Absolute earnings-based measures, 56 Absolute goals vs. relative performance measurement, 14 Absolute plans externally informed, 155 Absolute price targets, 192 Absolute total shareholder return reflecting executive performance, 163 Accounting metrics assessment, 27–28 vs. economic metrics, 28 - 29Accounting principles. See Generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) Accuracy vs. complexity, 56–60, 68,84

Achievement probability cumulative, 165, 167 outstanding performance goals, 164 target performance, 164 threshold goals, 164 Adjustment checklist, 218 Alignment, 199 measures, 46 Analyst expectations externally informed target setting, 147 Annual incentive plan organizational linkage, 100 Annual review pay and performance diagnostics, 211 Asia fixed remuneration, 12 Assessment accounting metrics, 27–28

Assessment (continued)	Benchmarks
multidimensional	data, 2
performance, 105	pay, 2
strategic accomplishments, 29	performance share plans, 172
Attracting executive talent	unrealistically high, 152
globalization, 5–6	Best practices, 95–96
Australia	Binomial options pricing model, 169
executive bonuses, 13	Black Scholes model, 169
long-term incentive programs, 14	Blockbuster model, 72
public company executive remu-	Boards of directors, 212
neration advisory vote, 3	autonomy, 213
say on pay, 213	balancing business strategic, 8-9
standardizing executive	desires, 11
remuneration, 7	executive pay decisions, 1-2, 4-6
standardizing rewards programs,	increasing liability, 5
8–9	increasing responsibilities, 5
time-vested stock options, 14	interviews, 201, 205
Autonomy	operating needs, 8-9
boards of directors, 213	oversight vs. micromanagement, 9
Awards	Bonuses, 158
after the fact discretion, 183	executive, 12, 13
flow chart fine tuning levels, 186	Book value of equity, 48
maximum caps, 170–171	Bottom-up approach
	goal-setting, 142–143
В	Brand profit model, 72
Balance, 85	Bringing together, 195–219
business strategic and operating	getting started, 197–206
needs, 8–9	performance management mind-
goal-setting, 139	set benefits, 211–213
good performance, 41–42	performance measurement
growth and returns, 52-53	checklist, 214–219
pay for performance, 7–9	road map implementation,
performance metric selection	207–210
defensibility, 87–88	Business
Balanced Scorecard (Norton and	changing priorities, 178
Kaplan), 93	complexity, 66
Balanced Scorecards, 35, 90, 107	context, 38
Banked incentive plan	drivers, 48
vs. normal incentive plan, 181	internal context, 68
vs. sandbagging, 182	models, 72

25.24	
partners, 25–26	Capitalization
processes creating shareholder	market, 122, 125
value, 47	Caps
scorecard, 111	bonus payments, 171
strategy, 57, 68, 199	incentives, 171
units, 81, 99–101, 111	long-term incentive
units <i>vs.</i> company-side perform-	awards, 172
ance, 36, 37	multiyear cash incentive
unprofitable, 52	awards, 171
value creation contribution, 81	Case study, 202–206
	Cash flow return on investment
С	(CFROI), 55
Calculations	long-term incentive programs, 39
amount of leverage, 164	Cash incentive awards
financial, 198	multiyear, 171
Calibrating pay and performance,	Causality correlation, 96
157–175	CEO. See Chief executive officers
checklist, 218	(CEO)
incentive plan leverage,	CFROI. See Cash flow return on
158–161	investment (CFROI)
leverage and goal setting,	Change
164–165	business priorities, 178
leverage and long-term incentive	managing by exception avoid-
vehicles, 162–163	ance, 187–193
maximum award caps, 170–171	organizational, 180, 190
passing big test, 174-175	pay for performance, 10–11
reasonableness plan costs,	themes, 11
172–173	Checklist
simulation modeling, 166–169	adjustments and discretion, 218
Canada	framework design, 216
executive bonuses, 13	getting started, 214
executive remuneration disclo-	implementation, 219
sure rules, 3–4	leverage, calibration and
say-on-pay resolutions, 4	testing, 218
time-vested stock options, 14	metric selection, 215–216
Capital	peer group development,
equity, 49	216–217
intensity, 57	value definition, 214–215
intensity ratio, 125	Chief executive officers (CEO)
markets, 120	vs. board decision-making, 7
111a1KCts, 120	vs. board decision-making, /

Chief executive officers (CEO)	Contracts
(continued)	negotiating, 2
defending executive remunera-	Control, 97
tion, 6	Conventional metrics, 70
equity delivery, 15	Cooperation, 98
China	senior executives, 210
pay for performance, 4	Correlation
Collaboration	causality, 96
cross, 98	Cost of equity, 49, 50
executive remuneration	Cost structures, 52
programs, 8	Cross-collaboration, 98
vs. ownership, 9	Cumulative probability of
Common language, 44	achievement
Common scorecard	ROCE, 167
diverse organizations, 109	short-term incentive plan pay-
Communication, 48	outs, 165
individuality, 210	Customers
reinforcing education, 210	markets, 119
Community value, 26	solutions profit model, 72
Company	value, 25
CEO equity, 15	Customized solutions
executive remuneration advisory	universal principles, 112
vote, 3	
minimizing performance 8	D
side performance vs. business	Debt service coverage ratio, 34
units, 36, 37	Depreciation
stage of development, 32-33	GAAP, 56
Comparable data	Development and research
relative target setting, 153	executive contributions, 32
Compensation	Diagnostics
limiting nonperformance based, 3	key questions, 207
Competitive markets	Directors. See Boards of
peers, 121	directors
Competitors	Discretion
using measurement, 70	adjustments, 186
Consultants	checklist, 218
outside, 201, 202	managing by exception avoid-
Continuous review	ance, 185–186
performance measurement	Diverse organizations
system, 211	common scorecard, 109

E	service-based, 6
Earnings-based measures, 56	EVA. See Economic Value Added
Earnings per share (EPS), 56	(EVA)
limitations, 91	Evaluation
TSR, 75, 78	business strategy, 199
Economic cost structures, 52	performance measurement sys-
Economic metrics, 39	tem, 198
vs. accounting metrics, 28-29	Executive(s). See also Chief execu-
Economic profit (EP), 50, 51, 53	tive officers (CEO)
growth, 52, 53	absolute TSR reflecting perform-
return scenario, 53	ance, 163
Economic Value Added (EVA),	bonuses, 12, 13
35, 110	decisions, 1, 213
Economic value <i>vs.</i> market value	efforts and behaviors, 21
added, 50	losses, 187–188
Education, 48, 208–209	pay, 1–2, 4–6, 122, 124, 213
communication reinforcing, 210	vs. peers, 173
Emerging markets	performance, 22
executive bonuses, 12	XXD contributions, 32
long-term incentive programs,	senior, 205, 210
14–17	talent, 5–6
Employees	team, 201
contract negotiating, 2	Executive remuneration
opportunity <i>vs.</i> security, 25	CEO defending, 6
turnover, 97	collaborative programs, 8
value defined, 25	competitive vs. excessive, 6
Empowerment, 36	data, 133
Enterprise	defensibility, 16–19
objective, 44	disclosure rules, 3–4
values, 49, 51	governance, 1–6
Entrepreneurial profit model, 72	transparency, 212
Environment. See External environ-	External environment, 34, 38
ment; Internal environment	goal-setting, 141
EP. See Economic profit (EP)	relative target setting, 154
EPS. See Earnings per share (EPS)	unanticipated impact, 178
Equity	variability, 180–181
awards, 184	Externally informed absolute
book value of, 48	plans
capital, 49	short-term incentive
plans, 39	plans, 155

Externally informed target	For-profit companies
setting, 155	stakeholder categories, 24
analyst expectations, 147	Framework design checklist, 216
internal perspectives, 146	Future, 45
payout curve, 148	peer comparison, 134–135
target setting, 143–148	unbalance scorecard driving
External market performance vs.	behavior, 107–111
financial results, 199	
External shareholder	G
valuations, 53	GAAP. See Generally accepted
External value alignment	accounting principles (GAAP)
definitions	Generally accepted accounting
Mercer's performance	principles (GAAP), 27, 59
framework, 48–50	depreciation, 56
	financial calculations, 198
F	Getting started checklist, 214
Fairness	Globalization
target setting, 138-140	attracting executive talent, 5-6
unbalance scorecard driving	Goals, 14. See also Target setting
behavior, 105–106	n anaging for value, 45
Feedback	outstanding performance, 164
participant, 198	performance, 102
Financial calculations	strategic, 29–30
GAAP, 198	threshold, 164
Financial industry meltdown,	Goal-setting, 30, 137–138, 164–165
104–105	absolute vs. relative, 37
Financial metrics, 39, 56	achievable <i>vs.</i> meaningful, 139
shareholder value creation, 68	balance, 139
strategic objectives, 14	bottom-up approach, 142-143
Financial performance	calibrating pay and performance,
vs. external market performance,	164–165
199	external factors, 141
TSR, 32	internal factors, 140-141, 143
Fit, 66	relative factors, 141
internal business context, 68	sandbagging vs. stretching, 140
Fixed remuneration, 12	Good performance, 21–42
Flexibility, 178, 193	balance, 41–42
Flow chart fine tuning levels	goal setting, 37
awards, 186	linkage, 36

metric selection, 31–35	annual, 100
no magic number, 27–29	banked, 181, 182
performance as value creation,	caps, 171
23–26	designs, 2
performance measurement	framework, 39
requirements, 30	highly leveraged, 161
time horizon, 38–39	leveraged, 158–161
Governance, 212–213	maximum flexibility design,
value, 26	179–181
Growth, 44	normal, 181
EP, 53	organizational linkage, 100
,	rules, 183–184
Н	vs. sandbagging, 182
Highly leveraged incentive plans, 161	share-based, 162, 168–169
Holistic approach, 39, 46–47,	short-term, 39, 155
180, 196	status quo, 7
Hostile takeovers	structure, 62
executive losses, 187–188	testing potential outcomes, 158
Human resources	Income. See also Pay
interviews, 201	net, 56
pay program benchmark data, 2	Increasing liability
strategic advisors, 212	boards of directors, 5
strategie davisors, 212	India
I	pay for performance, 4
Implementation checklist, 219	Individuality
Incentive award payouts	communication, 210
cash, 171	learning, 210
deferring, 172	Industry
one-off, 189	analysts' expectations,
percentages by region, 149	143–144
probability, 140	context, 60
TSR and index, 152	peers historical and predicted
volatility, 181	performance, 144
Incentive payout curve	Inflation
kinked, 159–160	executive pay, 124
Incentive plans. See also Long-term	Influence, 97, 212
incentive plans; Share-based	Information sharing, 208
incentive programs; Short-	Internal absolute plans
term incentive plans	target setting, 155
term meentive plans	target setting, 155

Internal environment externally informed target setting, 146	Leverage calculating amount, 164 calibrating pay and performance,
goal-setting, 140–141, 143	164–165
long-term incentive plans, 155	checklist, 218
Internal value alignment definitions	forward-testing, 173
Mercer's performance frame-	incentive plans, 161
work, 48–50	long-term incentive vehicles,
Interviews	162–163
boards of directors, 201, 205	performance shares, 163
executive team, 201	restricted stock, 163
human resources, 201	risk and reward, 166
sample questions by	strategies, 163
stakeholder, 203	Linkage, 30
senior executives, 205	Local community value, 26
Investment risks, 52	Long-term incentive awards
Investors	caps, 172
desires, 11	share prices, 170
executive pay decisions, 1, 2–3	Long term incentive plans, 38,
PSA, 127	168–169
voting on executive pay, 3	Australia, 14
4.	calibrating, 169
K	cash flow return on
Kaplan, Robert S., 90, 93,	investment, 39
94, 107	comparison, 162
Key questions	emerging markets, 14–17
initial diagnostics, 207	internal approach, 155
Key success factors (KSF), 35, 75,	pay for performance, 11,
102–103, 109	14–17
KSF. See Key success factors (KSF)	predicting performance out- comes, 163–164
L	return measures, 39
Labor markets, 120	TBR, 39
Language	United Kingdom, 14
common, 44	Long-term performance, 107
Latin America	vs. short-term performance
executive bonuses, 13	spikes, 9
fixed remuneration, 12	Long-term shareholder value
Learning	creation
individuality, 210	value drivers, 39
**	·

M	internal and external value align-
Macroeconomic indicators, 144	ment definitions, 48–50
Management	measurement, 54–60
desires, 11	one size does not fit all, 63
executive pay decisions, 2, 6-7	shareholder value
incentive program designs, 2	management, 44
new employee contract	systems thinking, 45–47
negotiating, 2	value creation demystified, 51
ownership vs. collaboration, 9	Merchandising, 101
pay increases recommendations, 2	Metrics. See also Performance met-
for value, 43–45	ric selection defensibility
Managing by exception avoidance,	accounting assessment, 27-28
177–194	accounting vs. economic, 28-29
business change, 187-193	alignment, 46
discretion, 185–186	competitors using, 70
incentive plan maximum flex-	conventional, 70
ibility design, 179–181	definitions, 182
unavoidable adjustments,	determining what, 31–32
182–184	economic, 39
walking fine line, 194	economic vs. accounting, 28–29
Markets	financial, 14, 39, 56, 68
capitalization, 122, 125	historical performance, 70
competitive, 121	individual, 66
capitalization, 122, 125 competitive, 121 cross, 109 customer, 119	Mercer's performance
customer, 119	framework, 54–60
emerging, 12, 14–17	profitability, 14
opportunities 152	selection, 31–35, 215–216
performance metric selection	selection art, 84–86
defensibility, 69–70	shareholders, 54
questions answered, 70–71	standardized, 70
research, 69-71	strategic, 34, 39, 106
risk premium, 50	system failure, 86–87
share, 150	team-based, 66, 99
Market value added (MVA), 48, 49	value, 27–28
vs. economic value, 50	Micromanagement vs. oversight, 9
Mercer's performance framework,	Monte Carlo simulation, 168
43–63	Motivation
balancing growth and returns,	vs. retention, 185
52–53	unbalance scorecard driving
case study, 60–62	behavior, 96–101

Multicomponent profit model, 72	Optimal scorecards vs. traditional
Multidimensional performance	scorecards, 108
assessment, 105	Organizations
Multiple peer groups, 131–132	change, 180, 190
Multi-year cash incentive award	commitment, 212
caps, 171	design, 36
MVA. See Market value added	diverse, 109
(MVA)	linkage, 100
Myners, Paul, 92	not-for-profit, 26–27
	structure, 124
N	Outcomes, 21
Near-term performance, 107	incentive plans testing, 158
Netherlands	long-term incentive plans pre-
investors voting on executive	dicting performance, 163–164
pay, 3	performance and reward, 183
limiting nonperformance based	Outside consultants, 201, 202
compensation, 3	Oversight v. micromanagement, 9
Net income, 56	Ownership vs. collaboration, 9
New employee contract	
negotiating, 2	P
Nonperformance based	Participant feedback, 198
compensation, 3	Pay. See also Say-on-pay policies
Normal incentive plan vs. banked	benchmark data, 2
incentive plan, 181	bonus, 158, 171
Norton, David P., 35, 90, 93, 94, 107	executives, 1-7, 122, 124, 213
Norway	increase recommendations, 2
investors voting on executive	magnitude, 117
pay, 3	mix, 12
Not-for-profit organizations, 26–27	net, 56
	performance diagnostic, 200
O	practices, 117
Objectives. See also Goals	variable, 11
enterprising, 44	Pay and performance
financial metrics, 14	calibrating, 157–175
strategic, 14, 61	diagnostics, 211
Observable results, 21	peer groups, 134
One-off incentive award, 189	sample diagnostic, 204
Operating character, 123	Pay for performance, 1–19, 212
Operational measures, 106	balance, 7–9
Opportunity vs. security, 25	change, 10–11
** /	<i>S</i> .

China, 4	vs. executives, 173
executive remuneration defensi-	
	future, 134–135
bility, 16–19	more than none, 129–131
executive remuneration govern-	multiple, 131–132
ance, 1–6	numbers, 129
good governance, 10	pay and performance, 134
India, 4	payout curve, 151
long-term incentive, 11	PSA, 80, 126
long-term incentive remunera-	rationale, 116–117
tion, 14–17	relative-target payout
pay mix, 12	schedule, 151
performance measures, 10	remuneration, 200
real vs. good, 41	review, 132
short-term remuneration, 12	screening, 118–124, 119
today, 12–15	seeking peers, 125–128
Payout(s)	selection 129
cumulative probability, 165	stability, 153
incentive award, 140, 149, 152,	sufficient number, 125
171, 172, 181, 189	75R, 126
peer group, 151	Peer groups, 115–117
relative-target schedule, 151	maintenance, 132
short-term incentive plan, 165	more than none, 129-131
step schedule, 151	in practice, 132–133
Payout curve	rationale, 116–117
externally informed target set-	Performance. See also Good
ting, 148	performance; Mercer's per-
peer group, 151	formance framework; Pay
probability of achievement anal-	and performance; Pay for
ysis, 168	performance
S-shaped, 160–161, 171	assessment, 105
straight-line, 158	benchmark adjustments, 183
straight-line incentive, 159	comparison, 117
target setting, 168	diagnostic, 200
Peer(s)	dimensions, 55
analysis, 117	equity, 6
comparison, 115–135	goals, 102, 164
competitive markets, 121	good performance, 30
criterion, 123	groups, 18, 213
development checklist,	long-term incentive plans
216–217	metrics, 16, 17
Z1U ⁻ Z1/	11101105, 10, 17

management mindset benefits, 211–213 near-term, 107 outcomes impact, 183 performance selection, 29 plans, 39 predicting outcomes, 163–164 setting targets, 139 share plans, 16, 172 shares, 163 short-term measures, 14 trends, 75, 77 as value creation, 23–26 Performance measurement system, 10, 207 broad application, 210–211 checklist, 214–219 continuous review, 211 cycle, 46 design, 196 evaluation, 198 implementation strategies, 208 requirements, 30 simplicity vs. technical accuracy, 29 two-pronged discovery process, 199 well-balanced, 179 Performance metric selection defensibility, 65–88 balancing act, 87–88 market research, 69–70 metric selection art, 84–86 metric selection science, 71–83 Performance sensitivity analysis (PSA), 68, 78–80 example, 79 investor-centric perspective, 127 peer selection, 80, 126 Portfolio style approach, 14 Price-earnings ratios, 125 Pricing options, 169 Privatization, 8 Probability curve, 166 Process alignment, 45 measures, 67 Product pyramid profit model, 72 Profitability, 61 margins, 56 model, 72 multiplier modet, 71, 72 Profita eusiness model pyramid, 73 solutions, 72 time, 73 solutions, 72 time, 73 solutions, 72 time, 73 solutions, 74 Price-earnings ratios, 125 Pricing options, 169 Privatization, 8 Probability curve, 166 Process alignment, 45 mazgins, 56 model, 72 multiplier model, 71, 72 Profitability, 61 metrics, 14, 54 ratio, 125 Profit eusiness model pyramid, 73 solutions, 72 time, 73 solutions, 72 time, 74 Rate of return required, 49 R&D. See Research and develop- ment (R&D) Regression analysis, 68 example, 76 Reinforcement, 48 incentive plans, 39 Relative plans goal setting, 141		
211–213 near-term, 107 outcomes impact, 183 performance selection, 29 plans, 39 predicting outcomes, 163–164 setting targets, 139 share plans, 16, 172 shares, 163 short-term measures, 14 trends, 75, 77 as value creation, 23–26 Performance measurement system, 10, 207 broad application, 210–211 checklist, 214–219 continuous review, 211 cycle, 46 design, 196 evaluation, 198 implementation strategies, 208 requirements, 30 simplicity vs. technical accuracy, 29 two-pronged dircovery process, 199 well-balanced, 179 Performance metric selection defensibility, 65–88 balancing act, 87–88 market research, 69–70 metric selection defined, 66–68 metric selection science, 71–83 Performance sensitivity analysis (PSA), 68, 78–80 Portfolio style approach, 14 Price-earnings ratios, 125 Pricing options, 169 Privatization, 8 Probability curve, 166 Process alignment, 45 measures, 67 Product pyramid profit model, 72 Profitability, 61 metrics, 14, 54 ratio, 325 Profic usiness model pyramid, 73 solutions, 72 time, 73 PSA. See Performance sensitivity analysis (PSA) Pyramid profit model, 73 R Rate of return required, 49 R&D. See Research and development (R&D) Regression analysis, 68 example, 76 Reinforcement, 48 incentive plans, 39 Relative performance measurement system, 10, 207 ws. absolute goals, 14 Relative plans	Performance (continued)	investor-centric perspective, 127
near-term, 107 outcomes impact, 183 performance selection, 29 plans, 39 predicting outcomes, 163–164 setting targets, 139 share plans, 16, 172 shares, 163 short-term measures, 14 trends, 75, 77 as value creation, 23–26 Performance measurement system, 10, 207 broad application, 210–211 checklist, 214–219 continuous review, 211 cycle, 46 design, 196 evaluation, 198 implementation strategies, 208 requirements, 30 simplicity vs. technical accuracy, 29 two-pronged discovery process, 199 well-balanced, 179 Performance metric selection defensibility, 65–88 balancing act, 87–88 market research, 69–70 metric selection action science, 71–83 Performance sensitivity analysis (PSA), 68, 78–80 Price-earnings ratios, 125 Pricing options, 169 Process alignment, 45 measures, 67 Product pyramid profit model, 72 Profit, 44 margins, 56 model, 72 multiplier model, 71, 72 Profitability, 61 measures, 67 Product pyramid profit model, 72 Profit, 44 margins, 56 model, 72 multiplier model, 71, 72 Profitability, 61 metrics, 14, 54 ratio, 325 Pricing options, 169 Privatization, 8 Probability curve, 166 Process alignment, 45 measures, 67 Product pyramid profit model, 72 Profit, 44 margins, 56 model, 72 profitability, 61 metrics, 14, 54 ratio, 325 Profice usiness model p/ramid, 73 solutions, 72 time, 73 PSA. See Performance sensitivity analysis (PSA) Pyramid profit model, 73 Readive prior and development (R&D) Regression analysis, 68 example, 76 Reinforcement, 48 incentive plans, 39 Relative performance measure- ment, 28, 199–200 vs. absolute goals, 14 Relative plans	_	=
outcomes impact, 183 performance selection, 29 plans, 39 predicting outcomes, 163–164 setting targets, 139 share plans, 16, 172 shares, 163 short-term measures, 14 trends, 75, 77 as value creation, 23–26 Performance measurement system, 10, 207 broad application, 210–211 checklist, 214–219 continuous review, 211 cycle, 46 design, 196 evaluation, 198 implementation strategies, 208 requirements, 30 simplicity vs. technical accuracy, 29 two-pronged discovery process, 199 well-balanced, 179 Performance metric selection defensibility, 65–88 balancing act, 87–88 market research, 69–70 metric selection defined, 66–68 metric selection defined, 66–68 metric selection science, 71–83 Performance sensitivity analysis (PSA), 68, 78–80 Privatization, 8 Probability curve, 166 Process alignment, 45 measures, 67 Product pyramid profit model, 72 Profitability, 61 metrics, 14, 54 ratio, 125 model, 72 multiplier modet, 71, 72 Profitability, 61 metrics, 14, 54 ratio, 125 rowlet pyramid profit model, 72 profitability, 61 metrics, 14, 54 ratio, 125 Profit ausiness model pyramid, 73 solutions, 72 time, 73 PSA. See Performance sensitivity analysis (PSA) Pyramid profit model, 72 Profitability, 61 metrics, 14, 54 ratio, 125 Profit ausiness model pyramid, 73 solutions, 72 time, 73 PSA. See Performance sensitivity analysis (PSA) Pyramid profit model, 72 Profitability, 61 metrics, 14, 54 ratio, 125 References model, 72 Profitability, 61 metrics, 14, 54 ratio, 125 References model, 72 Profit alter margins, 56 model, 72 multiplier modet, 71, 72 Profit aution, 210 Profitability, 61 metrics, 14, 54 ratio, 125 References model, 72 Profit aution, 210 Profit model, 72 Profit, 44 margins, 56 model, 72 Profit aution, 210 Profit particular, 210 Profit parti		
performance selection, 29 plans, 39 predicting outcomes, 163–164 setting targets, 139 share plans, 16, 172 shares, 163 short-term measures, 14 trends, 75, 77 as value creation, 23–26 Performance measurement system, 10, 207 broad application, 210–211 checklist, 214–219 continuous review, 211 cycle, 46 design, 196 evaluation, 198 implementation strategies, 208 requirements, 30 simplicity vs. technical accuracy, 29 two-pronged di covery process, 199 well-balanced, 179 Performance metric selection defensibility, 65–88 balancing act, 87–88 market research, 69–70 metric selection art, 84–86 metric selection defined, 66–68 metric selection science, 71–83 Performance sensitivity analysis (PSA), 68, 78–80 Probability curve, 166 Process alignment, 45 measures, 67 Product pyramid profit model, 72 Profit, 44 margins, 56 model, 72 multiplier modet, 71, 72 Profit cusiness model pyramid, 73 solutions, 72 time, 73 solutions, 72 time, 73 PSA. See Performance sensitivity analysis (PSA) Pyramid profit model, 73 R Rate of return required, 49 R&D. See Research and development (R&D) Regression analysis, 68 example, 76 Reinforcement, 48 incentive plans, 39 Relative performance measurement, 28, 199–200 vs. absolute goals, 14 Relative plans	near-term, 107	=
plans, 39 predicting outcomes, 163–164 setting targets, 139 share plans, 16, 172 shares, 163 short-term measures, 14 trends, 75, 77 as value creation, 23–26 Performance measurement system, 10, 207 broad application, 210–211 checklist, 214–219 continuous review, 211 cycle, 46 design, 196 evaluation, 198 implementation strategies, 208 requirements, 30 simplicity vs. technical accuracy, 29 two-pronged discovery process, 199 well-balanced, 179 Performance metric selection defensibility, 65–88 balancing act, 87–88 market research, 69–70 metric selection art, 84–86 metric selection defined, 66–68 metric selection science, 71–83 Performance sensitivity analysis (PSA), 68, 78–80 Probability curve, 166 Process alignment, 45 measures, 67 Product pyramid profit model, 72 Profitability, 61 metrics, 14, 54 ratio, 125 metrics, 14, 54 ratio, 125 requirements, 30 solutions, 72 time, 73 PSA. See Performance sensitivity analysis (PSA) Pyramid profit model, 73 solutions, 72 time, 73 PSA. See Performance sensitivity analysis (PSA) Pyramid profit model, 72 Profit, 44 margins, 56 model, 72 Profitability, 61 metrics, 14, 54 ratio, 125 Profit ability, 61 metrics, 14, 54 ratio, 125 Profit ability curve, 166 metric spramid profit model, 72 Profit, 44 margins, 56 model, 72 Profitability, 61 metrics, 14, 54 ratio, 125 Profit ability curve, 166 metric spramid profit model, 72 Profit, 44 margins, 56 model, 72 Profitability, 61 metrics, 14, 54 ratio, 125 Profit ability curve, 166 metric spramid profit model, 73 PsA. See Performance sensitivity analysis (PSA) Pyramid profit model, 66 Reample, 17 Reample ability curve, 166 Product pyram	outcomes impact, 183	
predicting outcomes, 163–164 setting targets, 139 share plans, 16, 172 shares, 163 short-term measures, 14 trends, 75, 77 as value creation, 23–26 Performance measurement system, 10, 207 broad application, 210–211 checklist, 214–219 continuous review, 211 cycle, 46 design, 196 evaluation, 198 implementation strategies, 208 requirements, 30 simplicity \(\nu\)s. technical accuracy, 29 two-pronged discovery process, 199 well-balanced, 179 Performance metric selection defensibility, 65–88 balancing act, 87–88 market research, 69–70 metric selection defined, 66–68 metric selection science, 71–83 Performance sensitivity analysis (PSA), 68, 78–80 Process alignment, 45 measures, 67 Product pyramid profit model, 72 Profitability, 45 metric, 44, 54 ratio, 425 Profit business model pyramid, 73 solutions, 72 time, 73 PSA. See Performance sensitivity analysis (PSA) Pyramid profit model, 73 PSA. See Performance sensitivity analysis, 68 example, 76 Reinforcement, 48 incentive plans, 39 Relative performance measure- ment, 28, 199–200 vs. absolute goals, 14 Relative plans	performance selection, 29	Privatization, 8
setting targets, 139 share plans, 16, 172 shares, 163 short-term measures, 14 trends, 75, 77 as value creation, 23–26 Performance measurement system, 10, 207 broad application, 210–211 checklist, 214–219 continuous review, 211 cycle, 46 design, 196 evaluation, 198 implementation strategies, 208 requirements, 30 simplicity vs. technical accuracy, 29 two-pronged discevery process, 199 well-balanced, 179 Performance metric selection defensibility, 65–88 balancing act, 87–88 market research, 69–70 metric selection act, 84–86 metric selection defined, 66–68 metric selection science, 71–83 Performance sensitivity analysis (PSA), 68, 78–80 alignment, 45 measures, 67 Product pyramid profit model, 72 Profit, 44 margins, 56 model, 72 multiplier model, 71, 72 Profitability, 61 metrics, 14, 54 ratio, 125 Profit usiness model pyramid, 73 solutions, 72 time, 73 PSA. See Performance sensitivity analysis (PSA) Pyramid profit model, 72 Profit, 44 margins, 56 model, 72 multiplier model, 71, 72 Profit ballity, 61 metrics, 14, 54 ratio, 125 Profit addition profit model, 72 Profit, 44 margins, 56 model, 72 multiplier model, 71, 72 Profitability, 61 metrics, 14, 54 ratio, 125 Profit addition profit model, 72 Profit addition profit model, 72 Profitability, 61 metrics, 14, 54 ratio, 125 Profit addition profit model, 72 Profit addition profit model, 72 Profitability, 61 metrics, 14, 54 ratio, 125 Profit addition profit model, 72 Profit addition profit model, 73 PSA. See Performance sensitivity analysis (PSA) Pyramid profit model, 73 Reference profi	plans, 39	Probability curve, 166
share plans, 16, 172 shares, 163 short-term measures, 14 trends, 75, 77 as value creation, 23–26 Performance measurement system, 10, 207 broad application, 210–211 checklist, 214–219 continuous review, 211 cycle, 46 design, 196 evaluation, 198 implementation strategies, 208 requirements, 30 simplicity vs. technical accuracy, 29 two-pronged discovery process, 199 well-balanced, 179 Performance metric selection defensibility, 65–88 balancing act, 87–88 market research, 69–70 metric selection art, 84–86 metric selection defined, 66–68 metric selection science, 71–83 Performance sensitivity analysis (PSA), 68, 78–80 measures, 67 Product pyramid profit model, 72 margins, 56 model, 72 multiplier model, 71, 72 Profitability, 61 metrics, 14, 54 ratio, 125 Profic vusiness model pyramid, 73 solutions, 72 time, 73 PSA. See Performance sensitivity analysis (PSA) Pyramid profit model, 72 multiplier model, 71, 72 Profitability, 61 metrics, 14, 54 ratio, 125 Profic vusiness model pyramid, 73 solutions, 72 time, 73 PSA. See Performance sensitivity analysis (PSA) Pyramid profit model, 72 multiplier model, 71, 72 Profitability, 61 metrics, 14, 54 ratio, 125 Profic vusiness model pyramid, 73 solutions, 72 time, 73 PSA. See Performance sensitivity analysis (PSA) Pyramid profit model, 72 multiplier model, 71, 72 Profitability, 61 metrics, 14, 54 ratio, 125 Profic vusiness model pyramid, 73 solutions, 72 time, 73 PSA. See Performance sensitivity analysis (PSA) Pyramid profit model, 72 multiplier model, 71, 72 Profitability, 61 metrics, 14, 54 ratio, 125 Profic vusiness model pyramid, 73 solutions, 72 time, 73 PSA. See Performance sensitivity analysis (PSA) Pyramid profit model, 72 multiplier model, 72 metrics, 14, 54 ratio, 125 Profit vusines	predicting outcomes, 163-164	Process
shares, 163 short-term measures, 14 trends, 75, 77 as value creation, 23–26 Performance measurement system, 10, 207 broad application, 210–211 checklist, 214–219 continuous review, 211 cycle, 46 design, 196 evaluation, 198 implementation strategies, 208 requirements, 30 simplicity vs. technical accuracy, 29 two-pronged direcvery process, 199 well-balanced, 179 Performance metric selection defensibility, 65–88 balancing act, 87–88 market research, 69–70 metric selection art, 84–86 metric selection science, 71–83 Performance sensitivity analysis (PSA), 68, 78–80 Product pyramid profit model, 72 margins, 56 model, 72 multiplier model, 71, 72 Profitability, 61 metrics, 14, 54 ratio, 125 Profit cusiness model pyramid, 73 solutions, 72 time, 73 PSA. See Performance sensitivity analysis (PSA) Pyramid profit model, 72 metrics, 14, 54 ratio, 125 Profit cusiness model pyramid, 73 solutions, 72 time, 73 PSA. See Performance sensitivity analysis (PSA) Pyramid profit model, 72 multiplier model, 71, 72 Profitability, 61 metrics, 14, 54 ratio, 125 Profit cusiness model pyramid, 73 solutions, 72 time, 73 PSA. See Performance sensitivity analysis (PSA) Pyramid profit model, 72 multiplier model, 71, 72 Profitability, 61 metrics, 14, 54 ratio, 125 Profit cusiness model pyramid, 73 solutions, 72 time, 73 PSA. See Research and develop- ment (R&D) Regression analysis, 68 example, 76 Reinforcement, 48 incentive plans, 39 Relative performance measure- ment, 28, 199–200 vs. absolute goals, 14 Relative plans	setting targets, 139	alignment, 45
short-term measures, 14 trends, 75, 77 as value creation, 23–26 Performance measurement system, 10, 207 broad application, 210–211 checklist, 214–219 continuous review, 211 cycle, 46 design, 196 evaluation, 198 implementation strategies, 208 requirements, 30 simplicity vs. technical accuracy, 29 two-pronged direcvery process, 199 well-balanced, 179 Performance metric selection defensibility, 65–88 balancing act, 87–88 market research, 69–70 metric selection art, 84–86 metric selection defined, 66–68 metric selection science, 71–83 Performance sensitivity analysis (PSA), 68, 78–80 Profit, 44 margins, 56 model, 72 multiplier model, 71, 72 Profitability, 61 metrics, 14, 54 ratio, 125 Profit cusiness model pyramid, 73 solutions, 72 time, 73 PSA. See Performance sensitivity analysis (PSA) Pyramid profit model, 73 Reate of return required, 49 R&D. See Research and development (R&D) Regression analysis, 68 example, 76 Reinforcement, 48 incentive plans, 39 Relative performance measurement system, multiplier model, 71, 72 multiplier model, 71, 72 Profitability, 61 metrics, 14, 54 ratio, 125 Profit cusiness model pyramid, 73 solutions, 72 time, 73 PSA. See Performance sensitivity analysis (PSA) Regression analysis, 68 example, 76 Reinforcement, 48 incentive plans, 39 Relative performance measurement system, multiplier model, 71, 72 metrics, 14, 54 ratio, 125 Profit cusiness model pyramid, 73 solutions, 72 time, 73 PSA. See Research and development (R&D) Regression analysis, 68 example, 76 Reinforcement, 48 incentive plans, 39 Relative performance measurement, 28, 199–200 vs. absolute goals, 14 Relative plans	share plans, 16, 172	measures, 67
short-term measures, 14 trends, 75, 77 as value creation, 23–26 Performance measurement system, 10, 207 broad application, 210–211 checklist, 214–219 continuous review, 211 cycle, 46 design, 196 evaluation, 198 implementation strategies, 208 requirements, 30 simplicity vs. technical accuracy, 29 two-pronged direcvery process, 199 well-balanced, 179 Performance metric selection defensibility, 65–88 balancing act, 87–88 market research, 69–70 metric selection art, 84–86 metric selection defined, 66–68 metric selection science, 71–83 Performance sensitivity analysis (PSA), 68, 78–80 Profit, 44 margins, 56 model, 72 multiplier model, 71, 72 Profitability, 61 metrics, 14, 54 ratio, 125 Profit cusiness model pyramid, 73 solutions, 72 time, 73 PSA. See Performance sensitivity analysis (PSA) Pyramid profit model, 73 Reate of return required, 49 Reate of return required, 49 Regression analysis, 68 example, 76 Reinforcement, 48 incentive plans, 39 Relative performance measure- ment, 28, 199–200 vs. absolute goals, 14 Relative plans	shares, 163	Product pyramid profit model, 72
trends, 75, 77 as value creation, 23–26 Performance measurement system, 10, 207 broad application, 210–211 checklist, 214–219 continuous review, 211 cycle, 46 design, 196 evaluation, 198 implementation strategies, 208 requirements, 30 simplicity vs. technical accuracy, 29 two-pronged discovery process, 199 well-balanced, 179 Performance metric selection defensibility, 65–88 balancing act, 87–88 market research, 69–70 metric selection art, 84–86 metric selection defined, 66–68 metric selection science, 71–83 Performance sensitivity analysis (PSA), 68, 78–80 margins, 56 model, 72 multiplier model, 71, 72 Profitability, 61 metrics, 14, 54 ratio, 125 requirements, 30 solutions, 72 time, 73 PSA. See Performance sensitivity analysis (PSA) Pyramid profit model, 73 accuracy, 29 Rate of return required, 49 R&D. See Research and development (R&D) Regression analysis, 68 example, 76 Reinforcement, 48 incentive plans, 39 Relative performance measurement, 28, 199–200 vs. absolute goals, 14 Relative plans	short-term measures, 14	= : = :
as value creation, 23–26 Performance measurement system, 10, 207 broad application, 210–211 checklist, 214–219 continuous review, 211 cycle, 46 design, 196 evaluation, 198 implementation strategies, 208 requirements, 30 simplicity vs. technical accuracy, 29 two-pronged discovery process, 199 well-balanced, 179 Performance metric selection defensibility, 65–88 balancing act, 87–88 market research, 69–70 metric selection art, 84–86 metric selection defined, 66–68 metric selection science, 71–83 Performance sensitivity analysis (PSA), 68, 78–80 model, 72 multiplier model, 71, 72 Profitability, 61 metrics, 14, 54 ratio, 125 Profic cusiness model pyramid, 73 solutions, 72 time, 73 PSA. See Performance sensitivity analysis (PSA) Pyramid profit model, 73 Rate of return required, 49 R&D. See Research and development (R&D) Regression analysis, 68 example, 76 Reinforcement, 48 incentive plans, 39 Relative performance measurement, 28, 199–200 vs. absolute goals, 14 Relative plans		margins, 56
Performance measurement system, 10, 207 broad application, 210–211 checklist, 214–219 continuous review, 211 cycle, 46 design, 196 evaluation, 198 implementation strategies, 208 requirements, 30 simplicity vs. technical accuracy, 29 two-pronged discovery process, 199 well-balanced, 179 Performance metric selection defensibility, 65–88 balancing act, 87–88 market research, 69–70 metric selection art, 84–86 metric selection science, 71–83 Performance sensitivity analysis (PSA), 68, 78–80 multiplier model, 71, 72 Profitability, 61 metrics, 14, 54 ratio, 12.5 Profit usiness model pyramid, 73 solutions, 72 time, 73 PSA. See Performance sensitivity analysis (PSA) Pyramid profit model, 73 accuracy, 29 Reate of return required, 49 R&D. See Research and development (R&D) Regression analysis, 68 example, 76 Reinforcement, 48 incentive plans, 39 Relative performance measurement, 28, 199–200 vs. absolute goals, 14 Relative plans		
broad application, 210–211 checklist, 214–219 continuous review, 211 cycle, 46 design, 196 evaluation, 198 implementation strategies, 208 requirements, 30 simplicity vs. technical accuracy, 29 two-pronged discovery process, 199 well-balanced, 179 Performance metric selection defensibility, 65–88 balancing act, 87–88 market research, 69–70 metric selection art, 84–86 metric selection science, 71–83 Performance sensitivity analysis (PSA), 68, 78–80 Profitability, 61 metrics, 14, 54 ratio, 125 Profit business model pyramid, 73 solutions, 72 time, 73 PSA. See Performance sensitivity analysis (PSA) Pyramid profit model, 73 R Rate of return required, 49 R&D. See Research and development (R&D) Regression analysis, 68 example, 76 Reinforcement, 48 incentive plans, 39 Relative performance measurement, 28, 199–200 vs. absolute goals, 14 Relative plans		
broad application, 210–211 checklist, 214–219 continuous review, 211 cycle, 46 design, 196 evaluation, 198 implementation strategies, 208 requirements, 30 simplicity vs. technical accuracy, 29 two-pronged discovery process, 199 well-balanced, 179 Performance metric selection defensibility, 65–88 balancing act, 87–88 market research, 69–70 metric selection art, 84–86 metric selection defined, 66–68 metric selection science, 71–83 Performance sensitivity analysis (PSA), 68, 78–80 metric selection science, 71–83 Performance sensitivity analysis (PSA), 68, 78–80 metric selection science, 211 ratio, 125 Profit cusiness model pyramid, 73 solutions, 72 time, 73 PSA. See Performance sensitivity analysis (PSA) Pyramid profit model, 73 Rate of return required, 49 R&D. See Research and development (R&D) Regression analysis, 68 example, 76 Reinforcement, 48 incentive plans, 39 Relative performance measurement, 28, 199–200 vs. absolute goals, 14 Relative plans		
checklist, 214–219 continuous review, 211 cycle, 46 design, 196 evaluation, 198 implementation strategies, 208 requirements, 30 simplicity vs. technical accuracy, 29 two-pronged discovery process, 199 well-balanced, 179 Performance metric selection defensibility, 65–88 balancing act, 87–88 market research, 69–70 metric selection art, 84–86 metric selection science, 71–83 Performance sensitivity analysis (PSA), 68, 78–80 ratio, 125 Profit cusiness model pyramid, 73 solutions, 72 time, 73 PSA. See Performance sensitivity analysis (PSA) Pyramid profit model, 73 Reate of return required, 49 R&D. See Research and development (R&D) Regression analysis, 68 example, 76 Reinforcement, 48 incentive plans, 39 Relative performance measurement, 28, 199–200 vs. absolute goals, 14 Relative plans	•	
continuous review, 211 cycle, 46 design, 196 evaluation, 198 implementation strategies, 208 requirements, 30 simplicity vs. technical accuracy, 29 two-pronged discovery process, 199 well-balanced, 179 Performance metric selection defensibility, 65–88 balancing act, 87–88 market research, 69–70 metric selection art, 84–86 metric selection defined, 66–68 metric selection science, 71–83 Performance sensitivity analysis (PSA), 68, 78–80 Profit business model pyramid, 73 solutions, 72 time, 73 PSA. See Performance sensitivity analysis (PSA) Pyramid profit model, 73 Reate of return required, 49 R&D. See Research and development (R&D) Regression analysis, 68 example, 76 Reinforcement, 48 incentive plans, 39 Relative performance measurement, 28, 199–200 vs. absolute goals, 14 Relative plans		
cycle, 46 design, 196 evaluation, 198 implementation strategies, 208 requirements, 30 simplicity vs. technical accuracy, 29 two-pronged discovery process, 199 well-balanced, 179 Performance metric selection defensibility, 65–88 balancing act, 87–88 market research, 69–70 metric selection art, 84–86 metric selection defined, 66–68 metric selection science, 71–83 Performance sensitivity analysis (PSA), 68, 78–80 pyramid, 73 solutions, 72 time, 73 PSA. See Performance sensitivity analysis (PSA) Pyramid profit model, 73 Reate of return required, 49 R&D. See Research and development (R&D) Regression analysis, 68 example, 76 Reinforcement, 48 incentive plans, 39 Relative performance measurement, 28, 199–200 vs. absolute goals, 14 Relative plans		
design, 196 evaluation, 198 implementation strategies, 208 requirements, 30 simplicity vs. technical accuracy, 29 two-pronged discovery process, 199 well-balanced, 179 Performance metric selection defensibility, 65–88 balancing act, 87–88 market research, 69–70 metric selection art, 84–86 metric selection defined, 66–68 metric selection science, 71–83 Performance sensitivity analysis (PSA), 68, 78–80 solutions, 72 time, 73 PSA. See Performance sensitivity analysis (PSA) Pyramid profit model, 73 Reate of return required, 49 R&D. See Research and development (R&D) Regression analysis, 68 example, 76 Reinforcement, 48 incentive plans, 39 Relative performance measurement, 28, 199–200 vs. absolute goals, 14 Relative plans		
evaluation, 198 implementation strategies, 208 requirements, 30 simplicity vs. technical accuracy, 29 two-pronged discovery process, 199 well-balanced, 179 Performance metric selection defensibility, 65–88 balancing act, 87–88 market research, 69–70 metric selection art, 84–86 metric selection defined, 66–68 metric selection science, 71–83 Performance sensitivity analysis (PSA), 68, 78–80 time, 73 PSA. See Performance sensitivity analysis (PSA) Pyramid profit model, 73 Rate of return required, 49 R&D. See Research and development (R&D) Regression analysis, 68 example, 76 Reinforcement, 48 incentive plans, 39 Relative performance measurement, 28, 199–200 vs. absolute goals, 14 Relative plans	•	
implementation strategies, 208 requirements, 30 simplicity vs. technical accuracy, 29 two-pronged discovery process, 199 well-balanced, 179 Performance metric selection defensibility, 65–88 balancing act, 87–88 market research, 69–70 metric selection art, 84–86 metric selection defined, 66–68 metric selection science, 71–83 Performance sensitivity analysis (PSA), 68, 78–80 PSA. See Performance sensitivity analysis (PSA) Pyramid profit model, 73 Rate of return required, 49 R&D. See Research and development (R&D) Regression analysis, 68 example, 76 Reinforcement, 48 incentive plans, 39 Relative performance measurement, 28, 199–200 vs. absolute goals, 14 Relative plans	_	
requirements, 30 simplicity vs. technical accuracy, 29 two-pronged discovery process, 199 well-balanced, 179 Performance metric selection defensibility, 65–88 balancing act, 87–88 market research, 69–70 metric selection art, 84–86 metric selection defined, 66–68 metric selection science, 71–83 Performance sensitivity analysis (PSA) analysis (PSA) Pyramid profit model, 73 R Rate of return required, 49 R&D. See Research and development (R&D) Regression analysis, 68 example, 76 Reinforcement, 48 incentive plans, 39 Relative performance measurement, 28, 199–200 vs. absolute goals, 14 Relative plans		
simplicity vs. technical accuracy, 29 two-pronged discovery process, 199 well-balanced, 179 Performance metric selection defensibility, 65–88 balancing act, 87–88 market research, 69–70 metric selection art, 84–86 metric selection defined, 66–68 metric selection science, 71–83 Performance sensitivity analysis (PSA), 68, 78–80 Pyramid profit model, 73 Rate of return required, 49 R&D. See Research and development (R&D) Regression analysis, 68 example, 76 Reinforcement, 48 incentive plans, 39 Relative performance measurement, 28, 199–200 vs. absolute goals, 14 Relative plans		
two-pronged discovery process, 199 well-balanced, 179 Performance metric selection defensibility, 65–88 balancing act, 87–88 market research, 69–70 metric selection art, 84–86 metric selection defined, 66–68 metric selection science, 71–83 Performance sensitivity analysis (PSA), 68, 78–80 Rate of return required, 49 R&D. See Research and development (R&D) Regression analysis, 68 example, 76 Reinforcement, 48 incentive plans, 39 Relative performance measurement, 28, 199–200 vs. absolute goals, 14 Relative plans		
two-pronged discovery process, 199 Rate of return required, 49 R&D. See Research and develop- ment (R&D) Regression analysis, 68 balancing act, 87–88 market research, 69–70 metric selection art, 84–86 metric selection defined, 66–68 metric selection science, 71–83 Performance sensitivity analysis (PSA), 68, 78–80 Rate of return required, 49 R&D. See Research and develop- ment (R&D) Regression analysis, 68 example, 76 Reinforcement, 48 incentive plans, 39 Relative performance measure- ment, 28, 199–200 vs. absolute goals, 14 Relative plans		1 yrannu pront model, 73
process, 199 well-balanced, 179 Performance metric selection defensibility, 65–88 balancing act, 87–88 market research, 69–70 metric selection art, 84–86 metric selection defined, 66–68 metric selection science, 71–83 Performance sensitivity analysis (PSA), 68, 78–80 Rate of return required, 49 R&D. See Research and development (R&D) Regression analysis, 68 example, 76 Reinforcement, 48 incentive plans, 39 Relative performance measurement, 28, 199–200 vs. absolute goals, 14 Relative plans		D
well-balanced, 179 Performance metric selection defensibility, 65–88 balancing act, 87–88 market research, 69–70 metric selection art, 84–86 metric selection defined, 66–68 metric selection science, 71–83 Performance sensitivity analysis (PSA), 68, 78–80 R&D. See Research and development (R&D) Regression analysis, 68 example, 76 Reinforcement, 48 incentive plans, 39 Relative performance measurement, 28, 199–200 vs. absolute goals, 14 Relative plans		
Performance metric selection defensibility, 65–88 balancing act, 87–88 market research, 69–70 metric selection art, 84–86 metric selection defined, 66–68 metric selection science, 71–83 Performance sensitivity analysis (PSA), 68, 78–80 metric selection ment (R&D) Regression analysis, 68 example, 76 Reinforcement, 48 incentive plans, 39 Relative performance measure- ment, 28, 199–200 vs. absolute goals, 14 Relative plans	· ,	
defensibility, 65–88 balancing act, 87–88 market research, 69–70 metric selection art, 84–86 metric selection defined, 66–68 metric selection science, 71–83 Performance sensitivity analysis (PSA), 68, 78–80 Regression analysis, 68 example, 76 Reinforcement, 48 incentive plans, 39 Relative performance measure- ment, 28, 199–200 vs. absolute goals, 14 Relative plans	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	÷
balancing act, 87–88 market research, 69–70 metric selection art, 84–86 metric selection defined, 66–68 metric selection science, 71–83 Performance sensitivity analysis (PSA), 68, 78–80 example, 76 Reinforcement, 48 incentive plans, 39 Relative performance measure- ment, 28, 199–200 vs. absolute goals, 14 Relative plans		
market research, 69–70 metric selection art, 84–86 metric selection defined, 66–68 metric selection science, 71–83 Performance sensitivity analysis (PSA), 68, 78–80 Reinforcement, 48 incentive plans, 39 Relative performance measure- ment, 28, 199–200 vs. absolute goals, 14 Relative plans	•	•
metric selection art, 84–86 incentive plans, 39 metric selection defined, 66–68 metric selection science, 71–83 ment, 28, 199–200 Performance sensitivity analysis vs. absolute goals, 14 (PSA), 68, 78–80 Relative plans		
metric selection defined, 66–68 metric selection science, 71–83 Performance sensitivity analysis (PSA), 68, 78–80 Relative performance measurement, 28, 199–200 vs. absolute goals, 14 Relative plans		
metric selection science, 71–83 ment, 28, 199–200 Performance sensitivity analysis vs. absolute goals, 14 (PSA), 68, 78–80 Relative plans		<u> </u>
Performance sensitivity analysis vs. absolute goals, 14 (PSA), 68, 78–80 Relative plans		=
(PSA), 68, 78–80 Relative plans	•	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		=
example, 79 goal setting, 141		<u>*</u>
	example, 79	goal setting, 141

target setting, 155	Return on capital employed
Relative target payout schedule	(ROCE), 166–167
peer group, 151	cumulative probability of
Relative target setting	achievement, 167
comparable data, 153	Return on equity (ROE), 56
external environment, 154	Return on invested capital (ROIC)
peer group stability, 153	56, 59, 76, 145–146, 148
plans, 155	comparison, 77
requirements, 153–154	relative target setting, 153
ROIC, 153	Return on investment (ROI), 54
timely data, 153	cash flow, 39, 55
Relative total shareholder return, 39	relationships, 54
Relative usage and trends	Revenue, 56, 106
target setting, 155	vs. market capitalization, 123
Remuneration. See also Executive	Review
remuneration	continuous, 211
fixed, 12	pay and performance
peers performance, 200	diagnostics, 211
rising, 9	Reward programs, 113
short-term, 12	leverage, 166
total direct, 18	Rising remuneration
Required rate of return, 49	say-on-pay policies, 9
Research and development (R&D)	Risk-free rate, 50
executive contributions, 32	Risk in leveraging, 166
Resource allocation tradeoffs, 45	ROCE. See Return on capital
Responsibilities	employed (ROCE)
boards of directors, 5	ROE. See Return on equity (ROE)
Restricted stock	ROI. See Return on investment
leverage, 163	(ROI)
Retention, 212	ROIC. See Return on invested
CEOs vs. board decision- making, 7	capital (ROIC)
vs. motivation, 185	S
Return(s), 39, 44, 55. See also Total	Sample pay
shareholder return (TSR)	performance diagnostic, 200
growth relationships, 54	Sandbagging
limitations, 56	vs. banked incentive plan, 182
long-term incentive programs, 39	minimizing, 152
measures, 39, 54, 56, 61	vs. stretching, 140

C1 O-1 0	
Sarbanes-Oxley, 8	minimizing company perform-
Say-on-pay policies	ance, 8
Australia, 213	value, 26, 44, 46, 47, 68
Canada, 4	Share option plans, 16
rising remuneration, 9	replace with performance-
United Kingdom, 213	contingent stock grants, 3
United States, 4	Share prices
Scorecard	long-term incentive awards, 170
common, 109	Sharing ratio
Scorecards, 180. See also	leverage forward-testing, 173
Unbalanced scorecard	TSR, 173, 174
driving behavior	Short-term incentive plans
balanced, 35, 90, 107	cumulative probability, 165
evolution, 108	externally informed approach, 155
example, 110	payouts, 165
future, 107–110	reinforcing long-term incentive
optimal, 108	plans, 39
traditional, 108	Short-term performance
unbalanced, 35	measures, 14
unique priorities, 110–111	spikes vs. long-term results, 9
Security vs. opportunity, 25	Short-term remuneration
Senior executives	yay for performance, 12
interviews, 205	Simplicity vs. technical accuracy, 29
support and cooperation, 210	Simulation modeling
Service-based equity, 6	calibrating pay and performance,
Share-based incentive programs	166–169
long term, 162, 168-169	historical data, 168
simulation modeling, 168–169	share-based, long-term incentive
Shareholders. See also Total	programs, 168–169
shareholder return (TSR)	Solutions profit model
balancing business strategic and	customers, 72
operating needs, 8–9	Spain
Europe, 2	investors voting on executive
expectations, 83, 145	pay, 3
experience, 44	S-shaped payout curve,
explicit expectations, 144	160–161, 171
external valuations, 53	Stakeholders, 23–24
implicit expectations, 144	categories, 24
long-term value creation, 39	identifying key, 198
metrics, 54	interviews, 203

Standardizing	investors voting on executive
executive remuneration, 7	pay, 3
metrics, 70	Switzerland
rewards programs, 8-9	investors voting on executive
Step payout schedule, 151	pay, 3
Stock options, 63	Systems thinking
leverage, 162–163	Mercer's performance frame-
time-vested, 14	work, 45–47
Stock price	
analysis, 126	T
moves, 78	Tactics, 98
performance scenarios, 174	Talent
total volatility, 78	executives, 5–6
Straight-line payout curve, 158	Target performance
incentive, 159	achievement probability, 164
Strategic accomplishments assess-	Target setting, 137–156
ment, 29	checklist, 217
Strategic advisors	difficult answers, 155–156
human resources, 212	externally informed absolute
Strategic goals	plans, 155
difficulty in setting, 29–30	externally informed target set-
Strategic indicators	ting, 143–148
discretionary adjustments, 186	fairness, 138–140
Strategic metrics, 34, 39, 106	internal absolute plans, 155
Strategic objectives, 61	internal target setting, 142
financial metrics, 14	performance target setting, 141
Strategic priorities	probability of achievement anal-
driving shareholder value, 61	ysis, 168
Strategic vision, 212	relative target setting, 149–152
Stretching <i>vs.</i> sandbagging, 140	relative target setting require-
Subprime mortgage crisis, 184	ments, 153–154
Success. See also Key success	relative usage and trends, 155
factors (KSF)	TBR. See Total business
critical to, 9	return (TBR)
defined, 195	Teaching. See Education
elements, 65, 80	Team-based metrics, 66, 99
and leadership, 22, 100, 188	Technical accuracy vs. simplicity, 29
measures used, 30	Testing checklist, 218
Sustained value creation, 30	Threshold goals
Sweden	achievement probability, 164

Timely data	Trends, 11
relative target setting, 153	identifying, 122
Time profit business model, 72, 73	industry, 60, 80
Time series analysis, 78	pay for performance, 12
Time-vested stock options	performance, 75, 77
Australia, 14	relative, 155
Canada, 14	target setting, 155
United Kingdom, 14	using, 18, 75, 77, 82, 133
United States, 14	TSR. See Total shareholder
Total business return (TBR), 55	return (TSR)
long-term incentive programs, 39	
Total direct remuneration	U
United States, 18	Unbalanced scorecard, 35
Total shareholder return (TSR),	Unbalanced scorecard driving
54, 68	behavior, 89–113
EPS, 75, 78	custom solutions, 112-113
executive performance, 22	fair, 105-106
financial performance, 32	future, 107-111
historical sharing ratio, 173	menningful, 101-104
incentive awards, 152	n otivational, 96–101
long-term, 126	solutions to guiding principles, 95
peer selection, 126	trying, 90–94
reflecting executive	Understanding, 48, 56–57
performance, 163	Uniqueness, 65
relationships, 54	United Kingdom
relative, 39	difficulties recruiting executive
sharing ratio, 173, 174	talent, 6
stock price performance sce-	executive bonuses, 12
narios, 174	executive pay decisions, 2–3
volatility, 78	long-term incentive programs, 14
Total stock price volatility, 78	performance-based equity, 6
Traditional scorecards vs. optimal	performance-based incentive
scorecards, 108	plan metrics, 16
Training. See Education	performance-contingent stock
Transparency	grants, 3
data, 155	say on pay, 213
executive remuneration, 212	share option plans
improving, 3, 149	replacement, 3
proxy statements, 117	standardizing executive remu-
relevant to income, 13, 116	neration, 7

standardinina narranda nua anana	duiyyana 22 20 69
standardizing rewards programs,	drivers, 33, 39, 68
8–9	enterprise, 49, 51
time-vested stock options, 14	government, 26
United States	local community, 26
executive bonuses, 12	managing for, 43–45
executive remuneration disclo-	measuring, 27–28
sure rules, 3–4	MVA, 48, 49
performance-based incentive	not-for-profit organizations,
plan metrics, 16	26–27
performance group, 18	shareholders, 26
say-on-pay resolutions, 4	Variability in external environment,
service-based equity, 6	180-181
time-vested stock options, 14	Variable pay, 11
total direct remuneration, 18	Vision. See also Objectives
Unprofitable business, 52	sound and compelling,
	104-105
V	strategic, 212
Valuation ratio, 125	Volatility
Value	incentive award payouts, 181
business partners, 25–26	TSR, 78
business units creation contribu-	
tion, 81	\mathbf{W}
chain analysis, 74	Well-balanced performance
creation, 44, 52, 212-214	measurement system, 179
chain analysis, 74 creation, 44, 52, 212–214 customers, 25	Work culture, 34, 57
defined, 25, 214-215	Workforce understanding, 35–36
×XX	<u>C</u>

http://www.phookshop.com