

Contents

<i>Acknowledgements</i>	vii
<i>Table of Cases (Miscellaneous)</i>	xv
I. Introduction and Some Historiography	1
II. The “Good Offices” Framework for Secretariat Action	5
III. Brief Historical Background	13
The decision to open EU accession negotiations with Cyprus	16
Cyprus’s progress towards EU membership triggers talks	18
IV. The Purported Negotiations from December 1999 to March 2003	23
The Special Adviser’s new role	24
Greek Cypriot concessions indicated to the UN	25
V. Annan I and Annan II	33
Annan I	33
Annan II	37
Political changes in Turkey	39
VI. Factors Encouraging a Secretariat Belief That it Could Shape a Settlement	41
Greek Cypriot attitudes	41
The Greek Cypriot leadership’s attitude at the time of the Copenhagen Summit	41
The Republic of Turkey’s attitude	45
Turkish Cypriot attitudes and their development	47
Attitudes of the USA and her allies	48
Attitudes of the Hellenic Republic	55
Attitudes of the EU Commission	56
VII. The Next “Deadline” for Imposing a Settlement and Annan III	61
Annan III ¼	63
VIII. The Questions of Turkish Settlers	67
Settlers who could remain in Cyprus under the Plan	67
Future flows of settlers from Turkey and Greece	72
Settlers and the referenda	74

IX. The Secretariat’s Handiwork and Presentational Tactics	81
Absence of direct negotiations between the sides and the UN concoction of the Plan	81
UN assertions about the character of the Plan	82
The UN’s concealment of Turkey’s initial failure to commit herself to signing the new security treaty into force	84
UN mischaracterisation of the Plan	86
UN obfuscations	86
“What I tell you three times is true”	88
Which side really made compromises?	90
The Secretary-General’s partial sightedness	91
X. Renewed “Negotiations” from February–April 2004	95
The involvement of the EU	97
The involvement of the USA	99
The February 2004 New York meetings	100
The United Kingdom’s attitude	102
The scope of the Secretary-General’s discretion to “finalise”	103
XI. The Nicosia Phase (19 February–22 March 2004) and Mr De Soto’s tactics	107
A. Negotiations in the Technical Committees	107
Financial aspects of the Plan	107
Federal Laws	108
Federal “treaties”	109
The SBAs and guarding UK “rights”	111
Last minute major changes to laws	112
B. Negotiations in Nicosia on the Political Level	113
Proposals by the Turkish Cypriot side	113
Mr de Soto’s Nicosia tactics	115
The Report’s misdescription of events in Nicosia	117
XII. The Bürgenstock Meetings–Phases 2 and 3	121
The UN and the USA displace Mr. Denktash as “leader of the Turkish Cypriot community”	121
Pressures by the Special Adviser on the Greek Cypriot side to prioritise	124
The Special Adviser’s attempts to commit the Greek Cypriot side to his Plan	126
The presentation of Annan IV on 29 March 2004	129

XIII. “Finalisation” of Annan V by the Secretary-General and the Preceding Phoney Consultation	131
Phase 3 begins	131
Changes regarded as in part positive by the Greek Cypriot side	131
A shattering of Greek Cypriot illusions	135
Attitudes at Bürgenstock	136
The “plonking down” of Annan V and the Secretary-General’s usurpation of an unfettered discretion	141
The UN’s presentation of the Plan to the international community	143
XIV. The Greek Cypriot Side’s Analysis of the Real Effects of the Plan	145
Concerns about guarantees for implementation of Turkey’s obligations	146
Concern about Turkey’s claim to a unilateral right of military intervention in Cyprus	147
The permanent stationing of Turkish troops in Cyprus	153
Concerns about functionality in the economic and fiscal sphere	154
Concerns about restrictions on the right of return of displaced Greek Cypriots and about limited restitution of Greek Cypriot-owned property	157
Concerns about the Turkish settler questions: most Turkish settlers could remain in Cyprus and many more could come in future	157
Benefits accorded Turkey by the Plan	158
“Improvements largely inspired by Turkish Cypriot concerns”	160
Provisions of the plan which remained unchanged	162
XV. The Right of Displaced Persons to Return to Their Homes in Safety and the Right to Property	163
The Secretary-General’s general approach to property issues	163
Unlinking of property and the right to resume residence: a double barrier for both rights	165
Serious problems requiring serious remedies	171
Displaced persons and Turkish migrants	172
Properties affected	174
Principles and provisions adopted by the UN regarding return of displaced persons and property restitution	179
The Plan had become a “Property Developers’ Charter”	187
There was no freezing of development pending reinstatement by the Property Board of owners	192
Recent Turkish moves to portray property transfers in Cyprus not as confiscations but merely as possessory rights	194

An EU side-wind protection for dispossessed owners	195
Human rights and humanitarian law aspects	196
XVI. The Question Faced in the Referenda and the Run-Up	199
Remaining pre-referendum tasks in Nicosia and the referendum campaign in the Government-controlled area	200
Finalization of Laws	200
Commitments by the Guarantor Powers to sign the new treaty into force	200
Problems about protection of Republic of Cyprus public servants' accrued rights	201
UN and other attempts to influence the Greek Cypriot public's perceptions of the Plan and UN criticism of the political process in the Government-controlled area	202
The results in the two referenda and the exaggerated presentation of the outcome	216
The Secretary-General's reaction	218
XVII. Reasons which Swayed a Large Majority of Greek Cypriot Voters to Reject the Plan	221
A Plan in foreign interests	221
A foreign-imposed Plan	222
Denial of Cyprus's independence as a State	222
Security risks	223
Turkey's claims to a right of unilateral military intervention in Cyprus and the scope of its application	223
Large numbers of Turkish troops to remain in Cyprus after the settlement	223
An inadequate UN mandate, designed not for peace-keeping but for mere monitoring	224
Withdrawals of Turkish troops and effecting of territorial transfers dependent on Turkey's benevolence and honour	224
Stationing of a number of Turkish troops in Cyprus in perpetuity	224
Legitimation of the British Sovereign Base Areas in perpetuity	225
Lack of enforcement provisions to ensure implementation of Turkey's promises to restore territory and to return homes of refugees	226
The great majority of Turkish settlers could remain in Cyprus	227
Further large flows of Turkish settlers which would be without effective limit after Turkey's EU membership	228
Legitimation of ethnic cleansing and absolute denial of the right of return of nearly half the refugees, plus major impediments to return of those permitted to go home	228

Unfairness and injustice of the takings of Greek Cypriot property an affront to Greek Cypriot self-respect	229
Fears about the financial burdens the settlement would impose on Greek Cypriots	231
Concerns that the complex governmental arrangements were unworkable	232
Fears of Turkish informal control and political intervention leading to collapse of the UCR	234
Some scepticism about any possibility of cooperation between Greeks and Turks in Cyprus	234
No provisions to deal with the situation if the risky settlement Plan collapsed after the Republic of Cyprus had been extinguished	235
Difficult political choices faced by all Greek Cypriots	236
XVIII. Lessons and the Future	239
Lessons as regards attitudes towards the UN Secretariat	239
The future	240
What was lost by rejection of the de Soto “blueprint”	243
New opportunities for cooperation in an EU context	248
Potential developments	252
Appendices	
1. The Final Points Conveyed to Mr de Soto by Ambassador Ziyal on 26 March 2004	259
2. “Initial Reaction and Proposal for Trade-offs of the Greek Cypriot side on the United Nations Revised Plan of 29 March 2004,” 30 March 2004	261
3. Letter, President-Elect Mr Tassos Papadopoulos to the Secretary-General, 28 February 2003	265
4. Table E – Villages predominantly occupied by Turkish settlers in 1996 (over 80%) and the referendum result in 2004	271
5. Cyprus Map showing current cease-fire lines and UK Sovereign Base Area boundaries, compiled by Andreas Hadjiraftis, 8 March 2005	273
6. Table F – Developments from Annan I through II, III and V accompanied by UN assurances that the overall balance of the Plan was being preserved	275
7. An agenda for discussion	315
8. Vienna III and the alleged “Exchange of Populations Agreement 1975”	323
9. Security Council and General Assembly Resolutions, High Level Agreements, Communiqués and New York Arrangements 2004	331
<i>Index of Illustrations</i>	355
<i>Index</i>	375