

Index

- Abbott Laboratories, 188
Acacia Research, Inc., 29, 194
Accounting, double-entry, 7–8
ACPA (Anticybersquatting
Consumer Protection Act),
210–211
Adoption risk, 131
AdWords (Google), 211–213
Ahn, Seungho:
and CIPOs, 110–111
IP risk/reward trade-off, 135
Allied Security Trust (AST), 156, 224
Altitude Capital, 29, 44
Android, 194, 210
Angel investors, 129
Ansoff, Igor, 145, 147
Anticybersquatting Consumer
Protection Act (ACPA),
210–211
Apache web servers, 209
Apple, 189, 194
Arenas, business, 100, 102
AssertCo business model, 220–221,
241
AT&T:
and CIPOs, 113
defining value to be obtained from
IP, 100
raising IP awareness, 67–68
relationship between innovation
and invention, 127–130
Authority and Activity Matrix,
107–108
Baggott, Steve:
IP portfolio classification, 76–77
make versus buy decisions, 91–92
out-licensing, 176
relationship between innovation
and invention, 124–126
shaping company's future, 153–154
Beforemath, 155

- Bender, Gary:
and CIPOs, 109–110
IP reporting metrics, 116
managing patent portfolio costs,
85–87
raising IP awareness, 66–67
- Best practices, 15
building IP awareness, 65–68
create effective screening criteria,
80–83
defining context of company's
future, 148–155
developing IP strategy to capture
value, 99–107
developing metrics for IP
reporting, 114–117
enforcing defensively, 68
influencing and creating the future,
155–159
for Level Five companies,
148–159
for Level Four companies, 122–138
for Level One companies, 56–68
for Level Three companies,
96–117
for Level Two companies, 75–92
make versus buy decisions,
87–92
manage portfolio in cost-conscious
manner, 84–87
managing IP risk/reward trade-offs,
130–138
managing patent portfolio costs,
84–87
obtaining and maintaining IP,
59–64
organizing IP to capture value,
107–114
relate portfolio to business use,
75–80
relationship between invention and
innovation, 122–130
taking stock of what you own,
56–59
Bilski vs. Kappos, 204–205
- Binder & Binder, 212
- Blackberry, 196, 198
- Blair, Margaret, 8
- Boeing, 89, 239
- Brands, 177–180
- Braun, 178
- Breach of trust, 168
- Broadcom, 113
- Brown, Bruce, 153
- Burning the Ship* (Phelps), 189
- Business plans, 20
- Business processes, 205
- Business strategy, 100–106
- Caching, 206
- Calcium citrate malate (CCM),
175–176
- Capture Value level, 16–17, 93–118.
See also Level Three companies
- Cargill, 58–59, 167–169
- Case study:
Juniper Networks, 135–138
Procter & Gamble, 173–186
- CCM (calcium citrate malate),
175–176
- Centocor, 188
- Centralization, 107–108
- Champerty, 241
- Chief intellectual property officer
(CIPO), 17, 30–33, 104,
109–114
- China, 37–38
- CIPO. *See* Chief intellectual property
officer (CIPO)
- Claims scope risk, 132

- Claim staking, 69
- Clorox, 183
- Commoditization, 123
- Competitive advantage, 79
- Competitive assessment, 24, 54
- Competitor, 238
- Complementors, 83
- Complex metrics, 115
- Connect + Develop, 91, 125, 153, 181–183, 185–186
- Connolly, Mark, 61–65
- Context, 122, 144–145
- Contributory infringement, 191, 206–207
- Coonan, Scott, 135–138
- Copyright, 10–11, 190–191
 - law, 205–210
- Cornell University vs. Hewlett-Packard Company*, 232–233
- Corporate sustainability, 143–144
- Costs, managing. *See* Manage Costs level
- Counterassertion, 90
- Cross-licenses, 58, 217, 240
- Crowdsourcing, 129
- Culturally-bound companies, 167
- CyberFusion.com, LLC, 211
- Cyberpiracy, 211

- Damages, IP, 188–189, 229–236
- Data, qualitative, 115
- Daubert standard, 235
- Davis, Julie, 4
- Davis, Stan, 155
- Davis and Harrison, 2
- Day, Scott, 211
- Decentralization, 107–108
- Defend Position level, 16, 47–70. *See also* Level One companies
- Demographics, 115
- Derivative work, 193
- Design, collaborative, 189
- Design-around risk, 132
- Detkin, Peter, 240
- Differentiators, 100, 101, 103–104
- DigiMedia.com, 211
- Digital Millennium Copyright Act (DCMA), 191, 207–208
- Digital rights management, 64
- Direct infringement, 206
- Docketing, 73
- Domain name system, 191, 210–211
- Donnelly, Kevin:
 - managing patent portfolio costs, 87
 - relationship between innovation and invention, 126–127
 - shaping company's future, 149–151
- Donovan, Steve, 174–175
- Double-entry accounting, 7–8
- Duijvestijn, Arian, 80
- DuPont, 61–64

- Eastman Kodak, 189
- eBay vs. MercExchange*, 195, 198–202
- Edison, Thomas, 11–13, 70
- Edison Hierarchy, 3, 15–18
 - good reasons to not need to be on, 163
 - levels of, 16–17
 - moving toward, 170–171
 - when companies aren't on any level of, 161–172
- Effectiveness, 71
- Efficiency, 71, 75
- Einstein in the Boardroom*, 143
- Employee theft, 61–62
- Enhanced Business Reporting Framework, 14
- Entire market value rule, 231–236
- Environmental patent risk, 131

- Environmental turbulence, 145–147
 Ernst & Young, 180
 Execution risk, 131
- Fair use, 11, 206, 208–209
 Febreze, 183
 Federal Circuit Court, 54–55, 236
Forbes magazine, 143
 Ford Motor Company, 212
 FOSS (free and open source software), 193, 209–210
 Fox, Steve, 49
 Frank, Scott:
 defining value to be obtained from IP, 99–100
 raising IP awareness, 67–68
 relationship between innovation and invention, 128–130
 Free and open source software (FOSS), 193, 209–210
 Future. *See also* Shape the Future level
 characteristics of, 144–148
 defining context of, 148–155
 influencing and creating, 155–159
- Gap analysis, 88
 Gateway, Inc., 233–235
 GEICO, 212
 Genentech, Inc., 203–204
Georgia-Pacific Corp. vs. U.S. Plywood Corp., 231
 Glad Joint Venture, 183
 Globalization, 33–38
 Global Licensing, 174–176, 176–177, 178, 179–180
 GNU General Public License version 2 (GPLv2), 193, 210
 GoForIt Entertainment, LLC, 211
 Google, 208–209, 211–213
 Grokster, 191, 206, 207
 GroupCo business model, 222–223, 240–241
 Gwinnell, Harry, 59, 167–169
- Hapidays, Inc., 211
 Hewlett-Packard, 229–230, 232–233, 240
 Human capital, 6
- IAMS. *See* Intellectual Asset Management System (IAMS)
 IBM, 188–189, 218
 ICM Gathering. *See* The Gathering
 ICM Group, LLC, 6–7
 i41 Limited Partnership, 199–200, 201
 Inches of patents negotiations, 218
 Indirect infringement, 206–207
 Indirect liability, 206, 207
 Inflexion Point Strategies, 109, 113–114
 Infringement, 190–191
 copyright, 206
 patent, 233
 risk, 131, 134
 trademark, 212
 Injunctive relief, 198–202
 In-license agreement, 57–58
 Innovation, 1–3
 dynamic approach to patenting, 50
 focused, 153–155
 and invention, 120, 122–130
 and IP, 184–185
 need for new, 24–25
 within Procter & Gamble, 173–174
 risk, 131–132
 Intangibles, 2–6, 8, 57
 Intel, 217, 218, 240
 Intellectual Asset Management System (IAMS), 18–25

- Intellectual assets, 6, 14, 15
- Intellectual capital (IC), 6, 7, 8
- Intellectual property. *See* IP (intellectual property)
- Intellectual Property Management System (IPMS), 18–19, 74, 75
- Intellectual Ventures, 29, 40–41, 44, 194–195, 218, 224–225, 240
- Interdigital, 113
- International Patent Classification (IPC), 76
- Internet, 190–192
 - and copyright law, 206
 - and trademark law, 210
- Intrinsic patent risk, 132–134
- Invalidity risk, 132
- Inventions, 1–2
 - creating, 20–21
 - disclosures, 20, 49–50, 102
 - external, 22
 - and innovation, 120, 122–130
 - internal, 22
 - key, 11
 - limiting scope of patentable, 204–205
 - screening, 21
- Investment options, 30–33
- IP-indifferent companies, 161, 164–167
- IP (intellectual property), 100–101
 - attorneys at Level One companies, 49–51
 - building awareness, 65–68
 - case study on risk, 137–138
 - changing environment for managing, 27–45
 - and China, 37–38
 - commercial value of, 164
 - copyrights as part of, 10–11
 - damages, 229–236
 - defense of, 47–70
 - defining value to be obtained from, 96–99
 - deriving value from, 2–6
 - determining value, 25
 - developing metrics for reporting, 114–117
 - developing processes for creating, 171
 - developing strategy to capture value, 99–107
 - developments in law, 187–213
 - ecosystem, 122
 - effectiveness of, 49
 - as enabler to innovation, 184–185
 - how it can support business, 164
 - increase in litigation and damages, 188–189
 - indifference, 161, 164–167
 - investors, 42–44
 - lack of formal marketplace, 40–41
 - lack of inventory of, 168
 - and the law, 36–37, 38–39, 187–213
 - as legal asset focused on risk reduction, 95
 - as legal asset in Level One companies, 51
 - in Level One companies, 48
 - litigation, 4
 - management, 4
 - management objectives of Level Five companies, 142–143
 - managing risk/reward trade-offs, 130–138
 - obtaining and maintaining, 59–64
 - obtaining rights to, 22
 - patents as part of, 8–9, 18
 - portfolio database, 168–169

- IP (intellectual property) (*Continued*)
- portfolio management, 22–23, 51–53, 103, 171
 - quantifying risk/reward trade-offs, 120
 - recipients of reports, 106–107
 - software, 192–193
 - strategy, 101
 - taking inventory of, 56–59
 - as tool in trade negotiations, 33–36
 - trademarks as part of, 9–10
 - underlying assumptions for the future, 157–159
 - value in context, 122
 - value of rights, 188–194
- IPC (International Patent Classification), 76
- Jager, Durk, 177
- Japan, 33
- Joint venture agreements, 57
- Judicial risk, 131
- Jung, Edward, 40–41
- Juniper Networks:
 - case study on litigation, 135–138
 - and CIPOs, 113
 - IP portfolio classification, 79–80
 - make versus buy decisions, 89–90
 - and patent risk, 134–135
- Kappos, 204–205
- Katz, Ronald, 29
- Kaz, 178–179
- Keywords, 192
- Know-how, 179–180
- Knowledge-based products, 189
- Kodak, 189
- Kramer, Rob, 229–236
- KSR International Company vs. Teleflex, Inc.*, 196–198
- Lafley, A. G., 124, 180–183
- Lanham Act, 211
- LaundryCo business model, 223–224
- Laurie, Ron:
 - and CIPOs, 109, 113–114
 - IP risks, 132–133
 - relationship between innovation and invention, 123
- Law. *See also* Litigation
 - copyright, 190, 205–210
 - IP, 38–39, 68
 - patent (*see* Patent law)
 - trademark, 191–192, 210–213
- Legislative risk, 131
- Lemelson, Jerome H., 28
- Lemley, Mark, 216
- Level Five companies, 141–159. *See also* Shape the Future level
 - best practices, 148–159
 - goals of, 142–143
- Level Four companies, 119–138. *See also* Synthesize Opportunities level
 - best practices, 122–138
 - goals of, 120–122
- Level One companies, 16. *See also* Defend level
 - best practice for, 56–68
 - functions, tools, and capabilities, 51–55
 - goals of, 47–51
- Level Three companies, 16–17, 93–118
 - best practices, 96–117
 - goals of, 94–96
- Level Two companies, 16, 71–92. *See also* Manage Costs level
 - best practices for, 75–92
 - goals of, 72–74

- LG Electronics, 202–203
 License agreements, 57–58, 169
 LicenseCo business model, 221–222
 Lightbulb, 12–13
 Lighting industry, 152–153
 Limewire, 191
 Linux operating system, 209
 Litigation. *See also* Law
 case study, 135–138
 increase in IP, 188–189
 Lo, Allen:
 IP portfolio classification, 79–80
 make versus buy decisions, 89–90
 and patent risk, 134
 Lucent Technologies, 188
Lucent Technologies vs. Gateway, Inc.,
 233–235

 Make versus buy decisions, 21–22,
 87–92, 239
 Manage Costs level, 16, 71–92. *See also* Level Two companies
 Market risk, 131
 Market value, 25
 McDonald, Bob, 185
Medimmune, Inc. vs. Genentech, Inc.,
 203–204
 Megatrends, 150
 MercExchange, 195, 201
 Metrics, 114–117, 170
MGM Studios, Inc. vs. Grokster, 207
 Microsoft Corporation, 188, 189,
 194, 199–200, 201, 229, 233,
 235–236
Microsoft Corporation vs. i4i Limited
 Partnership, 199–200
 Mobile phone market, 218
 Monster Cable, 212
 MOSAID, 113
 Movie industry, 191

 MP3.com, 207
 Music industry, 191, 206
 Myrvold, Nathan, 40–41, 194–195

 Napster, 206, 207
 NDAs, 57
 Net present value, 219
 Nielsen:
 and CIPOs, 113
 defining value to be obtained from
 IP, 98–99
 IP portfolio classification, 78–79
 IP risks, 132
 managing patent portfolio costs,
 84–85
 raising IP awareness, 65–66
 screening criteria for patent
 portfolio, 80–81
 Nokia, 193–194
 Noncompete agreements, 57
 Nondisclosure agreements, 57
 Nonpracticing entity. *See* NPE
 (nonpracticing entity)
 Nortel, 219
 NPE (nonpracticing entity), 113, 138,
 194, 201–202, 215, 218, 230
 rise of, 28–29
 risk, 134
 NTP, Inc., 195–196

 Objectives, business, 164
 Obsolescence, 193
 Obviousness, 196–198
 Ocean Tomo, 41
 Oliver, Erik, 215–227
 composition of patent portfolio, 82
 how companies determine whether
 they're on Edison Hierarchy,
 162
 make versus buy decisions, 90–92

- Oliver, Erik (*Continued*)
 moving toward Edison Hierarchy,
 170–171
 taking stock of IP, 57
 1-800 Contacts, 212
 Open Innovation Network, 124–125,
 153–154, 181, 184, 185–186.
See also Connect + Develop
 Opportunistic companies, 166
 Opportunity, identifying, 19–21
 OpportunityCo business model, 223,
 241
 Opportunity foresight, 155
 Options, investment, 31–33
 Oracle, 188, 193
 Oral-B, 182
 Oriel, Sharon, 3–4
 O’Shaughnessy, Jim:
 composition of patent portfolio,
 82–83
 and focused innovation, 154–155.
 make-*versus*-buy decisions, 87–89
 Out-license agreement, 57–58, 174,
 175–176, 177
 Outsourcing, 33
 PAE (patent assertion entity), 216,
 218
 Partnerships, 57, 169
 Patentability, 22
 Patent aggregators, 215–227
 defined, 216
 future directions, 226–227
 history, 217–218
 positives and negatives, 225–226
 Patent assertion entity (PAE),
 216, 218
 Patent law, 192, 194–205
 Patent Prosecution Highway
 (PPH), 36
 Patents, 8–9, 16
 analytic software tools, 51–53
 appeals, 54–55
 auctions of, 41
 and China, 37–38
 classification, 76, 220–224
 commoditization of, 123
 composition of portfolio, 81
 contributors to well-rounded
 portfolios, 88
 deciding whether to invest in
 protecting, 21
 determining relevance, 23–24
 developing screening criteria for
 portfolio, 79–83
 distressed, 40
 exhaustion document, 202
 fair market value for, 122
 infringement, 133, 135–138, 233
 as investment option, 30–33
 judgments, 188
 lack of, 167
 in Level Two companies, 73
 litigation, 44, 68, 133
 net present value, 219
 no longer useful for company,
 169
 number filed, 188
 option value, 84
 as part of intellectual property, 18
 portfolio demographics, 75
 price of, 219
 prosecuting, 102
 relating portfolio to business use,
 75–80
 and risk, 133, 134
 usability of, 75–76
 validity, 203–204
 valuation of in aggregation context,
 219–220

- value, 31–33
- worldwide backlog, 34–36
- Patent stack height negotiations, 218
- Patent trolls, 195, 198, 216, 240
- Peer-to-peer networks, 191, 206, 207
- PepsiCo, 176
- Percipience, LLC:
 - and CIPOs, 113–114
 - composition of patent portfolio, 81–83
 - IP risks, 132–133, 154
 - make versus buy decisions, 87–90
- Perfect 10, 207
- Peters, Ruud:
 - and CIPOs, 111–113
 - influencing and creating the future, 156–157
 - IP reporting metrics, 117
 - relationship between innovation and invention, 127–128
 - shaping company's future, 151–153
- Peterson, Mark, 180
- Phelps, Marshall, 189
- Philips:
 - and CIPOs, 111–113
 - influencing and creating the future, 156–157
 - IP reporting metrics, 117
 - relationship between innovation and invention, 127–128
 - screening criteria for patent portfolio, 80
 - shaping company's future, 151–153
- Potkay, Gene:
 - defining value to be obtained from IP, 98–99
 - IP portfolio classification, 77–79
 - IP risks, 132
 - managing patent portfolio costs, 84–85
 - raising IP awareness, 65–66
 - screening criteria for patent portfolio, 80–81
- Priority Document Exchange (PDX), 4
- Procter & Gamble (P&G). *See also* Global Licensing case study, 173–186
 - IP portfolio classification, 76–77
 - make versus buy decisions, 91–92
 - relationship between innovation and invention, 124–126
 - shaping company's future, 153–154
 - trademarks and brands, 177–180
- Product design, 189
- Product development, 193–194
- Productivity, 71
- Proprietary information, 57, 62, 168
- Proteus Biomedical, 43–44, 59–61
- Purchase, external, 22
- Qualcomm, 114, 218
- Qualitative data, 115
- Qualitative Narrative, 115–116
- Quanta Computer, Inc. vs. LG Electronics, Inc.*, 202–203
- Radcliffe, Mark, 42, 55, 187–213
- Rambus:
 - and CIPOs, 113
 - managing patent portfolio costs, 87
 - relationship between innovation and invention, 126–127
 - shaping company's future, 149–151
- Rational Patent Exchange (RPX), 44, 224
- Reasonable royalties, 123–124, 230–231, 232, 235
- Recession, worldwide, 38
- Regulatory risk, 131
- Relevance, 23–24

- Reliability Technology, 179–180
- Research and development, 56
- Research in Motion, Ltd. (RIM), 195–196
- Return on investment, 71
- Richardson, Kent, 84, 215–227
- Risk reduction, 95
- Risk/reward, 120, 130–138
- Rosetta Stone, 211–212
- Round Rock, 29, 44
- Royalties, 202, 204, 219, 221–222
 reasonable, 123–124, 230–231, 232, 235
- RPX Corporation, 156
- Rules of the game, 104
- SAM (serviceable available market), 219
- Samsung Electronics, 110, 111–113, 189
- SAP, 188
- Scope risk, 132
- Search engines, 211
- Self-examination, 163–164
- Semiconductor industry, 217–218
- Serviceable available market (SAM), 219
- Serviceable impacted market (SIM), 219–220, 241
- Sezmi:
 composition of patent portfolio, 82
 how companies find out whether they're on Edison Hierarchy, 162
 make-*versus*-buy decisions, 90–91
 managing patent portfolio costs, 84
 moving toward Edison Hierarchy, 170–171
 taking stock of IP, 56–57
- Shape the Future level, 17, 141–159.
 See also Level Five companies
 Shapiro, Bernstein & Co. vs. H.L. Green Co., 190
- Shih, Eric, 229–236
- Simple metrics, 115
- SIM (serviceable impacted market), 219–220, 241
- Small business, 166–167
- Social invention, 129
- Software, 51–53, 73, 192–193
 and copyright law, 209–210
- Sony, 206, 207
- Staging, 100, 101, 104–105
- Start-up companies, 166
- Stewart, Tom, 6
- Strategy, 93–100
- Success measures, 100, 101, 105–106
- Sun Microsystems, Inc., 193
- Sussex, Elisabeth, 58–61
- Swiffer, 182
- Synthesize Opportunities level, 17, 119–138. *See also* Level Four companies
- Tactics, 99, 100
- TAM (total available market), 219
- Tangible assets, 6, 8
- Technology option investment, 142
- Technology risk, 131
- Teece, David, 6
- Teleflex, 196–198
- Television industry, 191
- Telltales, 154
- Tessera, 113
- Texas Instruments, 217, 218
- Theft, employee, 61–62
- The Gathering, 3, 7, 25, 145, 147

- The Innovation Pipeline (TIP), 128–130
- ThinkFire, 44
- Third level domains, 211
- TIM (total impacted market), 219–220, 241
- TIP (The Innovation Pipeline), 129–131
- Toshiba, 135–138
- Total available market (TAM), 219
- Total impacted market (TIM), 219–220, 241
- Trademarks, 9–10, 102
 infringement, 212
 law, 191–192, 210–213
 Procter & Gamble, 177–180
- Trade secrets, 11, 57, 59,
 61–65, 102
 lack of protection for, 167–168
- Tropicana, 175–176
- Trust, 168, 178
- Turbulence, 145–148
- 25 percent rule of thumb, 235
- Unenforceability risk, 132
- Unicharm, 182
- Uniloc USA vs. Microsoft Corporation*, 230, 235–236
- United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO), 34, 36,
 42, 74
- Universal library, 208–209
- U.S. Plywood Corp., 231
- Uspto.gov, 74
- Validity risk, 133
- Value:
 capturing, 24, 95, 107–114
 developing IP strategy to capture,
 99–107
 how companies can destroy,
 167–169
 provided by IP, 96–99, 165–166
- Value creation, 95
- Value hierarchy. *See* Edison
 Hierarchy
- Vehicles, business, 100–103
- Venture capital, 42–44, 129
- Viacom, 55, 191, 208
- Vicarious infringement, 206, 207
- Vicarious liability, 190
- Vicks, 172–179
- Visa:
 and CIPOs, 109–110
 IP reporting metrics, 116
 managing patent portfolio costs,
 85–87
 raising IP awareness, 66–67
- Watson, 99
- Weedman, Jeff, 174–176, 180, 181,
 185–186
- Westinghouse, 55
- YouTube, 191, 208
- Zdeblick, Mark, 43–44
- z4 Technologies, Inc. vs. Microsoft Corporation*, 199–200

<http://www.pbookshop.com>

<http://www.pbookshop.com>

<http://www.pbookshop.com>