

Index

Page references followed by *fig* indicate an illustrated figure; followed by *t* indicate a table; followed by *e* indicate an exhibit.

A

- Abortion debate, 82
- Abramson, M., 8
- Accountability: assignment collaborative tasks and, 110e, 118–120; collaborative mindset requiring, 270; handling difficult people through, 161e, 165–166; Jamestown (New York) revival use of, 73; as opportunity, 120; Washington State GMAP emphasis on, 222–223, 232–233
- Adaptive generational type, 264
- Agencies: co-locating goal of joint venture versus stronger, 202–211, 215; co-locating trend of, 143e, 150, 198–217; collaboration used to handle complexity of, 8–9; Congress control and fragmented response by, 15fig, 18; Web 2.0 crossing boundaries between stakeholders and, 247–248. *See also* Employees; Nonprofit organizations
- Agendas: hidden, 47, 65; sample first meeting, 112e
- AGEP (Alliances for Graduate Education and the Professoriate): accomplishments of the, 31–32t; creating a strategy for, 26–27; engaging the deans and faculty in, 28–30; engaging President's Office in, 27; getting it off the ground, 31; impact across the country, 32–33t; joint ownership of, 45; Roosevelt Johnson on his experience with, 37; tipping point for the, 30
- Alexandria Fire Department, 57
- Allen, T., 10–13t, 19, 24, 47, 85, 87, 116, 167, 254, 267
- American culture: autonomy versus connection needs of, 22; individualism of, 20–22; voluntary associations of, 22. *See also* Cultures; United States
- American generational types, 264–266. *See also* Millennial Generation
- Arlington County Fire Department, 57–58
- Articles of Confederation, 103
- Asian culture, 56, 137, 146
- Associative barriers: description of, 268–269; Enigma code breaking example of tossing off, 270–271; five ways to reduce, 269–270
- ATF (Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives), 64, 177–178
- Austin, J., 8, 56
- Autonomy (we need): American urge for, 22; co-location and possible loss of, 216–217; connection and, 22, 23; taking a personal interest impact on, 68. *See also* Connections (we need)

B

Baby Boomer generation, 264t–265, 269

Bain, B., 245

Baltimore County Child Advocacy Center. *See* Child Advocacy Center (CAC)

“Barbara” intelligence agency team, 118

Basic self-interests, 192

Begley, S., 6

Behavior: changing people resistant to changing, 179–183, 188–189; transparency for self-correcting, 11–12, 47, 167; trustworthy, 69. *See also* Cultures

Behn, B., 220, 227, 228

Bellah, R., 21

Belonging need. *See* Connections (we need)

Ben-Gurion, D., 80

Ben-Har, L., 165

Benson, L., 232, 235

Biden, J., 151

Big Orbit: co-locating planning by, 201–202; co-location approach used by, 199; shared grantwriter and gifts wins by, 199–200; shared space benefits to, 200

Bilingual skills, 146–149

Blanco, K., 11

Blogs, 5, 166, 245, 253–254

Bolman, L., 106

Boomer generation, 264t–265, 269

Boy Scout intergroup relations experiment (1954), 149

Bramson, R., 170

Breul, J., 8

Brokaw, T., 265

Brown, M., 9, 10, 12–13t

Bryant, J., 112

Buffalo Literary Center: clarifying co-location goal of, 215; co-locating planning by, 201–202; co-location approach used by, 199; co-location option selected by, 203fig;

shared grantwriter and gifts wins by, 199–200; shared space benefits to, 200–201e

Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives (ATF), 64, 177–178

Bureau of Land Management, 150, 216

Burrows, P., 267

Byrne, M., 243, 244

C

Carstedt, N., 56

Categorical funding approach, 15fig, 16–17

CEPA Gallery: co-locating planning by, 201–202; co-location approach used by, 199; shared grantwriter and gifts wins by, 199–200; shared space benefits to, 200

Chambers, I., 258, 259, 260, 265–267, 271

Champions: of the collaborative effort, 49–52; key tasks of senior, 52e; project-level, 49–51; Seeking support of senior, 99e, 106–107; selecting senior, 51

Change: addressing basic self-interests to motivate, 192; as beginning with relationships, 193; changing behavior of people resistant to, 179–183, 188–189; Cisco System’s post-dotcom bust, 258–260; the fourth lever people positioned to make, 260–267; of IRS technology initiative, 178–183; Millennial Generation as tomorrow’s leaders for, 261–266; moving from individual level to systemic, 189e, 192–193; as starting with yourself, 271–272; Virginia Retirement System (VRS) example of cultural, 183–189, 192–193

Chicago Children’s Choir, 56

Child abuse: GMAP logic model for reducing, 228fig–229, 230fig; social worker response times to reports on,

- 226t; Washington State's GMAP impact on reducing, 225–227
- Child Advocacy Center (CAC):
co-location option used by, 203fig;
overcoming cultural differences at the, 147–149, 167; as public sector joint venture, 204–207; results for indicated cases of the, 206t; social worker/police cultural differences at, 148t
- Cho, S.-H., 6, 7
- Chrislip, D., 71, 77, 101
- Cialdini, D., 120
- Cisco Systems: change following the dotcom bust, 258–260; collaborative leaders of, 266–267; early culture of, 258; networked structure of, 259fig; Web 2.0 used by employees of, 259–260
- Citizens. *See* Community engagement
- Civics generational type, 265–266
- Clinton, B., 128
- Co-locating: continuum of options for, 203fig; critical success factors for, 211–217; as cross-cultural strategy, 143e, 150; description of, 198–199; among nonprofit arts organizations, 199–202; potential risks of, 215–217; stronger agency versus joint venture goal of, 202–211, 215. *See also* Employees
- Co-location success factors: clarifying and managing expectations, 215; once co-location is operational, 213e–214e; during planning phase, 211–213
- The Code Book* (Singh), 271
- Cohen, N., 240
- Collaboration: AGEF project as successful, 25–34; autonomy and connection components of, 22–23, 68; co-locating used to increase, 198–217; common hurdles of, 14e; complexity of public/nonprofit agencies handled using, 8–9; court vision required for effective, 1; description and discipline of, 2; hidden agenda dangers for, 47, 65; identifying Web 2.0 opportunities for, 252–254; with public and nonprofit funders, 6; trusting relationships as core of, 55–70; with unusual suspects, 5–6; using Web 2.0 to support, 244–250
- Collaboration challenges: constitutional framework as, 15fig, 16; elected officials' desire for control as, 15fig, 18; expectations of individualistic society as, 20–22; fragmented organizational responses as, 15fig–20; information silos as, 15fig, 19–20; multiple funders and categorical funding as, 15fig, 16–17; specialization as, 15fig, 17–18
- Collaboration framework: 1. partners have shared, specific interest or purpose, 38e–39; 2. partners want to pursue a collaborative solution now, 38e, 40–42; 3. appropriate people are at the table, 38e, 42–44; 4. partners have an open, credible process, 38e, 44–49; 5. collaborative effort has a passionate champion, 38e, 49–52; 6. partners have trusting relationships, 38e, 55–70; seven key factors of the, 38e
- The Collaboration Project, 245
- Collaborative cultures: co-locating lever for creating, 143e, 150, 198–217; developing collaborative mindset for, 267–272; Millennial Generation building tomorrow's, 5, 195–196, 243–254, 261–267; Stat programs lever of, 218–236, 260–261; Web 2.0 tools for, 5, 243–261. *See also* Cultures
- Collaborative leader qualities: 1. feel driven to achieve goal through collaboration with measured ego, 78e–79; 2. listen carefully to understand others' perspectives, 78e, 79–81; 3. look for win-win solutions

- Collaborative leader (*Continued*)
 with shared interests, 78e, 81–84;
 4. use pull more than push, 78e,
 84–87; 5. think strategically connect-
 ing project to larger purpose,
 78e, 87–88
- Collaborative leadership: of Cisco
 Systems, 266–267; co-location
 cooperation between, 216; devel-
 oping collaborative mindset by,
 267–272; five qualities of, 77–88;
 in hierarchical settings, 88–90; IRS
 case study on, 178–183; Jamestown
 (New York) revival case study on,
 71–77; Millennial Generation as
 tomorrow's, 261–266; political rules
 of the road for, 105e; self-assessment
 of, 90e; Virginia Retirement System
 (VRS) case study on, 17, 183–189
- Collaborative Leadership Self-
 Assessment, 90e
- Collaborative mindset: Roosevelt
 Johnson's, 34; strategies for
 developing, 267–272
- Collaborative mindset strategies: help
 people reduce associative barriers,
 268e–271; hire people who are
 natural collaborators, 267–268e;
 identify important outcomes
 requiring collaboration, 268e, 271;
 provide multiple opportunities
 to practice, 268e, 271; start with
 yourself, 268e, 271–272
- Collaborative partner process: agreed-
 upon norms or ground rules of,
 45–47; champions of the, 50e; col-
 laborative use of the, 38fig, 44–45;
 joint ownership component of the,
 45; knowledge of each other com-
 ponent of, 48–49; transparency of,
 47. *See also* Meetings
- Collaborative partners: challenges to
 forming trust among, 59e; desire
 to pursue collaborative solution,
 38e, 40–42; ensuring appropriate
 people are at the table, 38t, 42–44;
 establishing what strengths and
 tasks assigned to, 110e, 117–118;
 facilitating between difficult, 132e;
 handling difficult, 157–176;
 hidden agendas of, 47, 65; open
 and credible process between, 38fig,
 44–49; recognizing contributions
 by, 110e, 120; shared interest
 or purpose of, 38e–39. *See also*
 Trusting relationships
- Collaborative process: examining
 the INEAP, 97–99; meetings and
 related tasks building the, 99e–120;
 team development during,
 109fig–110fig. *See also*
 Meetings; S curve
- Collaborative team development:
 model of, 110fig; partial model
 of, 109fig
- Collaborative teams: assigning tasks
 to members of, 110e, 117–118;
 development of, 109fig–110fig;
 facilitating between difficult,
 132e; force field analysis of,
 113fig–114fig; ground rules
 established by, 45–47, 110e,
 115–116; to MOU or not to
 MOU decision by, 117e; people
 problems in, 157–176; Skill and
 Experience Matrix for, 118, 119e
- Collectivist societies: characteris-
 tics of, 20. *See also* Individualist
 societies
- Collins, J., 79
- Commitment: to collaborative solu-
 tion, 40–42; for common direction,
 123–136; strategies for establish-
 ing partner, 41e; strategies to gain
 common direction, 129e–135; trust
 building by making good on, 66
- Common Cause, 16
- Common direction: between tobacco
 growers and health advocates,
 124e–128; importance of
 establishing, 123; strategies for
 gaining commitment on, 129e–135

- Common direction strategies: be willing to try different approach, 129e, 133; bring resources, 129e, 130; bringing in third parties, 129e, 133; describe criteria any solution must meet, 129e, 134; finding common challenge or enemy, 129e, 131; learning the ground truth together, 129e–130; use skillful facilitator, 129e, 131–133; taking the first step, 129e, 130–131
- Communication: bilingual, 146–149; e-mail-free Fridays to foster direct, 197–198; of expectations and needs, 161e–162; listening component of, 79–81; Planning for a Shared Landscape project to improve Jewish-Arab, 139–142; self-disclosure for open, 64–65; sharing information approach to, 61–62; Thad Allen's first-rate model of, 116; Virginia Retirement System (VRS) approach to, 186
- Communities: of interest, 158; self-organizing, 239–240
- Community engagement: through media and town forums, 73; outside-in strategy for, 72–73; Washington State GMAP strategies for, 229, 231; through Web 2.0, 243; through the Web, 239–243
- Community Foundation for Greater Buffalo, 6
- CompStat (CitiStat or PerformanceStat): additional issues to consider about using, 231–233; analysis of why they work, 234–235; cautionary note on importance of leadership in, 235–236; improving performance through, 218; origins of, 220; Washington state's GMAP version of, 219–236
- Conflict: approach for dealing with collaboration, 159–161; FBI-ATF relationship and, 177–178; IADS as example of successful resolution of, 172–175; JIA program to deal with intelligence community (IC), 157–159; strategies for addressing, 161e–172. *See also* Difficult situations
- Connections (we need): autonomy and, 22, 23; leadership building of, 88; need for, 22, 160; Stat programs meeting, 235; taking a personal interest to make, 68. *See also* Autonomy (me need)
- Consensus, 115–116
- Constitutional Convention (1786), 103
- Constitutional framework, 15fig, 16
- Contrarian personality, 171
- Cooperation-individuality link, 23
- Coping with Difficult People* (Bramson), 170
- Council of State Governments' Innovations, 234
- Court vision, 1
- Covey, S.M.R., 56, 60, 66, 81
- Craigslis, 5, 248
- Creativity: as difficult situation strategy, 189e, 190–192; Medici effect of, 197, 268
- Cultural differences: adopting attitude of curiosity to overcome, 142–143, 147, 153; at Baltimore County Child Advocacy Center (CAC), 147–149, 167; Neighbors (Israel) efforts to overcome, 138–142; relationship importance as, 56, 137; strategies for working across, 143e–154
- Cultural sensitivity strategies: become bilingual and decode other culture, 143e, 146–149; co-locate staff from several agencies or units, 143e, 150; do real work together on common goal, 143e, 149–150; identify and use strengths in each organization, 143e, 151; make needed systemic changes when trying to alter culture, 143e, 151–152; note on doing

- Cultural sensitivity (*Continued*)
 international work, 143e, 152–154;
 respect differences, 143e, 144; work
 on relationships by taking time to
 let trust grow, 143e, 145–146
- Cultures: co-location fit with
 respective, 215; confronting
 employees who resist changes to,
 179–183, 188–189; dealing with
 powerful personalities and their
 supportive, 189e–193; firefighter
 versus police, 81; individualism
 versus collectivism, 23; organiza-
 tional, 138; puzzle of, 138; rela-
 tionship importance in some, 56,
 137, 146; transforming Virginia
 Retirement System (VRS),
 183–189. *See also* American
 culture; Behavior; Collaborative
 cultures
- Curiosity, 142–143, 147, 153
- Customers: co-locating issue of
 sharing information on, 215; Web
 2.0 crossing boundaries between
 agencies and, 247–248
- D**
- D.C. Sniper (2002), 65, 131
- DEA (Drug Enforcement
 Administration), 4
- DEA (Drug Enforcement
 Administration) Training
 Academy, 65
- Deal, T., 106
- Decision-making methods: consensus
 versus vote, 115–116; meeting
 establishment of, 110e, 115–116;
 70 percent rule, 46
- Defense Intelligence Agency (DIA),
 157–158
- Dell Computers, 245
- Demme, C., 158
- Democracy: individualism and, 21;
 voluntary associations
 supporting, 22
- Denning, S., 80–81
- DHS Web site, 254
- Difficult Conversations*
 (Stone, Patton, and Heen), 172
- Difficult situation strategies: be
 creative, take prudent risks, and
 use political skills, 189e, 190–192;
 use collaborative leadership skills,
 189e, 190; from individual level to
 systemic change, 189e, 192–193;
 respond to basic self-interests,
 189e, 192
- Difficult situations: dealing with
 powerful personalities and cul-
 tures, 189e–193; Internal Revenue
 Service technology initiative
 example of, 178–183; Virginia
 Retirement System (VRS) example
 of, 183–189. *See also* Conflict;
 People problems
- Digg.com, 240–241
- DiGianmarino, F., 239, 263
- Dirksen, E., 168
- Display Technology Inc., 203
- Doss, A., 97, 98, 99, 100, 113
- Driving forces: illustration of, 113fig;
 for the INEAP partnership, 114fig
- Dukes, F., 123, 124, 131–132e
 “Dumb rules” contest, 185
- E**
- E-mail-free Fridays, 197–198
- eBay, 248
- Eggers, W., 8, 15, 198
- Egido, C., 198
- Egoists, 171–172
- Eisenberg, H., 209–210
- Eisenhower, D., 89
- Ellis, G., 63
- Elway, S., 231
- Employees: addressing basic self-inter-
 ests of, 192; collaborative mindset
 development in, 267–272; con-
 fronting those who resist change,
 179–183, 188–189; rewarding
 those who “get it,” 188–189;
 rewards used to manage difficult,

- 161e, 165–166; satisfaction of Virginia Retirement System, 187t; Web 2.0 crossing boundaries between, 245–246; Web 2.0 used by Cisco Systems, 259–260. *See also* Agencies; Co-locating
- Encyclopedia Britannica*, 243
- Enigma code breaking (World War II), 270–271
- European Union, 193
- Executive sponsors. *See* Senior champions
- F**
- Facebook: Cisco Systems' version of, 260; forming communities using, 248; "I'm ok at VT" page on, 240fig, 249, 251; politics engaged using, 242
- Faulk, L., 69, 78, 167
- Faulkner, W., 177
- FBI (Federal Bureau of Investigation), 4, 177–178, 208
- Federal Executive Institute (FEI), 158
- Federal Trade Commission, 144
- FEMA: broader job definition at, 10; comparing Allen's and Brown's leadership of, 12–13t; Hurricane Katrina and poor performance under Michael Brown, 9–10; Thad Allen's leadership of, 10–12
- Filipczak, B., 262
- Fire Chief Magazine*, 68
- Firefighter culture, 81
- First meeting tasks: do your homework on need and goal, 99e, 100; identify and invite key stakeholders, 99e, 100–104; making the go/no-go decision, 107e; map the political terrain, 99e–106; planning and inviting participants, 99e, 107–108; Seek support of senior champion or executive sponsor, 99e, 106–107
- Fisher, R., 134
- Fletcher, M., 242
- FMS (Federal Financial Management Services), 162–165
- Folkman, J., 88
- Food and Drug Administration (FDA), 128
- Force field analysis: illustration of, 113fig; of the INEAP partnership, 114fig
- Fortune magazine*, 258
- Foster, R., 95
- Fragmented organizational responses: constitutional framework cause of, 15fig, 16; description of, 15; elected official's desire for control cause of, 15fig, 18; information silos cause of, 15fig, 19–20; multiple funders and categorical funding cause of, 15fig, 16–17; specialization cause of, 15fig, 17–18
- Friedman, J., 104
- Friesen, O., 241
- Fukuyama, F., 70
- Fuller, B., 157, 170–171, 172, 253
- Funding, multiple funders and categorical approach to, 15fig, 16–17
- Fusion centers: benefits of the, 210e; challenges and significant issues of, 210–211; co-location option selected by, 203fig; creation of the, 150, 207; functions and operations of the, 207–209; fusing and disseminating information at, 209–210
- G**
- Galegher, J., 198
- Gardner, J., 16, 37
- Gates, B., 272
- Geilhufe, D., 249
- Generation X, 264t, 266
- Generation Y (or Gen Next), 261. *See also* Millennial Generation
- Getting to Yes: Negotiating Agreement Without Giving In* (Fisher and Ury), 134
- Gibson, W., 3
- Gilchrist, C., 67

- Giles, J., 243
- GMAP (Washington State):
 accountability issue of, 222–223, 232–233; additional issues to consider about using, 231–233; analysis of why it works, 234–235; cautionary note on importance of leadership in, 235–236; collaboration role in, 231; compared to other stat methods, 222e; engaging citizens in setting priorities/performance measures, 229, 231; giving “legs” and depth to, 224–225; governor’s priorities related to, 221, 224; key stat characteristics of, 220; logic model of, 227–229, 230fig; meetings held on, 223–224, 231; national recognition of, 234; operation of, 221; origins of, 219–220; reducing child abuse role of, 225–226; results of the, 233e; significant results of, 226t–227; stat application basis and added features of, 222–223
- Go slow to go fast principle, 96
- Goals: co-location as stronger agency versus joint venture, 202–211, 215; doing real work as common, 143e, 149–150; establishing collaborative, 100; establishing criteria for any solution meeting, 129e, 134; getting commitment toward common direction and, 123–136; reframing the, 129e, 134–135; Washington State GMAP creating shared, 231, 232–233
- Goldin, A., 137, 138–139, 141–142, 149–150
- Goldsmith, S., 8, 14, 198
- Go/No-Go decision, 107e
- Good to Great (Collins), 79
- Governance Transformation Award, 234
- Governing by Network* (Abramson, Breul, and Kamensky), 8
- Governing by Network* (Goldsmith and Eggers), 8, 15
- Governing* magazine, 223, 234
- Government Accountability Office (GAO), 179, 180
- Great Lakes Wiki, 241, 255
- “Greatest Generation,” 265, 266
- Green Suppliers Network, 99
- Gregg, D., 163–165, 166, 188–189
- Gregoire, C., 219–225, 232, 233, 237
- Gregorius, J., 65, 131
- Ground rules: agreed upon collaborative, 45–47; meeting task of establishing, 110e, 115–116
- Ground truth, 235
- Groupthink, 64
- Gun control debate, 82
- ## H
- Hamel, G., 250
- Hancock, J., 25
- Hard, A., 42–43, 44, 56, 145–146
- Harvey, C., 149
- Havenstein, H., 248
- Health advocates: finding common ground between tobacco growers and, 124–129; perceptions held by, 124e–125
- Heen, S., 172
- Heifetz, R., 270
- Helicopter parents, 262
- Henderson, L., 220
- Henderson, S., 225, 227
- Hidden agendas, 47, 65
- High-reliability organizations, 271
- Hofstede, G., 20, 138
- Hofstede, G. J., 138
- Honoré, R., 11
- Howe, N., 264, 265, 266
- Humphrey, B., 248
- Hurricane Katrina: challenges and promise of collaboration revealed by, 9–10; collaboration lessons learned from, 12; comparing Michael Brown and Thad Allen’s approaches, 12–13t, 85, 267; post-Katrina changes following, 10–12, 116; Web 2.0 PeopleFinder project following, 249, 251

I

IADS (Integrated Air Defense System), 173–175

IBM Center for The Business of Government, 18

IBM-Toshiba joint venture, 202–203

ICF International, 243

IdeaFactory, 245–246

Idealists generational type, 264–265

“I’m ok at VT” Facebook page, 240*fig.*, 249, 251

Individualism: American culture of, 20–22; collectivism versus, 23

Individualist societies: characteristics of, 20; selected countries scores as, 21*t*; U.S. as, 20–22; voluntary associations and, 22. *See also* Collectivist societies

Industrial specialist, 97

INEAP (Interagency Network of Enterprise Assistance Providers): background information on, 97; collaborative process used by, 97–99; efforts to overcome information silos by, 19; force field for the, 114*fig.*; Public Manager article on, 120; shining light on problems, 111

Information: co-location issue of sharing, 215; firefighter versus police cultures regarding sharing of, 81; fusion center’s fusing and dissemination of, 209–210; Internet access to, 239–243; self-correcting behavior through transparency of, 11–12, 47, 167; transforming top-down agency hoarding resources and, 183–189; trust through sharing, 60*e*, 61–62

Information silos: changing Virginia Retirement System’s culture of, 17, 184; fragmented organization response due to, 15*fig.*, 19–20; tendency to create compartmentalized, 19

InnoCentive, 241–242

Intelligence community (IC): Intellipedia launched by, 246–247;

JIA program to deal with issues of, 157–159; 9/11 attacks and criticism of, 165

Intellipedia, 246–247

Internal Revenue Service (IRS): analysis of successful change process at, 182–183; changing people’s behavior when they don’t want to change at, 179–182; example of powerful managers in, 178–179; John Springett’s leadership at, 178–183, 190, 191, 192, 194; organizational chart (late 1970s) of the, 180*fig.*; steps to implement system upgrade at, 181–182

International work: cultural differences on relationships in, 56, 137; insights into cross-cultural, 152–154

Internet: getting the news from the, 240–241; information accessed using the, 241–243; self-organizing communities formed on the, 230–231. *See also* Web 2.0

Israel: differing Jewish and Arab perspectives in, 80; Neighbors project to improve Jewish-Arab relations, 138–142, 143, 144, 146

J

Jamestown revival (New York): accountability during implementation of, 73; background information on, 71–72; handling difficult people by raising stakes of initiative, 166–167; Lillian Ney as collaborative leader during, 75–77, 78, 82–83, 87, 105, 166; using media/town forums for engaging the community, 73; outside-in strategy for engaging the community in, 72–73; results and accomplishments during, 73–75; SPPC report card on, 74*fig.*

Jefferson, T., 20, 89

JIVA program: developing structure of, 158*fig.*–159; handling people

- JIVA program (*Continued*)
 problems at, 169, 172–173; mixed results of the, 159; origins of the, 157–158
- Johnansson, F., 197, 268, 269
- Johnson, L. B., 168
- Johnson, R.: collaborative way of thinking by, 24; crafting a strategy for AGEP, 26–27; engaging the deans and faculty, 28–30; engaging the President's Office, 27, 42, 44; finding help to identify key people, 44; impact of AGEP program collaboration started by, 31–35; joint ownership strategy used by, 45; NSF's Minority Graduate Education program leadership of, 25–26; reporting on his AGEP experience, 37; using shared interest to create collaboration, 38–39; shuttle diplomacy used by, 39, 47; strategies for eliciting commitment by others, 41–42
- Johnson, T., 174
- Johnstone, Gail, 6
- Joint duty program, 165
- Joint ventures: Child Advocacy Center (CAC) as public sector, 204–207; co-location leading to, 202–204; IBM-Toshiba, 202–203
- K**
- Kaine, T., 183
- Kamensky, J., 8, 18
- Kanter, R. M., 55
- Keeping confidences, 69
- Kennedy, J. F., 272, 273
- Kettl, D., 7, 9–10
- Key stakeholders: co-location support by, 215–216; identify and invite, 99e, 100–104; shared values of, 104; using shuttle diplomacy with, 39, 47, 102; Stat program benefits to, 234; tool for identifying, 101fig; Web 2.0 crossing boundaries between agencies and, 247–248
- King, M. L., Jr., 89
- Kitfield, J., 11, 12
- Knowles, K., 39, 66
- Kraut, R., 198
- Kutzko, P., 32–33, 34
- L**
- Lawrence, E., 22
- Leadership on the Line* (Heifetz and Linsky), 270
- Leadership. *See* Collaborative leadership
- Learning curve, 96
- Leighty, B., 183–189, 190, 191, 192, 193, 194
- Lewin, K., 113, 114
- Lincoln, A., 89, 265
- Linden, R., 64, 150, 206
- Line of sight, 85
- LinkedIn, 265
- Linsky, M., 270
- LInX (Law Enforcement Information Exchange), 4
- Lipnack, J., 23
- Listening skills, 79–81
- Locked doors, 184
- Logic models: for ensuring vulnerable children are safe, 228fig–229, 230fig; Washington State GMAP method, 227–229, 230
- Longo, T., 56–57
- Looking for the Lost Voice (film), 142
- Los Angeles Fire Department (LAFD), 247–248
- Lost Generation, 265
- Lucy, W., 133
- M**
- McGirt, E., 259, 260
- Madison, J., 89, 103
- Managing by wandering around (MBWA), 184, 188, 191, 193
- Mansfield, M., 151
- Mapping political terrain: political rules for leaders, 105e; as pre-meeting task, 99e, 105–106

- March of Dimes, 248
 Markon, J., 178
 Marshall, G. C., 88–89
 Martin, T., 55, 58
 Maryland Coordination and Analysis Center (MCAC), 208, 209, 210
 Maryland Joint Terrorism Task Force, 209
 Maryland Transportation Authority, 209
 Mastery need, 159, 235
 Media: community engagement through the, 73; shining light on successes through, 110e, 120
The Medici Effect (Johansson), 197, 268
 Medici family, 197
 Meeting participants: inviting first meeting, 99e, 107–108; inviting key stakeholders to be, 99e, 100–104
 Meeting tasks (first meeting): do your homework on need and goal, 99e, 100; identify and invite key stakeholders, 99e, 100–104; making the go/no-go decision, 107e; map the political terrain, 99e–106; planning and inviting participants, 99e, 107–108; Seek support of senior champion or executive sponsor, 99e, 106–107
 Meeting tasks (first few meetings): assigning tasks to team members, 110e, 117–118; creating open tone to build, 110e, 111–115; deciding on accountability of assigned tasks, 110e, 118–120; determining team norms and ground rules, 110e, 115–116; developing project plan, 110e, 116–117; sample agenda for the first meeting, 112e; shine light on problem or challenge, 110e, 111; shining light on successes, 110e, 120
 Meetings: developing collaborative process during first few, 108–120; tasks before the first, 99e–108; Washington State GMAP, 223–224, 231. *See also* Collaborative partner process
 Megatrends (Naisbitt), 217
 MEP (Manufacturing Extension Partnership) program, 97
 Millennial Generation: characteristics of, 261–262; as Civic generation, 265–266; compared to three previous generations, 264t; entrance into the workforce by the, 195–196; as tomorrow's leaders for change, 261–266; values and commitments of, 263–264; Web 2.0 used by, 5, 243–254. *See also* American generational types; Generation Y (or Gen Next)
 Miller, C., 242
 Minority Graduate Education (NSF), 25–26
 Mitchell, M., 257
 MCA (memo of agreement), 117e
 Mpitke, H. von, 87
 Monnet, J., 193
 MOU (memo of understanding), 117e
 Multiple funders issue, 15fig, 16–17
 Mumbai terrorist bombs (2008), 252
 MySpace, 248
- N**
 Nagin, R., 11
 Naisbitt, J., 217
 Napolitano, J., 254
Al-Naqba (1948), 80
 NASA, 165, 173
 NASA shuttle tragedies, 270
 National Academy of Sciences, 191
 National Commission on Terrorist Attacks Upon the United States, 19
 National Instant Criminal Background Check System, 7
 National Rifle Association (NRA), 82
 National Science Foundation (NSF), 25, 41–42
 NATO, 152

- Nature* (journal), 243
- Naval Criminal Investigative Service, 4
- Needs: autonomy (me need), 22, 23, 68; communicating your expectations and, 161e–162; connections (we need), 22, 23, 68, 88, 160, 235; do your homework on, 100; mastery, 159, 235; shine light on problem or, 110e, 111; Stat programs as meeting two fundamental, 235
- Neighbors for Joint Development in the Galilee, 138–142, 144, 146
- New Orleans: Hurricane Katrina destruction of, 9–13t, 85; post-Katrina clean up of, 10–12, 13t, 116
- New York City Police Department, 220
- Newcomer, N., 11
- Newell, T., 89
- Newmark, C., 5
- Newton, I., 114
- Newton's third law of motion, 114
- Ney, L., 75–77, 78, 82–83, 87, 105, 166
- 9/11 attacks: criticism of intelligence community following, 165; emergency help and relationships response to, 57–59; FBI-ATF conflict following the, 177–178; fusion centers response to the, 150, 203fig, 207–211; intelligence failures prior to, 270; Millennial Generation experience with, 263; on the Pentagon, 57, 177–178, 271
- The 9/11 Commission Report*, 19
- Nonprofit organizations: co-locating among art focused, 199–202; collaboration used to handle complexity of, 8–9; fragmented responses by, 15fig–20; struggles faced by art-focused, 1. *See also* Agencies; Voluntary associations
- Norms: agreed upon collaborative, 45–47; meeting task of establishing, 110e, 115–116
- Norris, D., 104
- NSF's Minority Graduate Education, 25–26
- ## O
- Obama, B., 242
- Obama campaign (2008), 242
- Office of the Commission of the European Union, 152
- O'Malley, M., 220
- O'Neill, T., 168
- Open communications model, 64–65
- OpenWetWare Web site, 241
- Organizational culture, 138
- Osborne, D., 219
- Outside-in strategy: Jamestown revival use of, 72–73, 85; as pull strategy, 85
- ## P
- PACEM program, 104
- Palen, L., 240
- Palestinians, 80
- Partners. *See* Collaborative partners
- Patch*, 4–5
- Patton, B., 172
- People problem strategies: check the roles and conflict involved, 161e, 168–169; communicate your expectations and needs, 161e–162; measurement, reward, and accountability, 161e, 165–166; using pull to deal with difficult personalities, 161e, 169–172; raising the stakes of the project, 161e, 166–167
- People problems: approach for dealing with, 159–161; contrarian personalities, 171; dealing with powerful personalities, 189e–193; FMS (Federal Financial Management Services), 162–165; IADS example of successful handling of, 172–175; information transparency for self-correcting, 11–12, 47, 167; JIVA program to deal with intelligence community (IC), 157–159, 169,

- 172–173; people's roles context of, 161e, 168–169e; strategies for addressing, 161e–172; working with egotists, 171–172. *See also* Difficult situations
- PeopleFinder project, 249, 251
- PerformanceStat. *See* CompStat (CitiStat or PerformanceStat)
- Perspectives: comparing tobacco farmers/public health advocates, 124e–125; listening to understand others,' 79–81; of *Yom Ha'atzmaut* (1948), 80
- Peters, K., 210
- Peters, T., 193
- Planning for a Shared Landscape project (Neighbors): implementation and next steps taken during, 141–142; origins and background information on, 139–141
- Point of diminishing returns, 96
- Police culture, 81
- Political skills: collaborative process using of, 99e, 105e–106; handling powerful people through, 189e, 190–192
- Posner, R., 211
- Presidential Commission (2000), 128
- Process. *See* Collaborative partner process; Collaborative process
- Project-level champions, 49–51
- Projects: building trust by collaborative work on, 66–67; champions of the, 49–51; collaborative planning of, 110e, 116–117; handling difficult people by raising the stakes of the, 161e, 166–167; strategically connecting to a larger purpose, 78e, 87–88; trust as fundamental to collaborative, 70
- Public agencies. *See* Agencies
- Public Manager* (publication), 120
- Pull strategies: to deal with difficult personalities, 161e, 169–172; differences between push and, 85e; leadership use of, 78e, 84–87; during low-risk times, 272; outside-in strategy as, 72–73, 85
- Push strategies: changing to pull from, 272; differences between pull and, 85e
- Putnam, R., 266
- ## R
- Raines, C., 262
- Randolph, E., 89
- Rapp, C., 211
- Rawlings, M., 152–153e
- Reactive generational type, 265
- Reagan, R., 168
- Reeve, R., 125, 130
- Reeves, T., 61–62, 64
- Reframing: finding common ground by goal, 129e, 134–135; finding common ground by issue, 129e, 133, 135
- Reframing Organizations* (Bolman and Deal), 106
- Relationships: challenges to forming trusting, 59e; change as beginning with, 193; as critical to partnerships, 56–59; FBI-ATF problem, 177–178; forming and sustaining trusting, 60e–69; importance of trusting, 55–56; international unwritten rule on work after, 56, 137, 146; response to 9/11 attack example of, 57–59; taking time to grow trust, 143e, 145–146
- Requiem for a Nun* (Faulkner), 177
- Resources: ensuring availability of, 103–104; gaining commitment for common direction by bringing, 129e, 130; transforming top-down agency hoarding information and, 183–189
- Respecting differences, 143e, 144
- Ressler, S., 263
- Restraining forces: illustration of, 113fig; for the INEAP partnership, 114fig

Rewarding: addressing basic self-interests through, 192; as strategy for handling people problems, 161e, 165–166; those who “get it” versus those who don’t, 188–189

Ricci, R., 260

Ridge, T., 61, 64

Robert Wood Johnson Foundation, 125

Roosevelt, F., 265

Roosevelt, T., 264

Rubel, T., 47

S

S curve: “Barbara” team’s progress up the, 118; collaborative team development and, 109; commitment on common direction to go up the, 123; description of the collaborative, 95–96*fig*; INEAP’s collaborative, 98–99. *See also* Collaborative process

San Francisco Symphony, 247

Schaper, D., 198

Schorr, Lisbeth, 17, 18

Schwartz, S., 67, 171

The Secret Language of Leadership (Denning), 81

Self-assessment, collaborative leadership, 90e

Self-disclosure, 64–65

Self-organizing communities, 239–240

Senior champions: key tasks of, 52e; Seeking support of, 99e, 106–107; selecting, 51

The Seven Habits of Highly Effective People (Covey), 81

70 percent rule, 46

Share Your Story, 248, 249

Shared interest: collaboration as requiring, 38e–39; collaborative leader meeting, 78e, 81–84; forged between tobacco growers and health advocates, 124e–129

Shared space (co-locating): continuum of options for, 203*fig*; critical success factors for, 211–217; as cross-cultural strategy, 143e, 150; description of, 198–199; among nonprofit arts organizations, 199–202; potential risks of, 215–217; stronger agency versus joint venture goal of, 202–211

Shared values, 104

Sharing credit, 69

Sharing information: building trust through, 61–62; as co-location issue, 215; firefighter versus police cultures regarding, 81; *Intellipedia*, 246–247

Shatil (Israeli NGO), 47

Sherif, M., 149

“Shining light” task, 110e, 111

Shiplett, M. H., 144, 151–152, 165

Short, C., 67–68, 165

Shrage, m., 175

Shumate, D., 184

Shuttle diplomacy: description of, 39; example of effective, 47; for inviting key stakeholders, 102

Singh, S., 271

Situational awareness, 235

Skill and Experience Matrix, 118, 119e

Social Source, 249

Social workers: cultural differences of police and, 147–149, 167; Washington GMAP impact on performance of, 225–227

Solutions: commitment to collaborative, 40–42; describing criteria for any, 129e, 134; looking for win-win, 78e, 81–84; partners wanting to pursue collaborative, 38e, 40–42

South Street Seaport marketing strategy, 86–87

Southern Tobacco Communities Project (STCP): origins of, 126–127; roundtables and impact of, 127–129; structure of the, 127*fig*

- Specialization: as cause of fragmented organizational response, 15*fig*;
examples of fragmented response due to, 17–18
- The Speed of Trust* (Covey), 56, 60
- SPPC (Strategic Planning and Partnership Commission)
[Jamestown, New York], 72;
accountability during implementation by, 73; getting community engaged by, 72–73; handling difficult people by raising stakes of initiative, 166–167; Jamestown SPPC Report Card on results by, 73–75; Lillian Ney's collaborative leadership role in, 75–77, 78, 82–83, 87, 105, 166; strategic planning initiative launched by, 72
- Springett, J., 178–183, 190, 191, 192, 194
- Stakeholders: co-location support by, 215–216; identify and invite key, 99*e*, 100–104; shared values of, 104; using shuttle diplomacy with, 39, 47, 102; Stat program benefits to, 234; tool for identifying, 101*fig*; Web 2.0 crossing boundaries between agencies and, 247–248
- Stamps, J., 23
- Stat programs: additional issues to consider about using, 231–233; analysis of why they work, 234–235; cautionary note on importance of leadership in, 235–236; as collaborative culture method, 260–261; improving performance through, 218; origins of, 220; Washington state's GMAP version of, 219–236
- Stoler, M., 89
- Stone, D., 172
- Strategic intent, 12, 87
- Strauss, W., 264, 265, 266
- Stripling, B., 62–63
- SurveyMonkey.com, 255
- Suspending judgment, 147
- Svara, J., 88
- Synergy, looking to build, 83
- Szakos, J., 135
- ## T
- Tapscott, D., 243, 248
- Texas Instruments, 40
- Third law of motion, 114
- Third parties strategy, 129*e*, 133
- Thomas, C., 97–99, 100, 104, 106–107, 111, 113, 120
- Thomas, M. T., 247
- 360-degree reviews, 88
- Time magazine*, 88
- Tobacco growers: finding common ground between health advocates and, 124–129; perceptions held by, 124*e*–125
- Tocqueville, A. de, 21, 22
- Torrell, L. D., 199–200, 202
- Toshiba-IBM joint venture, 202–203
- Town forums, 73
- Traditionalist generation, 264*t*
- Training Academy of the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA), 65
- Transparency: collaborative partnership and, 47; self-correcting behavior through information, 11–12, 47, 167; of Stat programs, 234
- Trudeau, L., 239, 263
- Trust: challenges to collaborative partners forming, 59*e*; creating open tone to build, 110*e*, 111–115; firefighter versus police cultures regarding, 81; as fundamental to collaborative projects, 70; importance of relationships built on, 55–56; sharing credit, keeping confidences, being trustworthy to build, 60*e*, 69; working on relationships by taking time to grow, 143*e*, 145–146
- Trust* (Fukuyama), 70
- Trusting relationships: build the partnerships in chunks, 60*e*, 66–67; collaboration framework on need

Trusting relationships (*Continued*)
 for, 38e; credibility, character, and competence components of, 60e; as critical to partnerships, 56–59; engage in joint training for, 60e, 68–69; make good on commitments, 60e, 66; modeling openness through self-disclosure, 60e, 64–65; during 9/11 attack response, 58–59; offer help during a crisis to build, 60e, 65; set aside time to work on building, 60e, 62–64; sharing accurate information for, 60e, 61–62; sharing credit, keeping confidences, being trustworthy for, 60e, 69; take a personal interest for, 60e, 67–68; taking time to build, 143e, 145–146. *See also* Collaborative partners

Trustworthy behavior, 69

TSA (Transportation Security Administration), 245

Twitter, 247–248

U

UA Institute for Environmental Negotiations, 124

United States: Alexis de Tocqueville's observations about, 21; individualism of, 20–22. *See also* American culture

University of California: AGEP (Alliances for Graduate Education and the Professoriate) collaboration of, 27–35; AGEP impact on the, 32t; nine campuses of, 29fig

University of Iowa, 33t

University of Virginia (UVA), 124. *See also* Virginia Tobacco Communities Project (VTCP)

Unusual suspects, 5–6

Ury, W., 134

U.S. Army Judge Advocate General Corps, 152

U.S. Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives (ATF), 64, 177–178

U.S. Bureau of Land Management, 150, 216

U.S. Cellular, 197–198

U.S. Congress, 15fig, 18

U.S. Department of Homeland Security, 4, 5, 208, 254

U.S. Department of Justice, 4

U.S. Department of State, 144

U.S. Forest Service, 150, 216

U.S. intelligence community (IC):
 Intellipedia launched by, 246–247;
 JIA program to deal with issues of, 157–159; 9/11 attacks and criticism of, 165, 270

V

Vargas, J., 242

Vilsack, T., 16

Virginia Retirement System (VRS):
 analysis of cultural changes at, 187–189; background information on, 183–184; Bill Leighty's leadership at the, 183–189, 190, 191, 192, 193, 194; collaborative change process at, 192–193; employees' satisfaction compared to other employees, 187t; information silos elimination by, 17; key changes made at, 184–186; results of cultural changes made at, 186–187e

Virginia Tech Review Panel, 6–7

Virginia Tech shooting (2007), 6–7, 239–240, 249, 251

Virginia Tobacco Communities Project (VTCP): expanding regional with STCP, 126–129; origins of the, 124–125; phase 1: getting acquainted with partners and issues, 125–126; phase 2: focusing on key issues, 126; respecting differences component of, 144. *See also* University of Virginia (UVA)

Virtual teams, 158

Voluntary associations, 22. *See also* Nonprofit organizations

W

- Wales, J., 243
 Walters, J., 223
 Warner, M., 183
 Washington, G., 89, 103
 Washington State GMAP. *See*
 GMAP (Washington State)
 Waters, D., 22
 Waugh, W., 10
 Web 2.0: characteristics of, 244e;
 Cisco Systems' widespread use of,
 259–260; collaboration supported
 through, 244–250; as collaborative
 cultures lever, 261; community
 through, 5; engaging through, 243;
 identifying collaborative opportuni-
 ties of, 252–254; realities of, 251e;
 strategies for promoting collabora-
 tion using, 253e; understanding
 the new rules of, 250–252.
See also Internet
 Weisbord, M., 153

- Werner, C., 68–69, 81
 White, B., 149
 Wikipedia, 5, 240, 242–243, 251
 Williams, A., 243, 248
 Wilson, W., 264
 Win-win solutions, 78e, 81–84
 Witt, J. L., 10

X

- Xers generation, 264t, 265

Y

- Yom Ha'atzmaut* (1948), 80
 Young Government Leaders network,
 263
 YouTube, 5, 242, 247, 260

Z

- Zeiterion Theatre (New Bedford), 39
 Zenke, R., 262
 Zenger, J., 88

<http://www.pbookshop.com>