

Acknowledgements

In writing this book I have accumulated many debts. First, I would like to thank the School of Law at the University of Bristol for providing me with an exceptionally friendly intellectual environment in which to work. The University also provided me with research leave in the year 2005–2006, some of which was spent at the Universities of Melbourne and Cambridge, which provided me with the time to get this book started.

Many of my colleagues at the University of Bristol have been subjected to the ideas set out in this book and I am grateful for their thoughts in response. Specifically, I would like to thank Chris Bertram, Malcolm Evans, Steven Greer, Dean Machin, Harry McVea, Oliver Quick, Bronwen Morgan, Marc Moore, Lisbeth Witthofft Nielsen, Tony Prosser, Phil Syrpis, Tonia Novitz, John Parkinson, Achilles Skordas and Brenda Sufrin. I must also single out Julian Rivers who has read drafts of this book many times and has provided encouragement every step of the way. In broader academia, I should mention friends and colleagues who have read portions of this book or discussed my ideas with me: Philip Allott, Samantha Besson, Deryck Beyleveld, Roger Brownsword, Basak Cali, Tony Carty, Rob Cryer, Keith Graham, Dino Kriticos, Therese O'Donnell, Henrik Palmer-Olsen, Stanley Paulson, Amanda Perreau-Saussine, Arthur Ripstein, John Tasioulas, Stuart Toddington, Stephen Tierney, Colin Warbrick and Chanaka Wickremasinghe. My publisher, Richard Hart, has been incredibly supportive of the project and patient with me as an author. Kate Grady's help with the editing process was first rate.

This book is based upon some essays which have already been published. Some of the methodological claims I defend in Chapters 1 to 5 of this book were first written about in the articles 'Incommensurability, Purposivity and International Law' (2000) 11 *European Journal of International Law* 637, 'Methodological Legal Positivism in Law and International Law' in K Himma (ed), *Law, Morality, and Legal Positivism* (Stuttgart, F Steiner, 2004) 9–19 and 'Sovereignty and the Identity of Legal Orders' in C Warbrick and S Tierney (eds), *Towards an International Legal Community? The Sovereignty of States and the Sovereignty of International Law* (London, British Institute of International and Comparative Law, 2006) 19–73. The substantive arguments made in Chapters 5 to 10 were first written about in 'The Kantian Project in International Legal Theory' (2001) 12 *European Journal of International Law* 1003 and 'The Rejection of the Universal State' in N Tsagourias (ed), *Transnational Constitutionalism: International and European Perspectives* (Cambridge, Cambridge University

viii *Acknowledgements*

Press, 2007) 17–43. The ideas set out here have also been presented in a number of papers delivered at the University of Bristol, Brunel University, the University of Copenhagen, the University of Edinburgh, the University of Lund, the University of Nottingham, the University of Sheffield and also at University College, London and the London School of Economics and Political Science. What is offered here substantially elaborates on the arguments found in these essays and papers.

Finally, I would like to thank my family. Specifically, I must mention my parents, Alan and Naomi Capps, as well as my brother, Ben Capps. It is Lisa Branney, however, who should receive my principal thanks. I have found this a difficult project and at no point has her support wavered. I am immensely grateful to her.

Bristol, February 2009

<http://www.pbookshop.com>

Contents

<i>Acknowledgements</i>	vii
Introduction	1
1 Philosophical Problems for International Lawyers	9
Conceptions of International Law in Space and Time	11
Scepticism in the Philosophy of International Law	16
Theory and Practice	18
Conclusion	20
2 The Methodological Problem	23
The Methodological Problem in Legal Science	25
The Methodological Problem	25
Is International Law Racist?	27
The Ontological Problem	33
Conceptual Analysis and Focal Analysis	39
Conceptual Analysis	40
Focal Analysis	43
Conceptual Analysis, Focal Analysis and the Raw Data	45
The Legal Scientist	47
Conclusion	49
3 The Conceptual Analysis of International Law	51
Hart's <i>The Concept of Law</i> as a Form of Conceptual Analysis	52
Legal Positivism	53
Hart's Concept of Law	56
Hart's Non-ambitious Concept of Law	57
Hart's Ambitious Concept of Law	59
International Law as an Indeterminate Form of Law	61
Usages and Conventions	65
The Legal Scientist, the Ordinary Language User and the Legal Official	65
Law as a Social Practice	68
Theoretical Values	69
Law as a Conventional Practice	70
Paradigm Cases and the Internal Point of View	74
Conclusion	75

x *Contents*

4	Focal Analysis and Ideal-Types	77
	Purposivity and International Law	80
	Human Dignity and the Purpose of International Law	80
	Normative Positivism and International Law	83
	Focal Analysis and Ideal-Types	84
	Action and Axiology	85
	Ideal-Types	86
	The Ideal-Type and Collective and Institutionalised Social Practices	88
	General Concepts	91
	Weber on International Law	93
	Ideal-Types and Practical Reasonableness	93
	The Concept of International Law Relies upon the General Concept of Law	94
	Purpose and Meaning	98
	Practical Reasonableness and Ideal-Types	101
	Components of the Concept of International Law	102
5	Practical Reasonableness and Human Dignity	103
	The Idea of Human Dignity	106
	Human Dignity as Empowerment	108
	The Substantive Question	109
	Generic Features of Agency	112
	Distributive and Authoritative Questions	114
	The Authoritative Question	115
	Action and the Generic Features of Agency	117
	The Universalisation of Generic Rights	119
	The Distributive Question	121
	The Concept of International Law	122
	Dignity in the Kingdom of Ends	122
	From the Kingdom of Ends to Positive Law	123
	Conclusion	125
6	The Logic of the Autonomy Thesis	127
	The Autonomy Thesis	130
	Structure of the Autonomy Thesis	131
	Hobbes' Version of the Autonomy Thesis	132
	Kant's Version of the Autonomy Thesis	136
	Oppenheim's Version of the Autonomy Thesis	139
	Weil's Version of the Autonomy Thesis	141

Failure of the Autonomy Thesis	142
Adjudication and Function	143
Legitimacy as a General Condition for the Success of the Autonomy Thesis	145
The Autonomy Thesis and International Law	146
Public Practical Reasons	149
Practical Reasonableness and the Law	151
Conclusion	154
7 Law as a General Concept	157
The Bare-Autonomy Thesis and the Integrated-Autonomy Thesis	159
Moral Reasoning and Law	161
Ideal and Non-ideal Theory	162
Justification of the Autonomy Thesis	164
Immorality of the State of Nature	164
Law as a Community Governed by an Omnilateral Will	168
Kant's Justification for Law	169
Enforcement	173
Justification of the Integrated-Autonomy Thesis	175
Law Constitutes our Freedom from Dependency	177
Rousseau's Concept of Law	182
The General Concept of Law	183
Conclusion	185
8 The Foundations of the International Legal Order	187
A Justification for International Law	188
Civil Incorporation and the Sovereign State	190
The State and Civil Incorporation	191
Sovereignty and Collateral Moral Rights	193
The State and Agency	195
International Legal Order	197
Kant's 'State of War'	197
Why is the State of War Not-rightful?	199
The Integrated-Autonomy Thesis and the Sovereignty of International Law	201
Institutional Design	203
International Legal Order as a Suprastate System	204
International Legal Order as an Interstate System	205
Interstate or Suprastate Institutional Design?	208

xii *Contents*

Conclusion	210
Lauterpacht and the Progressive Interpretation of International Law	210
Unanswered Questions	212
9 The Discontinuity Thesis	215
Alternatives to International Legal Order	218
Sovereign States are Not Similar, in Relevant Ways, to Human Agents	218
A Rejection of the Universal State, Not International Legal Order	220
The Sovereign State Cannot be Considered an Agent	222
Transgovernmental Law Instead of International Law?	226
Rejection of International Legal Order	229
Sovereign States are Not Similar, in Relevant Ways, to Human Beings	229
Prudence and International Legal Order	230
The Environment in which Sovereign States Find Themselves is Not Similar in Relevant Ways to the Environment in which Human Beings Find Themselves	232
Are International Relations Not Unreasonable?	234
Approximations to International Law	235
Surrogates, Analogues and Approximations	237
The Possibility of Perpetual Peace	239
Conclusion	241
10 International Legal Order in Ideal and Non-ideal Theory	243
Ideal Theory	245
Norm-Creation	246
Custom	248
Interpretation	250
Enforcement	255
Failure of Interstate Design for Ideal Theory	255
Non-Ideal Theory	257
Juridical and Moral Concepts of the State	258
Institutional Architecture and Norm-Creation	265
Enforcement	268
Self-Defence	269
The Concept of International Law and the Role of the International Lawyer	270

Contents xiii

<i>Conclusion</i>	273
<i>Bibliography</i>	277
<i>Index</i>	291

<http://www.pbookshop.com>