

INDEX

A

Acknowledgment letters, 165
Adjectives, writing exercise on, 15
Administrative costs, 121–123
Adverbs, writing exercise on, 15
Agencies. *See* Nonprofits
Anecdotes, 77, 80–81, 174
Annual reports, 172–173
Antagonists: described, 66–67; in government grant applications, 173; in needs statement, 69–70, 71–72, 73, 83
Appeal letters, 171
Articles of incorporation, 155
Assessment. *See* Evaluation
Attachments: annual budget as, 114, 151; to electronic submissions, 143; financial statements as, 127, 143, 151–152; to letters of inquiry (LOIs), 38; to submitted proposals, 150–155; table of contents for, 155. *See also* Budget, program
Audited financial statements, 151–152

B

Back story, 70, 72, 74
Bay Area Ridge Trail Council, 137
Binders, 146
Blood bank, 12, 13, 50, 136
Blood Centers of the Pacific (BCP), 50, 136

Board of directors: attaching list of, 151; attaching resolution of, 153; and readiness for grantseeking, 7, 9; relationships between grantmakers and, 23–24, 33–34; and site visits, 161
Boilerplate proposals, 142
Books Not Bars, 81
Breast Cancer Action, 137
Breast cancer programs, 48, 75–76
Bricks-and-mortar funding, 10
Bricks-and-sticks funding, 10
Brochures, 153–154, 171–172
Budget, annual, attaching, 114, 151
Budget, program, 113–124; for continuing programs, 116–117; evaluation costs in, 103; expected revenues and expenses in, 119–121; footnotes in, 118, 126; and government grant applications, 173; importance of, 113; and in-kind contributions, 123–124; length of, 115; mentioned in letter of inquiry, 39; for new programs, 117–118; and overhead costs, 121–123; overview of, 114–115; sample, 115, 125–126; summary of, 130; updating and revising, 116; who prepares, 113–114
Bylaws, 155

C

Calendars: grants, 31, 32; grants follow-up, 166

Capacity building funds, 10

Capital purchase funds, 10

Case statements, 170–171

Cash flow analysis, 124, 126–127, 128–129

Characters: introducing, 51–53, 55–56, 57–58; nonhumans as, 58; quoting, 13, 81–82; as story element, 3

Charts, 154, 155

Child advocate program, 71, 93

Child care centers, 74, 160

Citing sources, 48, 76

Clients: anecdotes about, 77, 80–81, 174; interviewing, 6, 12–13; introducing, 50, 57–58; needs of, 83; quotes from, 13, 81–82

Climactic moment, 3, 66. *See also* Goals and objectives section

Collaborations, 75, 154

“College Connect” program, 137

Communicating with grantmakers: after decision made, 164–166; after submitting proposal, 163; before preparing proposal, 30, 31–32; while proposal is pending, 162–163

Conflict. *See* Tension

Contact information: in cover letter, 146, 147–148; in letter of inquiry, 41

Continuing programs, budget for, 116–117

Corporate grantmakers, 17–18, 20, 60, 156–157

Costs: administrative, 121–123; evaluation, 103; overhead, 121–123. *See also* Budget

Cover letters, 146–150; content of, 33, 147–148; and electronic submissions, 143; letterhead for, 146–147; sample, 148–149; writing exercise on, 150

Credibility: and deficits, 21–22; establishing, for start-up agency, 54; establishing, in letter of inquiry, 41; of quotes, 48; and readiness for grantseeking, 9; from support of other grantmakers, 39

Curricula vitae, 154–155

D

Data, in needs statement, 75–77, 78–79

Deficits, 21–22, 127

Delivering proposals, 155–156

“Dirty draft,” 14

Donors: publicly acknowledging, 165; researching, 27–28

DVDs, as attachments, 154

E

E-mail, 165

Early child development program, 104

Editing, 14

Electronic submissions, 30, 139, 142–144, 158

Elevator speeches, 169–170

Endowment funds, as financial need, 10

Environmental education programs, 44–45, 58, 105, 137

Epilogue, 101–106; content of, 103–104; how to write, 104; importance of, 101–102; sample, 104–105; summary of, 110–111; writing exercise for, 106

Equipment purchase funds, 10, 42–43

Established agencies, 25, 30, 53

Evaluation: cost of, 103; planning for, 102; reports after, 103–104; tools for, 102–103

Evaluation section. *See* Epilogue

Executive director: as contact person, 148; funding salary of, 121–122; interviewing, 12; signature of, 41, 148, 165; and site visit, 161–162; as source of ideas, 5; transition of, 9, 166

Expenses. *See* Costs
Expertise, and fundability, 6, 7

F

Fables, 1
Facts, caution on exaggerating, 77
Faith-based volunteer program, 40
Faxing proposals, 156
Field research, 6, 11
Financial needs: of agency's clients, 83;
clarifying, 9–11; and identifying potential grantmakers, 21–22; types of, 9–10.
See also Needs statement
Financial statements, 127, 143, 151–152
Fit: letters of inquiry demonstrating, 38–39, 40; method for determining, 18–24
501(c)(3) letters, 143, 151
Food bank, 84–85
Footnotes, 76, 118, 126
Format: for cover letter, 146–148; of electronic submissions, 30, 143; of goals and objectives section, 92; for government grant applications, 173; for list of other funding sources, 153; of methods section, 96–97; of paper submissions, 30, 144–146
Foundation Center, 26, 27
Foundations, 17. *See also* Grantmakers
Four-filter-plus-one method, 18–24
Fundability, determining, 6–7
Funders. *See* Grantmakers
Funding: attaching list of sources of, 152–153; strategies for, 108
Furniture recycling agency, 72, 82, 98, 110
Future funding section. *See* Sequel

G

General operating funds, 10, 114, 151
Geographic preference, of grantmakers, 18, 19–21, 60
Goals, 88–89

Goals and objectives section, 87–92;
format of, 92; goals in, 88–89; and government grant applications, 173; length of, 92; objectives in, 89–92; overview of, 87–88; sample, 93, 94, 95; summary of, 99; writing exercise for, 95
Government grants, 18, 123, 173–174
Grant amount: deciding on, to request, 24–25; range of, as screening criterion, 22–23; requesting, in cover letter, 147; requesting, in letter of inquiry, 39
Grant applications. *See* Proposals
Grant proposals. *See* Proposals
Grant range. *See* Grant amount
Grantmakers, 17–35; advantages of letters of inquiry for, 37–38; communicating with, 30, 31–32, 162–163, 164–166; filter method for screening, 18–24; geographic preference of, 18, 19–21, 60; grant range of, 22–23; guidelines of, 30–31, 144–146, 157; IRS Form 990 for, 31; list of potential, 29–30; number of potential, 17–18, 25–26, 29–30; relationships between nonprofits and, 23–24, 33–34, 166; reports to, at end of funding period, 103–104; researching, 26–29, 34–35; responding to decision of, 164–166; screening procedure of, 132–133, 134–135; site visits by, 159–162, 167; subject area of, 19; and type of financial needs, 21–22
Grants: amount of requested, 24–25; government, 173–174; ratio of awarded, to submitted proposals, 8, 132; renewal of, 107; size of, given by grantmakers, 22–23
Grants calendars, 31, 32, 166
Grants follow-up calendar, 166

- Grantseeking: advantage of letters of inquiry for, 38; circumstances for halting, 8–9; preparation for, 5–13, 16; reasons for using storytelling in, 2; requirement for successful, 177. *See also* Grantwriting
- Grantwriting: areas for applying skills of, 169–175; first draft in, 13–14; preparation for, 11–13; time required for, 14, 16; writing exercise on, 175
- Graphs, 154
- Gregory, Mary, 146
- GRIP/Rubicon Resource Center, 94
- Guidelines: bypassing, with personal connections, 33–34; format, 144–146, 157; obtaining and reviewing, 30–31
- H**
- Headings, 135, 138–140, 174
- Helping Hands, 62
- Heroes: introduction of, 51–53, 55–56; other nonprofits as, 72, 74, 75; superheroes vs., 91–92
- History and mission section. *See* Introductory section
- Homeless, programs for, 62, 94
- “Hook,” opening with, 47–50
- I**
- Ideas: bad/good classification of, 8; as first step in grantseeking, 5; in letters of inquiry (LOIs), 38
- Identifying potential grantmakers, 18–24, 29
- In-kind contributions, 123–124
- The Insider’s Guide to Grantmaking* (Orosz), 7–8
- Internet, researching grantmakers on, 26–27. *See also* Electronic submissions
- Interviews, to prepare for grantwriting, 11–13
- Introductory section, 47–63; creating sense of place in, 60–62; creating sense of time in, 59–60; introducing characters in, 51–53, 54–56, 57–58; length of, 53; and mission, 53, 56; openings for, 47–50; sample, 49–50, 51, 54, 55, 58, 61–62; summary of, 63; writing exercises for, 49, 56, 62
- IRS determination letter, 151
- IRS Form 990, 31
- J**
- Johnson, Ted, 55
- Just Jobs, 97
- L**
- Large programs, 115, 125–126
- League of Save Lake Tahoe, 58
- Length: of budget, 115; of cover letter, 147; of epilogue, 104; of goals and objectives section, 92; of introductory section, 53; of letter of inquiry, 37, 38; of letter proposal, 157; of needs statement, 68; of proposal narratives, 47; of sequel, 107
- Letter proposals, 156–157, 158; sample, 179–184
- Letterhead, 146–147, 157
- Letters: acknowledgment, 165; after grant awarded, 165–166; appeal, 171; cover, 33, 146–150. *See also* Letter proposals; Letters of inquiry (LOIs)
- Letters of inquiry (LOIs), 37–45; attachments to, 38; “fit” demonstrated in, 38–39, 40; how to write, 39, 41; length of, 37, 38; purpose of, 37–38; sample, 40, 42–45; summary of, 45; when to use, 38
- Letters of intent. *See* Letters of inquiry (LOIs)
- Libraries, researching grantmakers at, 26

Library outreach program, 80
LightHouse for the Blind and Visually Impaired, 109
Line spacing, 145
Lion's Center for the Visually Impaired of Diablo Valley, 179–181
Location, describing, 60–62. *See also* Geographic preference

M

Mail delivery, 156
Maldonado, Joseph, 81
Margins, 145
Martinez, Javier, 82
McCourt, Frank, 106
Media: information on potential grantmakers in, 28; negative coverage in, 9; notifying, of grant award, 165
Meditation for Minors, 55
Memorandum of understanding, 154
Mental health program, letter of inquiry for, 40
Methods section, 92–99; details in, 93–96; format of, 96–97; and objectives, 92–93, 97; sample, 98; summary of, 99; and timelines, 96; writing exercise for, 97
Mission, 6, 53, 56
Mission Graduates, 137
Mission statements, 56, 170
Mountain Lion Foundation, 51, 79
Music school, 54
Myths, 1

N

Narrative. *See* Proposal narrative
Needle exchange program, 160
Needs. *See* Financial needs
Needs statement, 67–85; anecdotes in, 77, 80–81; antagonists in, 69–70, 71–72, 73, 83; back story in, 70, 72, 74; for collaborative efforts, 75; data and

statistics in, 75–77, 78–79; and government grant applications, 173; importance of, 67–68; length of, 68; quotes in, 81–82; sample, 71–72, 73, 74, 78–79, 80–81, 82, 84–85; storytelling method applied to, 68–69; summary of, 83, 85; writing exercise for, 70
Networking, obtaining information through, 28
New Door Ventures, 95
New programs, budget for, 117–118
Newsletters, 153–154
Nonprofit agencies: areas for applying grantwriting skills in, 169–173; circumstances in, for delaying grant-seeking, 8–9; collaborating with other, 75, 154, established, 25, 30, 53; introducing, in introductory section, 51–53, 55–56; mentioning other, in needs statement, 72, 74; needs of, vs. of clients, 83; relationships between grantmakers and, 23–24, 33–34, 166; researching donors to, 27–28; start-up, 10, 54, 55, 56; storytelling use by, 2; writing exercise on describing, 15
North Bay Children's Center (NBCC), 182–184
Nuñez, Renee, 81

O

Objectives: and goals vs. objectives section, 89–92; and methods section, 92–93, 97
Observation, as preparation for grant-seeking, 6, 11. *See also* Site visits
Online submissions, 30, 139, 142–144, 158
Openings, for introductory section, 47–50
Organizational charts, 155
Orosz, Joel, 1, 2, 7
Our Lady of the Oaks, 61
Overhead costs, 121–123

P

Packaging: of electronic submissions, 30, 143; of paper submissions, 30, 144–146

Paper: for cover letter, 146–147; for formal proposal, 144–145; for letter proposal, 157

Paper clips, 146

Parables, 1

Pascal, Blaise, 37

Personal connections, 23–24, 33–34

Petaluma Bounty, 84–85

Philanthropy By Design (PBD), 72, 82, 98, 110

Phone calls: after proposal rejected, 164; after receiving grant award, 165–166; after submitting proposal, 163; before preparing proposal, 30, 31–32

Photocopies, single- vs. double-sided, 145–146

Photographs, 154, 172

Pipher, Mary, 49

Place, creating sense of, 60–62

Planned Parenthood, 78

Planning: cash flow analysis for, 124, 126–127, 128–129; evaluation, 132; financial and cash flow, 59–63; for future funding, 107

Plot, 3, 65, 131, 177

Preparation: of budget, 113–114; for grantseeking, 5–13, 16; for grantwriting, 11–13, 16; for site visits, 159–162

Prison reform program, 81

Problem statement. *See* Needs statement

Program description. *See* Methods section

Program director, 5, 6, 12

Program officers, 33–34, 134–135

Programs/projects: defined, 9–10; determining fundability of, 6–7; letter of inquiry (LOI) for support for, 44–45; site visits to, 159–162, 167; support

for, as financial need, 9–10; titles of, 135, 137–138, 140. *See also* Budget, program

Proposal narrative, 47–111; antagonists in, 66–67; attachments to, 150–155; building tension in, 83, 85; citing sources in, 48, 76; elements of, 3; epilogue of, 101–106, 110–111; exaggerating facts in, 77; footnotes in, 76; goals and objectives section of, 87–92, 93, 94, 95, 99, 173; graphs or charts in, 154; introductory section of, 47–63; length of, 47; methods section of, 92–99; needs statement in, 67–85, 173; section headings in, 135, 138–140; sequel of, 106–111; uniqueness of, 177–178. *See also* Proposals

Proposals: bad/good classification of, 7–8; delivering, 155–156; first draft of, 13–14; for government grants, 173–174; individualizing, for different grantmakers, 142; letter, 156–157, 158, 179–184; packaging, 30, 144–146; preparation for drafting, 5–13, 16; ratio of reviewed vs. funded, 8, 132; reasons for using storytelling in, 2; responding to grantmaker's decision on, 164–166; review time for, 60, 163; summary of, 131–134, 136, 140; time required for writing, 14, 16. *See also* Attachments; Proposal narrative; Submitting proposals

Publications, information on potential grantmakers in, 28

Q

Quotes, 13, 48, 81–82

R

Relationships, between nonprofits and grantmakers, 23–24, 33–34, 166

Research: on potential grantmakers, 18–24, 26–29, 34–35; to prepare for grantseeking, 5–6; to prepare for grantwriting, 13

Resolution: believability of, 88, 93; of board of directors, 153; and story arc, 66; as story component, 3. *See also* Goals and objectives section; Methods section

Résumés, 154–155

Retirement center, letter of inquiry for, 42–43

Retreat center, location description for, 61

Reviewed financial statements, 152

Reviving Ophelia (Pipher), 49

Ridge, Kids and Stewards (RKS) program, 105, 137

Roth, Isaac, 48

Rowling, J. K., 52

S

Scandals, 9

School readiness programs, 98, 182–184

Screening procedure: of grantmakers, 132–133, 134–135; for identifying potential grantmakers, 18–24

Section headings, 135, 138–140, 174

Seed funding, 10

Sequel, 106–111; how to write, 107–109; importance of, 106–107; length of, 107; sample, 109–110; summary of, 110–111; writing exercise for, 110

Setting, 3, 60–62

Shakespeare at Stinson, 105

Signatures: for certified mail delivery, 156; on cover letter, 148; on letter of intent, 41

Site visits, 159–162, 167. *See also* Observation

Small programs, 115, 152

Source citations, 48, 76

Speeches, elevator, 169–170

SportsBridge, 49

Staff: interviewing, 12; and readiness for grantseeking, 6, 7, 9; relationships between grantmakers and, 23–24; résumés of, 154–155; and site visits, 160–161

Stalin, Joseph, 77

Staples, 146

Start-up agencies, 10, 54, 55, 56

Statistics, in needs statement, 75–77, 78–79

Stories, common elements of, 3

Story arc, 65–66

Storytelling: current nonprofit use of, 2; everyday use of, 3–4; oral vs. written, 13–14, 16; throughout history, 1–2

Storytelling method: applications of, beyond proposals, 169–175; described, 3; and government grant applications, 173–174; reasons for using, 2. *See also* Proposal narrative

Subject area, of grantmakers, 19

Submitting proposals: attachments when, 150–155; communicating with funders after, 162–163; cover letter for, 143, 146–150; delivery methods for, 155–156; to multiple grantmakers, 142; online, 30, 139, 142–144, 158; packaging for, 30, 144–146; summary of, 158

Substance abuse agency, 73

Summary, 131–135; in cover letter, 147; function of, 132–133; importance of, 133–135; sample, 136; summary of, 140; when to write, 133

T

Table of contents, 155

Technical development funds, 10

“10 percent rule,” 122

Tension: building, in needs statement, 80, 83, 85; and story arc, 65–66; as story component, 3
Time: creating sense of, 59–60; for proposal review, 60, 163; required for grantwriting, 14, 16
Timelines, 96
Titles, of programs/projects, 135, 137–138, 140
Type size, 145

U

Unaudited financial statements, 152
Urban Shakespeare program, 82, 136
Urban social services program, 62

V

Visually impaired, organizations for, 109, 179–181
Volunteers, 7, 23, 29
Voyant, Clara, 55

W

Washington, Leon, 82
Web sites: creating content of, 172;
Foundation Center, 26; obtaining

grantmaker's IRS Form 990, 31;
obtaining guidelines and applications from, 30; of potential grantmakers, 27
“Who, where, when, why, what” method, 68
Wildlife preservation group, 51, 79
Work plans, 155
Writing exercises: for cover letters, 150;
on descriptive words, 15; for epilogue, 106; for goals and objectives section, 95; for grants calendar, 32; on grantwriting, 175; for introductory section, 49, 56, 62; for methods section, 97; for needs statement, 70; on program titles, 138; on section headings, 140; for sequel, 140

Y

Youth agencies/programs, 40, 55, 82, 95, 105, 136

Z

Zosseder Conservatory of Music, 54