INDEX

Page reference followed by fig indicates an illustrated figure; followed by t indicates a table.

Α

Able-to qualities: assessing whole candidate's, 165fig, 166; assessment tools for tapping, 170fig; different jobs calling for focus on, 167fig

Accident/injuries rates payoff: hiring practices for, 58, 60; measures for tracking, 47, 56, 58; for specific job positions, 59t

Accomplishment record datagathering format, 155fig

Adverse impact: analyses of, 100fig; Connecticut v. Teal decision on, 229; description of, 222

Affirmative action plan (AAP), 159 Affirmative action plan integration tools, 100fig -101

Age Discrimination in Employment Act, 222

Americans with Disabilities Act (1990, revised 2008), 27, 175, 222 Aon Consulting, 125

Appliance retail sales candidates, 177–179

Applicant flow analyses, 100fig Applicant tracking system (ATS): affirmative action plan tracked by, 100fig–101; applied to final hiring decisions, 208–209; automating employee onboarding using, 246, 248; a continuous improvement tool, 103; extracting résumé information using, 142, 144; full-se de assessment using the, 96; Internet Applicant Recordkeeping Rule governing use of, 145–148, 152; job definition and candidate sourcing using the, 89fig, 91, 139; onboarding and retention using the, 97–98fig, 99; performance tracked using, 99; setting up forecasting models using, 159–160. See also Candidate sourcing; Monitoring; Technology-based tools; Tracking

Assessing whole candidates: able-to qualities, 165fig, 166–167fig, 170fig; can-do qualities, 4–5, 54, 165fig, 166–167fig, 170fig; caution about areas prohibited by the ADA, 175; caution regarding using vendors for, 188–191; for computer sales, customer, and technical support, 179–180; deciding what to assess, 164–169; done-that qualities, 165fig, 166–167fig, 170fig; for electronics and appliance retail sales, 177–179; estimating payoff through process of, 185–188; knowing when enough is enough,

181–185; light industrial workers, 176–177; for light industrial workers, 176–177; for light industrial workers, 176–177; million-dollar hires by thorough, 264–265; payoff with increasing levels of validity, 186t–188; personality traits, 6–7, 209–216; predicting performance through, 191; for professional services firm account managers, 180–181; reducing legal risk when, 235–236; thinking through the design of process for, 184fig–185; will-do qualities, 5–6, 54, 165fig, 166–167fig, 170fig. See also Candidate screening

Assessment tools: average validity of combined techniques, 182fig; average validity for specific, 173fig; conscientiousness tests, 174, 175; general mental ability (g), 174–175; integrity tests, 174, 175; overview of validity of, 172–175; overview of whole candidate, 169–181; physical ability, 175; used for specific job candidates, 176–181; validity of how tools are used, 195–196. See also Technology-based tools

В

Barings PLC failure, 3
Basic qualification review, 150fig
Burkholder, N. C., 138
Buros Institute, 11

C

C-level executives: COO failure to report for work, 243–244; model characteristics for evaluating, 214t–216; multiple-hurdles models used to hire, 198, 207, 226; personality and behavior traits associated with failed, 211–216; recruiting collaboration of HR with, 109, 116–117; recruiting and hiring strategy questions for, 109. See also Managers

Cairo, P. C., 211, 212, 213

Can-do qualities: assessing whole candidate's, 165fig, 166; assessment tools for tapping, 170fig; description of, 4–5; different jobs calling for focus on, 167fig; reducing turnover rates by reviewing, 54

Candidate information: basic principles of combining, 196–206; compensatory approach to using, 198–200, 207–208; hiring decision based on valid, 194–196; hybrid approach to using, 200; legal challenge related to use of, 225–227; multiple-hurdles approach to using, 196–198, 207, 226; personality and behavior traits, 211–216

Candidate screening: errors even when using valid, 41fig; full-scale assessment, 88fig, 94-97; important points about discrimination for, 146–148; Internet Applicant Recordkeeping Rule governing, 145–148, 152; invalid screening failing to predict performance of, 21–23, 73fig; million-dollar hire by accurate, 263-264; predicting job performance of valid candidate, 20fig-21; prescreening, 91-94, 149–158, 232–234; reducing legal risk during prescreening stage, 232-234; search technology used during, 89fig, 90–91, 92–93, 141–144; selectivity of, 75–76; setting qualifying standards through valid, 39fig-40; validity and selectivity of, 75-76. See also Assessing whole candidates

Candidate sourcing: early use of Internet for, 88; important points about discrimination for, 146–148; Internet Applicant Recordkeeping Rule governing, 145–148, 152; Internet and social networking tools for, 88–89fig, 90, 138–141;

job-defining qualifications and competencies, 129-138; million-dollar hires by broad, 263–264; reducing legal risk at stage of, 232–234; résumé search technology for, 89fig, 90-91, 141-144; various technology-based tools for job-defining and, 89fig-90. See also Applicant tracking system (ATS) Candidates: differences in job qualifications of, 12fig-13; matching job description to résumés of, 13-14fig, 15, 54; predicting performance of, 18–25, 28, 72–79, 191; prescreening, 91-94, 141-161; validity of aligning performance and information on, 72-79. See also Competencies; Hiring; New hires; People differences careerbuilder.com, 88, 245 Chief financial officers (CFOs) performance variability, 10 Civil Rights Act (1866), 223 Civil Rights Act (1964), 26 Civil Rights Act (1991 amendment) 27 Civil Rights Act Title VII, 221, 222, 230fig-231 Commission-based compensation, 115 Comparative review 150fig Compensation strategies: attaching dollar value to performance, 65–67fig; commission based, 115;

40 percent rule of thumb on, 66-68,

75; implementation of, 108–109

Compensatory hiring decision model,

166–167fig, 170fig; defining needed,

165fig, 166–167fig, 170fig; example

Competencies: able-to qualities, 165fig, 166–167fig, 170fig; can-do

qualities, 4–5, 54, 165fig,

129-138; done-that qualities,

of organization-wide, 136fig;

more-is-better qualities, 6, 209;

198-200, 207-208

more-is-worse qualities, 6–7, 209–210, 211–212t; prescreening candidate, 91-94, 149-158; sales executive, 132fig-133; setting the bar on, 201fig-206; supervisor, manager, and group manager, 134fig-135fig; will-do qualities, 5-6, 54, 165fig, 166–167fig, 170fig. See also Candidates; Job qualifications Competency models: example of organization-wide, 136fig; example of sales executive, 132fig-133; extended to cover multiple jobs, 133–135fig; hiring and recruiting use of, 129-138; technology-based tools used to create, 89fig Computer sales candidates, 179–180 Connecticut v. Teal, 229 Conscientiousness tests, 174, 175 Continuous improvement: looking for weak spots/opportunities for, 2 19/1g-254; million-dollar hires through, 265–266; as never ending process, 257; principles of, 28; role of technology in, 88fig, 102-104 Cornell University Math Department, Corporate Executive Board, 210, 244 Customer support candidates, 179 - 180

D

Dark side characteristics: description of, 211–212t; for evaluating leaders, 214t; HDS (Hogan Development Survey) assessment of, 212–216; HDS profile with risks of, 215fig–216
Derailed managers concept, 33
Discrimination: adverse impact as, 100fig, 222, 229; caution on using social networking sites, 140–141; disparate treatment as, 221–222, 227; 80 percent rule and, 228–229, 239–240; hiring challenges related

to, 224–232; important points for candidate sourcing/prescreening, 146-148; state/federal agencies enforcing issues of, 26–28, 144–146, 220, 222-223; validity concept for limiting challenges to, 225. See also Legal compliance Disparate treatment, 221–222, 227 DMAIC (Define-Measure-Analyze-Improve-Control), 17 DOJ (U.S. Department of Justice), 206 Dollar-value formula. See Hiring payoff dollar-value formula Done-that qualities: assessing whole candidate's, 165fig, 166; assessment tools for tapping, 170fig; different jobs calling for focus on, 167fig Dotlich, D. L., 211, 212, 213 Dysfunctional turnover, 51–52

E

Edwards, P. J., Jr., 138 80 percent rule, 228-229, 239-240 Electrician apprentice candidates, 167fig, 168 Electronics retail sales candidates 177-179 "Employment Risk Management" (Jones and Sharf), 220 Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EFCC), 26, 144, 145, 222–223

Equal employment statutes: Age Discrimination in Employment Act, 222; Americans with Disabilities Act (1990, revised 2008), 27, 175, 222; avoiding job candidate, 25–28; Civil Rights Act (1866), 223; Civil Rights Act (1964), 26; Civil Rights Act (1991 amendment), 27; Civil Rights Act Title VII, 221, 222, 230fig-231; executive order 11246 (1965), 222; prescreening process compliance with, 152, 155; state/federal agencies enforcing issues of, 26-28

Event-based outsourcing solutions, 123fig-124 Excel skills, 96 ExecuNet, 138 Executive order 11246 (1965), 222 Expanded qualifications review, 150fig

Facebook, 88, 90, 139, 140 15 percent of top performers: performance measure of, 66-68; valid hiring practices and, 76 Financial costs: of bottom performers, 69-72; of inefficient hiring practices, 2-3, 33, 246-247; of turnover, 52; of year's increased hiring program administration, 76 Financial payof: of improved performance, 82–84; of low turnover, 75; questions to help judge recruiting/hiring, 81–82 Follow-up evaluation, 252–253fig Forecasting models: ATS databases used to build, 159-160; predicting job performance, 18–25, 28, 72–79 40 percent rule of thumb: employee performance and, 66-68; valid hiring practices and, 75, 76 Full-scale assessment: hiring funnel stage of, 88fig; technology-based tools used for, 94-97

G

g (general mental ability) assessment, 174-175 General Electric, 71 Generation Y, 244 Gladwell, M., 4 Group manager competency model, 134fig-135fig

H

HireCompliance, 219, 232 Hiring: key steps in million-dollar, 260–266; using outside vendors for, 48, 104, 122–126, 160, 188–191; rates during prerecession and recession, 2. *See also* Candidates; New hires; Return-on-investment (RPO)

Hiring decisions: compensatory approach to, 198-200, 207-208; considering personality traits as part of, 211-216; hybrid approach to, 200; improving the odds of making the, 34-35; legal compliance through consistency of, 216–217; materiality model of, 32–34; more-is-better concept and, 6, 209; more-is-worse model considered for, 6-7, 209-210, 211-212t; multiplehurdles approach to, 196–198, 207, 226; payoff for good, 47-84; putting the principles at work for, 206–209; reducing legal risk during stage of, 236–238; research on failure of, 210–211; retention for validating the, 255-257; setting the bar on qualifications for, 201fig-206; Uniform Guidelines on Employee Selection Procedures on, 26–27 189, 228; valid and correctly used candidate data as key to 194-196. See also Legal compliance; Million-dollar hires; Recruiting; Validity model

Hiring funnel: andidate prescreening stage, 88fig, 91–94; candidate sourcing stage, 88fig–91; continuous improvement stage, 28, 88fig, 102–104; full-scale assessment stage, 88fig, 94–97; legal compliance stage, 26–28, 88fig, 100fig–102; onboarding and retention stage, 88fig, 97–99; role of technology during stages of, 88fig. See also specific stage

Hiring mistakes: even when using validity model, 41fig; financial consequences of, 2–3, 33–34, 246–247; raising the bar resulting

in different kinds of, 202fig–203. *See also* Turnover

Hiring payoff dollar-value formula: analysis of results, 77–79; assessing whole candidate process and, 185–188; assumptions used for, 76–77; description of, 74, 77; proven evidence of accuracy of, 79–80; terminology used in, 74–76

Hiring payoff measures: blending validity concept with, 72–79; formula for computing improved validity and, 74–80; improving sales results, 47–51; increasing production, 47, 55–56, 57t; lowering accident and injury rates, 56, 58–60; objective metrics used for, 46–47; reducing turnover, 47, 51–55; reviewing hiring practices for, 60–61; simple approach to estimating, 80–81. See also Job performance payoff measures; Payoffs

Hiring practices: adding even more value to valid, 81–84; continuous improvement principles applied to, 28; hidden costs associated with, 2–3, 33, 246–247; how technology has changed process of, 86–88fig; materiality concept for, 32–34; Uniform Guidelines on Employee Selection Procedures on, 26–27, 189, 228. See also Legal compliance; Thinking models

Hiring programs: average new hire tenure, 75; calculating hiring payoff dollar-value formula of new, 72–80, 185–188; candidate screening selectivity of, 75–76; fundamental questions for framing, 81–82; increase in validity of, 75; net dollar value gain for year's hires, 74; recouping investment with first million-dollar hire, 266–267; year's increased cost of administrating, 76; year's number of new hires, 75

Hiring strategies: choosing, 111–117; conducting ROI (return-oninvestment) review of, 126–128; controlling legal risks of, 121–122; decision to outsource or not, 122-126; tactical plan for implementing, 118fig-120fig, 184fig-185, 261; three elements of, 112fig; three tactical elements for accomplishing, 118fig. See also Strategies Hogan Business Reasoning Inventory (HBRI), 213-214 Hogan Development Survey (HDS), 212-216 Hogan Personality Inventory (HPI), 213-214 HR information system (HRIS), 248 Human resources (HR): C-level executive recruiting collaborating with, 109, 116-117; changing paper-intense role of, 86–87; compensation strategy role of, 108; competency models used by, 133, 137; eliminating bottom 10 percent of performers by, 71, 82; follow-up evaluation for group of new hires by, 253fig; legal compliance role of, 27, 122, 190; New Hire Performance Check-Up by, 249fig-251; onboarding process by, 88fig, 97–99, 242–246, 248; outcourcing decision role of, 122, 123, 125; predicting performance role of, 18; prescreening role by, 149, 158, 235; recruiting and hiring practices analysis by, 79; recruiting strategy and tactics role by, 127-128; reducing turnover efforts by, 52; Six Sigma training by, 17, 23, 255; technology-based tools used by, 87–94, 98, 103, 104, 105; thinking models used by, 33, 42; traditional ways of receiving paper résumés by, 86; "what-if" review by, 254 Hunter, J. E., 171, 172, 173, 182 Hybrid hiring decision model, 200

Ι

IDC, 246 If-then review, 101 Improving sales results. See Sales results payoff Increase in program's validity, 75 Increasing production. See Production rates payoff Integrity tests, 174, 175 Internet Applicant Recordkeeping Rule, 145–148, 152 Internet interview candidate report: basic qualifications—red flag questions, 151fig; competency fit questions, 154fig; job fit questions, 153fig Investors. See Return-on-investment (ROI)

J

Job boards, 89fig Job description: matching candidate résumés to, 13–14fig, 15, 54; Web-based approach to posting, 54 Job performance: ATS (applicant tracking system) monitoring, 99; differences in qualifications for, 12fig-15; economic value of, 70fig; looking for weak spots/ opportunities for improvement, 249fig-254; New Hire Performance Check-Up, 249fig-251; people differences translating to differences in, 7–11; predicting, 18–25, 28, 72-79, 191; research on new hires and, 82; top versus bottom, 69–72. See also Applicant tracking system (ATS)

Job performance payoff measures: another way of thinking about, 64–68; attaching compensation dollar value to, 65–67fig; cost of bottom performers to, 69–72; 40 percent rule of thumb, 66–68, 75; simple approach to estimating, 80–81; soft performance numbers for, 64–69; supervisory rating as, 64–65fig; value of top performers to, 69. See also Hiring payoff measures Job performance prediction: assessing whole candidate as part of, 191; financial payoff of, 23–25; invalid screening process as failing, 21–23, 73fig; issues involved in, 18–23; valid hiring process success in, 73fig; validity concept of, 19–21, 28, 72–79

Job performance variability: CFOs (chief financial officers) and, 10; in highly engineered job, 8fig–9; in more complex jobs, 9fig–10; in quality or quantity of performance, 10–11

Job qualifications: defining needed, 129–138; differences in, 12–15; Interview Evaluation of Sales Skills measuring, 14fig; matching résumé and, 13–14fig, 15; Mechanical Comprehension Test measuring, 12fig; setting the bar on, 201fig–206 See also Competencies

L

Legal compliance: Age Discrimination in Employment Act, 222; Americans with Disabilities Act (1990, revised 2008), 27, 175, 222; Civil Rights Act (1866), 223; Civil Rights Act (1964), 26; Civil Rights Act (1991 amendment), 27; Civil Rights Act Title VII, 221, 222, 230fig-231; consistency of hiring decisions for, 216-217; controlling risks of, 121–122; disparate treatment challenge to, 221–222, 227; 80 percent rule, 228-229, 239–240; equal employment statutes, 26-28; Executive order 11246 (1965), 222; hiring challenges related to, 224-232; human resources (HR) role in, 27, 122, 190; if-then review of, 101;

Internet Applicant Recordkeeping Rule, 145–148, 152; role of technology in, 88fig, 100fig–102; state/federal agencies enforcing issues of, 26–28, 144–146, 220, 222–223; validity concept for limiting challenges to, 225. See also Discrimination; Hiring decisions; Hiring practices

Legal risk: adverse impact, 100fig, 222, 229; background on the possibility of, 220–224; million-dollar hires through managing, 265; where things can go wrong, 224–232

Legal risk-reduction: at the assessment stage, 235–236; four basic principles for, 238–239; million-dollar hires through, 265; planning for successful, 239–240; reducing at the decision stage, 236–238; reducing at the sourcing and prescreening stages, 232–234

Light industrial workers candidates, 176–177

LinkedIn, 90, 139, 141 Long-term care attendant candidate assessment, 167fig, 168

M

Managers: candidate assessment of sales, 167fig, 168; competency models for, 134fig–135fig; derailed, 33; recruiting and hiring strategy questions for, 109–110; sales executive competencies model on sale, 132fig–133. See also C-level executives

Materiality model, 32–34 Mechanical Comprehension Test, 12fig

Microsoft Word skills, 96 Millennials, 244

Million-dollar hires: step 1: accept the facts, 260–261; step 2: have a plan, 261; step 3: demand validity, 262; step 4: inject technology, 262–263;

step 5: source broadly and screen accurately, 263-264; step 6: assess thoroughly, 264-265; step 7: manage the risk, 265; step 8: improve continuously, 265–266. See also Hiring decisions million-dollarhire.com, 268 Monitoring: accident/injuries rates payoff, 47, 56, 58; applied to final hiring decisions, 208-209; ATS (applicant tracking system) for onboarding, 246, 248; ATS (applicant tracking system) for performance, 99; dashboard for, 118–119; recruiting dashboards created by vendors for, 160. See also Applicant tracking system (ATS) monster.com, 88 More-is-better qualities, 6, 209 More-is-worse qualities, 6-7, 209-210, 211-212t Multiple-hurdles hiring model, 196-198, 207, 226 MySpace, 88, 90, 139

N Net dollar value gain for year's hires,

New businesses: analysis of failed, 1–2;

Net interview reports comparison,

drivers of, 1

New Hire Performance Check-Up, 249fig–251

New hires: example of follow-up evaluation for, 252–253fig; follow-up evaluation for group of, 253fig; following up on, 247–249; formula for calculating payoff dollar-value of, 74–80, 185–188; looking for weak spots/ opportunities for improvement, 249fig–254; onboarding process for, 88fig, 97–99, 242–247; performance research on, 82; predicting performance of, 18–25, 28, 72–79;

return-on-investment model on, 247; validating the hiring process of, 255–257. *See also* Candidates; Hiring; Retention

0Office of Federal Contract Compliance Programs (OFCCP), 26, 27, 144, 145–146, 148, 223, 231 Office of Personnel Management (OPM), 144 On Staffing (Burkholder, Edwards, and Sartain), 138 Onboarding: ATS (applicant tracking system) used for, 246, 248; reducing turnover risk through, 242–247; technology-based tools used for, 88fig, 97-99, 245-246; The Ten Commandments of Employee Onboarting on, 245 Ongoing program validation analysis, 100tig Outliers, 4 Overall outsourcing solutions, 123fig-124

P Payoffs: assessing whole candidate for

estimating, 185–188; financial, 75, 81–84; hiring payoff dollar-value formula for, 74–80, 185–188; job performance measures, 64-72, 75, 80-81; recouping investment with first million-dollar hire, 266–267; sales results, 46t, 47–48, 50–51; turnover rates, 47, 51–55, 113–116. See also Hiring payoff measures People differences: acknowledging the reality of, 3-4; can-do qualities and, 4-5; making million-dollar hires by accepting facts of, 260; measuring, 11–15; more-is-worse qualities, 6–7, 211–212t; translating to performance differences, 7–11; will-do qualities and, 5-6. See also Candidates

Performance. See Job performance
Personality assessment: HBRI (Hogan
Business Reasoning Inventory),
213–214; HDS (Hogan
Development Survey), 212–216;
HPI (Hogan Personality Inventory),
213–214; more-is-better qualities, 6,
209; more-is-worse qualities, 6–7,
209–210, 211–212t; 360-degree
feedback for, 212

Personality/behavior traits: associated with more-is-worse derailers, 211; dark side characteristics, 211–212t, 214t–216

Physical ability assessment technique, 175

PowerPoint skills, 96

Predicting performance: assessing whole candidate as part of, 191; financial payoff of, 23–25; invalid screening process as failing, 21–23, 73fig; issues involved in, 18–23; valid hiring process success in, 73fig; validity concept of, 19–21, 28, 72–79

Prescreening candidates:
accomplishment record dategathering format for, 155fig; basic
qualifications review for, 150fig;
comparative review for, 150fig;
expanded qualifications review for,
150fig; forecasting models for,
159–160; Internet interview
candidate report for, 151fig, 153fig,
154fig; issues related to, 149; net
interview reports comparison for,
157fig; reducing legal risk during
stage of, 232–234; technology-based
tools used for, 91–94, 149–158; tips
for taking a review for, 158–161

Process improvement: continuous improvement principles applied to, 28; traditional techniques used for, 17–18, 23

Production rates payoff: hiring practice for, 55–56; measurements

of, 47, 55; for specific job positions, 57*t*

Professional services firm account manager candidates, 180–181 Program. See Hiring program

R

Recession (2008–2009), 2

Recruiting: adding even more value to valid, 81-84; C-level executive and HR collaboration for, 109, 116–117; errors made even when using valid, 41fig; fundamental questions for framing, 81-82; hidden costs associated with, 33; holding RPO (recruitment process outsourcing) review of, 126-128; how technology has changed process of, 86–88fig relationship between validity and payoff when, 72–79; Uniform Guidelines on Employee Selection Procedures on, 26–27, 189, 228. See also Hiring decisions Recruiting strategies: choosing, 111-117; controlling legal risks of, 121-122; decision to outsource or not, 122-126; tactical plan for implementing, 118–120fig, 184fig-185; three elements of, 112fig; three tactical elements for accomplishing, 118fig Recruitment process outsourcing

Recruitment process outsourcing (RPO) providers: candidate sourcing reliance on, 139; decision to use, 122–126; levels in outsourcing process, 123fig–124

Reducing turnover. See Turnover rates payoff

Restrac, 142

Résumés: Internet Applicant Recordkeeping Rule on reviewing, 146–148, 152; matching job descriptions to candidate, 13–14fig, 15, 54; search technology for, 89fig, 90–91, 92–93, 141–144; traditional ways of receiving paper, 86; turnover rates reduced through review of, 54

Resumix, 142

Retention: continuous improvement as strategy for, 28, 88fig, 102–104, 257; following up on new hires, 247–249; looking for weak spots and improvement opportunities, 249fig–254; onboarding process facilitating, 88fig, 97–99, 242–247; technology-based tools driving, 88fig, 97–99; validating your hiring process for, 255–257. See also New hires; Turnover

Return-on-investment (ROI): conducting review of, 126–128; hiring payoff dollar-value formula for, 74–80, 185–188; keeping the ideas flowing for hires for, 267–269; recouped with first million-dollar hire, 266–267; recruiting and hiring strategy questions for, 110. See also Hiring

Ricci case (2009), 26

Risk management: consistency in hiring decision as, 216–217; legal compliance as part of, 121–127; million-dollar hires through, 265; tactical plan as part of, 117–120fig Ross, J., 219–220, 232

C

Sales managers: candidate assessment of, 167fig, 168; sales executive competencies model, 132fig–133
Sales results payoff: description and measurements of, 47; of improving, 47–48, 50–51; for specific job positions, 46t; validity used for additive payoffs, 50
Sartain, L., 138
Schmidt, F. L., 171, 172, 173, 182
Screening. See Candidate screening
Search technology: screening résumés using, 89fig, 90–91, 92–93; ways of efficiently using, 141–144

Setting the bar: approaches to standards-setting process of, 203-205; different kinds of errors by raising the, 202fig-203; expected performance level/raising the qualifications bar, 201fig-202; hypothetical approach to qualification standard, 205fig-206 The Seventeenth Mental Measurements Yearbook (Buros Institute), 11 Seyfarth Shaw LLP, 219 Sharf, J., 220, 223 Six Sigma, 17, 23, 255 Skills test battery, 37fig Small Business Administration, 1 Social networking tools, 88–89fig, 90, 139-141 Strategies: compensation, 65–68, 75, 108–109, 115; controlling legal risks of, 121–122; description and business functions of, 107–108; three tactical elements for accomplishing, 118fig. See also Hiring strategies Supervisor competency model,

T

134fig-135fig

Tactical hiring plans: for assessing whole candidates, 184fig–185; dashboard for monitoring and measuring, 118–119; issues to consider in, 119–120; making million-dollar hires with, 261; specific to different units, locations, and jobs, 119; three tactical elements for strategy, 118fig; tools kits, technology, and tracking of, 120fig
TalentKeepers, 247

Targeting outsourcing solutions, 123fig–124 Teal, Connecticut v., 229 Team will-do qualities issues, 5–6 Technical support candidates, 179–180 Technology-based tools: candidate prescreening, 88fig, 91–94; candidate sourcing, 88fig-91, 138–141; continuous improvement hiring, 88fig, 102-104; full-scale assessment, 88fig, 94-97; how hiring and recruiting has been changed by, 86-88fig; legal compliance, 88fig, 100fig-102; million-dollar hires by injecting, 262-263; onboarding and retention, 88fig, 97-100, 245-246; role at every stage of hiring funnel, 88fig; tactical plan, 120fig. See also Applicant tracking system (ATS); Assessment tools

The Ten Commandments of Employee Onboarding, 245

Tests in Print, 11

Thinking models: can-do, will-do, able-to, done-that, 165fig–170fig; commonalities of, 42; compensatory hiring decision, 198–200, 207–208; hybrid hiring decision, 200; materiality, 32–34; multiple-hurdle hiring decision, 196–198, 207, 226; return-on-investment model on hiring, 247. See also Hiring practices; Validity model 360-degree feedback, 212

Title VII (Civil Pights Act), 221, 222, 230fig-231

TQM (total quality management), 17, 23

Tracking: accident/injuries rates payoff, 47, 56, 58; applied to final hiring decisions, 208–209; ATS (applicant tracking system) for onboarding, 246, 248; ATS (applicant tracking system) for performance, 99; tactical hiring plans, 120fig. See also Applicant tracking system (ATS)

Turnover: costs of, 52; dysfunctional, 51–52; employee opinion survey items predicting, 245; hiring

strategy for reducing, 113–116; measuring value of low, 75; onboarding to reduce, 88fig, 97–99, 242–247. See also Hiring mistakes; Retention

Turnover rates payoff: description of, 47; hiring practices for improving the, 51–52, 54–55; hiring strategy to achieve, 113–116; review of candidate's résumé for, 54; for specific job positions, 53*t*

Twitter, 88, 90, 139

U

Uniform Guidelines or, Employee Selection Procedures, 26–27, 189, 228

U.S. Bureau et L'abor Statistics: on nonfarm employees in the U.S. (2009), 2; on sales occupations workforce, 48; on workplace fatalities and injuries (2007), 56, 58 U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ), 144, 206, 222, 231 U.S. Department of Labor, 144, 222, 223 U.S. Department of Treasury, 26

17

Validity: adding even more value from recruiting/hiring, 81-84; of combined candidate assessment techniques, 182fig; continuous improvement in context of, 28; definitions of, 19; of different candidate assessment techniques, 172–175; dollar-value payoff formula and, 74-80, 185-188; formula for computing payoff by improving, 74–80, 185–188; hiring decisions based on candidate information, 194-196; of how assessment tools are used, 195-196; increase in hiring program's, 75; invalid screening failing to predict

performance, 21–23, 73fig; for limiting challenges to legal compliance, 225; as million-dollar hire key step, 262; payoff of assessments with increasing levels of, 186t–188; predicting job performance using concept of, 20fig–21; recruiting/hiring tools producing different levels of, 74; setting qualifying standards through valid screening, 39fig–40

Validity model: hiring payoff through use of, 72–79; illustrated diagram of improving odds using, 35fig; improving hiring odds using the, 35fig–41fig; improving sales results payoff through, 50; recruiting and hiring errors even when using, 41fig; simple yes-no hiring application of, 38fig–39; skills test battery for apprentices using the, 37fig. See also Hiring decisions; Thinking models

Vendors: bundling capabilities by technology, 104; buyer beware of candidate assessment tools of, 188–191; offering recruiting and hiring services, 48; overall outsourcing solutions offered by, 123fig-124; questions to ask assessment, 189; recruiting dashboards created by, 160

W

Walmart, 51

Web sites: careerbuilder.com, 88, 245; million-dollarhire.com, 268; monster.com, 88

Welch, J., 71
"What-if" review, 254

Whole candidate assessment. See
Assessing whole candidate
Why CEOs Fail (Dotlich and Cario),
211

Will-do qualities: assessing whole candidate's, 165fig, 166; assessment tools for tapping, 170fig; description of, 5–6; different jobs calling for focus on, 167fig; reducing turnover rates by reviewing, 54

Workforce: changes in workplace loyalty of, 244; cost of bottom performers, 69–72; hiring rates during prerecession and recession, 2; sales occupation rates in the, 48; turnover rates in the, 47, 51–55; value of top performers, 69