

Index

A

- Accenture, 66, 111
- Accountabilities: assigning, to restructured teams, 68; of CEO versus Senior Management Team, 94; conflict of, 42, 48–50, 126
- Adair, F., 19, 40
- Adams, J., 103
- Advisers, inner: CEO's reliance on, 2, 14–16; selection of, 75–76, 173. *See also* Kitchen cabinet
- Agendas: miscellaneous items on, 68–69; open-ended, 63–64; review of issues on, 172. *See also* Meetings
- Agility, organizational, 86–87, 88
- AIG, 112
- Air traffic control analogy, 146, 150
- Alignment: areas of, 78; consensus versus, 97; around drivers of change, 104–110; around execution, 78; around external trends, 101–104; around initiatives integration, 138–143; around initiatives prioritization, 125–138; around resource allocation, 78, 161–164; Senior Management Team role in, 67–68, 78, 88, 169; structured conversations for, 96–98; around vision, 78, 99–118
- All-officers team: composition of, 79, 173–174; design of, 78–80; size of, 78
- Allstate Corporation, 112, 155; co-location at, 65–69; committee structure of, 62–65, 67–69; past structures at, 58–60; team restructuring at, 58–71, 79, 170
- Altria, 13, 145
- Amazon.com, 84, 117–118; title searches on, 29, 31, 159
- AOL, 145
- American Airlines, 1–2
- American Red Cross, 25, 93; dependency management at, 154–158
- AT&T, 154
- Apple, 109–110, 111, 174
- Arrow, K., 54–55
- Arthur, King, 45–46
- Assets and capabilities, understanding, 110–111
- Authoritarian leadership, 30, 32, 33, 34
- Autocratic leadership, 14, 30, 32, 33, 34
- Automaker case example, 150–154, 158

B

- Bain & Company, 37
- Balance of power, within Senior Management Team, 42, 50–52, 126–127
- Ballmer, S., 11
- Banga, A., 11–12, 27
- Bank case example, 16–17
- Behavior change: clarity-driven, 177; ineffectiveness of, 25–28, 39, 55–56; for leaders, 29–40; for teams, 21–28, 36–40
- Behaviorists: leadership development and, 29–30, 31, 35–36, 39; on Senior Management Team, 94; team building and, 24, 177
- Berkshire Hathaway, 11
- Best practices, in team portfolio design, 71, 73–89, 167–178
- Beverage company case example, 104–105, 107–109, 110
- Bezos, J., 84, 117
- “Bezos on Innovation,” 118
- Biddle, N., 10
- Big-picture spotting, 104

186 INDEX

- Blaming, 2–3
 Blenko, M., 37, 39–40
 Book publishing and distribution industry, 116–118
 BCG, 111
 Boundary testing, 111–116, 117–118
 Brainstorming, in large group, 78, 83
 Brazilian plant case example, 26–27
 Brennan, E., 59, 70, 112
 BP, 145
 Budget projections, 147–148
 Buffett, W., 11
 Bull's eye, hitting the, 138–143, 176–177
 Business case review: dysfunctional, nominal approach to, 13–14, 146–147, 158–161, 164–165; financial focus in, 159–160; one-sided selling approach to, 158–159; Senior Management Team role in, 13–14, 96, 146–165; serial approach to, 160; templates in, 162, 163; transformed process of, 161–164
BusinessWeek, 117, 118
- C**
- Callero, C., 10, 13
 Capabilities and assets, understanding, 110–111
 Carl, J., 60
 Carpet industry, 101–102
 Chandler, L., 98
 Change, drivers of, 104–110
 Charters, 85–86
 Chief executive officers (CEOs): communications of, about team restructuring, 174–176; custom-tailored team structure for, 61–62, 69–71, 74–75, 88, 167–178; decision-making accountability of, 93–94, 177–178; frustration of, 2–3, 46–47; initiatives and, 119, 121–123, 127; kitchen cabinets of, 1, 10–12, 14–15, 59–60, 168, 173; perceptions of, 17, 19; responsibility of, for team effectiveness, 27–28, 39, 88–89; Senior Management Team approaches of, 11, 14–15; Senior Management Team as reflection of, 43–48; Senior Management Team as staff of, 93–94; team effectiveness and, 27–28, 39, 88–89; team portfolio design for, 56, 57–71, 73–89, 167–178; unrealistic expectations of, 42, 46–47. *See also* Leaders
 Chief financial officer (CFO), in kitchen cabinet, 76
 China plant expansion case study (“Dave”): functional representation in, 48–49; introduction to, 7–9; nominal business case review process in, 13, 14, 16–18, 24–26, 44, 146–147
 Choate, J., 58–59, 61
 CIGNA, 11
 Citigroup, 155
 Civgin, D., 64
 Cloud computing, 109–110
 Coaching, 22–23
 College rankings, 129
 Co-location, 65–69
 Committees: ad hoc, 81–82, 127–128; in Allstate case study, 62–65, 67–69; composition of, 84–85, 174; flexible design of, 80–88, 174; industry-specific, 82, 174; initiative, 127–128; number of, 81–82; outcomes-driven design of, 74–75, 81–87; principles and practices for designing, 73–89, 167–178; proliferation of meetings and, 73–74, 81; size of, 82–84; standing, 81–82, 174. *See also* Team portfolio design
 Common sense, alignment and, 125–127
 Communication, organizational: Senior Management Team role in, 79; about team portfolio redesign, 174–176
 Competencies, redefining, 116
 Competitor view, development of, 109
 Compliance issues, 133
 Computerized auto brakes, 150–154
 Condorcet, M. de, 42, 52, 53, 55, 127
 Confidentiality, in kitchen cabinet, 16
 Conflicts: of accountability, 42, 48–50, 126; of consensus decision making, 42, 52–55; between functional and holistic perspectives, 42, 43–48, 125–126, 150, 153–154, 164–165; inherent in Senior Management Teams, 41–56, 125–127; of internal resources, 147–150; of power balance, 42, 50–52, 126–127
 Consensus decision making: alignment versus, 97; inherent conflicts in, 42, 52–55

- Consultants: executive coaching, 22–23, 27; ineffectiveness of, 27–28; leadership, 29, 30–31, 35; team-building, 24–25, 27–28
- Consultative leadership, 33
- Consulting firms, 110–111
- Consumer electronics industry, 102–103, 105, 109–110, 111, 117–118
- Consumer packaged goods case example, 121–123, 128, 130–135
- Consumer-centric organization, 61
- Copier manufacturer case example, 116
- Core competencies, 116
- Craigslist, 109
- Crisis response management, 154–158
- Cuban missile crisis, 12
- D**
- “Dave” case study. *See* China plant expansion case study
- Decision making: assessment of current processes of, 173; Condorcet’s voting paradox and, 42, 52–55, 127; impossibility of consensus, 52–55; kitchen cabinets for, 1, 10–12, 14–16, 59–60, 75–78, 79, 168, 173; leadership styles and, 30–36, 39; life-and-death, 154–158; myth versus reality of, 1–3, 7–19, 39, 167–170; process frameworks for team, 36–40; Senior Management Teams limitations for, 41–56, 93–94, 125–127, 167–168; sustainability and, 60; team building and, 25; team portfolio design for, 57–71, 73–89, 167–178; Vroom-Yetton-Jago normative model of, 32–36. *See also* Business case review; Dependency management; Initiatives
- Decision-rights charting, 36–40
- Delegative (laissez-faire) leadership, 30, 33, 36
- “Delusions of Success” (Lovallo and Kahneman), 159, 165
- Democratic leadership, 30, 32
- Denny, J., 59
- Dependency management: critical questions for, 160; cross-organizational alignment and, 161–164; defined, 146; for disaster response services, 154–158; failure of initiatives and, 146–150, 158–160, 164–165; functional representation for, 150–154, 157, 162–165; importance of, 145–150, 164–165
- Deutschman, A., 89
- Development. *See* Leadership development; Team building
- Dial Corporation, 123–124
- Difficult personalities, 22–23, 27
- Disaster Operations Center, 155–157
- Disaster response management, 154–158
- Disney, 11
- Doability discussions, 145–165. *See also* Dependency management; Execution
- Document management, 116
- Drive-by-wire technology, 152–153
- Drivers of change, clustering trends into, 104–110
- Dyer, P., 155
- E**
- Earl, C., 159
- Eisner, M., 11
- E-book industry, 116–118
- Emergency response services, 154–158
- Empowerment, 97
- Enterprise Risk and Return Committee, 63
- Enterprise Talent Committee, 63, 65
- Enterprise-wide training initiative, 148–150
- European automaker case example, 150–154, 158
- Execution: dependency management for, 146–165; Senior Management Team role in, 95, 142–143, 145–165. *See also* Dependency management
- Executive coaching, 22–23. *See also* Leadership development
- Executive recruiting, 30–31
- Executive team. *See* Senior Management Team
- Expectations, unrealistic, 42–43
- Experian, 10, 13
- External trends: clustering, into drivers of change, 104–110; getting alignment on, 101–104
- F**
- Facilitative leadership, 33
- Farrell, W. J., 59
- Fidelity Investments, 154

188 INDEX

- Financial analysis, of business cases, 159–160
- Financial services company, 113–114, 124
- Flexibility: in leadership styles, 32–36; in team design, 74–75, 80–88, 174
- Fluidity: in kitchen cabinet membership, 76–77; in team design, 74–75, 85–86
- Fly-by-wire technology
- Fonda, H., 151
- Frisch, B., 98
- Frustration: CEOs' unawareness of, 17; of chief executive officers, 2–3; with proliferation of initiatives, 122–123; of SMT members, 2–3, 14–15, 16–19
- Functional expertise: conflict between holistic perspective and, 42, 43–48, 125–126, 150, 153–154, 164–165; value of, 47–48, 150–154, 162–165
- Functional representation: conflict of accountability and, 42, 48–50, 126; value of, in dependency management, 150–154, 157, 162–165
- Fuzzy logic, 136–137
- G**
- G. D. Searle, 59
- Gaming the system, 122–123, 130
- Gates, B., 11, 102–103, 105, 109–110
- Geertz, C., 125
- General Motors (GM), 145, 150
- Generalist perspective, 42, 43–48, 106, 125–126
- Gilmore, S., 25
- Giuliani, R., 34
- Global beverage company case example, 104–105, 107–109, 110
- Global industrial products company case example, 26–27
- Global investment services company, 115
- Goal setting, SMART system of, 68
- Google searches, 21
- Ground Zero, 34
- Groupthink, 76
- Growth opportunities, defining and selecting, 116–118
- H**
- Haiti earthquake, 156–157
- Hamel, G., 116
- Hamlet, 12–13
- Harvard Business Review, 159
- Harvard Business School, 154
- Hawthorne experiments, 24
- Headcount rule, 113–114
- Heidrick & Struggles study (*How CEOs and Top Management Team Members Really See Their Performance*), 19, 21, 37, 40
- Hitler, 32
- Holistic perspective: conflict between functional expertise and, 42, 43–48, 125–126, 150, 153–154, 164–165; time spent on, 47–48
- Home Depot, 112
- Horse-trading, 55
- How CEOs and Top Management Team Members Really See Their Performance* (Rosen and Adair), 19, 21, 37, 40
- How to Build a Business Case* (Earl), 159
- Hub-and-spoke model, 59–60
- HR function, dependency management and, 159–160, 162–163
- Hurricane Earl, 156
- Hurricane Katrina, 154
- I**
- Illinois Tool Works, 59
- Illusion and reality, 12–16
- Impossibility theorem, 54–55
- Inclusion, lobbying for: in kitchen cabinet, 77; team size and, 83–84
- Industrial products company case example, 26–27, 114–115
- Industrial psychology, 24
- Industry trends: clustering, into drivers of change, 104–110; generic trends versus, 104–106; getting alignment around, 101–104
- IT function, 159–160
- Initiative teams, 174, 176–177
- Initiatives: ad hoc rationalization team for, 127–128; book of, 139; clustering, 134–135, 136–138; critical questions about, 135–136, 142; dependency management for, 145–165; difficulties of rationalizing, 125–128; execution of, 145–165; failure to manage dependencies and, 146–150, 158–160, 164–165; importance dimension of, 131–132; importance of managing, 119–121; integrating,

- 138–143; invisibility of, to chief executive officers, 119, 121–122; matrix chart of, 130–135; mechanistic scoring systems for, 128–130, 136, 137; ownership of, 122–124, 130–131, 163–164, 176–177; prioritizing, 121–138; proliferation of, 121, 122–123; rank-ordering, 124–138; relative ranking of, 130–138; resource allocation and, 135; Senior Management Team role in, 119–143, 174, 176–177; strategic objectives and, 138–143, 176–177; timing/urgency dimension of, 131–132, 137, 160
- Inner circles. *See* Kitchen cabinet(s)
- Innovation, testing boundaries for, 115
- Institutional memory, 62
- Insurance industry, 63. *See also* Allstate Corporation
- ITT, 172
- Internet, 103, 105, 109–110
- Intrapreneur, 123
- Investment services company, 115
- Investments Committee, 63
- iPad, 109
- iPhone, 109
- iPod, 109
- Isom, G., 11
- Issue ownership, 85–86
- J**
- Jackson, A., 10
- Jobs, S., 105, 109–110, 174
- K**
- Kahneman, D., 159, 165
- Kennedy, J. F., 12
- Kennedy, R., 12, 75
- Kindle, 116–118
- Kitchen cabinet(s): advantages of, 15–16, 87, 168; in Allstate case study, 59–60; composition of, 75–76, 173; decision-making power of, 1, 14–16, 168; design and composition of, 75–78, 173; examples of, in U.S. corporations, 11; initiatives and, 127; in international corporations, 11–12; legitimacy of, 87; outside members in, 76; in U.S. history, 10–12; unofficial nature of, 10, 15–16, 77
- Knights of the Round Table model, 42, 43–48, 157
- Kranz, G., 43
- Krushchev, N., 12
- L**
- Laissez-faire (delegative) leadership, 30, 33, 36
- Large groups, 78–80, 83, 173–174. *See also* All-officers team
- Leaders: changing the behavior of, 29–40; ideal traits for, 30–32; team effectiveness and, 27–28, 39, 88–89. *See also* Chief executive officers
- Leadership development, 29–40
- “Leadership Secrets of . . .” books, 31
- Leadership styles: concepts and types of, 30–32; diagnostic instrument for, 35, 36; Vroom/flexible approach to, 32–36
- Legislature, Senior Management Team as, 42, 48–50, 126
- Lewin, K., 30, 32, 33
- Liddy, E. (“Ed”), 59–61, 112
- Loranger, S., 172
- Lovallo, D., 159, 165
- Luxury goods company, 111
- M**
- Management council. *See* Senior Management Team
- Management Myth, *The* (M. Stewart), 24
- Maritz, S., 49
- Maritz Holdings Inc., 49
- MasterCard, 11, 27, 51, 120
- Matrixed organizations, 38
- Mayo, E., 24
- McCabe, M., 59
- McColgan, E., 13–14, 51, 76, 77
- McGovern, G., 93, 154–157
- McGregor, D., 30
- McKinsey, 110–111
- Medium-sized groups, 78. *See also* Senior Management Team
- Meetings: of Allstate’s Operating Committee, 63–64; for initiatives prioritization, 132–135; prestige and, 84; strategic discussions in, 95–98; structured conversations in, 96–98;

- Meetings: of Allstate's Operating Committee, (*Continued*)
time spent in, calculating, 171–172;
time wasted in, 73–74, 81, 171. *See also* Agendas; Business case review;
Structured conversations
- Megatrends (Nesbitt), 104
- Merrill Lynch, 13, 145
- Microsoft, 11, 102–103, 109–110
- Mission Control model, 42, 43–48, 157
- Monster.com, 109
- Morgan Stanley Wealth Management Group, 13, 51, 76
- Moriarty, S., 28, 45, 76, 81, 83
- Munger, C., 11
- Mussolini, 32
- N**
- NASA, Mission Control Center of, 43–44
- Nesbitt, J., 104
- Nestlé, 11–12
- 9/11 tragedy, 34
- Noble, J., 13, 145–146, 147–148, 150, 161
- Norman, M., 81
- O**
- Office space arrangement, 65–70
- “Off-Sites That Work” (Frisch and Chandler), 98
- Operating Committee, at Allstate, 63–64, 68
- Opportunities, defining and selecting, 116–118
- Organization charts: in Allstate case study, 59; initiatives management and, 142; kitchen-cabinet invisibility on, 10, 15–16, 77; organization change and, 62; portfolio of teams versus, 56; Senior Management Teams on, 1, 11
- Organization design. *See* Team portfolio design
- Organizational behaviorism, 24. *See also* Behaviorists; Psychological approaches
- Outcomes focus: in initiatives integration, 138–143, 176–177; in team design, 74–75, 81–87
- Ownership: business case, 158–159, 162, 163–164; initiative, 122–124, 130–131, 163–164, 176–177; issue, 85–86
- P**
- Packaged goods company case example, 121–123, 128, 130–135
- Palgutt, B., 11
- Parochialism: conflicts of interest and, 42, 48–50, 126, 128; value of, 150–154
- Performance, business, team building and, 25
- Performance, team: CEO perceptions of, 19, 21; psychological approaches to, 21–28; team-building approach to, 21–28
- Personal computer industry, 102–103, 105, 109–110, 111
- Persuasion, 158–159
- Pike, R., 59
- “Pogo,” 21–22
- Political correctness, 32
- Political weights, 42, 50–52
- Portfolio of teams. *See* Team portfolio design
- Power equality/inequality, within Senior Management Team, 42, 50–52, 126–127
- Prahalad, C. K., 116
- Prestige: business case approval and, 158; team membership and, 83–84, 175–176
- Project management, 37, 128
- Project teams, 81–82. *See also* Committees; Initiative teams
- Psychological approaches: benefits of, 27; for difficult executives, 22–23, 27; industrial, 24; ineffectiveness of, 25–28, 39, 55–56, 177; for leadership development, 29–40; popularity of, 21–22, 24–25; for team building, 21–28
- Pushback: in initiative execution, 149; kitchen cabinets for, 76, 78; on team restructuring, 175–176
- Q**
- Quaker Oats, 155

R

- RACI charting, 37, 39, 84
 Ralph Lauren, 111
 Rank ordering: of initiatives, 124–138;
 mechanistic systems of, 128–130,
 136, 137; principles of, 135–138;
 relative-preference method of,
 130–138
 RAPID approach, 37–38, 39–40
 Reality and illusion, 12–16
 Red Cross. *See* American Red Cross
 Reengineering, 74
 Relative preference rankings,
 130–138
 Resource allocation: alignment around,
 78, 161–164; dependency
 management and, 145–165;
 initiatives prioritization and, 135;
 Senior Management Team role in,
 95, 161–164; team time frame and,
 86–87. *See also* Dependency
 management
 Resource constraints, internal,
 47–148
 Responsibility charting, 37, 39, 84
 Retail industry, big-box, 111
 Rich Products, 97
 Rogers, P., 37, 39–40
 Role clarity: decision charting for,
 36–40; lack of, 18–19; for Senior
 Management Team, 18–19,
 172–173
 Rosen, R., 19, 40
 Rub points, 147–148
 Rules of the business, testing, 111–116,
 117–118
- S**
- SAP initiative, 147–148
 Sara Lee, 155
 Scenario planning, 109, 156–157
 Searle, G. D., 59
 Sears, Roebuck and Co., 59, 112, 180
 Selander, B., 51, 120
 Senior Leadership Team (SLT), at
 Allstate, 63, 67–68
 Senior Management Team (SMT):
 accountability conflicts of, 42, 48–50,
 126; alignment role of, 67–68, 78, 88,
 169; in Allstate case study, 58–71;
 broadened, all-officers version of,
 78–80; business case review and,
 13–14, 96, 146–165; CEO
 communications with, 174–176;
 CEO review of, 171–173; as CEO's
 staff, 93–94; communications role of,
 79; critical conversations of, 93–94;
 decision-making limitations of,
 41–56, 93–94, 125–127, 167–168;
 decision-process frameworks for,
 36–40; design and composition of, 78,
 173; execution role of, 95, 142–143,
 145–165; frustration in, 2–3, 14–15,
 16–19; functional-expertise role of,
 42, 43–48, 150–154, 162–163,
 162–165; functional-representative
 role of, 42, 48–50, 150–154,
 162–165; fundamental conflicts
 inherent in, 41–56, 125–127;
 generalist expectations for, 42, 43–48;
 as House versus Senate, 42, 50–52;
 initiatives prioritization/integration
 role of, 119–143, 174, 176–177; as
 legislature versus team, 42, 48–50,
 126; as Mission Control Center
 versus Knights of the Round Table,
 42, 43–48, 157; myth versus reality
 about, 1–3, 7–19, 39, 55–56,
 167–170; operational role of, 95–96,
 172; performance effectiveness of,
 survey of, 19, 21; portfolio approach
 and, 57–71; power inequalities in, 42,
 50–52, 126–127; resource allocation
 role of, 95, 161–164; role clarity for,
 18–19, 172–173; size of, 78; strategic
 objectives discussions of, 138–143,
 176–177; strategic role of, 95–98,
 176–177; strengths of, 78, 88, 94–98;
 team-building approaches to, 21–28;
 vision role of, 95, 99–118; voting
 paradox and, 42, 52–55, 127
 Senior team. *See* Senior Management
 Team
 Servant leadership, 30
 Shakespeare, 12–13
 Silence, 126
 Situational leadership, 32–36
 Skinner, B. F., 24
 Small groups: benefits of, 62–63; for
 decision making, 75, 83. *See also*
 Kitchen cabinets
 SMART goal setting, 68
 SMT. *See* Senior Management Team

- Specialization. *See* Functional expertise; Functional representation
- Sponsors. *See* Ownership
- Status: business case approval and, 158–159; co-location and, 66; team membership and, 83–84, 175–176
- Stewart, J., 145
- Stewart, M., 24
- Strategic initiatives. *See* Initiatives
- Strategic objectives: defined, 138; initiatives integration and, 138–143, 176–177
- Strategic outcomes. *See* Outcomes focus
- Strategy and Reinvention Committee, 63, 64–65
- Strategy committee, flexible composition of, 85
- Strategy development: initiatives management and, 119–143; Senior Management Team role in, 95–98; vision alignment and, 99–118. *See also* Vision
- SWOT analysis, 109
- Structured conversations: about dependencies, 145–165; about initiatives, 119–143; nature of, 96–98; about vision, 99–118
- Succession planning: committees for, 64, 65; leadership development for, 30–31
- Suit-of-clothes analogy, 61–62, 70–71
- Sunset provisions, 86–87
- Sustainability, 60
- Sylla, C., 59
- T**
- Talisman Energy, 13, 145–146, 163
- Team building: benefits of, 27; exercises in, 23–24; ineffectiveness of, 25–28, 55; popular industry of, 21–22, 24–25; pressures for, 21–22; psychological focus of, 21–23, 24
- Team development. *See* Team building
- Team effectiveness: factors in, 25; leadership and, 27–28, 39, 88–89; measurement of, 23; process frameworks for, 36–40; team-building approach to, 21–28
- Team portfolio design: in Allstate case study, 57–71; best practices for, 71, 73–89, 167–178; communications about, 174–176; composition and, 84–85; custom-tailored, 61–62, 69–71, 74–75, 88, 167–178; dimensions of, 80–87; flexibility and fluidity in, 74–75, 80–88, 174; issue ownership and, 85–86; kitchen cabinets in, 75–78, 168; organizational chart versus, 56; outcomes-driven, 74–75, 81–87; overview of, 57; payoffs of, 87–89; rationale for, 56, 57–58, 174–176; review for, 172–174; Senior Management Team in, 78; team size and, 62, 82–84; three centers of gravity in, 75–80, 169–170; timing of tasks and, 86–87; transitioning to, 167–178. *See also* All-officers team; Committees; Kitchen cabinet; Senior Management Team
- Team process improvement, 36–40
- Team size: of all-officers group, 78; factors in, 62, 78, 82–84; flexibility in, 83–84; of kitchen cabinet, 75; of Senior Management Team, 78. *See also* Large groups; Medium-sized groups; Small groups
- Technology company case example, 139–141
- Technology industries, 102–103, 105, 109–110, 111, 117–118
- Theory Y, 30
- Ticketmaster, 28, 45, 76, 81
- Tiffany, 111
- Time Warner, 145
- Timing: dependency management and, 160; of initiatives, 131–132, 137, 160; of team tasks, 86–87
- Tojo, 32
- Top management team. *See* Senior Management Team
- Training initiative, enterprise-wide, 148–150
- Transformational leadership, 30
- Transition, to portfolio of teams approach, 167–178
- Transitive law, 52–55
- Trends: clustering, into drivers of change, 104–110; getting alignment around, 101–104; mega- versus specific, 104–106
- Trends book, 104
- Turf wars, 14, 126, 128
- 12 Angry Men*, 151

U

U.S. Department of State, 1
 U.S. House of Representatives, 42, 50
 U.S. Senate, 42, 50

V

Velocity, organizational, 86–87, 88
 Vision: ad hoc committees for, 82;
 alignment around, 78, 99–118;
 business case review and, 161–162;
 components of, 100; defining and
 selecting opportunities based on,
 116–118; drivers of change and,
 104–110; external trends and,
 101–104; failure related to, 100;
 pessimism about, 100; Senior
 Management Team conversations
 about, 95, 99–118; testing boundaries
 for, 111–116, 117–118;
 understanding capabilities and assets
 for, 110–111
 Voting, 49–50
 Voting paradox, 42, 52–55, 127

Vroom, V., 32–36
 Vroom box, 34–36
 Vroom diagnostic instrument, 35, 36
 Vroom-Yetton-Jago normative decision
 model, 33–36

W

Walls and fences, walking the, 111–116
 Wal-Mart, 111
 War-gaming, 109
 Weighted scoring systems, 128–130
 Wells, F., 11
 Western Electric, 24
 Whac-a-Mole, 27
 “Who Has the D?” (Rogers and Blenko),
 40
 Wilson, T., 58–70, 170
 Worldview, Senior Management Team
 alignment around, 99–118, 125–127.
See also Vision
 Wratten, D., 11
 Yale University, 32



<http://www.pbookshop.com>

