

Table of Contents

Introduction	1
I. The Doctrine of the Limits of the Wording	4
A. Interpretation as a Legal Method	4
B. Judicial Development of the Law	5
C. Why the Differentiation Matters	6
II. Interpretation and Invention in English Legal Reasoning	7
A. Statutory Interpretation and Democracy	7
(i) The Purposive Versus the Literal Approach	7
(ii) Law-Appling Versus Law-Making	9
(iii) The Missing Method: Judicial Development of the Law	12
B. Human Rights Act: What Is Possible?	13
C. Result	14
III. Towards a Common European Approach	15
IV. The Possibility of the Rule of Law Defended	18
V. The Sceptical Challenge: Indeterminacy and Vagueness	19
A. The Concept of Indeterminacy	20
B. Vagueness As Boundarylessness	20
(i) Higher-Order Vagueness	21
(ii) The Significance of Interpretation in the Law	22
C. Scepticism in Law	22
VI. The Rationality and Objectivity of Legal Reasoning	23
A. Justification, Rationality and Legitimacy	24
B. The Dworkin–Fish Controversy	25
C. ‘B’ Semantics versus ‘KP’ Semantics	26
D. The Objectivity of Law Defended	27
VII. At a Glance	27
A. General Approach	27
B. Chapter 1	28
C. Chapter 2	29
D. Chapter 3	33
Chapter 1: The Doctrine of the Limits of the Wording	33
I. The Limits of the Wording in Hermeneutic Legal Theory	33

x *Table of Contents*

A.	The Reception of Ontological Hermeneutics in Legal Theory	33
(i)	The Limits of the Wording and Pre-Judgements	35
(a)	Ontological Prerequisites for the Understanding of Normative Texts	35
(b)	Significance for the Application of Law in General	36
(c)	Significance for the Limits of the Wording	37
(ii)	Limits of the Wording and Typology	40
(iii)	The Limits of the Wording and the Analogicity of Language	42
B.	Hermeneutics and Legal Interpretation	43
II.	The Limits of the Wording in Analytic Legal Theory	44
A.	The Clarification of the Limits of the Wording by Koch, Rüßmann, and Herberger	44
(i)	Establishing and Assigning Meaning	45
(ii)	Classification of Unclear Usage Rules	46
(a)	Ambiguity	47
(b)	Inconsistency	47
(c)	Vagueness	48
(iii)	The Limits of the Wording According to Koch, Rüßmann, and Herberger	48
B.	The Role of the Limits of the Wording in Alexy's Theory of Legal Argumentation	50
(i)	The Discursive Character of Interpretation	50
(ii)	Main Features of the Theory of Legal Argumentation	51
(a)	Internal Justification and the Word Usage Rule	51
(b)	External Justification and Semantic Arguments	52
III.	The Deconstructivistic Challenge of the Structuring Legal Theory	54
A.	Basic Premises of Structuring Legal Theory	54
B.	Criticism of New Hermeneutics	56
C.	Criticism of the Theory of Legal Reasoning According to Koch and Rüßmann	56
D.	Criticism of Alexy's Theory of Legal Argumentation	58
E.	Structuring Legal Theory and the Limits of the Wording	59
(i)	The Limits of the Wording as a Result of the Concretisation of Rules	59
(ii)	Binding Effects of Legal Culture	60
(iii)	The Limits of the Wording as the Limits of the Normative Program	62
(iv)	The Role of the Limits of the Normative Program	63
F.	Structuring Legal Theory—Summary	63

IV. The Results of the First Chapter	64
A. State of Research	64
(i) The Hermeneutic Position	65
(a) Pre-Judgements and Typology	65
(b) Arguments in Support of the Hermeneutic Position	65
(1) Argument of Ontological Hermeneutics	65
(2) Argument of Analogicity	66
(3) Argument of Procedural Correctness	66
(4) Argument of Normative Necessity	66
(ii) The Analytic Position	66
(a) Establishing and Assigning Meaning	66
(b) Arguments for the Analytic Viewpoint	67
(1) Argument of Clear Cases	67
(2) Argument of the Empirical Discernibility of Meaning	67
(3) Argument of Possible Corrections	68
(iii) The Structuring Legal Theory Position	68
(a) Putting Rules in Specific Terms and the Limits of the Normative Program	68
(b) Arguments in Support of Structuring Legal Theory	68
(1) Argument of the Indefiniteness of the Legal Text	68
(2) Argument of Legal Culture	68
(iv) Arguments Against the Limits of the Wording	69
(a) Argument of Practical ineffectiveness	69
(b) Argument of Necessary Failure	69
(c) Argument of the Lacking Normative Necessity	69
(d) Argument of Reversal	69
(e) The Language Game Argument	70
(1) Argument of Openness	70
(2) Argument of Innovation	70
(3) Argument of Context Dependency	70
(4) Argument of Circularity	70
(f) Argument that Meaning Remains Unclassified	71
(1) Argument of Objectivism	71
(2) Argument of Features Semantics	71
(3) Argument of the Incorrect Reception of the Speech Act Theory	71
(4) Argument of Excessive Commitment	71
(5) Argument of the Impossibility of the Empirical Determination of Meaning	71
B. Criticism	72
(i) Analytic Versus Post-Positivist Legal Theory	72
(ii) A Critical Look at Structuring Legal Theory	73
(a) The Basic Norm	74

xii *Table of Contents*

(b) Circularity	75
(c) Normal and Exceptional Cases	76
(d) Commitment to the Majority Opinion	77
(e) Inconsistency	78
(f) Concluding Remarks	79
(iii) Controversial Issues	81
Chapter 2: Normativity and Objectivity of Linguistic Meaning	87
I. Introduction	87
A. Meaning Scepticism and the Indeterminacy Thesis	87
B. Meaning as a Problem of the Philosophy of Language	89
C. Language-Philosophical Theories of Meaning	90
(i) Classification in Categories	91
(a) Referent, Idea, and Behaviour	91
(b) Realism and Anti-Realism	93
(c) Functions of Language	94
(ii) Significance for the Limits of the Wording	94
D. An Integrative Theory of Meaning	94
II. The Normativity of Linguistic Meaning	96
A. The Concept of Semantic Normativity	96
(i) The General Thesis of Normativity	96
(ii) The Three Conditions for Normativity Theories	98
(a) The Condition of Anti-Reductionist Supervenience	98
(b) The Condition of Internality	99
(c) The Condition of Possible Semantic Mistakes	100
(iii) Four Strategies of Arguing Semantic Normativity	100
(a) Normativity and Truth	101
(b) Normativity and Internal Relation	103
(c) Normativity and Rationality	104
(d) Normativity and Regularity	106
(1) Semantic Normativity According to the Rule Model	106
(2) Objection of the Analytic Priority of Individualism	107
(3) Objection of the Incoherence of Prescriptivity and Constitutivity	109
(iv) Normativity and Connection Thesis	114
B. Brandom's Linguistic Normativity	115
(i) Normative Pragmatics	117
(a) Anthropologic Basis and Implicit Normativity	117
(b) Normative Attitudes and Sanctions	119

(c) Result: Principle of Instituting Norms through Social Practice	122
(ii) Inferential Semantics	122
(a) The Pragmatic Priority of Propositional Meaning	123
(b) Meaning and Material Inference	125
(c) Result: The Principle of the Normative Significance of Conceptual Systems	125
(iii) Interlocking Normative Pragmatics and Inferential Semantics in a Discursive Practice Model	126
(a) Commitment and Entitlement as Deontic Statuses	127
(b) Three Types and Three Dimensions of Inferential Structure	129
(c) The Deontic Scorekeeping Model	131
(d) Propositional Meaning in Discursive Practice	133
(iv) Theory of the Meaning of Subsential Expressions	134
(a) Substitution	135
(b) Anaphora	139
(c) Results of the Theory of the Meaning of Subsential Expressions	140
C. Objections against the Theory of Normativity	141
(i) Kripke's Theory of Rule-Following	142
(a) Kripke's Sceptical Paradox	142
(b) Kripke's Sceptical Solution	145
(c) Criticism	145
(1) Normativity and Agreement	146
(2) Naturalism, Reductionism, and Regress	147
(ii) The Objection of Semantic Holism	151
(a) The Doctrine of Semantic Holism	151
(b) WVO Quine's Two Dogmas of Empiricism	152
(c) Criticism	156
(1) The Central Chains of Argument	157
(2) Reversibility and the Status of Logical Laws	158
(3) Dummett's Argument of the Possibility of Communication	165
(4) Canonical Standards in Moderate Holism	166
(iii) The Objection of the Impossibility of Analyticity	167
(a) Analyticity, Apriority, Modality	168
(b) WVO Quine's <i>Word and Object</i>	169
(c) Criticism	173
(1) Relativity and Normativity	174
(2) Analyticity's Triadic Relativity	175
(3) OLOL Analyticity	179
D. Result for the Normativity of Linguistic Meaning	180

III. The Objectivity of Linguistic Meaning	181
A. The Concept of Objectivity	181
B. Objectivity as Reference	183
(i) Reference and Inference	184
(ii) Frege's Analysis of Picking out Objects	185
(iii) Reference and <i>de re</i> Ascriptions	187
(iv) Doxastic Gap and Objectivity	189
(v) Reference and Interpersonal Anaphora	191
C. Objectivity as Intersubjectivity	192
(i) The Social Perspectival Character of Conceptual Content	193
(ii) The Paradox of Relative Objectivity	193
D. Objections to the Objectivity Theory	196
(i) Quine's Objection of the Indeterminism of Reference	197
(ii) The Objection of the Special Role Played by Theoretical Terms	197
(iii) Wright's Objection of the Impossibility of a Conventional Objectivity Theory	198
(a) Subjective Attitude and Objective Status	199
(b) The Possibility of Communal Errors	200
(iv) The Objection of Incompatibility	201
(v) The Objection that There Is No Objective World	203
E. Conclusion on the Objectivity of Linguistic Meaning	205
IV. The Results of the Second Chapter	207
A. The Three Dimensions of Linguistic Meaning	207
B. The Universality Challenge	208
C. Scope and Role of Language-Analytical Discourse	208
Chapter 3: Semantic Normativity in the Law	211
I. Addressing the Three Central Issues	211
A. Clear and Unclear Cases	212
(i) The Relevance in Legal Theory of the Distinction Between Clear and Unclear Cases	212
(ii) The Concept of the Clear Case	212
(a) Semantic Clarity and Juridical Clarity	212
(b) Constitutive Clarity and Epistemic Clarity	213
(iii) Semantic Clarity in Accordance with the Model of Deontic Scorekeeping	213
(a) Semantic Clarity in the First Inferential Dimension	214
(b) Semantic Clarity in the Second Inferential Dimension	215
(c) Semantic Clarity in the Third Inferential Dimension	215
(d) Result	215
(iv) The Existence of Semantically-Clear Cases	216

(v) Limitations in Hard Cases	218
(vi) Result on the First Issue	218
B. The Epistemic Openness of the Meaning of Norms	219
(i) Rejection of the Critical Arguments	220
(ii) Confirmation of the Argument of Epistemic Openness	222
(a) Semantic Normativity and Rules for the Use of Words	222
(b) Semantic Object-Relatedness and the Theory of Meaning of Koch and of Rüßmann	224
(c) The Objection of the Reification of the Law	226
(iii) Result on the Second Issue	227
C. The Objectivity of the Meaning of Norms	229
D. Result Regarding the Three Central Issues	229
II. The Theory of the Limits of the Wording	230
A. The Relationship Between Semantic Clarity and Semantically-Unclear Meaning	230
B. The Limits of the Wording with Constitutive Semantic Clarity	231
(i) The Function of Rules for the Use of Words in the Internal Justification	231
(ii) Semantic Limits in the First Linguistic Dimension	233
(a) The Four Limits of Inferential Relations	233
(1) Conditional Commitment Limit	233
(2) Conditional Entitlement Limit	236
(3) Consequential Commitment Limit	238
(4) Consequential Entitlement Limit	242
(5) The System and Function of the Inferential Limits	243
(b) The Inferential Limits at Subsentential Level	248
(iii) Semantic Limits in the Second Linguistic Dimension	250
(iv) Semantic Limits in the Third Linguistic Dimension	252
(v) The System of Semantic Limits	254
C. The Limits of the Wording with Constitutive Semantically-Unclear Meaning	255
(i) The Classification of Semantically-Unclear Cases in Inferential Semantics	256
(a) The Concept of Vagueness	256
(b) The Concept of Ambiguity	262
(c) The Concept of Inconsistency	263
(d) The Concept of Evaluative Openness	263
(e) Result on the Classification of Unclear Cases	264
(ii) Semantic Limits in the Case of Vagueness	265

xvi *Table of Contents*

(a) Connection Between the Three-Candidate Model and the Model of Rules for the Use of Words	265
(1) Preliminary Considerations	265
(2) The Scheme of the Positive Limit of the Wording	267
(3) The Scheme of the Negative Limit of the Wording	268
(4) Summary	269
(b) The System of Semantic Limits with Vagueness	270
(iii) Semantic Limits with Ambiguity	270
(iv) Semantic Limits with Evaluatively-Open Concepts	272
D. Result on the Theory of the Limits of the Wording	273
III. The Results of the Third Chapter	274
A. Results	274
B. The Rehabilitation of Semantic Argumentation in the Law	276
C. The Objectivity of Legal Rulings	278
Bibliography	283
Index	301

<http://www.pbookshop.com>