

# index

- A**
- ABC (Accuse, Blame, Criticize)  
mindset, 272–273
- ABC News, 116
- Accessibility, leader, 138–139
- Accountability: causes of failure  
and, 158–160; maintaining, 139,  
144–145, 274; psychological safety  
and, 126, 129–131, 144–149, 146
- Action-reflection cycles: in  
emergency rescue operation, 189,  
191, 192; in execution-as-learning,  
240–254
- Action step, in execution-as-learning,  
241, 242, 243, 247–249
- Adcock, K., 153
- AdSense, 179
- Advocacy orientation, 168–169, 271
- After-action reviews, 55, 149, 175,  
249
- Agricultural society, 38
- Aircraft development, 195
- Airline companies, 162, 224
- Airports, 39
- Amtrak, 277
- Analysis of failure, 174–178
- Ancona, D., 13
- Anderson, N., 128
- Andon Cord, 161, 166, 232
- Anxiety zone, 130–131
- Apathy zone, 130
- Apollo 13*, 192
- Apple, 252, 277
- Argyris, C., 87, 293n.2, 295n.14,  
295n.16
- Arnholt, C., 57
- Artifacts, to reinforce a learning  
frame, 108
- Arup, 213
- Asking. *See* Feedback seeking;  
Question asking
- Aspirational purpose, 100–101
- Assembly line: era and model of,  
15–18; execution-as-learning  
approach to, 223–224, 250;  
learning from failures in, 161, 250;  
legacy of, 17–19
- Assumptions: about causation, 65–66,  
155, 158–160; cognitive frames  
and, 84–88; about the ideal  
employee, 108–109; of identity  
groups, 194–196, 206–208; of  
naïve realism, 64–65, 72;  
occupation-based, 208–212, 217;  
about operational context,  
229–230; organization-based,  
206–208, 217
- Attribution, 65–66, 155, 158–160
- Auschwitz, 86
- Authority rules, 214
- Autodesk building project, 208,  
211–212
- Automotive companies: boundary  
spanning in, 210; command-  
and-control management in,  
15–20; decline of U.S., 19–20;

- execution-as-learning in, 223–224;  
 industrial age and, 15–19; learning  
 from failure in, 161; operational  
 diversity in, 36–38, 39
- B**
- Bagian, J., 134  
 Bank, customer dissatisfaction with,  
 175  
 Barrios, Y., 187  
 Bay of Pigs invasion plan, 119  
 Bechky, B., 210–211  
 Behavioral therapy, 87  
 Beijing Olympics, Water Cube project  
 for, 213, 214–215, 248–249, 277  
 Belief systems, conflict and, 68, 69,  
 71–72, 73  
 Benchmarking, 244  
 Benenati, B., 201, 202–204  
 Benford, R. D., 87  
 Bennis, W., 122–123  
 Benson, H., 132  
 Berns, G., 126–127  
 Best practices: execution-as-learning  
 for, 224, 227–229, 244, 246; in  
 risk-management, 167; seeking out,  
 246  
 Better-before-worse syndrome,  
 253–254  
 Biotechnology corporations, 36  
 Blame and blaming: failure related to,  
 158–160, 269, 272–274; perceptual  
 biases and, 65–66, 70, 155,  
 158–160, 175, 293n.2  
 Blameless reporting system, 273–274,  
 276  
 Bloomberg.com, 18  
 Bondurant, P., 205–206  
*Boston Globe* correspondent's death,  
 152  
 Bottom-up initiatives, 275–276  
 Boundaries and boundary spanning,  
 78, 185–217; common, 201–212;  
 with competitors and others,  
 286–287; identity groups and,  
 193–196; in innovation operations,  
 35–36; leadership of, 212–215, 216;  
 leadership summary on, 215–216;  
 lessons and actions for, 216–217;  
 in mine rescue operation, 185–193,  
 205; process guidelines for,  
 214–215; transcending, 287; types  
 of, 197–212, 215, 217; visible and  
 invisible, 193–194  
 Boundary objects, 210–211  
 Boundary setting, for psychological  
 safety, 139, 143–144, 145  
 BP oil leak, 152  
 Brain function, fear and, 126–127  
 Brainstorming, 245, 281  
 Brown, T., 277, 278  
 Building projects, boundary spanning  
 for, 211–212, 213  
 Business environment change, 1–2,  
 19–24, 38–42, 196–197, 233–234,  
 285–287  
 Business goal, organizing-to-execute  
*versus* organizing-to-learn  
 approaches to, 28  
 Business schools, execution mindset  
 in, 21  
*BusinessWeek* IDEA awards, 163
- C**
- Call centers: boundary spanning of,  
 198–199; performance management  
 in, 244  
 Cardiac surgery teaming study. *See*  
 MICS implementation study  
 Carfrae, T., 213, 214–215, 248–249  
 Carlile, P., 210  
 Carroll, P., 142  
 Catmull, E., 251  
 Causal spectrum of failure, 158–160,  
 161, 162, 163  
 Census of Manufacturers,  
 Manufacturing Performance  
 Institute (MPI), 25, 26  
*Challenger* space shuttle tragedy, 152  
 Change: in business environment,  
 19–24, 38–42, 102, 196–197,  
 233–234, 285–287; culture,

- 135–137, 284; failure of traditional organizations to succeed with, 19–22, 258–259; and inevitability of failure, 151; in leadership mindset, 38–42; to learning frame, 104–111; learning imperative and, 19–24; managerial congruence with, 233–240; pace of, 21–22; psychological safety and, 122–123; in today's way of working, 11–43. *See also* Globalization; Technological change
- Change agents, teams as, 58–59
- Change management: in complex operations case study (Children's Hospital), 265–276; in execution-as-efficiency, 234–236; in execution-as-learning, 225–226, 234–240; in innovation case study (IDEO), 276–284; in routine operations case study (Simmons), 258–265; teaming for, 58–59
- Change projects: bottom-up initiatives for, 275–276; in cardiac surgery team case study, 89–95, 96–98, 100–101, 104–105, 128, 138–139; in complex operations case study (Children's Hospital), 265–276; “cycle out” versus rollout of, 239–240; enrollment in, 105, 106; execution-as-learning approach to, 225–226, 234–240; framing of, 89–111; in innovation case study (IDEO), 276–284; learning frame versus execution frame for, 102–104; preparation and practice for, 105, 106, 107; reflection in, 106, 107; in routine operations case study (Simmons), 259–265; shared purpose for, 99–102; steps for reframing, 104–111; tactics for individual reframing in, 109–111; trial for, 106, 107
- Cheever, J., 18–19
- “Chen, M.,” 267, 269
- Chevrolet, 20
- Children's Hospital and Clinics, Minneapolis: context of, 266–268; execution-as-learning in, 274–276; Patient Safety Initiative of, 258, 265–276; teaming in, 270–276
- Chilean Carabineros Special Operations Group, 187
- Chilean mine rescue. *See* San Jose mine
- Chilean navy, 190–191
- China Construction Design International, 213
- China State Construction and Engineering Company, 213
- Cho, F., 156–157
- Christianson, M., 248
- Cincinnati Children's Hospital and Medical Center, 205–206, 209–210
- Clarity of thought, psychological safety for, 126–127
- Cleveland Clinic, 250
- Climate. *See* Accountability; Psychological safety
- Clinton, B., 198
- Codelco, 188
- Cognition: common errors and biases in, 64–66, 154–155, 158–160, 175, 293n.2; failure and, 154–155, 175; hot and cool, 67, 68, 69, 74; identity-group assumptions and, 194–196; psychological safety and, 126–127
- Cognitive frames, 84–88; for change implementation, 104–111
- Coinvestigators, 239–240, 270
- Collaboration. *See* Teaming
- Colocation, 209
- Columbia space shuttle tragedy, 115–116, 133–135, 152, 168–169, 243
- Comfort zone, 130
- Command-and-control management: assembly line model and, 15–19; conflict and, 67; execution-as-efficiency and, 225–226, 255;

- failure of, in cardiac surgery change case study, 89–95; legacy of, 17–19, 38–42; the “organization man” model and, 18–19; reliance on fear in, 17, 19, 132–133, 225–226; scientific management and, 16–19; silence and, 62–63. *See also* Hierarchy
- Commitment: framing team member roles for, 98–99; of leadership to success, 191–192
- Communication: across boundaries, 201–212; among different identity groups, 195–196; for change enrollment, 105; of change project purpose, 101–102; for cooling conflict, 72–75; direct language for, 139, 142–143; need for candid, 52, 53–54; to reinforce a learning frame, 107–108; in teaming process, 50. *See also* Speaking up
- Competence: fear of being seen lacking in, 122, 123; psychological safety and, 124
- Competitive advantage, execution-as-learning for, 221–222
- Competitive pressures, of today’s business environment, 21–23, 196–197, 285–287
- Complex adaptive systems (CAS): boundary spanning in, 185–217; characteristics of, 23; diagnostic dimensions of, 231–232; failures in, 167, 176–177; interdisciplinary teams in, 176–177; learning imperative and, 22–24
- Complex failures, 165–166, 167; strategies for learning from, 172, 173, 176–177
- Complex operations: action step in, 248–249; case study of (Children’s Hospital), 258, 265–276; characteristics of, 35; design step in, 246–247; diagnosis step in, 244–245; execution-as-learning in, 231–232, 244–245, 246–247, 248–249, 250–251, 274–276; within large operationally diverse organizations, 36–38, 39; leading in, 269–274; learning from failure in, 162–163; in Process Knowledge Spectrum, 33, 34, 35, 231–232; reflection step in, 250–251; shift to, from routine operations, 234–240; teaming implementation in, 269–276
- Complexity science, 23
- Computer-based knowledge management (KM) systems, 205, 227–228
- Computer chip maker, operational diversity of, 39
- Confirmatory response, 169–170
- Conflict: cognitive errors and, 64–66; conditions for hot, 68, 69; disagreement and, 63; hot and cool cognition in, 67, 68, 74; hot and cool topics in, 68, 69, 70; inevitability of, 62; in interdisciplinary teams, 177; leadership and, 75; psychological safety for productive, 126, 127; spontaneous reactions to, 67–70, 74; strategies for mitigating, 70–75; tacit goal of winning in, 85; task versus relationship, 70–74; tension and, 66; understanding and working with, 67–75, 80. *See also* Interpersonal relationships
- Contagious learning, 286–287
- Context, operational. *See* Complex operations; Innovation operations; Process Knowledge Spectrum; Routine operations
- Continuous improvement: execution-as-learning and, 223–224, 227–229, 230, 233, 246, 250; reflection and, 250; in routine operations, 161, 223–224, 246, 250, 265
- Control: illusions of, 155; learning imperative and, 23–24; reliance on fear and, 17, 18, 19, 132–133,

- 225–226. *See also* Command-and-control management
- Cool cognition, 67, 68, 69, 74
- Cragg, C., 190–191
- Creative abrasion, 127
- Crest toothpaste, 277
- Crime reduction, 254
- Cross-functional teams, 194, 208–211, 274–276, 279–282
- CT scan teaming, 45–49, 50, 245
- Cultural diversity, 199–200, 214
- Curiosity. *See* Feedback seeking; Question asking
- Customer satisfaction index (CSI), 235, 236
- Customers: change in expectations of, 21–22; as coinvestigators, 239–240; dissatisfied, in “Telco” case study, 234–240; feedback from, 173–174, 175, 251; satisfaction measures, 235, 236, 244
- Cycling out, 239–240
- D**
- Dahlbusch coal mine, 190–191
- Dana Farber Hospital, Boston, 152
- Dangerous operations: accountability in, 144–145; risk of not speaking up in, 120. *See also* High-risk situations
- Data collection, for failure identification, 173–174
- Dayton, D., 278
- Defensive purpose, 100–101
- Defensiveness, 123, 268–269
- Demographic differences, 200
- Departmental differences, in psychological safety, 124
- Design approach, to team performance, 12–13
- Design step, of execution-as-learning, 241, 242, 243, 245–247
- Detert, J., 53–54, 200
- Deviance, 159, 161
- Diagnosis: execution-as-learning step of, 241, 242–245, 256; in Process Knowledge Spectrum, 229–234, 256
- Dillon, J. R., 304–305n.5
- Direct language, 139, 142–143
- Disagreement: cognitive errors and, 64–66; over interpretation of data, 68; tension and, 66; tolerance of, 63, 119. *See also* Conflict
- Discretion, organizing-to-execute *versus* organizing-to-learn approaches to, 28, 29
- Disparity diversity, 197, 199–200, 205–206, 217
- Disruptive, being seen as: fear of, 121, 123; support for, 109
- Distributive justice, 294n.9
- Diversity: for complex operations, 246–247; definition of, 197; in interdisciplinary teams, 177; in teams of experts, 60, 208–211; types of boundaries and, 197–201, 213, 217. *See also* Boundaries and boundary spanning
- Dougherty, D., 194
- DSL installation study, 234–240
- Duncan, M., 190
- E**
- Early adopters, 237–238, 239–240
- Edmondson, A. C., 35, 53–54, 58, 109, 123–124, 199–200, 211–212, 292n.1, 292n.17, 304–305n.5
- Efficiency: execution as, 225–226, 255, 256, 286; learning and, 284; organizing to execute and, 29; scientific management and, 15–19; waste reduction and, 263–264
- Eitel, C., 259–265, 283, 306n.16
- Electrical engineering, 196
- Eli Lilly, 164, 177–178, 179–180
- “Elite Manufacturing” case study, 68–70, 72–75, 292n.17
- Emergency rescue operation. *See* San Jose mine rescue operation
- Emergency room teams, 13

- Emotional reactions: to conflict, 67–70, 74; to failure, 150, 154–156, 160, 183–184; to fear, 127, 132
- Employees: concept of ideal, 108–109; morale problems in, 236, 259, 260.  
*See also* Team members
- Empowerment: establishing a culture of, 260; organizing-to-execute *versus* organizing-to-learn approaches to, 28
- Engagement: psychological safety and, 123; teaming for, 59–60
- Enrollment, in reframing, 105
- Entman, M. R., 87
- Errors, 180
- Ethnicity, 199–200, 214
- Evista, 164
- Execution-as-efficiency, 225–226, 255, 256, 286
- Execution-as-learning, 221–256; action step of, 241, 242, 243, 247–249; best practices and, 224, 227–229; boundary spanning and, 286–287; in complex operations, 244–245, 246–247, 248–249, 250–251, 274–276; concept and attributes of, 30–32, 222–229; continuous learning in, 240–252, 252–254; defined, 256; design/planning step of, 241, 242, 243, 245–247; diagnosis: step in, 241, 242–245, 256; execution-as-efficiency *versus*, 225–226, 255, 256, 286; framing for, 254; in innovation operations, 245, 247, 248, 251, 282; leadership for, 31, 233–234, 253–254, 283–284; leadership summary on, 254–255; lessons and actions for, 256; mindset change for, 224–226; moving forward with, 285–287; Process Knowledge Spectrum used for, 229–234, 243–255, 256; psychological safety for, 244, 254; reflection step of, 241, 242, 243, 249–251; in routine operations, 223–224, 243–244, 246, 247–248, 263–265; steps of, 240–252, 256; teaming as base for, 31–32, 222–224. *See also* Learning
- Execution frame, 102–104
- Execution mindset: failure of, in changing environment, 20–21, 235–236; learning mindset *versus*, 27–30, 225–226; persistence of, 21. *See also* Organizing to execute
- Experiential Learning* (Kolb), 304n.5
- Experimentation: benefits of, 57; definition and attributes of, 52, 55, 249; in emergency rescue operation, 189–190, 191; failure in, 159, 160, 163–165, 167–168; failure production in, 178–182; learning behavior of, 26; rapid, progressive, 189, 191, 192, 249; rewarding, 179–180; simulation and, 246–249. *See also* Innovation operations
- Experts and expertise: boundary spanning of, 176–177, 194, 206–212; for complex operations, 246–247; cross-functional teams of, 194, 208–211, 274–276, 279–282; knowledge diversity and, 200–201, 203, 206–212; leaders as, *versus* interdependent team leaders, 93–95, 96–97; unique information and, 195–196
- Exploratory response, 169–170
- Exploratory testing, 159, 163
- Exxon Mobil, 20

## F

- Face-to-face meetings, of virtual teams, 204–205
- Factory conditions: in industrial era, 17–18, 40; in modern era, 18
- Failure(s), 149–184; analysis of, 174–178; categories of, 164–168, 184; causal spectrum of, 158–160, 161, 162, 163; complex, 165–166, 167, 176–177; of corporate giants,

- 19–21; definition of, 152, 180; detection of, 171–174, 250; developing a learning approach to, 168–170; due to interpersonal fear, 115–118, 120; errors *versus*, 180; fault and, 158–160, 269, 272–274; fundamental attribution error and, 65–66, 155; hospital systems for, 266–276; ignoring or compensating for, 153; inevitability of, 150–151, 183; inquiry orientation to, 168–169, 184, 271–272; intelligent, 165, 167, 172, 177–182; large “headline,” 151–152; leadership for learning from, 169–170, 171–183; leadership summary on, 182–183; learning to learn from, 77–78, 141–142; lessons and actions for, 183–184; matching causes and context of, 164–168; medical, 152, 267–276; obstacles to learning from, 154–160, 175–176, 182, 183–184; preventable, 165, 166–167, 172, 173, 176, 180; across the Process Knowledge Spectrum, 160–165, 184; production of, 178–182; psychological safety for, 126, 127–128, 173, 272–274; punishment of, 141, 146, 157–158, 173, 183–184; reflection and, 251; reporting and discussing, 27, 57, 63, 126, 153, 170–178, 267–276; small, importance of, 151–154, 182–183; small, vigilance for, 162–163, 171–174; social factors that inhibit discussion of, 155–157; strategies for learning from, 170–182, 183, 184; systemic view of, 162, 177, 180, 231, 272–274; of teaming, 45–49, 50, 267–269; of traditional management model, 19–21
- Failure frequency: operational context differences in, 231; in research-based enterprises, 36, 163, 249; upswing in, due to better reporting, 253–254
- Fallibility, acknowledgment of, 139, 140
- False alarms, 172
- False consensus effect, 65
- Farming, 38, 263–264
- Fast Company 50, 163
- Fast food companies: execution-as-learning in, 244, 247–248; operational diversity within, 39; performance management in, 244
- Fault finding, 158–160, 269, 272–274
- Fear, interpersonal: as barrier to learning, 255; as barrier to teaming, 62, 132–135; brain function and, 126–127; concerns related to, in workplace, 121–122, costs of, 19, 115–122, 128–129, 132–135; hierarchy and, 115–118, 131–135, 146, 147–200; in industrial era, 17; innovation and, 128; in modern era, 16; reliance on control and, 17, 18, 19, 132–133, 225–226
- Feedback loops: in complex systems, 23; in execution-as-learning, 227–228, 229
- Feedback seeking: from customers, 173–174, 175, 251; for failure identification, 173–174; by leaders, 140–141, 225, 226; as learning behavior, 26; in reflection step, 251–252. *See also* Question asking
- Feldman, J., 87–88
- Fenix mine rescue capsule, 191
- Fight-or-flight reaction, 127, 132
- Financial services industry, 135–136
- Finkelstein, S., 157–158
- Ford, H., 15–19, 22, 41, 263–264
- Ford Motor Company, 19, 171
- Foxconn, 18
- Frame Analysis* (Goffman), 88
- Frames and framing, 83–113; in cardiac teams case study, 89–95, 96–98, 100–101, 104–105; of change projects, 89–111; changing, 76–77, 86–87, 104–111, 295n.16; cognitive, 84–88, 104–111;

- dimensions of situation-specific, 84;  
for execution, 102–104; for  
execution-as-learning, 254; of ideal  
employee, 108–109; interpersonal,  
295n.14; leader's influence on,  
89–93, 294n.13; leader's role in,  
93–96, 99–100, 101–102;  
leadership for, 76–77, 83–113;  
leadership summary on, 111–112;  
for learning, 86–88, 102–111;  
learning *versus* execution, 102–104;  
lessons and actions on, 112–113;  
performance, 86; power of, 85–86;  
for psychological safety, 124;  
references on, 87–88; self-  
protective, 76–77, 104; of shared  
purpose, 99–102; tacit  
interpretations and, 85–86, 87;  
tactics for individual, 109–111; of  
team members' roles, 96–99. *See also* Learning frame
- “Framing: Toward Clarification of a  
Fractured Paradigm” (Entman), 87
- “Framing for Learning” (Edmondson),  
292n.1
- “Framing of Decisions and the  
Psychology of Choice, The”  
(Tversky), 88
- “Framing Processes and Social  
Movements” (Benford and Snow),  
87
- Framing the Debate* (Feldman and  
Lakoff), 87–88
- Frankl, V., 86
- Fundamental attribution error, 65–66,  
155
- G**
- General Electric (GE), 20
- General Motors (GM), 19–20
- Generalism, 25
- Geographical barriers, teaming across,  
197, 198–199, 201–205
- Gibson, C., 116
- Global organization teaming, 52,  
198–199, 201–205
- Globalization: boundary spanning  
and, 78, 196–197, 286–287;  
contagious learning and, 286–287;  
pace of change and, 21–22,  
196–197
- Gmail, 179
- Goals, shared: in boundary spanning,  
212–213; in change projects,  
99–102, 260–262; conflict and,  
72–74; fear and accomplishment  
of, 128–129; framing, 99–102,  
212–213; superordinate, 212–213
- Goffman, E., 88
- Golborne, L., 190, 192
- Goleman, D., 293n.2
- “Good Catch Log,” 276
- Google, 178–179, 286
- Gratification delay, 67
- Griffith, J., 243
- Group cohesiveness, 119
- Groupe Danone, 201, 202–204, 205
- Groupthink, 119
- Gulf oil leak, 152
- H**
- Hackman, R., 12–13, 58, 289n.1
- Ham, L., 116, 133, 243
- Hammond, S., 88
- Hansei*, 250, 253
- Harrison, D., 197
- Harvard Business School, 230, 233
- Health care systems, execution-as-  
learning in, 224, 227–228, 250,  
251. *See also* Hospitals
- Help seeking, learning behavior of,  
26
- Henriquez, J., 187
- Hierarchy: advocacy orientation in,  
168–169; psychological safety and,  
115–118, 131–135, 146, 147, 200;  
status differences and, 205. *See also*  
Command-and-control  
management
- High achievers, 151
- High Reliability Organizations  
(HROs), 162–163

- High-risk situations: boundary spanning in, 185–193, 205; conflict in, 68, 69; hospitals as, 266–268; learning from failure in, 162–163
- Hiring: for innovation organizations, 283; organizing-to-execute *versus* organizing-to-learn approaches to, 28, 29
- “Hooke, C.,” 275
- Hospitals: benefits of teaming for, 59; as complex operations, 34, 266–268; CT scan teaming in, 45–49, 50; demands of working in, 60–61; emergency room teams in, 13; execution-as-learning in, 227–228, 246–247; experimentation in, 178; failure systems in, 266–276; interdisciplinary teams in, 246–247, 266, 274–276; new technology implementation in (cardiac surgery teams study), 89–95, 96–98, 100–101, 104–105, 128; operational diversity of, 39; patient safety improvement in, 254, 258, 266–276; psychological safety in, 127–128, 272–274; reflection time in, 252; speaking up in, 116–117, 120, 128; status differences in, 199–200, 205–206; system breakdowns in, 180; teaming failures in, 45–49, 50, 267–269; vertical silos in, 48–49
- Hot cognition, 67, 68, 69
- Hubris, 253
- Humiliation, fear of, 119, 127, 146
- Humility, 62, 139, 140, 253
- Hybrid car (Prius), 37, 277
- Hypothesis testing, 159, 163
- learning at, 233, 249, 252, 282; innovation process at, 280; knowledge sharing by, 287; learning from failure at, 163–164, 230; Phase Zero initiative of, 278–279, 281–282; Simmons and, 278–279, 282, 306n.16; teaming at, 279–284
- Ignorant, fear of being seen as, 122, 123
- Image risk: in admitting failures, 153, 156; human need to manage, 119–120, 146; in workplace, 121–122, 147. *See also* Interpersonal risk
- Improvement techniques, 246
- Inattention, 159, 161
- Incentive programs, 264
- Incident reviews, 274
- Incompetence: failure due to, 159, 161; fear of being seen with, 122, 123
- Industrial Design Excellence Awards, 277–278
- Industrial era: assembly line model of, 15–18; mindset of, transforming, 38–42; scientific management in, 16–19, 263–264; today’s workplace *versus*, 22–23
- Informal relationships, psychological safety and, 124
- Information technology: for execution-as-learning, 227–228; virtual teams and, 198–199, 204, 205, 207–208. *See also* Technological change
- Innovation: expertise diversity for, 208–209; leadership and, 283; psychological safety for, 126, 128, 281; rewards and, 179–180
- Innovation operations: action step in, 249; case study of (IDEO), 258, 276–284; characteristics of, 35–36; cross-functional teams for, 208–209, 279–282; design step in, 247; diagnosis step in, 245; diagnostic dimensions of, 231–232;

## I

- Iansiti, M., 286
- Ideal employee, 108–109
- Identity groups, 193–194; taken-for-granted assumptions of, 194–196, 206–208
- IDEO: case study of, 258, 276–284; context of, 277–278; execution-as-

- examples of, 33–34; execution-as-learning in, 245, 247, 248, 251, 282; failure analysis in, 177–178, 251; failure detection in, 174; failure in, 163–164, 167–168; within large operationally diverse organizations, 36–38, 39; leadership in, 276–284; in Process Knowledge Spectrum, 33, 35–36, 231–232; reflection step in, 251; teaming in, 276–284. *See also* Experimentation
- Inquiry orientation, 168–169, 184, 271–272. *See also* Question asking
- Integrated Project Delivery (IPD), 211–212
- Intelligent failures, 167–168; strategies for learning from, 172, 173, 174, 177–178; systems for producing, 178–182
- Intensive care units (ICUs): quality improvement teams in, 59; status differences in, 199–200, 205–206
- Interdependence: diagnosing, 230–233; framing of, 84; of leaders, 93–96; recognition of, 50–51, 62, 84
- Interdisciplinary teams: for complex operations, 246–247; for failure analysis, 176–177; in hospitals, 246–247, 266, 274–276. *See also* Boundaries
- Intermountain Healthcare (IHC), 224, 227–228, 248, 251, 252, 287
- IBM, 142
- Interpersonal fear. *See* Fear
- Interpersonal frames, 295n.14. *See also* Frames
- Interpersonal relationships: failure and, 151; psychological safety and, 124. *See also* Conflict
- Interpersonal risk: in complex operations, 270; concerns related to, 121–122, 147; effect of hierarchy on, 131–135, 146, 147; as reason for silence, 63, 119–122; self-management of, 119–120. *See also* Image risk
- Interpersonal skills: for dealing with conflict, 70–75; for teaming, 52–58, 262–263; training for, 262–263
- Interpersonal tensions, 61–66. *See also* Conflict
- Interpretations: disagreement over, 68; tacit, 85–86, 87
- Interruptions, good, 122
- Intuit, 245
- IRS. *See* U.S. Internal Revenue Service
- ISDA, 163
- Iteration: in cycling out, 239–240; in execution-as-learning cycles, 240–252; in team learning cycles, 50, 79, 240–254
- ## J
- Janes, L., 128
- Janis, I., 119
- Jargon, 208
- Jazz musicians, 61
- Jellicoe, R., 56
- Just culture, 144–145
- ## K
- Kahn, W., 123
- Kaiser Permanente, Colorado, 153, 251
- Kaizen*, 246, 253. *See also* Continuous improvement
- Kelley, D., 277, 278, 283
- Kennedy, J. F., 119
- KFC, 244
- Kick-off meeting, 108
- Klein, K., 197
- Knowledge: acknowledging the limits of current, 139, 140; distributed, 41; rapid change in, 21–23, 41, 151; tacit, 204, 206–208. *See also* Process knowledge
- Knowledge-based economy: complexity of failure in, 165–166; globalization in, 196–197; hierarchy and, 205; mindset

- transformation for, 38–42, 286–287; specialization in, 196–197, 286
- Knowledge boundaries, 198, 200–201, 202; teaming across, 206–212
- Knowledge Marketplaces, 203–204, 205
- Knowledge repositories, 205, 217
- Knowledge sharing: across boundaries, 201–212; in innovation operation, 280; as learning behavior, 26
- Kolb, D., 304n.5
- Krantz, G., 192
- ### L
- Lakoff, G. I., 87–88
- Language: differences, 204; direct, 139, 142–143; for failure and analysis, 180, 274; professional jargon and, 208
- Large organizations: examples of diverse operations in, 39; failure of traditionally-managed, 19–21; Process Knowledge Spectrum within, 36–38, 39; psychological safety and accountability in, 130
- Leader(s): accessibility and approachability of, 138–139; humility of, 62, 139, 140; influence of, on framing, 89–95, 294n.13; influence of, on psychological safety, 124; self-disclosure by, 140; study of, 3–4; support from, for speaking up, 63–64, 134–135
- Leader mindset: about failure, 149–150, 169–170; of organizing to execute, 27–30; of organizing to learn, 26–30, 38–42; role of, in framing, 93–96; transformation of, 38–42
- Leader role: in boundary spanning, 212–215, 216; as “chief scientist,” 239; in communicating purpose, 101–102; in creating psychological safety, 134–145, 272–274; in execution-as-efficiency, 225–226; in execution-as-learning, 225–226; in framing change, 93–96, 99–100, 101–102, 294n.13; as interdependent team leader *versus* individual expert, 93–95; in learning from failure, 169–170
- Leadership: for boundary spanning, 212–215; in complex operations case study (Children’s Hospital), 265–276, 283; for conflict, 75, 80; congruence of, with operational context, 233–240; for execution-as-learning, 31, 233–234, 253–254; inclusive, 139, 140–141, 205–206, 217; in innovation case study (IDEO), 276–284, 283; leadership summary for, 285–284; for learning from failure, 169–170, 171–183; for learning imperative, 4–5, 23–24; for organizing to learn, 75–78, 80; in routine operations case study (Simmons), 258–265, 283; transformation of, 38–42. *See also* Command-and-control management
- Leadership actions: of boundary spanning, 78; of creating psychological safety, 77, 115–148; to cultivate psychological safety, 138–145, 147–148; of framing and reframing, 76–77, 83–113; of learning from failure, 77–78, 149–184; for organizing to learn, 75–78, 80; that promote teaming, 75–78; for reinforcing a learning frame, 107–108
- Leadership summary: on boundary spanning, 215–216; on execution-as-learning, 254–255; on failure, 182–183; on framing, 111–112; on leadership case studies, 283–284; on new way of working, 42; on psychological safety, 145–146; on teaming basics, 78–79
- Lean production, 263

- Learning: behaviors of, 27; collective, 27; contagious, 286–287; continuous, 240–252, 252–254; from failure, 77–78, 149–184; imperative of, 19–24; individual, 14, 240–241, 304n.5; organizational *versus* individual, 14; across the Process Knowledge Spectrum, 32–38; psychological safety for, 125–131; rapid-cycle, 189, 191, 192, 249; reframing for, 86–88, 104–111; teaming as process of, 50; teaming for, 24–26; time delays between results and, 253–254. *See also* Execution-as-learning; Organizational learning; Organizing to learn
- Learning anxiety, 123
- Learning frame: benefits of, 86–88; establishing, 104–107; execution frames *versus*, 102–104; individual tactics for changing, 109–111; leadership tactics for changing, 104–108; public reinforcement of, 107–108. *See also* Frames
- Learning zone, 131
- Legal environment change, 22
- Leonard-Barton, D., 127
- Lessons and actions: on boundary spanning, 216–217; on execution-as-learning, 256; on failure, 183–184; on framing, 112–113; on new way of working, 42–43; on psychological safety, 146–148; on teaming basics, 79–80
- Lewis, S., 18–19
- Lincoln Center, 61
- Literature: management, 21; organization men depicted in, 18–19
- M**
- Madmen*, 19
- Madoff, B., 152
- Mammogram reading improvement, 153, 251
- Man in the Gray Flannel Suit* (Wilson), 19
- Management teams, 25
- Managerial approach: changing, according to operational context shift, 234–240; by operational context, 231, 233–234
- Managers. *See* Leader headings
- Manufacturing Performance Institute (MPI), Census of Manufacturers, 25, 26
- Marquand, J. P., 18–19
- Marsalis, W., 61
- “Matthew,” 267–269
- Matthews, F., 189
- Mattress manufacturer. *See* Simmons Mattress Company
- Maultsby, M., 295n.16
- MBA program. execution mindset in, 21
- McArthur, P., 295n.14
- McLahan, M., 194
- Medical errors, 152, 267–276
- Medical event scenarios, 248
- Medical knowledge, expansion of, 22
- Medical protocol development, 227–228
- Medication administration accuracy, 127–128, 268–276
- Mental models, 85, 293n.5. *See also* Frames
- Messenger, embracing the, 173
- Metaphors, director language *versus*, 143
- Metcalf, J., 67, 68
- Micro-behavior decision point, 120
- Microsoft, 252, 286
- MICS (Minimally Invasive Cardiac Surgery) implementation study: framing in, 89–95, 96–98, 100–101, 104–105; leaders’ approachability in, 138–139; leaders role in, 93–95, 138–139; overview of, 89–93; project purpose framing in, 100–101; psychological safety and, 128, 138–139; reflection-in-action

- in, 250–251; team members' roles in, 96–98
  - Military training, fear of speaking up in, 117
  - Mind-body medicine, 132
  - Mindset. *See* Leader mindset
  - Mine rescue. *See* San Jose mine rescue
  - Minimally Invasive Cardiac Surgery. *See* MICS implementation study
  - Mischel, W., 67, 68
  - “Mistake of the Month” ritual, 142
  - Mistakes. *See* Failures
  - Mock-ups, 247
  - “Morath, J.,” 266, 268–276, 283
  - Morbidity and Mortality (M&M) conferences, 175
  - Morphine infusion pump, 267–268, 269
  - Motivation: for failure *versus* failure, 179–180; fear and, 128–129; framing for, 98–99; shared goal for, 260–262; through shared superordinate goal, 212–213
  - Motorola, RAZR mobile phone development at, 56–58, 144, 247, 249
  - Mougin, F., 203
  - Muda*, 263
  - Mulally, A., 171
  - Multidisciplinary teams. *See* Interdisciplinary teams
  - Musical groups, 11, 12, 13
- N**
- Naïve realism, 64–65, 72
  - NASA, 3, 22; Chilean mine rescue involvement of, 190–191, 192; *Columbia* space shuttle tragedy and, 115–116, 133–135, 152, 168–169, 243; Mission Management Team (MMT) of, 133; organizational culture of, 133–135, 169
  - Negative, fear of being seen as, 122, 123
  - Nembhard, I., 199–200
  - Netflix, 240
  - Neuroscience, of fear and safety, 126–127
  - New product development: boundary spanning for, 196–197, 200–201, 207, 210–211; characteristics of, 35–36; Toyota's, 37
  - Newborn intensive care, 205–206
  - Norms, team, 105, 107
  - Novak, D., 244, 246, 258–259
  - Nuclear power plants, 120, 162
- O**
- Occupation-based boundaries, 208–212, 217
  - Office, The*, 19
  - Olson, J., 128
  - On Organizational Learning* (Argyris), 87
  - Operating room (OR) scheduling, 209–210
  - Operational context. *See* Process Knowledge Spectrum
  - Operational diversity, of large organizations, 36–38, 39
  - Orchestras, 11
  - “O'Reilly, P.,” 267–268, 269
  - Organization change research, 122–123
  - “Organization man,” 18–19
  - Organizational barriers, to speaking up, 62–64
  - Organizational culture, 294n.6; changing, 135–137, 284; for execution-as-learning, 255; just, 144–145; leaders' impact on, 137–138; for learning from failure, 156–158; Process Knowledge Spectrum and, 229; for psychological safety, 135–145
  - Organizational learning: complex adaptive systems and, 22–24; execution-as-learning approach to, 32, 221–256; from failure, 149–184; goals of, operational context differences in, 231; imperative of,

- in today's business environment, 19–24, 285–287; organizing for, 26–30; across the Process Knowledge Spectrum, 32–38, 231; study of, 3–4; teaming as engine of, 14–15, 24–26; tools of, 231.  
*See also* Execution-as-learning; Learning
  - Organizational performance, teaming and, 58–59
  - Organizations: factors in, that inhibit learning from failure, 157–158; psychological safety and accountability in, 129–131, 139, 144–145, 146; tacit knowledge in, 206–208, 217
  - Organizing to execute: background on, 15–19; characteristics of, 28; management approaches of, 28; organizing to learn *versus*, 27–30, 234–240; across the Process Knowledge Spectrum, 32–38; shifting from, to organizing to learn approach, 236–240; in “Telco” case study, 235–236, 254–255
  - Organizing to learn: boundary spanning and, 185–217; characteristics of, 28; failure and, 149–184; framing and, 76–77, 83–113; leadership actions for, 75–78, 80; management approaches of, 28; mindset of, 26–30; organizing to execute *versus*, 27–30, 237–239; across the Process Knowledge Spectrum, 32–38; psychological safety and, 115–148; in “Telco” case study, 237–240
  - Orlikowski, W., 293n.2
  - Ownership: framing of team members' roles for, 96–98; participation and, 247–248
- P**
- Parker, G., 22
  - Participation, inviting, 139, 140–141, 205–206
  - Past experience, cognitive frames based on, 84–85
  - Patient safety improvement, 254, 266–276. *See also* Children's Hospital and Clinics, Minneapolis
  - Perceptual biases, 64–66, 155, 158–160, 175, 293n.2
  - Performance, psychological safety and, 129–131
  - Performance frame, 86
  - Performance improvement, teaming for, 58–59
  - Performance measurement: in complex operations, 244–245; in routine operations, 28, 243–244
  - Performance teams, 12–15
  - Perfusionists, 98
  - Personal computer company, operational diversity in, 39
  - Perturbation, 23
  - Pfizer, 164
  - Pharmaceutical companies, 163, 164, 177–178, 242–243, 277
  - Physical distance, teaming across, 197, 198–199, 201–205
  - Pilot experiments, 179, 181–182, 261
  - Piñera, S., 190, 192
  - Pixar, 251
  - Pizza Hut, 244
  - Planning: in execution-as-learning, 241, 242, 243, 245–247; operational context differences and, 231
  - Polaroid, 21
  - Positive illusions, 154–155
  - Post-it notes, development of, 142
  - Post-mortems, 149
  - Power differences, 198–199. *See also* Hierarchy; Status
  - Practice sessions, 105
  - Prada, 277
  - Preparation, for change implementation, 105, 106, 107
  - Preventable failures, 165, 166–167, 180; strategies for learning from, 172, 173, 176

- Prevention orientation, 86–87
- Principles of Scientific Management* (Taylor), 16
- Problem solving: in complex operations, 35; execution-as-learning and, 224; in failure analysis, 176
- Process complexity, failure and, 159, 162–163
- Process deviations, 161, 176, 231
- Process goal, organizing-to-execute *versus* organizing-to-learn approaches to, 28
- Process guidelines, 214–215
- Process inadequacy, 159, 162
- Process knowledge: defined, 33; variations in, 34–36
- Process Knowledge Spectrum: case studies across the, 257–287; diagnosis step on, 243–245, 256; diagnostic dimensions of, 229–234, 256; for execution-as-learning, 229–234, 243–245, 256; failure across the, 160–165, 184; within large organizations, 36–38, 39; management congruence with, 233–240; overview of, 32–38; shifting places in, 234–240. *See also* Complex operations; Innovation operations; Routine operations
- Product design consultancy. *See* IDEO
- Product development teams, 25
- Production teams, 25
- Professional status, 199–200
- Project review, 56
- Project teams, reflection by, 55
- Promotion orientation, 86–87
- Prototyping, 249, 280
- Prudential, 135–137, 138, 284
- Psychological safety, 115–148; accountability and, 126, 129–131, 139, 144–145, 146; benefits of, 125–131, 147; for boundary spanning, 216; cognitive benefits of, 126–127; in complex operations, 270; concept of team, 123–124; construct of, 122–124; cultivating, 135–145, 147–148, 272–274, 284; defined, 118, 146; for execution-as-learning, 244, 254; for failure identification and analysis, 126, 127–128, 173; framing for, 124; hierarchy and, 115–118, 131–135, 146, 147, 200; for innovation, 126, 128, 281; leadership for, 134–145; leadership summary on, 145–146; lessons and actions on, 146–148; for mitigating failure, 126, 127–128; organizational performance and, 59; for productive conflict, 126, 127; rating of workplace, 134; research on, 122–124; setting boundaries for, 139, 143–144, 145; signs of, 141; for teaming and learning, 125–131; trust and respect components of, 118–120, 129
- Psychotherapy, reframing in, 87, 88
- PTW Architects, 213
- Punishment: of failure, 141, 146, 157–158, 173, 183–184; of unacceptable behavior, 144
- Purpose: aspirational *versus* defensive, 100–101; communication of, 101–102; framing of, 99–102
- Putnam, B., 295n.14

## Q

- Qualitative analysis, 177–178
- Qualitative performance measures, 244
- Quality improvement teams, 59
- Quecreek Mine, 185
- Question asking: in boundary spanning, 213–214; celebrating, 109; inquiry orientation and, 168–169, 184, 271–272; by interdependent team leader, 95–96; as learning behavior, 26; learning frame for, 103. *See also* Feedback seeking; Speaking up

## R

- Radiology improvement, 153, 251  
 Rapid-cycle learning, 189, 191, 192, 249  
 Rashid, F., 211–212  
*Rational Behavior Therapy* (Maultsby), 295n.16  
 Rational behavioral therapy, 87  
 RAZR, 56–58, 144, 247, 249  
 RCA, 21  
 Reality, naïve realism about, 64–65, 72  
 Reason, J., 162  
*Reasoning, Learning and Action* (Argyris), 295n.16  
 Reciprocal interdependence, 24  
 Recognition, of interdependence, 50–51, 62, 84  
 Reflection: benefits of, 57, 249–250; in change implementation, 106, 107; definition and attributes of, 52, 55–56; in execution-as-learning, 241, 242, 243, 249–251; feedback for, 251–252; formal versus informal processes of, 55–56, 249; sessions, 141; taking time for, 252  
 Reflection-in-action, 31, 55, 250–251, 290n.15  
*Reflective Practitioner, The* (Schön), 290n.15  
 Reframing. *See* Frames  
 Regulatory focus theory, 294n.9  
 Relationship conflict, 70–74  
 Relationships. *See* Interpersonal relationships  
 Repetitive work. *See* Routine operations  
 Research work: execution-as-learning in, 249; failure analysis in, 177–178; failure identification in, 174; failure production in, 178, 179–180; failure rates for, 36, 163, 249; fruitless, 174, 180; in Process Knowledge Spectrum, 33–34, 229–230. *See also* Innovation operations  
 Respect: building, in relationship conflict, 73–74; for psychological safety, 118–120, 129  
 Respiratory therapists, 205–206  
 Rewards: for failure detection, 174; for failure production, 179–180; incentive programs for, 264  
 Ridicule, fear of, 128  
 Risk. *See* High-risk situations; Image risk; Interpersonal risk  
 Risk assessment, in complex operations, 244–245  
 Risk-management best practices, 167  
 Robinson, C., 275  
 Rocha, R., 115–116, 243  
 Rollouts, 239–240  
 Roloff, K., 304–305n.5  
 Ropes course, 262–263  
 Ross, L., 64, 65  
 Rossotti, C., 198–199, 259  
 Routine operations: assembly line model and, 15–19; case study of (Simmons), 257–258, 258–265; characteristics of, 34; design step in, 246, 247–248; diagnosis step in, 243–244; diagnostic dimensions of, 231–232; execution-as-learning in, 223–224, 243–244, 246, 247–248, 250, 263–265; failure in, 161, 176; industrial-era mindset and, 38–41; within large operationally diverse organizations, 36; the “organization man” and, 18–19; in Process Knowledge Spectrum, 33, 34, 231–232; reflection step in, 250; in research work, 230; scientific management and, 16–19, 263–264; shift from, to complex operations, 234–240  
 Rule violations, 144–145, 159  
 Ryan, A., 135–137, 284  
 Ryckman, F., 209–210

## S

- “Safe-to-Say” initiative, 136–137  
 Safety. *See* Patient safety improvement; Psychological safety

- Sales teams, 25
- San Jose mine rescue operation: story of, 185–191; teaming across boundaries in, 186–193, 205, 246
- Scenarios, 248–249
- Schein, E., 122–123, 214, 294n.6
- Schomburg, C., 133
- Schön, D., 87, 290n.15, 295n.14
- Scientific management, 16–19, 263–264
- Scientific research. *See* Research work
- Self-deception, 293n.2
- Self-disclosure, by team leaders, 140
- Self-esteem, 154–155
- Self-fulfilling prophecies, 200
- Self-protection: failure and, 153, 154–155; frames of, 76–77, 104
- Self-regulation: of complex adaptive systems, 23, 268; in cool cognition, 67
- Senge, P., 22, 253–254
- Seniority, and penalties for failure, 157–158
- Separation diversity, 197, 198–199, 201–205, 217
- Sepulveda, M., 186–187
- Shop Management* (Taylor), 16
- Silence: causes of, 62–64, 119–122; interpersonal risk and, 63, 119–122; prevalence of workplace, 53–54, 122
- Silos. *See* Vertical silo organization
- Simmons Mattress Company: case study of, 257–258, 258–265; context of, 259–260; employment morale problems at, 259, 260; execution-as-learning at, 263–265; IDEO consultancy with, 278–279, 282, 306n.16; team training at, 60; teaming implementation at, 259–265; Zero Waste project of, 260–265
- Simple Framing: An Introduction to Framing and Its Uses in Politics* (Lakoff), 88
- Simulations, 179, 248–249
- Site visits, 204–205, 217
- Six-Sigma quality, 267
- Smith, D., 73, 292n.17, 295n.14
- Snow, D. A., 87
- Social problems, 286, 287
- Software development: execution-as-learning for, 245; failure in, 165–166; virtual teams for, 205
- Sougarret, A., 188, 190
- Southwest Airlines, 224
- Space exploration agency, operational diversity within, 39
- Speaking up: barriers to, 62–64, 115–122; benefits of, 57; costs of not, 19, 115–122, 135–135; definition and attributes of, 52, 53; fear of, 115–122; leaders' encouragement of, 63–64, 135–145; leadership behaviors for cultivating, 138–145, 147–148; psychological safety for, 115–148; rarity of, in workplace, 53–54. *See also* Psychological safety; Question asking
- Specialization: in teams, 25; trend toward, 196–197, 286
- Sports teams, 11, 12, 13, 55
- Stasser, G., 195
- Statistical analysis, 176, 177, 250
- Statistical process control (SPC), 164
- Status: and admitting failure, 157–158; and fear of speaking up, 115–118, 131–135, 147, 199–200; spanning boundaries of, 198, 199–200, 201, 205–206, 216, 217
- Stereotypes, 200
- Strategic teams, 25, 143
- Strattera, 164
- Success: commitment to, 191–192; definitions of, by operational context, 231
- Suicide, employee, 18
- Supply chain management, 37–38, 286–287

Surgical teams: leaders'  
 approachability in, 138–139, 140;  
 reflection of, 55–56. *See also* MICS  
 (Minimally Invasive Cardiac  
 Surgery) implementation study  
 System breakdowns, 162, 177, 180,  
 231  
 Systems. *See* Complex adaptive  
 systems

## T

Tacit interpretations, 85–86, 87  
 Tacit knowledge, 204, 206–208  
 Taco Bell, 244  
 Taken-for-granted assumptions, 85,  
 194–196, 206–211, 294n.6. *See also*  
 Assumptions; Frames  
 Task challenge, 159, 162  
 Task conflict, 70–72  
 Tasks: promotion *versus* prevention  
 orientations to, 86–87; reframing,  
 for learning, 86–88  
 Taylor, F. W., 16–19, 22, 41, 263–264  
 Team effectiveness, 12–13, 25–26,  
 289n.1  
 Team leaders. *See* Leaders  
 Team members: expressing  
 appreciation for, 139, 205–206;  
 framing of roles of, 96–99,  
 108–109; motivating the  
 commitment of, 98–99; tactics for  
 individual reframing by, 109–111  
 Team norms, 105, 107  
 Teaming: basics of, 2–3, 45–80;  
 behaviors driving, 52–58, 79;  
 benefits of, 56–60, 80; across  
 boundaries, 35–36, 78, 175–217,  
 286–287; in complex operations  
 case study (Children's Hospital),  
 265–276; conditions that require,  
 24–25; conflict and, 62, 67–75;  
 dynamic nature of, 12–15, 25; in  
 execution-as-learning, 31–32,  
 222–256; failure of, in hospital case  
 studies, 45–49, 50, 267–269; in  
 innovation case study (IDEO),

276–284; leadership actions that  
 promote, 75–78; leadership mindset  
 transformation for, 38–42;  
 leadership summary on, 78–79; to  
 learn, 6–8, 24–26, 45–79; lessons  
 and actions on, 79–80; mindset of,  
 50–51; new way of working and,  
 12–43; obstacles to effective,  
 61–66, 285–286; for organizational  
 learning, 14–15; organizing-to-learn  
 mindset and, 26–30; overview of,  
 6–8; pillars of effective, 51–56;  
 across the Process Knowledge  
 Spectrum, 34–38, 257–287; process  
 of, 50–51, 264–265; psychological  
 safety for, 125–131; in routine  
 operations case study (Simmons),  
 258–265; skills of, 14; training for,  
 262–263  
 Teams: design of, 12–13; forming and  
 training, 248; performance, 12–13;  
 static *versus* dynamic, 12–15, 25;  
 synergy of, 11–12, 13; trends in  
 effectiveness of, 25–26; types and  
 uses of, 25. *See also* Cross-  
 functional teams; Interdisciplinary  
 teams; Project teams; Temporary  
 teams; Virtual teams  
 Technological change: boundary  
 spanning and, 78; cycling out  
*versus* rolling out, 239–240; framing  
 and, 293n.2; implementation of, in  
 cardiac surgery team study, 89–95,  
 96–98, 100–101, 104–105, 128,  
 138–139; implementation of, in  
 “Telco” case study, 234–240; and  
 inevitability of failure, 151; pace of,  
 21–22  
 “Telco” case study, 234–240, 254–255  
 Telecommunications industry,  
 233–240  
 Temporary organizations, 51–52  
 Temporary teams: boundary spanning  
 in, 200–201; in emergency rescue  
 operation, 185–193, 205;  
 execution-as-learning in, 222–223;

- framing of change projects and, 89–104; framing of roles in, 96–99; for medical event studies, 275; trend toward, 13–14
- Terrorist attacks, 152, 259
- Tertiary care hospital, 34. *See also* Hospitals
- Thin Book of Appreciative Inquiry, The* (Hammond), 88
- “Three Perspectives on Team Learning” (Edmondson et al.), 304–305n.5
- 3M, 142
- Time and motion studies, 263
- Time pressures, 196–197, 252
- Time zone differences, 204
- “Too Hot to Handle?” (Edmondson and Smith), 292n.17
- Top-down leadership. *See* Command-and-control management
- Total quality management (TQM), 176, 246
- Toyota Motor Company: complacency and quality problems at, 252–253; execution-as-learning at, 223–224, 250, 252–253, 286–287; GM compared to, 20; lean production in, 263; learning from failure at, 156–157, 161; operational diversity of, 36–38, 277; relentless reflection at, 250; supply chain management of, 37–38, 286–287
- Toyota Production System (TPS), 161, 263, 287
- Traffic control facilities, 162
- Training: for change project, 105; in hospital error reporting, 270–271; organizing-to-execute *versus* organizing-to-learn approaches to, 28, 29; professional, 208; team, 60
- Trials: for change implementation, 106, 107; reflection and, 251; unsuccessful, 180, 231. *See also* Experimentation
- Trust: building, for teaming, 262–263; building, in relationship conflict, 73–74; for psychological safety, 118–120, 129; in virtual teams, 204–205
- Tucker, A., 35, 109
- Tversky, A., 88
- 20 Percent Time, at Google, 178–179
- ## U
- Uncertainty: acknowledging, 139, 140; complex adaptive systems and, 22–24; conflict and, 68, 69; corporate giants and, 20–21; diagnosing, 230–233; failure and, 159, 163, 167; in hospitals, 266; learning frame for, 103–104; organizing to learn and, 26; across the Process Knowledge Spectrum, 33, 34–36, 230–233; thriving in the face of, 21–22
- Union Carbide, 21
- Unique information, 195–196
- Unique trials, 251
- Uniroyal, 21
- United Auto Workers, 17
- U.S. Army, 55, 175
- U.S. Department of Defense, 115–116
- U.S. Internal Revenue Service (IRS) call centers, 198–199, 206, 259
- U.S. National Aeronautics and Space Administration. *See* NASA
- U.S. Security and Exchange Commission, 152
- U.S. Steel, 21
- Universities, operational diversity of, 39
- Urzúa, L., 186–187
- ## V
- Values, conflict of, 68, 69, 71–72, 73
- Variety diversity, 197, 200, 217
- Vertical silo organization, in hospitals, 46–47
- Viagra, 164
- Virtual teams, 52, 198–199, 201–205; face-to-face meetings of, 204–205; tacit knowledge and, 207–208

*Vital Lies, Simple Truths* (Goleman), 293n.2

Voice. *See* Speaking up

## W

Washington Suburban Sanitation Commission (WSSC), 248

Waste reduction project, 260–265

Water Cube project, 213, 214–215, 248–249, 277

Watercooler conversation, organizing-to-execute *versus* organizing-to-learn approaches to, 28, 29, 30

Watson, T., Jr., 142

Weick, K., 162–163

Weiss, G., 56

West, M., 128

Whyte, W., 18

Widnall, S., 134–135

Wilson, S., 19

Wiseman, J., 156–157

Work, new way of: execution-as-learning approach to, 30–32, 221–256; failure of traditional model and, 19–22; industrial-era

way *versus*, 15–19, 22, 38–42; leadership for, 38–42; leadership summary on, 42; learning imperative for, 19–24; lessons and actions on, 42–43; organizing-to-learn mindset for, 26–30; Process Knowledge Spectrum of, 32–38; pyramid representation of, 31–32; teaming as key to, 12–43

Work design or structure: operational context differences in, 231; organizing-to-execute *versus* organizing-to-learn approaches to, 28, 29, 30

Workplace safety. *See* Psychological safety

Wyeth, 164–165

## Y

YUM! Brands, 244, 246, 247–248, 252, 258–259

## Z

Zellmer-Bruhn, M., 122

Zero Waste project, 260–265

<http://www.pbookshop.com>

<http://www.pbookshop.com>

<http://www.pbookshop.com>

<http://www.pbookshop.com>