to Jurisdiction Remains at the Core of Contemporary Positive International Law	248
	c) Judge Koroma: Concepts of Jurisdiction and Immunity are not the Same	249
	d) Judges Higgins, Kooijmans and Buergenthal: Universal Jurisdiction <i>in absentia</i> for the most Heinous Crimes is Permitted under Certain Safeguards	251
	e) Judge Rezek: Judicial Restraint Going Hand in Hand with Political Restraint	252
	f) Judge <i>ad hoc</i> Bula-Bula: Universal Jurisdiction in Absentia Runs Counter to the Dignity of People	254
	g) Judge <i>ad hoc</i> Van Den Wyngaert: Universal Jurisdiction <i>in absentia</i> is Permissible	255
	VI. Belgian Reaction After the Judgment	255
	VII. Conclusion	256
13.	Vienna Convention on Consular Relations cases (1998–2004): The Convention Does Create Individual Rights	259
	I. Some Preliminary Observations	259
	II. Three Cases with One Common Fact: Vienna Convention on Consular Relations Creates Human Rights	261
	III. <i>Case Concerning the Vienna Convention on Consular Relations (Paraguay v United States of America)</i> : Individual Rights Remained Undecided	262
	IV. <i>LaGrand Case (Germany v United States of America)</i> : Vienna Convention Does Create Individual Rights	263
	V. The Case Concerning <i>Avena and Other Mexican Nationals (Mexico v United States of America)</i> : Individual Rights Further Clarified	270
	V-A. United States Must Provide ‘Review and Reconsideration of Convictions and Sentences’	270
	V-B. Interdependence of the Rights of the State and Individual Rights	271

Contents xi

V-C. Right of an Arrested Person to Information and Time Factor	271
V-D. Judge Tomka: 'State authorities must show due diligence in the exercise of their powers'	272
V-E. Judge Tomka: Individual First Element Not the State	273
VI. General Conclusion	273

Part III The Development of Human Rights Law by the International Court of Justice: Advisory Cases

Introduction to the Advisory Procedure of the Court	277
14. International Status of South West Africa case (1949–1950) The Principle of Sacred Trust of Civilization	279
15. Reservations to the Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide case (1950–1951) Genocide is Supremely Unlawful and its Principles are Binding on All Parties Irrespective of being Party to a Convention	287
16. Legal Consequences for States of the Continued Presence of South Africa in Namibia (South West Africa) Notwithstanding Security Council Resolution 276 (1970) case (1970–1971)	291
I. Introduction	291
II. Self-Determination in Retrospect and Prospect	292
III. Apartheid as a Policy Constitutes a Denial of Fundamental Human Rights	294
IV. People as Such Can Become Holder of Rights	295
17. Western Sahara case (1974–75) The Principle of Self-Determination	297
18. Applicability of Article VI, Section 22, of the Convention on the Privileges and Immunities of the United Nations case (1989)	301
I. Special Rapporteur of UN Human Rights Commission Entitled to Privileges and Immunities of a UN Expert on Mission	301
II. Judge Evensen: Integrity of a Person's Family and Family Life is Basic Human Right	302
III. Judge Evensen: Rights of Family and Family Life are Integral Parts of Privileges and Immunities	303
19. Legality of the Use by a State of Nuclear Weapons in Armed Conflict case (request by World Health Organization) (1993–1996)	305

xii	<i>Contents</i>	
	I. Human Right to Health v Use of Force: separation of powers is the Answer	305
	II. Judge Weeramantry: to find law on nuclear weapons is not to legislate on the subject	308
	III. Judge Koroma: right to health is a pillar of peace	308
20.	Legality of the Threat or Use of Nuclear Threat case (request by UN General Assembly): —May Use; May not Use; But Do not Use. Hence, Legislate: MAY NOT USE— (1994–1996)	331
	I. Judge Oda: Judges do not Legislate	315
	II. Right to Life and Human Rights Component of the Law of War	316
	III. Judge Bedjaoui: Nuclear Weapons v Right to Life	318
	IV. Judge Weeramantry: Nuclear Weapons Totally Belie Human Dignity	320
	V. Judge Koroma: Both Human Rights and International Humanitarian Law Have as their <i>raison d'être</i> the Protection of the Individual as well as the Worth and Dignity of the Human Person	321
	VI. Genocide and Nuclear Weapons	322
	VII. Judge Higgins: intent approximate to legal doctrine of foreseeability	323
	VIII. Judge Weeramantry: Nuclear Weapons are Instruments of Genocide and their use is Plainly Genocide	324
	IX. Judge Koroma: Quantum of the People Killed by Nuclear Weapons Could be Tantamount to Genocide	327
	X. Human Component of the Law of War	327
	XI. Conclusion	329
21.	Difference Relating to Immunity from Legal Process of a Special Rapporteur of the Commission on Human Rights (1998–1999)	331
22.	Legal Consequences of the Construction of a Wall in the Occupied Palestinian Territory (2003–04): Belligerent Occupation and Human Rights	337
	I. Some Preliminary Observations:	337
	II. Facts of the Case in a Nutshell	338
	III. Historical Analysis of the Occupied Territory	339
	IV. Human Rights Law Does Apply in the Occupied Territories	340
	V. International Humanitarian Law Does Apply in the Occupied Territory: The Rules of International Humanitarian Law are Binding on All Nations and the Law is <i>Erga Omnes</i>	345
	VI. Terrorism v Self-defence: Grave Infringement of Human Rights Cannot be Justified by Military Exigencies and National Security	347

<i>Contents</i>	xiii
VII. The Court's Advice to the General Assembly: Human Rights are Violated by Israel and They Must be Enforced by All States	349
VIII. Conclusion	350
23. Summary and General Conclusion	353
Bibliography of Books and Articles	371
Bibliography of Works by Judges of the Court	379
Bibliographical Annexes	385
1 Judgments of the International Court of Justice	385
2 Advisory Opinions of the International Court of Justice	389
3 Orders on Provisional Measures of the International Court of Justice	390
4 Judges of the International Court of Justice	392
5 Judges ad hoc of the International Court of Justice	451
<i>Index</i>	467

<http://www.pbookshop.com>