
INDEX

- addiction
 - decision solution frame and, 86, 89–90
 - on *Dr. Phil Show*, 5
 - as judgment basis, 59–61, 90, 102
 - See also* drug use, illegal
- American Idol, 94
- American Institute of Certified Public Accountants, 80–81
- America's Got Talent, 94
- Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP)
 - criteria hierarchy in, 136–37
 - Kepner-Tregoe Derived Process and, 120, 131–32, 133, 135, 155
 - overview of, 131–35
 - sensitivity analysis in, 135–36
- analytical rigor
 - of Analytical Hierarchy Process, 120, 132
 - decision fatigue and, 23
 - decision type and, 101, 102–3
 - fear of bad outcome and, 15, 31, 52, 155
 - snap decisions vs. decision paralysis, 9
- Apple Corporation, 67
- Ariely, Dan, 63
- Atlantis* space shuttle, 51
- ballot propositions, wording of, 28
- Barnes, Gerald, 105
- Bayesian Team Support, 124, 147–48
- Bayou Group, 80
- binding agreements
 - as decision-type indicator, 43, 101
 - delegated authority and, 42–43
 - fight decisions as, 49, 50–51, 77, 78–79
 - gestures and, 39, 40, 41
 - overview of, 38–42
 - parenting and, 39, 49–51
 - teenagers and, 39, 156
 - uncertainty and, 47–48, 52
 - weapons firing decisions as, 44–45
- Boys and Girls Club of America, 11, 22
- British Petroleum (BP), 68
- bungee jumping, 32, 33
- business decisions
 - addiction-based, 60
 - binding agreements and, 39, 41–42
 - buyer's remorse, 64
 - buying existing business, 47
 - default choices for employees, 59
 - delegating authority, 42–43
 - emotion-based, 63
 - fact-based, 71, 72, 120
 - impulse buying, 23
 - intuition-based, 67, 72, 77

- business decisions (*continued*)
 - misconduct, 5, 8, 71, 74, 89
 - number of alternatives, 57
 - oversight of, 12, 21, 73–74
 - pressure-based, 67–68, 74
 - sunk costs and, 18
 - uncertainty and, 38, 72, 73, 141, 146–47
 - See also* investment decisions
- buyer's remorse, 64
- Car and Driver*, 95
- career decisions
 - high consequence of, 15, 46, 68
 - Internet as resource for, 71
 - number of alternatives, 57
 - reputation loss and, 36
 - sunk costs and, 18
- car insurance decisions, 145–46
- car purchasing decisions
 - combining judgment methods in, 75
 - Consumer Reports* and, 6, 95, 102, 124, 129
 - consumer resources for, summarized, 95
 - criteria hierarchy and, 137
 - decision solution frame for, 86–87
 - decision statements for, 27
 - Dominance Analysis approach to, 115–18
 - Kepner-Tregoe Derived approach to, 122, 124, 126–28, 131
 - Pugh approach to, 119–20
 - Rank Sums approach to, 111–12
 - resale value and, 16, 18
 - value curves and, 128–31
- C. C. Myers and Company, 68
- Challenger* space shuttle, 7, 10, 42, 75–77
- Chertoff, Michael, 66–67
- choice architects, 28, 59
- Clinton, Bill, 16
- Columbia* space shuttle, 8–9, 42, 51, 66
- computer software
 - for decision analysis, 133, 134, 137–38, 151
 - decisions made by, 147
 - default settings in, 59
 - for risk management, 93
- Concept of Operations (CONOPS), 87
- construction industry, 6, 68, 71, 89
- Consumer Reports*, 6, 71, 95, 102, 124, 129
- consumer reviews, online, 12, 95, 106
- court cases. *See* legal cases
- criteria hierarchy, 136–37
- decision, defined, 15–16, 102
- decision analysis, PhD programs in, 147
- decision fatigue, 23, 67
- decision fitness
 - decision statement and, 29
 - decision type frame and, 22, 29, 53, 101
 - defined, 22–23
 - fact-based judgments and, 72
 - judgment bases and, 5, 9–10, 11–12, 155
 - Kepner-Tregoe Derived Process and, 121
 - personality types and, 91, 92
- Decision Judgment Model, 58, 103
- decision making
 - awareness of, 5–6, 10, 110
 - by computers, 147

- computer software for, 133, 134, 137–38, 151
- cost/price of indifference and, 19
- glucose levels and, 23
- good decision, defined, 16–18
- intentions vs. decisions, 15–16
- lack of formal training in, 8, 10–11
- sunk costs and, 18–19
- two stages of, summarized, 20
- viewpoint in, 21–22
- See also* analytical rigor; decision solution frame; decision type frame; judgment, bases for
- decision outcome
 - analytical rigor and, 15, 31, 52, 155
 - assessing bad outcomes, 31–32
 - assessing impact of, 45–53
 - assessing permanent outcomes, 33–38
 - failing to learn from mistakes, 5, 77
 - good decisions vs. good outcomes, 17–18
 - group decision making and, 151
 - risk and, 20, 45
- decision solution frame, 85–96
 - in business, 21
 - categories, summarized, 88–92
 - decision fitness and, 22, 91, 92
 - decision-making stages and, 20
 - developing alternatives, 94–96
 - Kepner-Tregoe Derived Process and, 121–22
 - opportunity vs. risk, 93–94
 - structure and purpose of, 30, 85–86, 87
- decision statement
 - crafting of, 27–29
 - decision maker's viewpoint and, 21, 53
 - decision-making stages and, 20
 - decision type frame as responding to, 30, 31
 - Kepner-Tregoe Derived Process and, 121–22
- decision tree, 142–46
- decision type frame, 27–53
 - assessing bad outcomes, 31–32
 - assessing binding agreements, 38–43
 - assessing impact of outcomes, 45–53
 - assessing permanent outcomes, 33–38
 - assessing uncertain information, 38
 - checklist of indicators, 43, 101
 - crafting decision statements, 27–29
 - decision fitness and, 22, 29, 53, 101
 - decision maker and, 53
 - decision-making stages and, 20
 - Kepner-Tregoe Derived Process and, 121
 - structure and purpose of, 30–31, 44, 85
- Dilbert* comic strip, 65
- doctrine-based judgment, 69–70, 74, 104–5
- Dominance Analysis Judgment Process, 112–18
- Dr. Phil Show*, 5
- drug use, illegal
 - addiction-based judgment and, 59
 - as permanent error, 34, 36
 - teenage decisions and, 9, 11, 68
- Dugard, Jaycee, 77–78
- eBay, 19, 49, 51
- Edmunds.com, 95
- educational decisions
 - college-related, 11, 21–22, 68, 71, 102

162 Index

- educational decisions (*continued*)
 - Internet resources for, 96
 - teenage dropouts, 9, 11
- emotion-based judgment
 - addictions and, 61
 - analytical rigor and, 102
 - combined with other methods, 75, 131
 - impulse buying and, 23
 - intuition and, vs. facts, 57, 72, 94, 155–56
 - investment decisions and, 80
 - overview of, 63–64
 - peer pressure and, 9, 21, 71
 - Rank Sums Process and, 110
 - religious doctrine and, 69
 - risk tolerance and, 93
 - teenage decisions and, 9, 21, 63, 72
- engineering decisions
 - Concept of Operations (CONOPS) and, 87
 - cutting corners, in construction, 6
 - default settings in products, 59
 - doctrine-based rules and, 105
 - executive pressure and, 68
 - expert opinion vs. local knowledge, 70–71
 - on Hubble Space Telescope, 37–38
 - mathematically rigorous processes and, 120
 - in space shuttle program, 8–9, 42, 51, 60–61, 66, 75–77
 - trade studies and, 71, 85, 121
 - uncertainty and, 72
- ethics
 - in business, 8, 68, 74, 80, 89
 - decision solution frame and, 86, 87, 89
 - good decisions as partially based on, 16
 - as traditions, 69
- Everest, Mt., 68
- expert opinion
 - addiction and, 60
 - vs. faith-based judgment, 61
 - high-consequence decisions and, 78–79
 - jet pilot's rejection of, 77
 - as judgment basis, 70–71, 74–75, 105–6
 - reviews of judgment and, 73
 - space shuttle decisions and, 7, 8–9, 10, 42, 51, 75–76
 - verification of, 81, 106
- Facebook, 35
- fact-based judgment, 109–38
 - Analytical Hierarchy Process, 120, 131–37, 151, 155
 - computer software for, 133, 134, 137–38
 - criteria hierarchy, 136–37
 - defensibility of, 75, 102, 155
 - Dominance Analysis Process, 112–18
 - Internet as resource for, 63, 71, 94–96, 111
 - Kepner-Tregoe Derived Process, 120–28 (*see also* Kepner-Tregoe Derived Judgment Process)
 - overview of, 71–72, 109
 - Pugh's Process, 118–20
 - Rank Sums Process, 109–12
 - value and, 92
 - value curves and, 128–31
 - verification of, 81
- faith-based judgment, 61–62
- frequentist approach, 141, 147–48

- friendly fire incidents, 33. *See also*
 weapons firing decisions
- Fukushima nuclear plant, 8
- gambling, 59, 61, 146
- Garrido, Phillip, 78
- Gates, Bill, 20
- gestures, 39, 40, 41
- Google, 93, 95
- group decision making, best
 practices, 151
- Hubble Space Telescope, 37–38
- impulse buying, 23
- indifference
 cost/price of, 19
 as judgment basis, 23, 29, 58–59,
 102, 146
- instinct, 57
- Intel Corporation, 41–42
- intentions, vs. decisions, 15–16
- Internet
 consumer review sites, 12, 95, 106
 fact-based judgment and, 63, 71,
 94–96, 111
 posting photos or videos online, 9,
 35, 36, 49
 predictions/projections and, 141
 risk management and, 93
 uncertainty and, 38, 94
- intuition-based judgment
 analytical rigor and, 102
 combined with other methods, 75,
 77–78
 defensibility of, 74
 emotion and, vs. facts, 57, 72, 94,
 155–56
 intuitionists vs. experts, 71
 Iraq War decision and, 7
 overview of, 64–67
 space shuttle decisions and, 9,
 10, 66
- investment decisions
 expert opinion and, 71
 good decisions vs. good
 outcomes, 17
 high consequence of, 15
 mathematically rigorous processes
 and, 120
 Ponzi schemes, 79–81, 104
 predictions/projections and, 141
 reviews of judgment in, 74
 risk tolerance and, 20, 45, 93
 sunk costs and, 18
- Iraq War, 7, 9, 33
- Israel, Sam, 80
- Japanese earthquake/tsunami
 (2011), 8
- jaywalking, 32
- J.D. Power and Associates, 95
- Jobs, Steve, 67
- judgment, bases for, 57–81
 addiction, 59–61, 90, 102
 analytical rigor, varying degrees of,
 102–3
 combining methods, 16, 75–79, 131
 decision fitness and, 5, 9–10,
 11–12, 155
 Decision Judgment Model, 58, 103
 defensibility of, 73–75
 doctrine, 69–70, 74, 104–5
 emotion, 63–64 (*see also* emotion-
 based judgment)
 expert opinion, 70–71, 74–75,
 105–6
 fact, 71–72 (*see also* fact-based
 judgment)
 faith, 61–62

- judgment, bases for (*continued*)
 - indifference, 23, 29, 58–59, 102, 146
 - instinct, 57
 - intuition, 64–67 (*see also* intuition-based judgment)
 - personality types and, 91–92
 - pressure (power/peer/perk), 67–68, 74, 103–4
 - probability, 72–73 (*see also* probability-based judgment)
 - process-based methods, 103–6
 - verification of, 79–81
- Kellogg Company, 36
- Kepner-Tregoe Derived Judgment Process, 120–28
 - Analytical Hierarchy Process and, 120, 131–32, 133, 135, 155
 - car purchasing example, 122, 124, 126–28
 - judgment table, illustrated, 125
 - overview of, 120–21
 - sensitivity analysis in, 122–23, 135–36
- law enforcement decisions, 51–52, 74, 78, 89
- legal cases
 - attorneys, number of, 70
 - Jaycee Dugard abduction, 77–78
 - emotion-based judgment and, 63, 64
 - expert opinion in, 70, 74–75
 - on faith-based healing, 61–62
 - jurisdictions, 89, 105
 - on medical fraud, 105
 - reviews of judgment in, 73, 103
 - surveillance cameras and, 35
- lemon laws, 6
- Lewinsky, Monica, 16
- Lockheed Aircraft Corporation, 89
- Madoff, Bernard, 80–81
- Malone, Phil, 35
- marriage
 - binding agreements and, 39
 - consequences of failed relationships, 15, 31, 36, 49
 - as either-or decision, 57
 - as emotion-based decision, 64
- McGraw, Dr. Phil, 5
- medical decisions
 - binding agreements and, 51
 - decision tree for cataract surgery, 144–45
 - experimental surgery, 44, 47, 48
 - expert opinion/credibility, 70, 105–6
 - faith-based, 61
 - Internet resources for, 96
 - mathematically rigorous processes and, 120
 - surgical errors, 33–34
 - uncertainty and, 38, 72, 141
- Merrill-Reid Four Quadrant Model, 90
- micro-management, 67
- military decisions
 - Iraq War, initiation of, 7, 9
 - leaving the armed forces, 49
 - war crimes, 70
 - weapons firing, 33, 44–45, 46, 49, 51–52
- Motor Trend, 95
- motor vehicle purchasing. *See* car purchasing decisions
- MSN car ratings, 95
- Myers-Briggs Type Indicator (MBTI), 86, 90

- NASA (National Aeronautics and Space Administration), 7, 8–9, 10, 60, 76. *See also* space shuttle program
- Nebraska’s “safe haven” law, 50–51
- Newsom, Gavin, 41
- nuclear plant design, 8
- Oakland Freeway Repair, 68
- Other Factors Analysis, 121, 124, 127, 135, 136
- paradigm paralysis, 61, 90
- parenting decisions
 - binding agreements and, 39, 49–51
 - college-related, 11, 68
 - doctrine-based, 69
 - faith-based, 61–62
- peer pressure
 - emotion and, 9, 21, 71
 - as judgment basis, 67–68
 - teenage decisions and, 9, 11, 21, 68
- personality type models, 86, 90–92
- Phelps, Michael, 36, 37
- Ponzi, Charles, 79
- Ponzi schemes, 79–81, 104
- pressure-based judgment, 67–68, 74, 103–4
- probability-based judgment, 141–48
 - Bayesian Team Support and, 147–48
 - decision tree and, 142–46
 - defensibility of, 75, 102
 - Internet resources for, 96
 - overview of, 72–73
 - uncertainty and, 72–73, 141, 142, 146–48, 155
 - verification of, 81
- process-based judgments, overview of, 103–6
- Pugh’s Judgment Process, 118–20
- RACI Matrix, 151
- Rank Sums Judgment Process, 109–12
- Ray, James, 61
- Reagan, Ronald, 81, 106
- Record of Decision (ROD), 40
- religion, 10, 57, 61, 69, 78
- reputation, loss of, 34, 35, 36, 37, 40
- rigor. *See* analytical rigor
- risk
 - high-risk recreational activities, 32, 48, 68
 - opportunities and, 93–94
 - tolerance for, 20, 45, 93
- Schlessinger, Dr. Laura, 63–64
- sensitivity analysis, 122–23, 135–36
- skydiving, 32, 33
- snap decisions, 8, 9, 65, 94
- social networking websites, 35, 36
- software. *See* computer software
- space shuttle program
 - Atlantis* reentry, 51
 - Challenger* disaster, 7, 10, 42, 75–77
 - Columbia* disaster, 8–9, 42, 51, 66
 - Hubble Space Telescope and, 37–38
 - Russian vs. American decisions, 60–61
- sunk costs, 18–19
- surgical errors, 33–34
- tattoos, 96
- teenagers
 - abandonment of, 50–51
 - binding agreements and, 39, 156
 - car insurance decisions, 145–46

166 Index

- teenagers (*continued*)
 - decision-making viewpoint of, 21–22
 - decision statements for, 27
 - emotion and, 9, 21, 63, 72
 - peer pressure and, 9, 11, 21, 68
- terrorism, 66–67, 69
- trade studies, 71, 85, 121. *See also* Kepner-Tregoe Derived Judgment Process
- Twitter, 36
- uncertainty
 - binding agreements and, 47–48, 52
 - decision solution frame and, 94
 - decision type frame and, 38
 - probability-based judgment and, 72–73, 141, 142, 146–48, 155
 - techniques for reducing, 146–47
- utility curves (value curves), 128–31
- vacation decisions, 112–14
- value, defined, 92
- value curves (utility curves), 128–31
- weapons firing decisions, 33, 44–45, 46, 49, 51–52
- weapons of mass destruction (WMD), 7
- weighted criteria
 - in Analytical Hierarchy Process, 131–35
 - criteria hierarchy, 136–37
 - group decision making and, 151
 - in Kepner-Tregoe Derived Process, 120–21, 122–24, 126–28, 131–32, 133
 - in Pugh's Process, 118–20
 - Rank Sums Process and, 110
 - value and, 92
- Weiner, Anthony, 35–36
- William, Paul L., 66–67
- Wilson Personality Model, 90, 91
- Woods, Tiger, 36–37
- Yelp.com, 106
- youth. *See* teenagers
- YouTube, 49