

Contents

| | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|
| <i>Preface</i> | vii |
| <i>Table of Cases</i> | xv |
| <i>Table of Legislation</i> | xxix |
| | |
| INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| | |
| 1 THE ROLE OF COURTS IN THE PROTECTION OF SOCIO-ECONOMIC RIGHTS: INTERNATIONAL AND DOMESTIC PERSPECTIVES | 11 |
| | |
| I. The Indivisibility of Human Rights | 11 |
| A. Understanding Socio-economic Rights as Human Rights | 11 |
| B. Two Faces of Liberty: Conflicting Ideologies of Socio-economic and Civil and Political Rights | 15 |
| C. Socio-economic Rights, Resources and the Negative–Positive Dichotomy | 19 |
| D. A Unified Approach to Human Rights: To ‘Respect, Protect and Promote’ the Rights | 22 |
| E. The Normative Content of Socio-economic Rights: Programmatic Aspirations and the ‘Minimum Core’ | 24 |
| II. The Protection of Socio-economic Rights in Domestic Courts | 26 |
| A. Issues of Justiciability: Achieving Social Justice in the Round? | 26 |
| i. Institutional Competencies | 26 |
| ii. Welfare Politics, Courts and Conflicting Theories of Constitutional Review | 29 |
| B. The Protection of Socio-economic Rights through the Traditional Canon of Civil and Political Rights | 34 |
| C. The Dedicated Pursuit of Social Justice: The South African Model | 39 |
| D. The Enforcement of Socio-economic Rights: Cooperative Dialogue in the South African Constitutional Court? | 42 |
| III. Conclusion | 47 |
| | |
| 2 THE REGIONAL PROTECTION OF SOCIO-ECONOMIC RIGHTS: EUROPE | 49 |
| | |
| I. Introduction | 49 |
| II. The European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR) 1950 | 49 |
| A. Background and Context: The Negative–Positive Dichotomy Revisited | 49 |

x *Contents*

| | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| B. Incremental Development of Positive Obligations in ECHR Rights | 53 |
| C. Methodological Issues: Grafting a Jurisprudence of Positive Obligations onto the ECHR Rights | 59 |
| D. Reconciling the Development of Positive Obligations with the Negative Thrust of the ECHR | 62 |
| E. Theoretical Justifications for Positive Obligations and the Problem of Resources | 64 |
| III. The Protection of Socio-economic Rights in the ECHR | 65 |
| A. Developing Core Values in the ECHR Rights | 65 |
| B. Article 2: A Right to Health Treatment? | 67 |
| C. Article 3: Respect for Human Dignity | 69 |
| D. Article 8: Protecting Physical and Psychological Integrity | 74 |
| E. Article 14: The Equal Distribution of Public Goods? | 79 |
| F. Article 6: Due Process in Public Law Challenges | 82 |
| IV. The Protection of Socio-economic Rights in EC/EU Law | 86 |
| A. The Development of a Doctrine of Fundamental Rights in EC/EU Law | 86 |
| B. The Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union | 90 |
| C. The European Court of Justice (ECJ): Social Solidarity and Access to Public Services in Member States | 94 |
| i. Undue Delay | 96 |
| ii. Article 49 EC Treaty | 100 |
| V. Conclusion | 102 |
| 3 COURTS, THE UK CONSTITUTION AND THE HUMAN RIGHTS ACT 1998 | 105 |
| I. Introduction | 105 |
| II. Reading and Giving Effect to ECHR Rights in UK Courts | 105 |
| A. The Background and Political Context of the Human Rights Act (HRA) 1998 | 105 |
| B. The Purpose and Structure of the HRA | 109 |
| C. General Principles of Constitutional Interpretation in the United Kingdom | 115 |
| D. The Interpretation of Section 3 HRA | 117 |
| E. Deference: The Boundaries of Interpretative Possibility under Section 3 HRA | 120 |
| F. Section 2 HRA and the Scope of ECHR Rights: Taking Account of Strasbourg Jurisprudence | 130 |
| i. <i>Stare Decisis</i> | 136 |
| G. Section 6 HRA: The Duty of Public Authorities to Act Compatibly with the ECHR Rights | 138 |
| I. Human Rights or Economic Liberalism: Contested Interpretations of Section 6(3)(b) HRA | 142 |

Contents xi

| | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| III. Conclusion | 150 |
| 4 JUDICIAL REVIEW: DEFERENCE, RESOURCES AND THE HUMAN RIGHTS ACT | 151 |
| I. Introduction | 151 |
| II. The Constitutional Foundations of Judicial Review | 152 |
| A. Ultra Vires or Rights? | 152 |
| B. The Reception of Human Rights in English Law prior to the HRA | 156 |
| C. Resistance to Human Rights in English Administrative Law | 157 |
| D. Judicial Deference, Resources and the Ultra Vires Paradigm of Review | 162 |
| III. Public Law, Deference and the Human Rights Act | 165 |
| A. The Limits of Judicial Intervention under Section 6 HRA | 165 |
| i. Context and Proportionality: A Bright-line Division in Public Law? | 168 |
| B. Justification, Transparency and Reasons to Defe | 172 |
| C. Deference Embedded: The Artificial Division between Policy and Law | 175 |
| D. Deference in Context: Landlord and Tenant Repossession Cases | 178 |
| E. Deference, the Subject Matter of Disputes and the Nature of the Rights | 187 |
| F. Democracy, Human Rights Values and the 'Unity of Public Law' | 189 |
| IV. Conclusion | 195 |
| 5 FROM NEED TO 'CHOICE' IN PUBLIC SERVICES: THE BOUNDARIES OF JUDICIAL INTERVENTION IN PRIORITISATION DISPUTES | 197 |
| I. Introduction | 197 |
| A. From Need to Choice in NHS and Public Authority Services: The Post-welfare Landscape of the United Kingdom | 200 |
| II. NHS Rationing: The Role of Courts in Disputes over Access to Medical Services | 208 |
| A. The Limits of Judicial Intervention in Health Care Rationing: <i>R v Cambridge Health Authority, ex parte B (Re B)</i> | 208 |
| B. NHS Policies under Scrutiny: Legitimate Interventions in Public Administrative Law | 210 |
| i. Legitimate Expectation: The Meeting of Individual Needs | 211 |
| ii. Irrational Allocation Policies: Distinguishing <i>Re B</i> | 214 |
| C. Choice, Socio-economic Entitlements and EU Law: Challenging the Status Quo | 217 |
| III. Local Authority Resource Allocation Disputes | 220 |
| IV. Interpreting Local Authority Statutory Duties Post-HRA | 225 |
| A. Section 17 Children Act 1989: Accommodating Children and their Families | 225 |

xii *Contents*

| | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| B. Orthodoxy Reasserted: The Retreat from <i>Kujtim?</i> | 230 |
| C. Positive Obligations to Protect the Vulnerable: The Approach in <i>Bernard</i> | 233 |
| D. A Human Rights Approach to Statutory Interpretation: Comparing <i>Bernard</i> and G | 237 |
| V. Conclusion | 239 |
| 6 ARTICLES 3 AND 8 ECHR: FAILURE TO PROVIDE AND POSITIVE OBLIGATIONS IN THE SOCIO-ECONOMIC SPHERE | 241 |
| I. Introduction | 241 |
| II. <i>Anufrijeva</i> , Article 8 ECHR and Maladministration in the Provision of Welfare | 243 |
| A. The Acceptance of the Inadequacy of the Positive–Negative Dichotomy | 244 |
| B. When Does Breach of Public Authority Statutory Duties Constitute Breach of Section 6 HRA? | 246 |
| C. Maintaining the Family Unit: Levels of Culpability and the Failure to Provide | 251 |
| III. Article 3 ECHR: Respect for Dignity | 254 |
| A. No Welfare for the Destitute: The Asylum Seekers’ Story | 254 |
| B. Withdrawing Asylum Support: The Policy Background | 255 |
| C. A Crisis in the Administrative Courts | 257 |
| D. <i>Limbuela</i> : The Court of Appeal | 262 |
| E. <i>Limbuela</i> : The House of Lords Abhors the Subtraction of ECHR Rights | 265 |
| F. Revisiting <i>D v UK: N v Secretary of State for the Home Department</i> and the Limits of State Responsibility to Provide Life-saving Treatment under Article 3 ECHR | 270 |
| IV. Conclusion | 274 |
| 7 ARTICLE 14 ECHR AND THE UNEQUAL DISTRIBUTION OF PUBLIC GOODS AND SERVICES IN THE UNITED KINGDOM | 277 |
| I. Introduction | 277 |
| II. Socio-economic Entitlements and the Limits of Substantive Fairness in Article 14 ECHR | 279 |
| III. Carson’s Case: Refusal of Up-rated Payments to Pensioners Abroad | 283 |
| A. ‘Suspect Categories’, Respect for Persons and ‘Weighty Reasons’ for Review | 284 |
| B. Consistency of Treatment or Substantive Outcome: The Malleable Concept of Equality | 287 |
| IV. Reynolds’ Case: The Intensity of Scrutiny in Other ‘Status Disputes’ | 291 |
| V. Equality and Human Rights | 294 |

Contents xiii

| | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| A. Beyond the <i>Michalak</i> Formula: The Search for a ‘Material and Relevant Difference’ in Article 14 ECHR Disputes | 294 |
| B. Competing Rights and Social Values: A Human Rights Approach | 297 |
| VI. Conclusion | 301 |
| 8 ARTICLE 6 ECHR: JUDICIAL REVIEW, DUE PROCESS AND THE PROTECTION OF SOCIO-ECONOMIC RIGHTS | 303 |
| I. Introduction | 303 |
| II. What is a Civil Right for the Purposes of Article 6 ECHR? | 304 |
| A. The Autonomous Concept of Civil Right in Article 6 ECHR: The Substantive Procedural Dichotomy Revisited | 304 |
| B. <i>R (on the Application of Kehoe) v Secretary of State for Work and Pensions</i> | 307 |
| III. The Quality of Administrative Justice: The Scope of Article 6 ECHR | 311 |
| A. ‘A fair hearing by an independent and impartial tribunal established by law’ | 311 |
| B. Housing Reviews: Independence and the Right to a Full Hearing | 316 |
| C. Civil Rights and Welfare Needs: The House of Lords in <i>Begum</i> | 320 |
| D. Law, Fact and Homelessness Disputes | 322 |
| E. The Standard of Judicial Review in Welfare Needs Disputes | 325 |
| F. The Compatibility of Section 55(10) of the Nationality Immigration and Asylum Act 2002 with Article 6 ECHR | 330 |
| IV. Conclusion | 331 |
| AFTERWORD | 335 |
| Index | |