

# INDEX

## A

Aalst, W. van der, 35  
Accelerated learning, 232  
Adobe, 205  
Alderman, F., 166  
Alert capability, 204, 210, 211  
Analysis category, 79  
Analyze level, 79, 80, 81, 82–83  
Anderson, L. W., 62, 63, 64, 79  
Apple, 203  
Application category, 79  
Apply level, 79, 80, 81, 82–83  
Approval area, placing drafts in the, 211  
Approval step, 7, 25, 34, 44  
Approve revisions step, 44, 45  
Approver role: defining the, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36; receiving credit for, 122, 123, 125, 126, 127, 128, 129, 130; system concept reflecting, 202  
Argyris, C., 135  
Artifact history: capturing, importance of, 10; cognition captured in, 18–19, 21–22; managing, using technology for, 204–205  
Artifacts: cognition distributed in, 16, 17–18, 20–21; dependencies between, uncovering, 22; referring to, 41. *See also* Knowledge products  
Artificial intelligence, 55, 64  
Assessment of learning and performance: system concept reflecting, 201, 202; technology for, deploying, 208–209, 214–216  
Assists, value of, 134

Author role: defining the, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37; receiving credit for, 122–123, 124–125, 126, 127, 128, 129, 130, 140, 209, 215; system concept reflecting, 202

## B

Backup services, global, 221  
Barriers: removing, to knowledge sharing, 102–103, 107–108  
Barritt, C., 166  
Best practices: campaign for, conducting a, 83; examples becoming, 71  
Big bang theory, of training, 78  
Blogs, 203  
Bloom's taxonomy, 63, 64, 79  
Boeing Company, 232–233  
Bottlenecks, identifying and addressing, 94  
Boundary spanners, defined, 97n2  
Brown, B., 27  
Buchanan, B., 106  
Build step, 17, 18  
Business process, the: defining, 24–29, 34–35; and distributed cognition, 17, 18, 19–20; for just-in-time development of a quality plan, example of, 5–6; and linking knowledge assets, 186–187; and repurposing knowledge assets, 192, 193, 196; and reusing knowledge assets, 191–192, 194–195; roles within, defining, 31–37, 45, 204. *See also* Process improvement

## C

Catch-22 situation, facing a, example of, 107–108

Categorization scheme, developing a, for an organization's intranet, 205

Cell phones: interface with, creating an, 214; new possibilities from, 220; as portable workstations, 221

Central connectors, defined, 97*n*2

Changes: consequences of, tracking, 150; history of, to an artifact, capturing information from the, 18–19, 22

Codification approach, 136, 137, 141

Codifying corporate knowledge, efforts at, 75

Cognition, distributed, 16–22

Cognitive levels, ascending order of, 79

Cognitive needs, differing, addressing, 77–87

Cognitive strategies, teaching, using simulations for, 223

Collaboration: deploying technology to manage, 203–204, 210–212; system concept reflecting, 201, 202

Collaboration levels, identifying, 149, 151, 152

Collaboration system, in an ideal system, 202

Collaboration tools and services, vendors of, 203

Collaborative business model, move to a, examples of a, 232–233, 236–237

Collaborative intelligence, ability of, 5

Collaborative process: defining roles within a, 31–37, 204; workflow in a, agreeing on the, 23–29, 204

Collaborative team, access available to a, 7

Collaborative work: essence of, 4–5; facilitating, foundation for, 15–22; performance objectives supporting, 42–43, 47–48; result of, 4

Combining/reusing, 58*n*2, 59*n*2

Common language, 143

Community spaces, 203

Completeness criteria, described, 10

Comprehension category, 79

Conceptual knowledge: abandoning, issue with, 115–116; ability to infuse, into other organizations, universities having the, 232; access to, providing, 74, 80–81, 136, 138, 140–141, 165–166, 235; application of, 64, 71; creating and updating, as a measure of contribution, 118, 126–127, 131, 139, 145, 153, 155, 156, 157; defined, 62; described, 63–64; identifying, 65; instruction embodying, 69, 70. *See also* Knowledge assets

Condition component, 11

Content analysis, described, 10–11

Context, 18, 172, 173, 175, 187, 195

Coordinator role, 32

Corporate knowledge, codifying, efforts at, 75

Correctness criteria, described, 10

Courses, taking of, supporting the, 207, 210

Create level, 79, 80, 81, 84–86

Create step, 7, 25, 34, 44

*Creating a Reusable Learning Objects Strategy* (Barritt and Alderman), 166

Creation features, of 3-D tools, 222

Crises, solving vs. preventing, 12–13

Criterion component, 11

Cross, R., 94, 97*n*2

Cycles, number of, as a measure, 48

## D

Data collection and retrieval, using technology for, 208–209

Data story, shared, creating a, 208

Database system: collaboration and management system built on a, 213; in an ideal system, 202; pulling in content from a, 207; underlying, employing an, 206

- Davenport, T., 100, 103
- Decision-making information, important, capturing, 16, 17–18, 20
- Delivery step, 17, 18, 19–20
- Denning, S., 27
- Design document: breaking out the quality plan from the, 154–155; capturing information in the, 17–18, 20, 21; and double-loop learning, 157; preliminary, 25, 33, 34, 35, 44; and single-loop learning, 151–152, 153–154, 155. *See also* Knowledge products
- Design step, 5, 6, 17, 18, 20, 25, 41
- Detailed step: and distributed cognition, 17, 18, 19–20; in iLearning, 5, 6; and measuring performance, 41, 44; and process definition, 25; and repurposing knowledge assets, 192, 193; and reusing knowledge assets, 191, 195; and role definition, 34
- Dick Tracy question, the, 220
- Differentiated learners, 77–87
- Disseminated knowledge, 13, 202
- Distributed cognition, 16–22
- Documentation: additional work of, 131; importance of, 116–117; of new knowledge assets, 211; product, as a topic, and rewriting as a performance objective, 10–11; and single-loop learning, 141; for updating knowledge assets, 187–188
- Documents: access to, 5–6; authors of, selection of, 33; capturing information in, 17–18, 20; embodying factual knowledge, 69–70; as a knowledge asset, 68; measuring, 123–126; as a performance measure, 117–118; providing access to knowledge through, 73; types of learners using, 80–81. *See also* Knowledge assets
- Double-loop learning: defined, 119; and organizational performance, 149–150, 156–158; recognizing the difference between single-loop learning and, 129; result of, 119; and team performance, 138, 142–143
- Drafts, uploading, 211
- Dreamweaver, 205
- ## E
- Economy: global, changing the, with iLearning, 232–233, 236–237; knowledge, 100, 230, 233, 235
- Education, changing, with iLearning: at the collegiate level, 232, 235; at the K-12 level, 230, 231–232, 233–234
- Einstein model, moving beyond the, 5, 230
- E-mail, use of, for managing collaboration, 204, 211
- Embedded knowledge, 20, 41, 115
- Engineering achievements, 4
- Evaluate level, 79, 80, 81, 84–86
- Evaluation category, 79
- Examples: access to, 6; collecting, 211; embodying procedural knowledge, 69, 70–71; as a knowledge asset, 68; measuring, 121–123; as a performance measure, 116–117; providing access to knowledge through, 74–75; types of learners using, 81. *See also* Knowledge assets
- Expert advice: access to, 6; campaign for, conducting a, 84–86; capturing, through new media forms, 226–227; embodying metacognitive knowledge, 69, 70, 71–72; as a knowledge asset, 68; and managing tacit knowledge, 76; as a performance measure, 119; providing access to knowledge through, 75; types of learners using, 81. *See also* Knowledge assets
- Expert systems, high expectations for, 71

Expertise: locating, 91, 92, 93–94, 95–96; marshalling, 91, 92, 94, 96–97  
 Experts: cognitive level of, 79, 80; cognitive needs of, 80, 81, 86–87; getting expertise from, difficulties of, 105–107; and producer teams, 93, 94; and single-loop learning, 135; understanding, in an expert advice campaign, 85  
 Explicit knowledge: amount of knowledge that is, by type of knowledge, 69, 70, 71, 72; and single-loop learning, 135, 136, 137, 140, 141, 142; and team learning, 144; visibility of, 55–56, 57–58  
 Explicit-to-explicit exchange, 59n2  
 Externalizing knowledge, 55–56, 57–58

## F

Facilitation-based process definition, issue with, 24, 25–26, 27–28  
 Factual knowledge: abandoning, issue with, 115–116; access to, providing, 73, 80–81, 135–136, 138, 140, 165–166, 235; application of, 64, 71; creating and updating, as a measure of contribution, 117–118, 124–125, 137, 139, 145, 153, 155, 156, 157; defined, 62; described, 63; documents embodying, 69–70; identifying, 64–65. *See also* Knowledge assets  
*Fifth Discipline, The* (Senge), 150  
 Flaws, key to avoiding, 40  
 Footprint, reducing the, using mobile technology for, 224–225  
 Formal collaborative processes, 204  
 Formal relationships, chart showing, 93  
 Full simulations, 223

## G

Global backup services, 221  
 Global economy, changing the, with iLearning, 232–233, 236–237

Google Sites, 203  
 Groh, K., 27  
 Group projects, education programs moving toward, 232, 234, 235  
 GroupWise, 203  
 Gut analysis, 43

## H

Hard rewards, developing, 103–104, 108–109  
 Hee, K. van, 35  
 Heuristics, 62, 64, 66, 119  
 Hierarchy of needs, 104, 109–110  
 Higher education, changing, with iLearning, 232, 235  
 High-performance workers, capturing, on video, 226  
 HTML-created environment, 205–206, 213  
 Hutchins, E., 16

## I

IBM, 203  
 Ideal system, design and development of an, 200, 201–203, 209–210  
 iLearning: example of, 4–8; methodology for, 9–13; resources for, 239–240; role of, in changing our world, 229–237; and understanding the life cycle of knowledge, 13–14  
 iLearning portal: providing access to an, 207–208, 210, 214; system concept reflecting an, 202  
 iLearning pyramid, layers of the, 8  
 Implementation step: and distributed cognition, 17, 18; and repurposing knowledge assets, 192, 193; and reusing knowledge assets, 191, 195  
 Incentives, addressing, for knowledge sharing, 99–110  
 Individual performance, 113–132, 230  
 Individuals: cognition distributed across, 16, 17, 19–20; creation of, 4; value of, realizing the, 230

Informal collaborative processes, 204  
 Informal relationships, chart showing, 93  
 Information brokers, defined, 97n2  
 Information center, opening an, 227  
 Information flow, bottlenecks in, identifying and addressing, 94  
 Information technologies: deploying, 199–216; emerging, 219–228  
 Instruction: access to, 6; embodying conceptual knowledge, 69, 70; identifying, 10; as a knowledge asset, 68; measuring, 126–127; as a performance measure, 118; providing access to knowledge through, 74; refresher, use of, 82; types of learners using, 80–81; use of, 11. *See also* Knowledge assets  
 Instructional designer team, putting together an, 95  
 Instructional systems design (ISD) approach, 9–13  
 Integrated learning, importance of, 230  
 Intelligence: artificial, 55, 64; collaborative, ability of, 5  
 Internalizing knowledge, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58  
 International Society for Performance Improvement (ISPI), 240

## J

Just-in-time learning, 5–6, 7, 231

## K

Kazanas, H., 11  
 Knowledge: capturing other types of, supporting, 226; codifying, efforts at, 75; differentiating, 61–66; embedded, 20, 41, 115; life cycle of, 13–14, 201, 202; missing, pockets of, 20; modeling and managing, need for, 4; sharing, incentives for, addressing, 99–110; static, subcontracting work

completed with, moving away from, 233; as temporal, philosophy of, 74; visibility of, addressing the, 53–58. *See also* Conceptual knowledge; Factual knowledge; Metacognitive knowledge; Procedural knowledge

Knowledge acquisition, 55–56, 106

Knowledge asset producer team, 93, 94

Knowledge assets: access to, 6, 7, 235, 236; appropriate, providing, for specific types of learners, 80–81; availability of, identifying the, 149, 151, 152; creating different kinds of, 95; deploying technology to manage, 206–208, 213–214; developing, as reusable learning objects, 163–163; differentiating, 67–76; increase in contributions to, 144–145, 158; linking, for repurposing, 183–185, 186–188; linking, for reuse, 183, 186, 187; managing, 189–198; new, documentation of, 211; organizing, 165–166, 166–167, 181–188; repurposing, 173, 174–175, 177–180, 206, 213, 232; reusing, 166, 167–169, 206, 213; role-based access to, deploying technology for, 206–208, 214; sharing, 173, 175–177; and single-loop learning, 140, 149; for student projects, 234, 235; system concept reflecting, 201, 202; total, as a performance measure, 120, 130–132, 139, 153, 154, 155, 157; updating repurposed, 192–194, 195–198, 206, 213; updating reused, 191–192, 194–195, 206, 213. *See also* Documents; Examples; Expert advice; Instruction

Knowledge base: as the center of a system, example of the, 209–210; contribution to the, 124, 125, 126, 127, 128; system concept reflecting the, 201, 202

Knowledge broker, acting as a, example of, 233

Knowledge contribution, measuring, 113–132, 139–140

Knowledge creation: in the life cycle of knowledge, 13; system concept reflecting, 202; theory of, 58–59n2

Knowledge dimension, 79

Knowledge dissemination, 13, 202

Knowledge economy, 100, 230, 233, 235

Knowledge flow, getting a feel for, 153–154

Knowledge markets, definition and description of, 100

Knowledge preservation, 13, 202

Knowledge products: development of, focusing only on measuring, issue with, 115–116, 120–121; and double-loop learning, 150; having performance objectives, 41–42; identifying, and assessments related to, 149, 151, 152; in iLearning, 6, 7, 9, 10, 34, 43; and knowledge assets as reusable learning objects, 165, 166, 167, 168; and linking knowledge assets, 183, 184, 185, 186, 187; managing, deploying technology for, 204–205, 212; ratio of assets and objectives to, 131, 129, 144–145, 153, 154, 155, 157; referring to, 41; and repurposing knowledge assets, 173, 174, 175–176, 177, 178, 179, 192, 193, 194, 196; and reusing knowledge assets, 191, 195; and single-loop learning, 140, 149; for a student project, 234; system concept reflecting, 201, 202. *See also* Artifacts; Design document; Quality plans; Testing report; User document

Knowledge worker management, issue of, 49n1

*Knowledge Worker, The* (radio show), 239

*Knowledge-Creating Company, The* (Nonaka and Takeuchi), 14, 54, 55

Krathwohl, D. R., 62, 63, 64, 79

## L

Lamater, J. D., 102

Learners, differentiating, 77–87

Learning. *See* Double-loop learning; iLearning; Organizational learning; Single-loop learning; Team learning

Learning and performance assessment: system concept reflecting, 201, 202; technology for, deploying, 208–209, 214–216. *See also* Performance measurement

Learning center, creating a, 228

Learning curve, addressing a, 81–82

Learning management system (LMS), 202, 206–207, 208, 210

Learning objects, reusable: defined, 164; developing knowledge assets as, 163–169

Learning process, 56

Linden Research, Inc. (Linden Lab), 228n2

Link management system, 213

LiveOffice, 203

Lotus product group, 203

## M

Mager, R., 47

Maslow, A., 104, 109, 110

Mayer, R., 222

Measuring performance. *See* Performance measurement; Performance measures

Media forms, new, 221–222, 226–227

Metacognitive knowledge: abandoning, issue with, 115–116; ability to infuse, into other organizations, universities having the, 232; access to, providing, 75, 81, 137, 138, 141–142, 165–166, 235; creating and updating, as a measure of contribution, 119, 128–129,

131, 139, 145, 153, 154, 155, 157; defined, 62; described, 64; expert advice embodying, 69, 70, 71–72; identifying, 66; and managing tacit knowledge, 75, 76. *See also* Knowledge assets

Metadata, tagging with, 117, 132n1

Metaknowledge, 64

Metrics, providing, 43–44, 48

Michelangelo, 4

Michener, H. A., 102

Microsoft, 203

Mini-simulations, focusing on, 223

Mobile technology, 220, 221, 224–226

Modify process step, 44, 45

Modules, creating, 42, 43, 212

Moursund, D., 220

*Multimedia Learning* (Mayer), 222

Myers, D. J., 102

**N**

Needs assessment: extensive, conducting an, 95; initial, expanding an, 83, 84; performing a, 82

Needs, hierarchy of, 104, 109–110

Networking, breadth and depth of, revealing, 94

Nonaka, I., 14, 54, 55

Notification capability, 204

Novell, 203

Novices: cognitive level of, 79–80; cognitive needs of, 80–81, 86; and single-loop learning, 135; understanding, in the expert advice campaign, 85

**O**

Old-timer tips. *See* Expert advice

Organizational charts, 93

Organizational learning: facilitating, described, 150–151; supporting, 158–159; view of, creating a, 209, 215

Organizational Learning and Instructional Technology program, 235

*Organizational Learning II* (Argyris and Schön), 135

Organizational performance: and double-loop learning, 149–150, 156–158; and organizational learning, 150–151, 158–159; and single-loop learning, 149, 151–156; view of, creating a, 209, 215

Outsourcing business model, shift from an, examples of a, 232–233, 236–237

**P**

Paper trails, creating, 205

Partners, working with, versus suppliers, 233, 236

PDA interface, creating a, 214

Performance. *See* Individual performance; Organizational performance; Team performance

Performance assessment. *See* Learning and performance assessment

Performance components, 11

Performance gaps: closing, 82, 83, 84, 121, 126; identifying, 9, 12

Performance improvement, team, 133–146

Performance management, organizational, 147–159

Performance measurement: individual knowledge contribution in, focusing on, 113–132, 230; role of, in process improvement, 39–49

Performance measures: documents as, 117–118; examples as, 116–117; expert advice and support as, 119; instructional materials as, 118; performance objectives as, 119–120; total knowledge assets as, 120

Performance objectives: achievement of, amount of knowledge created for, as a unit of measurement, 116; creating or updating, as a measure of knowledge

- contribution, 119–120, 129–130, 131, 139, 153, 154, 155, 156, 157; and double-loop learning, 142–143, 150; identifying, 9–10, 11, 46–47; importance of, 40; increase in contributions to, 144–145, 156, 158; and knowledge assets as reusable learning objects, 165, 166, 167, 168; knowledge products having, 41–42; level of definition of, identifying the, 149, 151, 152; and linking knowledge assets, 183, 184, 185, 186, 187, 188; managing knowledge assets in terms of, 206, 213; for process improvement, 44–45, 46, 48–49; referring to, 42; and repurposing knowledge assets, 173, 174, 175–176, 177, 178, 179, 192, 193, 194, 195, 196–198; and reusing knowledge assets, 191, 194, 195; and single-loop learning, 140, 149; for student projects, describing, 234; supportive role of, 42–43, 47–48; using, to provide metrics, 43–44, 48
- Performance objectives checklist  
use of a, 57, 58
- Peripheral specialists, defined, 97n2
- Personal discovery, 58–59n2
- Personification/personalization approach, 136, 137, 138, 141
- Portable communication devices, new, 220, 221, 224–226
- Practitioners: cognitive level of, 80; cognitive needs of, 80, 81, 86; and producer teams, 93, 94; and single-loop learning, 135; understanding, in the expert advice campaign, 85
- Preliminary design document, 25, 33, 34, 35, 44
- Preliminary step, 17, 18, 20, 25, 41, 43
- Preparing Instructional Objectives* (Mager), 47
- Problem identification, 44
- Procedural knowledge: access to, providing, 74–75, 81, 136–137, 138, 141, 165–166, 235; creating and supplying, as a measure of contribution, 116–117, 122–123, 131, 139, 145, 153–154, 155, 156–157; defined, 62; described, 64; examples embodying, 69, 70–71; identifying, 65–66; and managing tacit knowledge, 75, 76. *See also* Knowledge assets
- Process definition, 24–29, 34–35
- Process dimension, 79
- Process improvement: basis for, example of, 27, 237n2; key for, 39–49; using mobile technology for, 224–225
- Process improvement process, 44–45, 46, 48–49
- Producer team, 93, 94
- Product documentation, as a topic, and rewriting as a performance objective, 10–11
- Product life expectancy, as a topic, and rewriting as a performance objective, 10
- Product performance, as a topic, and rewriting as a performance objective, 10
- Productivity breakthroughs, source of, 119, 144, 158
- Productivity, increased: examples of, 144, 154, 158; means of, 49n1, 78
- Professional Development Certificate in iLearning, 239
- Prusak, L., 27, 94, 97n2, 100

## Q

- Quality improvement, 31
- Quality plans: authors of, selection of, 33; capturing information in, 17–18, 20, 21; changes to, 18–19, 22; completing good, focus on, as a measure of performance, issue with, 120–121; creating, defining the process for, approaches to,



24–29; development of, outline of the, 7; and double-loop learning, 156, 157; just-in-time development of, 5–6; making, a separate knowledge product, 154–155; performance issues with, using an ISD approach to address, 9–13; and performance objectives, 41, 43, 44, 45, 46; problem with, addressing, 57–58; and repurposing knowledge assets, 192, 193; and reusing knowledge assets, 191, 195; roles within the process of creating, 33, 34, 35–36; and single-loop learning, 151, 152. *See also* Knowledge products

## R

*Readings in Knowledge Acquisition* (Buchanan and Wilkins), 106  
 Relationships, charts showing, 93  
 Released work area, placing approved drafts in a, 211  
 Remember level, 79–80, 81–82  
 Repurpose: linking knowledge assets for, 183–185, 186–188; vs. reuse, 172  
 Repurposed knowledge assets, updating, 192–194, 195–198, 206, 213  
 Repurposing knowledge assets, 173, 174–175, 177–180, 206, 213, 232  
 Requirements, defined, 41–42  
 Researching, 58*n*2, 59*n*2  
 Reusable learning objects: defined, 164; developing knowledge assets as, 163–169  
 Reuse: linking knowledge assets for, 183, 186, 187; vs. repurpose, 172  
 Reused knowledge assets, updating, 191–192, 194–195, 206, 213  
 Reusing knowledge assets, 166, 167–169, 206, 213  
 Review step, 7, 25, 34, 44  
 Reviewer role: and the collaboration system for managing knowledge

products, 212; defining the, 32, 33–34, 35–36, 37; receiving credit for, 122–123, 125, 126, 127, 128, 129, 130; system concept reflecting, 202  
 Revise improvement step, 44, 45  
 Revision area, placing drafts in the, 211  
 Revision history, filling out a, 215  
 Revision request, 44, 45  
 Revisions: control over, 32; making, receiving credit for, 122–123, 124–125, 126, 127, 128, 130  
 Rewards, developing, 103–105, 108–110  
 Role playing, employing 3-D environments for, 223–224, 227–228  
 Role-based access, technology for, deploying, 206–208, 214  
 Roles: learning, within a process, 31–37, 45, 204; user, 201, 202  
 Rothwell, W., 11  
 RSS (really simple syndication) feed, 204, 216*n*1  
 Rules of engagement, 34–35, 36–37, 204  
 Rules of thumb, 62, 64, 66, 119

## S

Salisbury, M., 239  
 Salomon, G., 17  
 Schön, D., 135  
 Schooling, changing, with iLearning: at the collegiate level, 232, 235; at the K-12 level, 230, 231–232, 233–234  
 Second Life, 222, 227–228  
 Self-actualization, theory of, 104  
 Self-interest: aligning with, 105–107; work based on, 101–102  
 Senge, P., 150  
 Shakespeare, W., 4  
 Shared data story, creating a, 208  
 Shared understanding, 58*n*2, 59*n*2  
 SharePoint product group, 203  
 Simulations, use of, 223

Single-loop learning: defined, 129; and organizational performance, 149, 151–156; recognizing the difference between double-loop learning and, 129; and team performance, 135–137, 139–142

Social exchange theory, 102

Social network analysis chart, 93

Social network analysis (SNA), 91–97

Social networks, participants in, different categories of, 97n2

*Social Psychology* (Michener, Lamater, and Myers), 102

Soft rewards, developing, 104–105, 109–110

Spinning wheels, time spent, addressing, 82

Spokane Standards, 195–196, 197

Static knowledge, subcontracting work completed with, moving away from, 233

Steep learning curve, addressing a, 81–82

Storytelling approach, 24, 26–27, 28–29, 34–35

*Storytelling in Organizations* (Brown, Denning, Groh, and Prusak), 27

Student project groups, working in, examples of, 234, 235

Synthesis category, 79

System concept, ideal, design and development of an, 200, 201–203, 209–210

Systemic view: allowing for a, 150; lack of a, 12–13; system concept offering a, 201, 202

Systems thinking, defined, 150

## T

Tacit knowledge: amount of knowledge that is, by type of knowledge, 69, 70, 71, 72; managing, 72–73, 75–76; and single-loop learning, 135–136, 137, 140, 141, 142; and team learning, 144; visibility issue with, 55, 56, 57, 58, 66

Tacit-explicit-tacit cycle, 55, 56, 57, 72, 76, 135

Tacit-to-explicit exchange, 59n2

Tacit-to-tacit exchange, 59n2

Takeuchi, H., 14, 54, 55

Tangible rewards, developing, 103–104

*Taxonomy of Educational Objectives* (Bloom), 63

Taylor, F., 49n1

Taylorism, criticism of, 49n1

Team learning: facilitating, described, 138; supporting, 143–146; view of, creating a, 209, 215

Team performance: and double-loop learning, 138, 142–143; and single-loop learning, 135–137, 139–142; and team learning, 138, 143–146; view of, creating a, 209, 215

Teams, collaborative, access available to, 7

Technology: deploying, 199–216; emerging, 219–228; investing in, 200

Technology-based solutions, issue with, 8

Templates, use of, 56, 57, 58

Testing reports: capturing information in, 17–18, 20; developing, 12; and double-loop learning, 156, 157; poor, addressing, 58; and repurposing knowledge assets, 192, 193; and reusing knowledge assets, 191, 195; and single-loop learning, 151, 152, 153. *See also* Knowledge products

*Thinking for a Living* (Davenport), 103

3-D environments, emerging, 222–224, 227–228

Time, issue of, 123

Timeliness, improving, 31

Topical organization, importance of, 68

Tracking, 149, 150

Traditional learning, shift from, realizing the need for a, 230  
 Traditional process definition approach, 24, 25–26, 27–28  
 Training, big bang theory of, 78  
 Trust: importance of, 93, 94; level of, assessing, 103

### U

Understand level, 79–80, 81–82  
 Unifying, defined, 182  
 University of New Mexico, 235, 239  
 User document: capturing information in the, 17–18, 20; and double-loop learning, 157; and single-loop learning, 151, 152, 153. *See also* Knowledge products  
 User roles, system concept reflecting, 201, 202

### V

Version control, providing, 205  
 Versions, differences in, 18–19, 22

### W

Web sites: creating, for managing knowledge assets, 205–206, 213; for iLearning resources, 239, 240; uploading drafts to, 211; and using an iLearning portal, 208  
 Web-cams, using, 226–227  
 Wikis, 203, 204, 205  
 Wilkins, D., 106  
 Windows Server, 203  
*Workflow Management* (Aalst and Hee), 35  
 Workflow process, agreeing on the, 23–29, 204  
*Working Knowledge* (Davenport and Prusak), 100

<http://www.pbookshop.com>