

Contents

PART ONE: CONSTITUTIONALISM BEYOND THE STATE

| | |
|--|----|
| 1: Constitutionalism in an Age of Globalization | 1 |
| Rights Constitutionalism and the Globalization of Liberal Legalism | 4 |
| Globalization and the Empirical Challenge of Private Power | 7 |
| Globalization and the Epistemological Challenge of Legal Pluralism | 10 |
| A New Dynamic: Rights Constitutionalism, Globalization and Legal Pluralism | 12 |
| 2: Globalization and the Reconfiguration of Political Power | 17 |
| The Washington Consensus and the Changing Role of the State | 18 |
| Global Corporate Power? | 22 |
| (a) Quantitative analyses of corporate Power | 23 |
| (b) Qualitative analyses of corporate power | 25 |
| (i) The state-corporate nexus | 26 |
| (ii) The corporate-state nexus | 28 |
| (iii) The constitutional framework of the global economy | 29 |
| (c) Global corporate power in practice | 30 |
| The Challenge for Rights Constitutionalism | 33 |

PART TWO: RIGHTS CONSTITUTIONALISM AND THE CHALLENGE OF LEGAL PLURALISM

| | |
|---|----|
| 3: The Paradigmatic Debate: Liberal Legalism and Legal Pluralism | 39 |
| Liberal Legalism | 40 |
| Legal Pluralism | 44 |
| (a) Legal pluralism and the challenge to legal centralism | 45 |
| (b) External legal pluralism and the multiplicity of law | 50 |
| (c) Internal legal pluralism and the incoherence of law | 54 |
| Conclusion | 58 |
| 4: Internal Legal Pluralism and the Interpretive Question | 59 |
| Ronald Dworkin's Law as Integrity | 60 |
| (a) Law as integrity and Dworkin's constitutional theory | 61 |
| (b) Problems with implementing law as integrity | 64 |

| | |
|--|------------|
| The Problem of Internal Legal Pluralism | 66 |
| (a) Internal legal pluralism and constitutional theory | 68 |
| (b) Internal legal pluralism and constitutional practice | 70 |
| Conclusion | 77 |
| 5: External Legal Pluralism and the Instrumental Question | 79 |
| Race and Civil Rights | 80 |
| (a) ‘Top-down’ approaches | 81 |
| (b) ‘Bottom-up’ approaches | 83 |
| (c) Race relations and internormativity | 84 |
| Abortion | 85 |
| (a) Assessing direct effects | 87 |
| (b) Indirect effects | 89 |
| Freedom of Expression and Political Libels | 91 |
| Conclusion | 95 |
| | |
| PART THREE: CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS IN AN AGE OF GLOBALIZATION TOWARDS A LEGAL PLURALIST THEORY OF CONSTITUTIONALISM | |
| 6: Legal Pluralism and the Politics of Constitutional Definition | 99 |
| Legal Pluralism and the Politics of Definition | 100 |
| (a) Analytical and instrumental objections to legal pluralism | 100 |
| (b) Towards a rhetorical conception of legal knowledge | 102 |
| (c) The analytical and instrumental objections in context | 105 |
| The Politics of Definition of Constitutional Law | 107 |
| (a) Classical liberal constitutionalism | 108 |
| (i) Protecting private power through the politics of definition | 110 |
| (b) ‘New constitutionalism’ | 113 |
| (i) Problematising private power through the politics of definition | 114 |
| Conclusion | 115 |
| 7: Rights Constitutionalism and the Counterhegemonic Difficulty | 119 |
| Constitutional Globalization in Political Context | 120 |
| (a) Modern constitutionalism as limitations on the state | 123 |
| Remaking Rights Constitutionalism? | 126 |
| (a) The Application to State Institutions (ASI) model | 126 |
| (i) Extending the reach of constitutional rights? | 128 |
| (ii) The politics of adjudication: pluralist v classical liberalism | 130 |
| (iii) The politics of definition: the enduring hold of classical liberalism | 133 |

| | |
|--|------------|
| (b) Application to Law (LAW) model | 136 |
| (i) Expanding the scope of constitutional rights? | 137 |
| (ii) The counterhegemonic limits of the LAW model | 140 |
| Conclusion | 142 |
| Conclusion: Towards a Legal Pluralist Constitutionalism | 145 |

<http://www.pbookshop.com>