

Contents

<i>Foreword</i>	vii
<i>Abbreviations</i>	xvii
<i>Table of Cases</i>	xix
Introduction	1
1. Background	1
2. Methodology and Structure	6
Part I National Constitutional Law and EC/EU Law	13
1: Different Legal Orders and Different Legal Pyramids	15
1. Primacy According to the ECJ	15
2. The Primacy of EC/EU Law against the ‘Counter-limits’ Imposed by National Constitutional Courts	19
2.1. Germany and the Protection of Fundamental Rights	20
2.2. Italy and the <i>Controlimiti</i>	23
2.3. Poland and its Reluctance to give up its Recently Recovered Sovereignty	25
2.4. France: From Preserving its Sovereignty to the Absence of Explicit Contradictions	27
2.5. Spain: The Advantages of Being Last	33
2: The Attempted Reconciliation	39
1. The Conciliatory Attitude of the EU and National Authorities	40
1.1. The Prior Control of Constitutionality	40
1.2. The Community of Values between the Union and the Member States	41
1.3. The Attitude of Deference and Reconciliation Adopted by the Courts	42
2. The Codification of the Interordinal Relations Principles	46
2.1. The Constitutional Treaty or the So-called European Constitution	46
2.1.1. The Principle of Primacy in the Constitutional Treaty	46
2.1.1.1. The Implications of the Codification of the Principle of Primacy	47
2.1.1.2. The Codification Effects of Primacy in the Intergovernmental Pillars	48
2.1.2. The Limitations of the Principle of Primacy	50

2.1.3. The Acceptance of the Primacy Principle of the Constitutional Treaty by the Constitutional Jurisdictions	51
2.2. The Lisbon Treaty	53
Part II European Union Law and the European Convention on Human Rights	57
Preliminary Arguments	57
3: The Fundamental Rights and the Union	59
1. A Community/Union Based on the Rule of Law	59
2. Respect for Fundamental Rights	63
2.1 The Initial Silence of the Treaties	64
2.2. The Case Law Momentum	65
2.3. Dialogue between the ECJ and the National Constitutional Courts	66
2.3.1. First Stage: The Establishment of the Red Lines	66
2.3.2. Second Stage: The Rapprochement of Positions	68
3. The Legal Status of Fundamental Rights	70
3.1. The General Principles of Law	70
3.2. The Progressive Integration of Human Rights within the Treaties	74
3.3. The Scope of the Obligation to Respect Fundamental Rights	76
3.4. The Competence of the EC/EU Regarding Fundamental Rights	78
4. Sources of Rights Protected at EU Level	80
4.1. International Treaties	80
4.2. Common Constitutional Traditions	85
4.3. The Charter of Fundamental Rights	87
4.3.1. Introduction	87
4.3.2. The Charter's Legal Status	89
4.3.3. The Charter after the Treaty of Lisbon	92
5. The Rights Recognized by EC/EU Case Law	94
4: The European Convention on Human Rights According to the European Court of Justice	98
1. Two Different Legal Orders	98
2. The Thesis of Functional Succession	99
3. The Issue of Accession to the ECHR	104
4. The Legal Value of the Convention in EU Law until Accession	106
5. The Legal Value of the Convention in the Intergovernmental Pillars	108
5.1. The ECHR and the Common Foreign and Security Policy	109
5.2. The ECHR and Police and Judicial Cooperation	116

5: EC/EU Law According to the European Court of Human Rights	122
1. Preliminary Considerations	122
2. The Recognition of Responsibility in the Case Law of Strasbourg	125
2.1. The Initial Case Law of the Commission	125
2.2. From Individual to Collective Responsibility	126
2.3. The Consolidation of the Old Principles and the Emergence of New Uncertainties	128
2.4. Towards a More Rigorous Application of the Principles: The Rejection of the EC/EU Exception	133
3. Conventionality Control of EC/EU Primary Law	135
3.1. The ECHR v the Treaties: the <i>Matthews</i> Case	135
3.1.1. The peculiarities of the <i>Matthews</i> case	136
3.1.2. The Court's Response	137
3.1.3. The Consequences of the <i>Matthews</i> Case Law	138
3.2. The Disadvantages of Serving Two Masters: The Continuation of the <i>Matthews</i> Case before the ECJ	140
3.3. The Silence of the ECJ: A Further Step in Strengthening the Convention	145
4. The Conventionality Control of Secondary Law	146
4.1. The Approach to the Issue	146
4.2. The Expected Response from Strasbourg: The <i>Bosphorus</i> Case	147
4.2.1. Facts and Pre-litigation	147
4.2.2. The Reasoning of the ECtHR	150
4.2.2.1. The Right to Ownership of Bosphorus on the Basis of Ireland's Obligations Arising from EC/EU Law	150
4.2.2.2. The 'Equivalent Protection' Doctrine	152
4.2.3. The Scope of the ECtHR Judgment	154
4.2.3.1. The Nature of the Relationship between the EU and the ECtHR	154
4.2.3.2. The Problems of the 'Equivalent Protection' Doctrine	157
5. The Conventionality of the Acts Applicable without State Intervention	160
5.1. The Lawsuits against the Communities, the Union and its Member States	160
5.2. The Expansive Effect of the Obligations of the Convention	164
5.3. The <i>Senator Lines</i> Case or the Lost Opportunity	167
5.3.1. The Facts that Provoked the Lawsuit	167
5.3.2. The Specifics of the <i>Senator Lines</i> Case	170
5.3.3. On the Condition of Victim under the ECHR	172

5.3.4. The Court's Decision: The Status of Victim in <i>Senator Lines</i> and the Passive Legitimation of the EC/EU	174
6. The Possible Conventionality Control of the Acts from the old Second and Third Pillars	179
6: The Dialogue between Strasbourg and Luxembourg: Current Situation and Prospects	182
1. The Current Situation	182
2. Outlook: Accession to the European Convention on Human Rights	184
Part III The United Nations Charter, European Union Law and the European Convention on Human Rights	189
Preliminary Considerations	189
7: The UN Security Council as a 'Global Law Enforcement Power'	195
1. Responsibility of International Organizations	195
2. Direct, Selective or 'Smart' Sanctions	203
3. The Limits of the Security Council's Power	206
3.1. The Limitations According to the Charter	207
3.2. The Limits Imposed by International Law	211
3.3. In Search of Legitimacy: The Gradual Assimilation of Constitutional Principles	215
4. The Practice of the Security Council in Economic Sanctions against Individuals	219
4.1. The Sanctions Regime	220
4.2. Judicial Control of the Sanctions Regime	224
8: European Union Law and Security Council Resolutions: Participation of the European Union in the United Nations Sanctions Regime	228
1. The Traditional Relationship between EC/EU Law and International Law	228
1.1. The Founding Principles of the Relationship	229
1.2. The Control Exercised by the ECJ through EC/EU Law	233
2. The Court of First Instance as Guardian of the <i>Ius Cogens</i>	235
2.1. The Origin of the Matter: The Decision of the CFI in the <i>Kadi</i> and <i>Yusuf and Al Barakaat</i> Cases	235
2.2. The Structural Limits of the EU Jurisdiction	239
2.3. The Introduction of Diplomatic Protection into the EC/EU Legal Order	242
3. The ECJ as Constitutional Guardian	246

3.1. The Action before the ECJ: The Decision of the Court of Justice in the <i>Kadi</i> and <i>Al Barakaat</i> cases	246
3.2. The Establishment of the Autonomy of EC/EU Law in Relation to All Norms of International Law	248
3.3. The ECJ as the Final Guardian of Fundamental Rights	252
9: European Convention on Human Rights and Security Council Resolutions: The Contribution of the European Court of Human Rights	258
1. Preliminary Considerations: The Need to Establish a Standard of Adequate Protection in the Territories Administered by the UN	258
1.1. ‘ <i>Quis Custodiet Ipsos Custodes?</i> ’	258
1.2. The <i>Behrami</i> and <i>Saramati</i> Lawsuits before the ECtHR	261
2. The Idea of ‘Effective Control’ in the Attribution of States’ Acts to the UN	263
2.1. The Attribution of the Omission at Issue to a Subsidiary Organ of the United Nations (UNMIK)	263
2.2. Attribution of the Contested Acts to KFOR	268
3. The Refusal of the Application of the Theory of ‘Equal Protection’ to the UN	276
3.1. The Impossibility of Using the Theory of Equal Protection: UN Immunity Regarding the ECHR	277
3.2. The Particular Character of the UN and the Imperative Nature of its Mission	279
4. The ECHR and the Reaffirmation of the Identity of the Convention: A ‘European’ and not a ‘Universal’ Public Order Instrument	282
Part IV Interlocking Supranational Orders of a Constitutional Nature	287
10: International Law According to the European Supranational Courts	289
1. The Different Approaches that Founded the Decisions of the CFI, ECJ and the ECtHR	289
2. The Lack of Unanimity: Different Solutions to the Same Problem	294
11: The Pluralistic Conception versus the Constitutional Conception of the International Legal Order	297
1. Preliminary Considerations	297
2. Pluralist Conceptions of International Law and Global Governance	298
3. The Constitutional Conception of International Law and Global Governance	301

4. Soft Constitutionalist Approaches to Achieve Interordinal Constitutionalism	305
12: The Position of the European Union on the International Scene after the <i>Kadi</i> Case	308
1. Is the Pluralistic Approach Gaining Support within the ECJ?	308
2. The Use of Arguments of International Law	309
3. The <i>Solange</i> Doctrine	311
4. The Solution Chosen by the ECJ and the EU as International Actors	313
<i>Conclusions</i>	318
<i>Bibliography</i>	329
<i>Index</i>	367