
TABLE OF CONTENTS

<i>Foreword</i>	v
<i>Table of Cases</i>	xv
<i>Table of Legislation</i>	xxvii
<i>Introduction</i>	1
1 The Emerging System of International Criminal Justice	10
I. Introduction	10
II. Prosecution of International Crimes before Domestic Courts	11
A. National Jurisdiction for International Crimes	11
B. Is There an Obligation to Exercise Domestic Criminal Jurisdiction for International Crimes?	13
C. Challenges of Domestic Prosecution	18
D. Prosecution before National Courts of Third States on the Basis of Universal Jurisdiction	19
III. Trials of International Crimes before International Courts	28
A. The Post-War Period: The Nuremberg and Tokyo Tribunals and the Work of the International Law Commission	28
B. The Ad Hoc International Criminal Tribunals	31
i. Establishing the International Criminal Tribunal for the Former Yugoslavia	31
ii. Establishing the International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda	33
iii. The Development of the Completion Strategy	34
iv. Relationship between the Ad Hoc Tribunals and National Jurisdictions	36
v. Conclusion: The Ad Hoc Tribunals and Their Contribution to International Criminal Justice	44
C. The International Criminal Court	45
i. Establishment	45
ii. Jurisdiction	46
iii. 'Complementary' Justice: The System of International Criminal Justice as Contemplated by the Rome Statute	50
iv. Conclusion	55
IV. Conclusion	55
2 Hybrid and Internationalised Tribunals: A Study of Existing Practice	58
I. Introduction	58
II. Special Court for Sierra Leone	65
A. Background to the Conflict in Sierra Leone	65

	B. The Establishment of the SCSL	68
	C. Key Features and Jurisdiction	70
III.	Special Tribunal for Lebanon	73
	A. The Assassination of Rafik Hariri and the Establishment of the UNIIC	73
	B. Further Attacks and the Establishment of the Special Tribunal for Lebanon	75
	C. Key Features and Jurisdiction	77
IV.	Kosovo and the International Judges and Prosecutors Programme	79
	A. The Conflict in Kosovo	79
	B. Accountability for Violations of International Humanitarian Law and Human Rights Law	82
	C. Key Features and Jurisdiction	84
	D. The Situation Following the Declaration of Independence and the Transfer to EULEX	87
V.	UNTAET and the Serious Crimes Process	90
	A. The Indonesian Occupation of East Timor, the Consultation on Independence and International Administration	90
	B. The Quest for Accountability for Violations in East Timor	93
	C. Key Features and Jurisdiction	95
	D. Further Accountability Initiatives and the Re-activation of the SPSC Process	98
VI.	War Crimes Chamber for Bosnia-Herzegovina	101
	A. The Conflict in Bosnia and Herzegovina	101
	B. Accountability for Human Rights Violations	104
	C. Key Features and Jurisdiction	106
VII.	Iraqi High Tribunal	109
	A. Saddam Hussein's Reign in Iraq	109
	B. Occupation of Iraq: May 2003–June 2004	110
	C. Establishing the Iraqi High Tribunal	113
	D. Key Features and Jurisdiction	117
VIII.	Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia	120
	A. Regime of the Khmer Rouge	120
	B. Accountability for Violations and the Negotiations for the ECCC	121
	C. Key Features and Jurisdiction	128
IX.	Conclusion	133
3	Exclusions and Proposals for Future Hybrid and Internationalised Tribunals	134
I.	Introduction	134
II.	Institutions with International Elements Not Considered to be Hybrid or Internationalised Tribunals	135
	A. The Nuremberg and Tokyo Tribunals	135

B.	Serbian War Crimes Chamber	139
C.	The Lockerbie Court	140
D.	Other Institutions	144
E.	Conclusion	148
III.	Proposed Hybrid and Internationalised Tribunals	149
A.	Special Tribunal for Burundi	149
B.	The Special Court for Darfur, Sudan	152
i.	The Discussion Surrounding the Referral to the International Criminal Court	153
ii.	The ICC and the Arrest Warrant for President Bashir	155
C.	Specialised Chamber in the Democratic Republic of the Congo	157
D.	An Extraterritorial Piracy Tribunal	161
E.	Special Tribunal for Kenya	172
F.	The Extraordinary Criminal Court for Liberia	178
G.	Special Tribunal for the Trial of Hissène Habré	182
IV.	Conclusion	185
4	Hybrid and Internationalised Tribunals: In Search of a Definition	187
I.	Introduction	187
II.	What is Driving the Demand for Hybrid and Internationalised Tribunals?	189
A.	Circumstances Leading to Establishment	191
B.	Existence of an International Criminal Tribunal with Jurisdiction	196
C.	The Applicable Legal Framework	200
D.	Conclusion	201
III.	Is There a Definition of a Hybrid and Internationalised Tribunal?	201
A.	Criminal Judicial Function	202
B.	Duration of the Tribunal	202
C.	Participation of International Personnel	204
D.	Location of the Tribunal	206
E.	Involvement of the International Community, in particular the United Nations	206
F.	Funding Mechanism	210
G.	Legal Basis, Legal Capacity and Powers	212
H.	Jurisdiction: An Introduction	214
I.	Temporal Jurisdiction	215
J.	Territorial Jurisdiction	218
K.	Personal Jurisdiction	219
L.	Material Jurisdiction	227
i.	Factors for the Inclusion of International Crimes	227
ii.	Must Hybrid and Internationalised Tribunals Exercise Jurisdiction in Respect of International Crimes?	232
iii.	Factors for the Inclusion of Ordinary Crimes	243

iv.	Is There a Requirement for the Jurisdiction of Hybrid and Internationalised Tribunals to Include Ordinary Crimes under Domestic Law?	246
v.	Conclusions	248
M.	Relationship with Other Institutions	248
N.	Conclusion: Is there a Definition?	249
5	Legal and Jurisdictional Bases of Hybrid and Internationalised Tribunals	253
I.	Introduction	253
II.	Tribunals Established by the Security Council	254
A.	The Power of the Security Council to Establish an Ad Hoc Tribunal	254
B.	Can the Security Council Establish a Hybrid or Internationalised Criminal Tribunal?	259
C.	The Ambiguous Legal Basis of the STL	260
D.	The STL as a 'Treaty-Based' Tribunal	262
E.	Resolution 1757 as the Legal Basis for the STL	267
F.	Which Option Did the Council Intend?	268
G.	Conclusion	269
III.	Tribunals Established by Treaty	271
A.	General	271
B.	Legal Challenges to the Establishment of the SCSL	273
i.	The SCSL as a 'Chapter VII' Court	274
ii.	Unlawful Delegation of Powers	278
iii.	Arguments Based on a Violation of the Constitution of Sierra Leone	279
iv.	National Institution Arguments	281
C.	Conclusion	282
IV.	Tribunals Established Under National Law, With International Elements	282
A.	Tribunals Established Under International Administration or During Military Occupation	282
i.	United Nations Administration of Territory: The Legal Basis of the IJPP and the SPSC	282
ii.	International Civilian Administration: The War Crimes Chamber for Bosnia and Herzegovina	288
iii.	Courts Established During Military Occupation: The Iraqi High Tribunal	289
B.	Tribunals Established Under National Law, Operating with International Assistance: The ECCC	293
C.	Conclusion	299
V.	Conclusions: Legal Bases of Hybrid and Internationalised Tribunals	300

VI.	The Nature of Jurisdiction Conferred on Hybrid and Internationalised Tribunals	300
	A. Introduction	300
	B. Territorial Jurisdiction	301
	C. Delegation of Jurisdiction from the (Normally) Territorial State	303
	i. Lawfulness of a Delegation of Jurisdiction	305
	ii. Do the Differences Between the ICC and the SCSL Preclude a Delegation of Jurisdiction to the SCSL?	308
	iii. Delegation of Universal Jurisdiction: Specific Concerns	311
	iv. Conclusion	314
	D. Universal Jurisdiction Arising from the Nature of the Crimes	314
	E. Jurisdiction Based on the Powers of the Security Council Under Chapter VII	316
VII.	Conclusion	318
6	Legal Barriers to the Exercise of Jurisdiction	321
I.	Introduction	321
II.	The Principle of Legality	322
III.	Immunity	326
	A. Introduction	326
	B. Nature of Immunity in International Law	326
	C. The Internationalised Tribunals	330
	i. The International Judges and Prosecutors Programme in Kosovo	331
	ii. The Special Panels for Serious Crimes in East Timor	331
	iii. The War Crimes Chamber in Bosnia and Herzegovina	332
	iv. The Iraqi High Tribunal	333
	v. The Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia	334
	vi. Conclusion	335
	D. The Hybrid Tribunals	335
	i. Treaty-based Hybrid Tribunals: The Special Court for Sierra Leone	336
	ii. Hybrid Tribunals Established by the Security Council: the Special Tribunal for Lebanon	340
	E. Conclusion	346
IV.	Amnesties	348
	A. Introduction	348
	B. Amnesties and the Internationalised and Hybrid Tribunals	351
	i. Internationalised Tribunals	352
	ii. Hybrid Tribunals	356
	C. Conclusion	361
V.	Securing Custody of the Accused	363

A.	Introduction: Cooperation and International Criminal Tribunals	363
B.	Cooperation and the Hybrid Tribunals	369
i.	Hybrid Tribunals Established by the Security Council: the Special Tribunal for Lebanon	369
ii.	Treaty-Based Hybrid Courts: the Special Court for Sierra Leone	372
C.	Cooperation and Internationalised Tribunals	374
D.	Conclusion	378
VI.	Statutes of Limitation	380
VII.	<i>Ne Bis in Idem</i>	384
A.	Judgment by a National Court	384
B.	Judgment by a Hybrid or Internationalised Tribunal and National Courts	387
C.	Judgment by a Hybrid or Internationalised Tribunal and the International Criminal Court	388
D.	Conclusion	389
VIII.	Relationship with Other Courts and Tribunals	390
A.	Hybrid and Internationalised Tribunals and Their Relationship to Domestic Courts	390
i.	Courts Within the Territorial State	391
iii.	Hybrid and Internationalised Tribunals Exercising Supervisory Jurisdiction in Respect of Domestic Courts	396
B.	Courts in Third States	398
IX.	Hybrid and Internationalised Tribunals and the International Criminal Court	399
A.	Introduction	399
B.	Internationalised Tribunals	403
C.	Hybrid Tribunals	404
i.	Hybrid Tribunals Established on the Basis of a Treaty	404
ii.	Hybrid Tribunals Established by the Security Council	406
D.	Conclusion	407
X.	Conclusion	408
	<i>Conclusions</i>	410
	<i>Index</i>	459