

## Preface

**T**HIS WORK DRAWS on research that I undertook at the European University Institute (EUI), Florence as the basis for a doctoral dissertation. I am indebted to Professor Gráinne de Búrca and Professor Jacques Ziller who supervised my research at the EUI, and to Professor Ludwig Krämer and Professor Yvonne Scannell who offered expert advice in their role as external examiners. Particular thanks are due to Professor Gráinne de Búrca, who has been a constant source of advice and encouragement. Research grants funded by the Department of Education and Science, Dublin and the EUI supported my doctoral research.

Irish planning law is notoriously complex. In attempting to decipher the law, I was assisted greatly by two leading works in the field: Garrett Simons, *Planning and Development Law*, 2nd edn (Dublin, Thomson Round Hall, 2007) and Yvonne Scannell, *Environmental and Land Use Law* (Dublin, Thomson Round Hall, 2006).

I thank the following people for taking time to read draft material and for providing insightful comments: Liam Cashman; Barry Doherty BL; Tom Flynn BL; Charles George QC; Dr Jane Holder; Gregory Jones; Professor Maria Lee; Tony Lowes; Pat McCarthy; Professor David Gwynn Morgan; Joe Noonan; Lia O’Hegarty; Garrett Simons SC; Siobhán Stack BL and Declan Walsh.

Friends and colleagues at University College Cork (UCC) were a constant source of advice and support. Particular thanks are due to: Professor Brian Carroll; Dr Mary Donnelly; Professor Steve Hedley; Professor John Mee; and Brendan O’Sullivan.

Students in my planning and environmental law classes at UCC provided a stream of thought-provoking comments and challenging questions while this work was in progress. This book is all the richer as a result of their contributions over the years.

A special word of thanks is due to John Healy BL for generously giving his time to review a number of draft chapters and for providing invaluable advice on a range of issues relating to the manuscript.

The Annual Conference of the Society of Legal Scholars, held at LSE in September 2008, provided an ideal forum in which to discuss conclusions with participants in the Environmental Law Section under the skilful stewardship of Professor Colin Reid. I am grateful for their input, which was invaluable when fine-tuning my closing proposals – particularly as regards the outlook for the future.

I thank Richard Hart and the team at Hart Publishing. It was a pleasure to work with them. Their professionalism, efficiency and enthusiasm are second to none. I am especially indebted to Richard Hart for his encouragement and his

*Preface*

patience while I struggled to determine the most appropriate time to draw a line under this rapidly developing area of law and to submit the manuscript for once and for all.

In chapter four, the discussion of Case C-216/05 *Commission v Ireland* [2006] ECR I-10787 draws on 'EIA and Public Participation: Determining the Limits of Member State Discretion' (2007) 19 *Journal of Environmental Law* 247.

The book is based on material available to me as at 1 October 2008.

*Áine Ryall*  
*University College Cork*  
*8 April 2009*

<http://www.pbookshop.com>

# Contents

<i>Preface</i>	vii
<i>Table of Abbreviations</i>	xiii
<i>Table of Cases</i>	xv
<i>Table of Conventions</i>	xxxiii
<i>Table of Legislation</i>	xxxv
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
I. Importance of Effective Enforcement at Local Level	3
II. Alternative Remedies	11
III. Planning and Development Acts	14
IV. Aarhus Convention	16
V. Structure of the Book	18
VI. Note on Terminology	19
<b>2 The EIA Directive and Environmental Protection</b>	<b>21</b>
I. Introduction	21
II. Sustainable Development	21
A. European Community Perspective	21
B. Irish Perspective	26
III. Environmental Impact Assessment as a Mechanism For Environmental Protection	28
IV. Role of Public Participation in Environmental Decision-Making	30
V. EIA Directive	35
VI. Aarhus Convention	49
VII. Implications of Aarhus Convention for European Community EIA Law	52
A. Public Participation	55
B. Access to Justice	60
VIII. Strategic Environmental Assessment	69
A. European Community Perspective	69
B. Irish Perspective	72
IX. Closing Observations	73
<b>3 The ECJ and National Procedural Rules and Remedies</b>	<b>75</b>
I. Introduction	75

*Contents*

II.	General Principles	76
III.	Principle of Effective Judicial Protection	82
	A. Evolution	84
	B. Future Directions	90
	C. Specific Themes	91
IV.	Impact of Aarhus Convention	112
V.	Impact of European Convention on Human Rights	113
	A. ECHR and Irish Law	113
	B. Implications for Judicial Review	116
VI.	Closing Observations	122
<b>4</b>	<b>The ECJ and the EIA Directive</b>	<b>125</b>
I.	Introduction	125
II.	The ECJ's General Approach to the EIA Directive	125
	A. Transitional Arrangements	126
	B. Project Categorisation	127
	C. Prior Authorisation	130
	D. Development Consent	131
	E. Stage of Development Consent Procedure at which EIA Must be Carried Out	133
III.	The ECJ's Approach to Member State Discretion Under the EIA Directive	137
	A. Exemptions	138
	B. Assessment Procedure	139
	C. Determining the Annex II Projects that Require Assessment	140
	D. Detailed Arrangements for Public Participation	148
	E. Summary	153
IV.	Role of the EIA Directive in Judicial Review Proceedings at National Level	153
	A. Overview	153
	B. Justiciability of the EIA Directive before National Courts	158
	C. Standard of Review to be Applied by National Courts	167
	D. Substantial Compliance	171
	E. Horizontal Effect or Consequential Adverse Impact?	173
	F. Consistent Interpretation	177
	G. State Liability	179
V.	Closing Observations	190
<b>5</b>	<b>The EIA Directive in the Irish Courts</b>	<b>193</b>
I.	Introduction	193

*Contents*

II.	Overview of National Legal Framework	194
A.	General Provisions	195
B.	Planning Applications for Strategic Infrastructure	197
C.	Special Statutory Framework Governing Judicial Review	200
D.	Rule in <i>Henderson v Henderson</i>	209
E.	Judicial Discretion	210
F.	Costs	211
III.	Irish EIA Jurisprudence	214
A.	Grappling with Direct Effect	214
B.	Consistent Interpretation	216
C.	Minimalist Approach to Obligations Created in the EIA Directive	217
D.	Judicial Deference	221
E.	Substantial Compliance	224
F.	Impact of National Procedural Rules	225
G.	Concept of 'Development Consent'	229
H.	Intersection between Planning and Pollution Control	232
I.	Interrelationship between Domestic Proceedings and Commission Enforcement Activity	236
J.	Appraisal	239
IV.	Impact of Article 10a	242
A.	Hope and Anticipation	242
B.	Legislative Measures	243
C.	Judicial Implementation	243
V.	Closing Observations	254
<b>6</b>	<b>Conclusion</b>	<b>257</b>
	<i>Select Bibliography</i>	265
	<i>Index</i>	279