

INDEX

Page references followed by *fig* indicate an illustrated figure; followed by *t* indicate a table.

A

Acceptance stage of closing, 145
Accountability of board, 82–86
ActKnowledge, 95
Adaptive capacity: CCAT core program phase findings on, 42; CCAT findings on MIE phase, 102; CCAT infrastructure phase findings on, 42; sustainable growth through leadership's, 161
Adaptive environmental learning: CCAT findings on core program, 41–42; CCAT findings on infrastructure phase, 70; CCAT findings on MIE phase, 100–101
Administrative services, 150–151
Amazon.com, 133
And the Band Stopped Playing: The Rise and Fall of the San Jose Symphony (Wolf), 120
Anger stage of closing, 142–143
Annie Hall (film), 10
Aspen Institute, 159

Aspiranet, 98
Assessment and market analysis: case study used for, 131–132; external opportunities of, 132–133; internal challenges of, 132; turnaround and process of, 130–131
Atlantic City Press, 140

B

Bargaining stage of closing, 143–144
Becoming a Life Change Artist (Mandell and Jordan), 134
Berlin, Rich, 86
Best Buy Children's Foundation, 98
Big Brothers Big Sisters of Eastern Missouri (BBBSEMO): core of the, 67–68; critical moments experienced by, 68; description and accomplishments of, 67; ED-board relationship of trust, 69–70; organizational culture of, 68–69
Blanco, Kathleen Babineaux, 23

- Blind trust problem, 114–115
- Board leadership: accountability of, 82–86; avoiding stagnant roles of, 115–116; CCAT findings on core program, 42; CCAT findings on infrastructure phase, 71; decline phase and responses of, 104; reengaging in the mission, 116–117
- Board member accountability: average board attendance/meeting attendance, 83*t*, 84; average board gift, giving and getting, 84; Board Report Card for, 82–83*t*; committee participation and attendance, 85; event participation, 85; how to use the Board Report Card for, 85–86; performance impact on renewing term, 84; program visits and other activities, 85; stated goals, 85
- Board Report Card: description and example of, 82–83*t*; how to use the, 85–86
- Board-ED relationship: conflict between ED and board, 60–61; evolving leadership role through, 162; during infrastructure phase, 56–58; trust during core program phase, 33; weak board led by strong ED, 58–59
- Boards: accountability during MIE phase, 82–86; consequences of hijacked infrastructure phase, 59–61; consequences of a weak infrastructure phase, 58–59; core program phase role of, 22–23; decline phase and readiness of, 118; infrastructure phase role of, 55–63; infrastructure phase with evolving, 61–63
- BoardSource, 33
- Bolman, Lee G., 64, 65
- Boston Symphony Orchestra, 121
- British Museum, 121
- Brothers, John, 8–9, 152–158
- Budgets: decline phase and conditions of, 104; economic crisis affecting cash flow, 117, 141; template for, 80, 81*t*. *See also* Financial management; Funding
- Business model effectiveness, 148–149
- ## C
- Cantrell, Rev. Chet, 38
- CCAT (Core Capacity Assessment Tool): assessing adaptive leadership using, 163; assessing reality aversion using, 111; core program findings of, 41–43; findings on nonprofit adaptive capacity, 30; infrastructure/adolescence phase findings of, 70–71; maturity/impact expansion (MIE) findings of, 100–102; TCC Group development of, 8
- CCAT core program phase findings: on adaptive capacity, 42; on adaptive environmental learning, 41–42; on challenges facing organization, 42; on leadership sustainability and board leadership, 42; on leadership vision, 42; on management, 42–43; on organizational culture, 43; on technical skills, 43
- CCAT infrastructure phase findings: on adaptive capacity, 71; on adaptive environmental learning, 70; on

- challenges, 71; on leadership, 70, 71;
on management, 70; on manager-
to-staff communication, 71; on
organizational culture, 71; on
technical skills, 71
- Center for Human Environments, 95
- Challenges: CCAT findings on core
program, 42; CCAT findings on
infrastructure phase, 71; of the decline
phase, 104; MIE phase resource
development, 76, 162
- Change: ability to adapt dependent on
leadership, 30–31; achieving the mission
by understanding, 160–161; authors’
perspective on need for, 10–11; evolving
infrastructure phase board facilitating,
61–63; lifecycle used as framework for,
3–10*fig*; motivation of agents of, 21;
as nonprofit constant, 1–2; shifting
organizational DNA, 133–140; Theory
of Change (TOC) development process
for, 94–95; trust required for, 31–53;
vision statement as effective tool for, 18.
See also Turnaround phase
- Change agent motivation, 27
- Charlotte Observer*, 140
- Chicago Public Schools, 98
- Christian Activity Center (CAC):
description and accomplishment of, 38;
extraordinary assistance provided to,
38; leadership role in evolution of, 41;
process of transformation at, 38–39;
stakeholder engagement in mission and
values of, 39–40; on the true “core” of,
40–41
- Churchill, Winston, 56
- City University of New York, 95
- Clinton, Monte, 153
- Closing organization mind-set:
acceptance, 145; anger, 142–143;
bargaining, 143–144; denial, 142;
depression, 144
- Closing organizations: downward apex
point prior to, 129*fig*–130; economic
crisis (2008) increase of, 140–141;
historical look at lifecycle thought
on, 128; mind-set of, 141–145;
understanding the, 127. *See also*
Turnaround phase
- CME Group Foundation, 98
- Cohen, Dan S., 18
- Collins, Jr., 134
- Communication: CCAT findings on
infrastructure stage, manager-to-staff,
71; CCAT findings on MIE phase,
manager-to-staff, 101; development of
core competencies in, 53–54; as self-
awareness requirement, 31
- Community partners: building
relationships with, 80; how a crisis may
impact, 117–119
- Complacency signs: dwindling passion for
the mission, 116–117; “it ain’t broke,”
114–115; macro, macro, and more
macro, 115–116; trusting to a fault,
113–114
- Connolly, Paul, 46, 58
- Core program interviews: Christian
Activity Center (CAC), 38–41; Sadie
Nash Leadership Project, 34–37

- Core program phase: board-ED partnership built on trust principle of, 33; CCAT findings on, 41–43; characteristics/telltale signs of established, 15–16; defining the “core” during, 13–15; defining mission, vision, and values during, 13–14; developing strategy of, 14–15; importance of trust during, 31–33; using logic model to sort out issues of, 27–28
- CSE action steps: areas, colors, recovery steps of the, 93; description of the, 91, 92*t*; primary function of the, 94
- CSE (Core Support Evaluation): assessing adaptive leadership using, 161; dashboard of the, 87–94; diversifying leadership and management approaches using, 110; function of the, 87
- CSE dashboard: action steps sheet of the, 91, 92*t*, 93–94; impact areas of the, 88*t*, 90–91; issue areas of the, 88*t*, 89–90; the legend, 87, 88*t*, 89; what the colors mean on the, 87, 88*t*, 89
- CSE impact areas: client satisfaction, 91; human capital, 90; performance, 90; systems and processes, 31; tools, 91
- Cuidiu Consulting, 1
- D**
- DAP (downward apex point): description and three responses to, 129; turnaround arc from the, 129*fig*–130
- Deaconess Impact Partnership (DIP), 38
- Deal, Terrence E., 64, 65
- Decision making: CCAT findings on MIE phase tools for, 100; turnaround risks requiring well-informed, 162–163
- Decline phase: the arc during crisis, 117–120; beware of falling into, 162; high-arc organizations during, 105–112; importance of understanding the, 103–104; low-arc organizations during, 105, 112–117; overview of the, 104–105; self-aware organizations during, 104–105; Thomas Wolf interview on, 120–125
- Decline phase (high-arc organizations): cash flow management during, 107; description of, 105–106; enhancing board bench strength during, 107; mind-set during, 108–112; scenario planning during, 107–108*fig*
- Decline phase (low-arc organizations): challenges of the, 112–113; organizational complacency during, 113–117
- Denial stage of closing, 142
- Dependency problem: description of, 110; solution to the, 110
- Depression stage of closing, 144
- Drucker, Peter, 17, 33
- Duke, Matthew, 140
- E**
- Economic crisis (2008), 77, 117, 140–141
- ED-board relationship: conflict between ED and board, 60–61; during infrastructure phase, 56–58; evolving role of leadership through, 162; trust during core program phase, 33; weak board led by strong ED, 58–59
- EDs (executive directors): building community and partnership

- relationships, 80, 82; founder's syndrome found in, 28–30, 161; infrastructure phase role of, 55; infrastructure responsibilities of, 66–67; organization's dependency on, 110; performance as organizational capacity element, 148; role in defining and articulating values, 22, 23; trust between boards and, 33. *See also* Leadership
- Established nonprofits: characteristics and telltale signs of, 15–16; values statements for, 20–21
- Evaluation Exchange* (periodical), 94
- “Evolution and Revolution as Organizations grow” (Greiner), 3
- Evolving boards, 61–63
- F**
- Financial management: as organizational capacity element, 148–149; as predictor of sustainability, 76–77. *See also* Budgets; Management
- Five Life Stages of Nonprofit Organizations* (Simon), 128
- 501(c)(3) incorporation, 13–14
- Foundation for Louisiana: establishment of the, 23; formerly Louisiana Disaster Recovery Foundation (LDRF), 23; values statement of the, 23–24.
- Founder's syndrome, 28–31, 161
- Funder relationships: building, 80, 82; how a crisis may impact, 117, 141
- Funders: economic crisis impacting ability to give, 117, 141; how a crisis may impact your, 119–120; incentives for capacity building and funder collaboration, 151–152
- Funding: economic crisis affecting, 117, 141; making the case for resource development through, 78–82; nonprofit competition for, 77–78. *See also* Budgets
- Fundraising capacity: identifying nonprofit strengths, 78–79; as predictor of sustainability, 76–77
- Fundraising skills: CCAT findings on core program, 43; CCAT findings on infrastructure phase, 71; CCAT findings on MIE phase, 102–103
- Fundraising strategies, generating interest and enhancing your reputation, 80–82
- G**
- Cates, Bill, 56
- Girl Scouts, 94
- Glick, Jan, 145–152
- Good to Great* (Collins), 134
- Graduate Center (City University of New York), 95
- Grantmakers for Effective Organizations, 94
- Great Recession (2008), 77, 117, 140–141
- Gross, Susan, 25, 46
- Group Homes for Children, 140
- Growth readiness: organizational DNA shift for, 139; turnaround process and, 139–140
- Guidestar, 159
- H**
- “Hail Mary” approach, 130
- Hammond, Gene, 140

- Harvard Family Research Project, 94
The Heart of Change Field Guide
 (Cohen), 18
- Heath, Chip, 21
- Heath, Dan, 21
- High-arc organization decline phase:
 cash flow management during, 107;
 description of, 105–106; enhancing
 board bench strength during, 107;
 mind-set during, 108–112; scenario
 planning during, 107–108*fig*
- High-arc organizations: decline phase of,
 105–112; infrastructure or adolescence
 phase of, 46–47; maturity/impact
 expansion (MIE) phase of, 74–75*fig*,
 112; trajectories of, 9*fig*, 106. *See also*
 Organizations
- Hijacked boards, 59–61
- Holloway, Sarah, 96
- Human capital: CSE impact area, 90;
 economic crisis impacting, 117. *See also*
 Staff; Volunteers
- Hurricane Katrina, 23
- I**
- Infrastructure or adolescence phase: Becky
 James-Hatter of BBBSEMO interview,
 67–70; board-ED relationship during,
 56–58; CCAT findings on, 70–71;
 clear articulation of values during,
 64–65; consequences of hijacked board
 during, 59–61; consequences of a weak
 board during, 58–59; description of,
 45–46; evolving board during, 61–63;
 organizational culture during, 46,
 63–67; planning for organizational
 growth, 48–55; rate of growth during
 the, 47; role of the board during, 55–63
- Innovation value, 65
- Internal leadership: CCAT findings on
 infrastructure phase, 70; CCAT findings
 on MIE phase, 101
- The International Who's Who of Music*, 121
- Interviews: Becky James-Hatter of
 BBBSEMO, 67–70; Carole Wacey of
 MOUSE, 95–100; Christian Activity
 Center (CAC), 38–41; Sadie Nash
 Leadership Project, 34–37; Thomas
 Wolf, 120–125
- “It ain’t broke” problem: description of,
 114; solution to, 114–115
- J**
- James-Hatter, Becky, 67–70
- Jordan, Kathleen, 134
- K**
- Kennedy Center, 121
- King, Martin Luther, Jr., 153
- Kingsdorf, Pastor Klaus, 153
- Kübler-Ross, Elisabeth, 142
- L**
- Leader influence, 101
- Leader vision: CCAT findings on core
 program, 42; CCAT findings on
 infrastructure phase, 70; CCAT findings
 on MIE phase, 101. *See also* Vision
- Leadership: ability to adapt dependent on,
 30–31; CCAT findings on core program,
 42; CCAT findings on infrastructure
 phase, 70; CCAT findings on MIE
 phase, 101; CSE tool for diversifying,

110; decline phase and responses to, 104; evolving role of, 162; founder's syndrome of, 28–30, 161; organization's dependency on, 110; as predictor of sustainability, 76–77; problem of overly macro-level overview by, 115–116; self-awareness of, 30–31; sustainable growth through adaptive, 161. *See also* EDs (executive directors)

Leadership and Management Effectiveness (Bolman and Deal), 64

Leadership sustainability: CCAT findings on core program, 42; CCAT findings on infrastructure phase, 71; CCAT findings on MIE phase, 102

Lifecycle. *See* Organization lifecycle

Lifecycle pyramid (TCC Group model), 6fig, 8

LifeSpan Farm, 140

Light, Paul, 141

Logic models: clarifying your strategy by using, 26–28; as program evaluation tool, 79, 161; sorting out core program issues using, 27–28; template for, 27fig; used to expand program, 50fig–51fig

Louisiana Disaster Recovery Foundation (LDRF). *See* Foundation for Louisiana

Low-arc organization decline phase: challenges of the, 112–113; organizational complacency during, 113–117

Low-arc organizations: decline phase of, 105, 112–117; infrastructure/adolescence phase of, 46–47; maturity/impact expansion (MIE) phase of, 73–74fig, 112; trajectories of, 10fig. *See also* Organizations

Lutheran Family Health Centers, 157–158

M

Macro, macro, and more macro problem: description of, 115; solution to, 115–116

Main Street Services (MSS): assessment/market analysis by, 131–132; conducting an organizational turnaround for, 130; external opportunities created by, 132–133; growth readiness by, 139–140; internal challenges facing, 132; organization DNA shift by, 135–140

Management: CCAT findings on core program, 42–43; CCAT findings on infrastructure phase, 70; CCAT findings on manager-to-staff communication, 71; CCAT findings on MIE phase, 101–102; CSE tool for diversifying, 110; sharing or outsourcing administrative services, 150–151; supporting organizational growth, 52–54. *See also* Financial management

Manager-to-staff communication: CCAT findings on infrastructure phase, 71; CCAT findings on MIE phase, 101

Managing a Nonprofit Organization in the 21st Century (Wolf), 121

Mandell, Fred, 134

Market analysis: as organizational capacity element, 148; turnaround through assessment and, 130–133

Marketing skills: CCAT findings on core program, 43; CCAT findings on infrastructure phase, 71; CCAT findings on MIE phase, 102

Mattock, Ron, 141

- Maturity/impact expansion (MIE) phase:
 building board accountability during, 82–86; Carole Wacey of MOUSE interview on, 95–100; CCAT findings on, 100–102; Core Support Evaluation (CSE) tool for the, 87–94; description of, 73–75; high-arc organization during, 74–75*fig*, 112; impact expansion and question of scale during, 94–95; low-arc organization during, 74*fig*, 112; making the case for funding during, 78–82; resource development cultivation during, 75, 76–78, 162
- Mayo Clinic, 119
- McCrimmon, Mitch, 109
- McReynolds, Larry, 157
- Microsoft, 98
- Mission: of BBBSEMO, 67–68; dwindling passion for the, 116–117; founder’s syndrome and inability to prioritize, 29–30, 161; how a crisis may impact the, 119; reengaging stakeholders in the, 116–117, 118–119; understanding organizational growth/change to achieve the, 160–161; vision as integrating strategy and, 17. *See also* Nonprofits
- Mission statements: development of good, 16–17; Peter Drucker on T-shirt fit of, 17; required for 501(c)(3) incorporation, 13–14
- Motivation for change, 21
- MOUSE, 95–100
- N**
- National Education Association, 141
- National Endowment for the Arts, 121
- Navigating the Organizational Lifecycle: A Capacity Building Guide for Nonprofit Leaders* (Connolly), 46
- Negativity problem: description of, 111; solution to, 111–112
- New England Foundation for the Arts, 120
- New York Cares: considerations for expanding programs, 49, 52; core competencies of management used at, 53–54; logic model used to expand programs, 50*fig*–51*fig*; planning program sustainability at, 55
- New York City Council, 97
- New York City Department of Education, 97
- New York University, 141
- Nonprofit Almanac 2008*, 141
- Nonprofit Finance Fund, 141
- Nonprofit innovation: funder incentives for capacity building/collaboration, 151–152; leveraging volunteers’ time and skills, 152; partnerships, mergers, consolidations, 151; recognizing the need for, 149–150; shared or outsourced administrative services, 150–151
- Nonprofit Lifecycles: Stage-Based Wisdom for Nonprofit Capacity* (Stevens), 3
- Nonprofit Turnaround: A Guide for Nonprofit Leaders, Consultants and Funders* (Glick), 145, 146
- Nonprofits: areas of future innovation for, 124–125; competitive environment for, 77; establishing and following values, 21–24, 64–65; 501(c)(3) incorporation of, 13–14; serious challenges facing, 159–160; start-up, 19–20, 28–30,

161; values statement of, 14, 19–24;
vision of, 14, 17–19. *See also* Mission;
Organizations
Nuzum, John, 154, 155

O

On Death and Dying (Kübler-Ross), 142

Organization DNA shift: applying
discipline for, 138–139; collaborating
for, 137–138; embracing uncertainty
and taking risks, 136–137; Main
Street Services (MSS) case studies on,
135–140; preparing for the, 134–135;
seeing new perspectives for, 135–136;
turnaround through, 133–134. *See also*
Organizational culture

Organization lifecycle: change as a
constant in, 1–2; core program phase,
13–43; decline phase of, 103–125, 162;
as framework for change, 3–10*fig*;
infrastructure or adolescence phase,
45–71; knowing where you are to get
where you want to go premise of, 163;
maturity/impact expansion (MIE)
phase, 73–102, 112, 162; turnaround
or closing phase, 127–158, 162–163;
understanding the journey continues
past “top” of, 162. *See also* Stevens
Lifecycle model; TCC Group model

Organizational capacity: CCAT findings
on core program, 41–43; CCAT findings
on infrastructure/adolescence phase,
70–71; CCAT findings on maturity/
impact expansion (MIE), 100–102; four
gas tanks metaphor of, 145–147; funder
incentives for building, 151–152; regular

assessments of five key elements of,
148–149

Organizational capacity key elements:
board of directors performance, 148;
executive director performance, 148;
financial position and effectiveness
of business model, 148–149; market
analysis, 148; staff performance
evaluations, 149

Organizational complacency signs:
dwindling passion for the mission,
116–117; “it ain’t broke,” 114–115; macro,
macro, and more macro, 115–116;
trusting to a fault, 113–114

Organizational culture: of BBBSEMO’s
accountability core of, 68–69; CCAT
findings on core program, 43; CCAT
findings on infrastructure phase, 71;
CCAT findings on MIE phase, 102;
including “nonnegotiables” in, 65–66;
infrastructure phase development
of, 63–67; need for infrastructure
requiring shift in, 46; understanding
and developing your, 162. *See also*
Organizational DNA shift

Organizational Culture and Leadership
(Schein), 64

Organizational growth: achieving the
mission by understanding, 160–161;
adaptive leadership as key to sustainable,
161; defining nature and meaning
of, 160; of high-arc organizations, 106;
issues related to rate of, 47; low-arc
organization trajectories, 10*fig*;
management to support, 52–54; program
expansion, 48–52; sustainability issues

- of, 54–55; trajectories of high-arc organizations, 9*fig*, 106; turnaround and readiness for, 139–140
- Organizational self-esteem, 108–109
- Organizational turnaround arc:
assessment and market analysis section of, 130–133; DAP (downward apex point) and, 129*fig*–130; growth readiness section of, 139–140; shifting organizational DNA section of, 133–140
- Organizations: closing, 127, 128–130, 140–145; DAP (downward apex point) of, 129*fig*–130; self-aware, 104–105; turnaround of, 127–140, 145–158, 162–163. *See also* High-arc organizations; Low-arc organizations; Nonprofits
- Outsourced administrative services, 150–151
- P**
- Park Slope United Methodist Church, 152–153
- Philanthropy Northwest, 151
- Polk Bros. Foundation, 98
- Presenting Performances in the 21st Century* (Wolf), 121
- Problem solving: CCAT findings on MIE phase, 101–102; high-arc organization decline phase, 108–112; low-arc organization decline phase, 112–117
- Program evaluation: logic models used in, 79, 161; qualitative and quantitative measures of, 79
- Program evaluation skills: CCAT findings on core program, 43; CCAT findings on infrastructure phase, 71
- Programs: board member visits to, 85; creating and developing core, 13–43; decline phase and reduced participation in, 104; evaluation of, 79, 161; expansion during infrastructure phase, 48–52; logic model used to expand, 50*fig*–51*fig*; planning sustainability of, 54–55; shifting organizational DNA and realignment of, 135
- Project Reach Youth (PRY): challenges facing, 155–156; growth and expansion of, 153–155; origins of, 152–153; turnaround steps and final outcome, 156–158
- Project Superwomen, 95
- Public funding competition, 77
- Q**
- Qualitative program data, 79
- Quantitative program data, 79
- R**
- Rasiej, Andrew, 96
- Raynor, Jared, 6
- Reality aversion problem: description of, 111; solution of, 111
- Reputation enhancement, 80–82
- Resource development: budget template exercise for, 80, 81*t*; challenges facing nonprofits seeking funds, 77–78; challenges related to, 76; description of, 75; making the case for funding, 78–82; maximizing efficiency for, 79–80; understanding everything involved in, 162
- Revenue loss, 117

Risk aversion problem: decline phase and, 109; solution to, 110
Robbinsesque, Tony, 109
Rogers, Mr., 56

S

Sadie Nash Leadership Project:
 accomplishments of, 35; core tenets of the program model, 34; how values have shaped organization of, 37–38; leadership approach taken by, 35–37
St. John-St. Matthew-Emanuel Lutheran Church, 153
Scenario planning: example of scenario planning tree for, 108*fig*; high-arc organization decline and, 107
Schein, Edgar, 64
Schwed, Bob, 157–158
The Search for Shining Eyes: Audiences, Leadership and Change in the Symphony Orchestra Field (Wolf), 121
Self-aware organizations, 104–105
Self-awareness: ability to change linked to, 30; ability to communicate and trust required for, 31
Senge, Pete, 33
Sesame Street, 56
Seven Turning Points: Leading Through Pivotal Transitions in Organizational Life (Gross), 25, 46
Simon, Judith Sharken, 128
“Snow White” (fairytale), 31
The Source: Twelve Principles of Governance That Power Exceptional Boards (BoardSource), 33
SPOT analysis, 109

Staff: CCAT findings on MIE phase on managing, 101–102; CCAT on infrastructure phase manager-to-staff communication, 71; decline phase and readiness of, 118–119; discrepancy between practice and espoused values by, 65; economic crisis affecting, 117; empowerment during infrastructure phase of, 67; organization DNA shift collaboration with, 137–138; performance evaluations of, 149; shifting organizational DNA and realignment of, 134. *See also* Human capital
Stakeholders: CAC engagement of, 39–40; ED responsibility to build support among, 56–67; organizational DNA shift collaboration with, 137–138; reengaging in the mission, 116–117, 118–119
Start-up nonprofits: founder’s syndrome in, 28–30, 161; values statements for, 20; vision of the, 19
Stevens Lifecycle model: adolescence phase of the, 45; benefits of applying to nonprofits, 4–5; decline phase of the, 104; overlay of TCC Group model and, 8*fig*; seven stages of the, 3–4*fig*; weakness in the, 128. *See also* Organization lifecycle
Stevens, Susan Kenny, 3
Strategy: developing core, 14–15; questions to ask about your, 25–26; using a logic model to clarify your, 26–28; vision as integrating mission and, 17

- Support Center for Nonprofit Management, 1, 103
- The Surfflight Theatre, 140
- Sustainability: leadership, 42, 71, 102; planning for, 54–55; York’s Sustainability Formula predicting, 76–77
- Switch: How to Change When Change Is Hard* (Heath and Heath), 21
- SWOT analysis, 109, 123
- T**
- TCC Group: CCAT (Core Capacity Assessment Tool) developed by, 8, 30; lifecycle model considered by the, 5–8; overlay of Stevens Lifecycle Model and model used by, 8
- TCC Group model: core program phase of, 13–43; infrastructure or adolescence phase of, 45–71; lifecycle pyramid of, 6*fig*, 8; overlay of Stevens Lifecycle Model and, 8*fig*. *See also* Organization lifecycle
- Technical skills: CCAT findings on core program, 43; CCAT findings on infrastructure phase, 71; CCAT findings on MIE phase, 102
- Technology skills: CCAT findings on core program, 43; CCAT findings on infrastructure phase, 71; CCAT findings on MIE phase, 102
- Theory of Change (TOC), 94–95
- Teresa, Mother, 56
- Trust: BBBSEMO ED-board relationship based on, 69–70; as essential to growth and change, 162; importance during core program phase, 31–33; as self-awareness requirement, 31; trusting to a fault problem, 113–114
- Trusting to a fault problem: description of, 113–114; solution to, 114
- Turnaround phase: assessment and market analysis, 130–133; downward apex point and arc of, 129*fig*–130; growth readiness, 139–140; “Hail Mary” approach to, 130; historical look at lifecycle thought on, 128; Jan Glick interview on, 145–152; John Brother on Project Reach Youth, 152–158; shifting organizational DNA, 133–140; understanding the, 127; well-informed risks needed during, 162–163. *See also* Change; Closing organizations
- U**
- U.S. Department of Commerce, 98
- Urban Institute, 141
- V**
- Values: clear articulation during infrastructure phase, 64–65; defining and articulating your, 22–24; discrepancy between practice and espoused, 65; importance of living your, 24
- Values statements: description of, 14; Foundation for Louisiana, 23–24; importance of using as guide, 21; for nonprofit organizations, 19–20; for start-up organizations, 20
- Vietnam War, 152–153
- Vision: as core to your organization, 14; as guide to success, 17–19; integrating

mission and strategy, 17; of start-up organizations, 19. *See also* Leader vision

Vision statements: as effective change management tool, 18; functions of, 17–18

Volunteers: impact of economic crisis on programs for, 119; leveraging time and skills of, 152. *See also* Human capital

W

Wacey, Carole, 95–100

Wagner College, 153

Wagner School of Public Service (New York University), 141

Weak boards, 58–59

West, Phil, 152–153

Wolf, Thomas, 120–125

WolfBrown, 120

Y

YMCA, 94

York, Peter, 6, 30, 76–77

Z

Zone of Insolvency (Mattock), 141

<http://www.pbookshop.com>

<http://www.pbookshop.com>

<http://www.pbookshop.com>

<http://www.pbookshop.com>