INDEX

Α

Ability, direct questioning of, case involving, 49-51

Acceptance, 126

Accountability: issue of, 94–95; meaning of, 187; mutual, 126, 186-187

Action Design, 16, 108, 112, 130, 143, 158, 159, 165, 183, 213, 215, 237

Action Design Institute, 73, 158, 207, 237 Action science, 6, 7

Action-Impact map, 112, 227, 236

Action-Impact mapping template: for manager-team interaction, 222-228; for the organizational level, 228, 229, 230-235

Actions: alternative, beginning, with curiosity, 142; capturing our, 133-135; chicken-and-egg type of question involving, 173; committing to new, with the certainty of help from others, 187; corrective, benefits of, 182; describing, for case diagnosis, 241-242; gap between intentions and, 166-167; influence of thinking on, 111; monitoring our, requirement for, 136; new, changes in thinking allowing for, 128, 157, 159; and Newton's third law of motion, 113; pausing and holding off on, 185;

proposing, 143-144, 145; resistance to proposed, addressing, 146; shared, creating, 139; stopping the, 155. See also Impact and contribution; Thinking-Action map; Thinking-Action mapping template

Advanced Action Design Institute, 158 Advocacy: described, 29; mapping, 226; purpose of, 18. See also High quality advocacy

Advocacy and inquiry: balancing, 33–35, 170, 200, 205; in crafting interventions, exercise involving, 148; described, 29; experimenting with the quality of, 192; as a foundational skill, 16; identifying all forms of, during case diagnostic process, 241-242; mapping, 225; mistakes made in modeling, 196-197; purpose of, 18; skills in, practicing, in case study groups, 242; transferring the skill set of, 190. See also Advocacy; Inquiry

Advocacy statement, 150 Alcoholics Anonymous (AA) slogan, 163,

165, 178 Alertness, new state of, 168–169, 171

Alignment, issue of, 139, 168

Animation, loss of, 5
Arabian culture, 71, 90–91
Argyris, C., 3, 4, 5, 6–7, 11, 12, 15, 16, 18, 35, 64, 66, 69, 73, 74, 82, 92, 93, 98, 99, 112, 125, 130, 133, 138, 153, 156, 158, 159, 164, 177, 179, 185, 188, 189, 190, 207, 213
Argyris, D., 158

Arrogance, assumption reflecting, example of, 158–159

Asian cultures, 75

Assuming partiality, *23*, 35, 142, 162–165, 183

Assumptions: alternative, making, 172; about conflict, 137; about drawing conclusions, 228; embedded, 19, 144, 147–148; false, 163, 182, 208, 230; implicit, example of, reflecting arrogance, 158–159; about intentions, 93–94, 130, 166, 172–173; large-scale, ability to change, 119; and mapping interpersonal defensive routines, 220; neutral, making, 173; of the obviousness of our thinking, 165; about roles and responsibilities, 111; and selecting data, 149; unfair, 161

Authority, relationships with, issue of, 71, 75

Avoidance, 68–69 AWARE, 20, 21

Awareness: of differences, 154; human, limits of, 131–132, 167; lack of, addressing, opportunity for, 223; of the Ladder of Inference, 141; of trigger points, 20, 44–45, 59, 169; of the Unilateral Control Model, 74; unlearning something outside our, difficulty of, 133

В

Babson College, 73 Background story, telling the, 106 Bad news, delivering, strategy of, 85 BALANCE, 29, 30 Balancing advocacy and inquiry, 33–35, 170, 200, 205

Behavior: new, learning, through practice, 6–7; unproductive, escalating cycles of, caught up in, 3, 4–5. *See also specific behaviors*

Biondi, M., 133

Blaming: curtailing, in facilitated dialogue, 223; mapping, 235; in organizational culture, 186; reducing tendency toward, 112; refraining from, as a ground rule for mapping, 230; spotting, 130; stopping, result of, 126; when mistakes are made, 181–182
Blindness, 131–132, 167, 168, 172, 213, 223
Bracketing defensive reactions, 184–185
Brainstorming, use of, 231, 233
Brevity, in paraphrasing, 151
Broad overview vs. details, questions of, case involving, 201–203
Business problems, identifying, 230–231, 233

Bypass strategies: and assuming a false humility, 164; common forms of, 82–92; exercise involving, 92; mapping, 113; purpose of, 81–82; reflecting on, 137; reinforcing, 93–99; scenario involving, 79–81; that have backfired, example of, 158; used by change agents, 125–126

C

"Calvin and Hobbes" (cartoon), 153
Case studies: reflecting practitioner mistakes, 193–207; writing, 134–135. *See also* LHC-RHC case studies
Case study groups: strategies for, 241–246; structure of, and process guidelines, 239–240; use of, 134–135

Catch-22, 178, 182, 208

Causal explanations: inventing, 95–96, 144, 220; trading, 145

Certainty, high degree of, holding a, 68
Change: ability to, as requisite for deescalation, 119; beginning, by taking the initiative to do something different, 127; chicken-and-egg type of question involving, 173; fundamental, of thinking, 183; gradual, of thinking, 159–160; greatest leverage for, 13; in habits of thought, aspects of, 128; incentives for, 129–131; instant, of thinking, 157–159; internal commitment to, 127; long-term and produc-

Change agents, criticism of, 125–126 Characters, using, to learn from, 10–11. See also "Fix It Now or Fix It Later" scenarios

tive, 129; reality of, adapting to, 68

Childlike emotional mode, 58
Chinese social reality, 89
Choices, offering, 74
Christian scriptures, 94
Cognitive competence, 190
Command-and-control management style, 125

Chicken-and-egg question, 173

Communication: basic dienama of, 19; poor, escalating error and, 128; quality of our, improving, importance of, 13,

Competence, cognitive, required of practitioners, 190

Competency, sense of: eroding our, 180–181; false, hiding a, 181; threatened, understanding, 44, 182. *See also* Threat and embarrassment, conditions of

Conclusions, drawing: and advocacy, 30; and arguing them, 145; in case study

groups, 243; and conflict between them, 28; as inferences, recognizing, 163–164; and the Ladder of Inference, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28; making assumptions about, 228; variations on, 143–144

Conflict: assumptions about, 137; benefiting from, 137–138; between conclusions, 28; of personality, writing off defensive routines as a, 138

Consideration, taking the past into, 187 Contextual factors, identifying, 231–232, 234, 236

Contribution to defensive routines. *See* Impact and contribution

Control: default response to being out of, 160; exercise of, by management, problem with, 125; giving false assurances of, 126; vs. influence, 126–127; mechanical, 124

Control, being in: belief about, when using theory, 209, 210; exercise involving, 76; scenario involving, 61–64. *See also* Unilateral Control Model

Conversational data, 31, 106, 110, 170, 216

Conversations: balance in, 34; basic units of, 29; conducted in the spirit of the Mutual Learning Model, 237; ongoing, having, and clear contracting, 187; quality of our, improving, importance of, 13, 15, 213; recalling, 31–32; recording, practice of, 134, 193, 216, 222, 223, 240; starting point for, maps serving as, 237. *See also* Facilitated dialogue; Point-counterpoint conversations

Correction, opportunity for, gaining, 169 Covering up, 82, 92–93, 125–126, 137, 182, 184, 227, 228

Critical feedback: demeanor while receiving, 210–211; LHC-RHC case study involving, 217–219

Critical thinking skills, use of, 127, 128
Cronkite, W., 163
Culter, J., 213
Cultural history, organizational, 117
Cultural meaning, Western, attached to making mistakes, 179
Cultural norms, effect of, 67, 71, 75, 76, 89, 90–91
Cultural precepts, 90
Curiosity: beginning alternative action

Curiosity: beginning alternative action with, 142; as the driver of discovery, 156; fostering, 164; having, as a ground rule for mapping, 230; shift to, 155, 185; stage for, 232

D

Data: characteristics of, 23, 149; conversational, 31, 106, 110, 170, 216; eliciting, 106–107; grounding comments in the, during case study groups, 244, 246; pool of, 23, 24, 243. *See also* Information; Interpreting data and adding meaning; Selecting data

Data document, use of a, 222

Day-to-day errors, unnoticed, 178–179

Day-to-day errors, unnoticed, 178-179 Decision making: better, information leading to, 32, 33; delay in, 116, 145; poor, dynamic resulting in, 117, 128; prolonging, 72

De-escalation: change requisite for, 119; commitment needed for, 120; incentives for engaging in, 129–132; and inviting reflection, 132–140; key to, 127; as not about fixing something, 124–127; and the power of reflection, 127–128; realization leading to, 123–124; scenario involving, 121–123

Default state of mind, 66, 160, 213 Defense, just cause for, 58 Defensive routines. *See* Organizational defensive routines
Delivering bad news, strategy of, 85
Denial, 72, 73–74
Details vs. broad overview, question of, case involving, 201–203
Diagnosing case studies, 241–242
Dialogue. *See* Conversations; Facilitated dialogue

Differences in views, as a constant theme, 56–57. *See also* Engaging differences Dilemmas, managing, 138–140, 201–203 Direct questioning of ability, case involving, 49–51

Disconnection, exact point of, locating, 162

Dishonesty, issue of, 86–87

Double-loop learning, 15–16, 141, 157.

See also Mutual Learning Model

Double, importance of, 163–164

Dueling ladders, 26–27

Ε

Easing-in strategy, 82–83, 113, 200
Egos, using, as an excuse, 138
Einstein, A., 128
Embarrassment, understanding, 43–44.

See also Threat and embarrassment, conditions of
Emotional Intelligence (Goleman), 57

Emotional Intelligence (Golellail), 37
Emotional temperature, rising, case involving, 45–48

Emotions: covering up, revealed during mapping, 227, 228; having different, 65; reflection on the role of, mistakes in responding to, case involving, 194–198; role of, 57–58; suppression of, 68–69; surrounding discovery of a mistake, 180; venting, benefit of, 106, 185

False competency, hiding a, 181 Engaging differences: by adopting a learning stance, 153–155; as an alternative False humility, 164 Favoritism, guarding against, 111, 160, action, 141; by beginning with curiosity, 142; four step process for, 155-156; 216 using the advanced version of the Lad-Fears, covering up, revealed during mapder of Inference for, 143-152; willingping, 227, 228 ness for, 137 Feedback: demeanor while receiving, Entitlement, 89-90 210–211; failure in presenting, 179; initiating, with leading questions, issue Epicurus, 6 Errors. See Mistakes and errors with, 200; in interviews, 107; LHC-Escalation, potential, promise of, 75. See RHC case study involving, 217–219; also De-escalation using a group setting for receiving, Evaluating, 143, 144, 145 239; in workshops, asking for, 206–207 Examples, offering, 31, 85 Feelings and emotions. See Emotions Fictional narrative, using, to learn from, Exit strategy, 178 9-10. See also "Fix It Now or Fix It Expectations: dropping, 161; measuring Later" scenarios up to, issue of, 90–91, 92 Fifth Discipling Fieldbook, The (Senge), 33 Experimentation: improvement through, 213; scientific principles of, applying, "Fight-or-flight mode," 44, 57, 184 164, 172; and skill transfer, 190, 191, Filters, 220 192; using a group setting for, 239, "Fix It Now or Fix It Later" scenarios: and being in control, 61–64; and bypass Explaining, 95–96, 143, 144, 145, 220 tactics, 79-81; and conditions of threat and embarrassment, 39-42; and deescalation, 121–123; mapping the, Face, meaning of, 89–90 112-119; using, to learn from, 7, 9 Face-saving strategy: backfired, 158; Fixing defensive routines, believing in, cultural norms for, 90-91; described, problem with, 124-127 88-89; mapping, 113: Western re-Fixing metaphor, limits of the, 124-126 action to, 92 Flawed Advice (Argyris), 125 Facilitated dialogue: as an intervention Follett, M. P., 138, 140 strategy, 104, 105; in mapping de-Forgiveness: appropriate candidates for, fensive routines, 222, 223, 227-228, 166; little evidence of, 97; unwilling to 234-235 extend, 181-182 Facilitated reflection, mistakes made dur-Foundational skill set. See Advocacy and ing, case study involving, 194–198 inquiry; Ladder of Inference; Left Facts vs. inferences, 22, 28–29, 114, 125 Hand Column (LHC) Frame of mind: access to, 107, 108, 113; False assumptions: being "mugged" by, 163; learning from, 182, 230; not testeliciting a person's, 106, 107–110 ing, 208 Freudian slip, 21–22

G	35–36; practicing, in case study
Gap, spotting the, 130-131, 166-167	groups, 242; and reflection, 128
Gestalt therapy technique, 186	History: claiming there's a, 97–98; estab-
"Get rid of it" reaction, 72, 74	lished, of dysfunctional behavior, and
Godfather, The (movie), 129	reciprocity, 172
Goleman, D., 57–58	Ho, D. Y., 89, 91, 100
Granting legitimacy, 160–162, 183–184	Holding others accountable, 94–95
Greek Stoics, 126	Home free, coasting, mistaken belief in,
Grilled for information, getting, case of,	case involving, 193-198
48–49	Honesty, issue with, 71–72, 86
Ground rules, 197, 230	Humanity, endearing feature of, 187
Group discussions vs. private interviews,	Humility, virtue of, 164, 168, 186, 209
105–106	Hybrid model, 213
Groups, breaking into, for mapping, 230	Hypotheses, ideas as, 164
Groups, study. See Case study groups	
Н	Impact and contribution: acknowledging
"Hard data" document, use of a, 222	our, 167-171, 173, 184, 186, 187;
Help from others: enlisting, 135–136,	avoiding our own, 181; discussing, in
185; promise of, 187; reliance on, 165;	case study groups, 245, 246; meaning
requesting, strategy of, 83–85, 113	of, 168; practitioners staying alert to
Helping practitioners teach others. See	unintended, importance of, 206–207;
Practitioners as teachers	reflecting on, when mapping manager-
Helplessness, 98–99, 124	team interaction, 223; registering the,
High quality advocacy: attempting, in	170, 173; responsibility to talk about
role playing, 192; benefits of, 29; craft-	another's, 166, 173; seeing each other's,
ing, 170; and dealing with bypass	217. See also Action-Impact map;
strategies, 85, 87; described, 30-32; to	Action-Impact mapping template
engage differences, 141; identifying,	Impact, physical, characteristics of, 167–168
during case diagnostic process, 241;	Implementation, effective, likelihood of,
meaning behind, 35-36; practicing, in	increasing, 32, 33
case study groups, 242, 243; and shift	Inaction, 98
in thinking, 162	Incompetence, skilled, 178-179
High quality inquiry: and assuming par-	Inconsistent messages: sending, strategy
tiality, 163; attempting, in role playing,	of, 85–86; types of, 86–88; and using

false humility, 164

Influence vs. control, 126-127

See also Data; Mapping

Inferences, making. See Ladder of Inference

Information: leading to better decision making, 32, 33; organizing, 110–112.

192; benefits of, 29; and dealing with

bypass strategies, 85, 87; described,

145-146, 153, 154-155; identifying,

during case diagnostic process, 242; in interviews, 106, 110; meaning behind,

32-33; to engage differences, 141,

Ingenuity, 3 der of Inference, 143; in case study Injustice, confronting, 116 groups, 243; difference in, understanding, 162; discovering others' means Inner critic: as an obstacle to learning, 180, 186; quelling the, 185–186 of, 170; and the Ladder of Inference, Innovation, 3 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 30; and mapping Inquiry: described, 29; to discover others' interpersonal defensive routines, 220; Ladder of Inference, 170; exercises moving down the ladder to, 146; namusing, to engage differences, 147, ing as, 147-149, 156; paraphrasing as, 148-149, 149-150; genuine, lack 150-152, 156 of, 125; lack of, 219; and learning Interruptions, as a strategy, 87–88, 153 from mistakes, 183-184; line of, purs-Interventions, strategic. See Interviews; ing a, 156; and mapping interpersonal Mapping defensive routines, 220; muddled in-Interviews, 104, 105, 105–110 structions for exercise on using, case Inventing motives, 93-94 of, 203–206; in paraphrasing, 151; J purpose of, 18; reasonableness waiting to be discovered through, 165; reflec-Joyce, J., 182 Judgments, making, issue of, 90-91, 114, tion on the process of, mistakes in responding to, case involving, 194-198. 125, 127, 130 See also Advocacy and inquiry; High JUMP, 22, 23 quality inquiry Justice, pursuit of, 6, 161 Insanity, 178 K Instructions, muddled, case of, 203-206 Intentions: categorizing views on, 108; gap Knots, massaging, 104, 110 between, and actions, 166–167; making Knowledge for Action (Argyris), 177 assumptions about, 93–94, 130, 166, Knowledge, purpose of, 6 172-173; mismatch between results L and, occurrence of, 177, 178, 179, 180; positive, attributing, 165-167, 172-Ladder of Inference: in action, 18; 173, 184; practitioner, questioning advanced version of the, using the, of, mistakes in responding to, cases 143-152; and advocacy, 30, 31; awareinvolving, 193-207; reacting to misness of the, 141; as a critical thinking interpretation of, 170; signaling, 154 tool, 128; described, 21-29; exercises Intergroup conflict, turning dilemmas involving the, 146, 147, 148–149, into, 138, 139 149-150, 151-152; as a foundational Interpersonal defensive routines, mapskill, 16; gaining insights into an indiping, 113-116, 215-221, 237 vidual's, 106; good reminder to return Interpersonal relationships, dynamic to the, 162; hazards of arguing from motion of, 111 atop the, 145–146; interjecting doubt Interpreting data and adding meaning: or mistrust of your, importance of,

and the advanced version of the Lad-

163-164; low level on the, example

of, 150; neutral terms provided by the, 216–217; presentations on the, learning from, 207; as productive, 85; purpose of the, 17; questions to discover others', 170; and a shared reasoning process, 161; and strategies for case study groups, 242, 243; teaching the, 189–190; trading abstract conclusions from atop the, 113; as a tuning device, 142; visibility of the, in role playing, 192

Ladders: dueling, 26–27; multiple, 27–28, 243; rungs on, 24, 27

Lattice Partners, 213

Leadership, senior, participation of, 138 Leading questions: and bypass strategies, 83, 85, 200; case involving, 198–201; and inquiry quality, 242; mapping, 113, 220, 225, 226; that come to mind when others make mistakes, 181

Learning: appetite for, 142; consideration for, 87; continual process of unlearning and, 132, 133; crucibles for, 58-59; double-loop, 15–16, 141, 157; from the dying, 132, 136, 137; environment of, 239; from experienced practitioners, 207–208; explicit contract for, 210; inhibiting, 137: lifelong pursuit of, 173; in the moment, 135-136; as a mutual agreement, 202; mutual, dance of reflection and, first step in the, 168; obstacle to, 72, 208; promoting, technique for, 35; reflective, failure to contract with another person for, effect of, 209; required, limiting, 76; skill transfer essential to, 190; staple of, 133. See also Mistakes, learning from; Mutual Learning Model

Learning agreements, 202

Learning stance: adopting a, 153–155, 184, 213, 217; remaining in the, issue of, 193

Left Hand Column (LHC): access to frame of mind in the, 107, 108, 113; and advocacy and inquiry, 29; and being rational and avoiding upset, 69; blurting out comments from the, during an evaluation, inviting, 135; common types of thinking in the, providing a list of, 201; and denial, 73; described, 18–21; focus on the, in case study groups, 243-244; as a foundational skill, 16; gaining insights into an individual's, 106; and holding others accountable, 95; increasing toxicity of the, mapping the, 114; inventing causal explanations in the, 96; organizing the, 108, 109; productive use of the, 141; purpose of the, 17; and requesting help, 84; teaching the, 189; unfreezing the, 130-131. See also LHC-RHC case studies

Legitimacy, granting, 160–162, 183–184 Letting go, 126

LHC-RHC case studies: accessing private thinking from, 108, 113; comparing columns in, 131; involving conditions of threat and embarrassment, 45–47, 48–49, 50–51, 52, 53, 54; as the object and focus of study groups, 239, 240; and practitioner mistakes, 194–196; process for writing, 134–135; study groups using, process and strategies for, 239, 240, 241–246; and the Unilateral Control Model, 73; for use in mapping defensive routines, 216, 218–219; writing and reviewing one's own, 92

Lies, telling, strategy involving, 86–87

Limitations of your thinking, acknowledging, 23, 35, 163–164

Linus, 181

Listening: deep, 150–151, 157; purpose of, 106; for reasonableness, 169; selective, 150, 221

Logic, self-referential, 97

Loops of human interaction, tracking, 110–112, 216, 219–220, 232–233. *See also* Mapping

Losing face, 90, 92

Low quality advocacy, described, 241 Low quality inquiry, described, 242

Low-level inferences, use of, 216–217, 222

M

Management style, command-and-control, 125

Manager-team interaction, mapping, 222–228

Mapping: benefits of, 215; as a facilitative technique, 124; follow-up to, exercise as a, 237; informal, 123; interpersonal defensive routines, 113–116, 215–221, 237; as an intervention strategy, 104, 105, 112–119; manager-team interaction, 222–228; at the organizational level, 117–119, 228–236, 237; as a starting point for conversations, 237; team defensive routines, 116–117, 222–228, 237; techniques and templates for, 215–237

McArthur, P., 16, 96, 115, 149, 158, 165, 193, 207

Meaning. See Interpreting data and adding meaning; Shared meaning Mechanical control, 124–125

Meetings: defensive routines in the context of, 116–117; dialogue from, exam-

ple of, 224–225; recording, practice of, 134, 222, 223

Mental mishaps, types of, 180. *See also* Mistakes and errors

Mental models, 25–26

Merton, T., 6

Metaphors, use of, 8, 27, 114, 124–126, 148, 201, 202, 214

Mirrored reflection, 165

Misalignment, 139

Mission and vision, alignment to, issue of, 139

Mistakes and errors: and blaming, 181–182; Catch-22 when dealing with, 182, 208; dealing with our own, 180–181, 213; detecting and correcting, 119, 169, 177, 178; failure to see, 178–179; "getting help" with discovering reaction to, 209; inner critic dweiling on, 180, 185–186; prevalent view of, 178, 179–180; in using the Mutual Learning Model, 196, 210; value of, attesting to the, 182; when others make, 181

Mistakes, learning from: difficulty of, 177–178; guidelines for, 188; importance of, beginning practitioners remembering, 208; during mapping, 230; obstacles to, 178–182; preventing, 70, 91; and self-reflection, 120; that are made in modeling, 193–207; by thinking of mistakes as puzzles, 182–188

Misunderstanding, exact point of, locating, 162

Mnemonic devices, use of, 155–156, 162, 171

Model I thinking, 64

Model II thinking and behavior, 16 Modeling: in case study groups, 240; importance of, 126, 141, 157, 190; making mistakes in, learning from, 193–207; productive, ability of practitioners to engage in, as requisite, 190–191; of the skills during workshops, 192–193; through role playing, 191–192

Monitor Group Company, 213 Motives, inventing, 93–94, 114, 166. *See also* Intentions

Muddled expression, dealing with, 29 Muddled instructions, case of, 203–206 Multiple defensive routine levels, keeping in mind the, 111

Multiple ladders of inference, 27–28, 243 Mutual accountability, 126, 186–187

Mutual Learning Model: ability to produce the actions of the, in real-time situations, 190–191; adoption of the, widespread, desire for, 130; continued efforts to practice the, importance of, 213-214; conversations conducted in the spirit of the, 237; critical thinking as requisite in the, 128; hybrid of the Unilateral Control Model and the, 213; implementing the, 173; modeling the, 141; proficiency needed in skills of the, for intervention, 104; as a program of attraction, 126, 171; response to speech about the 124; and strategies for case study groups, 246; as a thinking model, 16; thought enablers and the, 159, 163; using the language of the, while in Unilateral Control Mode, 196, 210. See also Advocacy and inquiry; Ladder of Inference; Left Hand Column (LHC)

Mutual understanding: assuming, 228; conversation yielding, 170

Ν

Naming, 143, 147-149, 156

Narrative description, pinning down a, 113
Neutral assumptions, making, 173
Neutral maps, importance of, 112
Neutral terms, use of, 32, 85, 108, 113, 115, 188, 216–217, 222, 225
New actions: change in thinking allowing for, 128, 157, 159; committing to, with the certainty of help from others, 187
New behavior, learning, through practice, 6–7
Newton's third law of motion, 113

"Not me" reaction, 72, 73-74

0

Omniscience, declaration of, 163 "On the Concept of Face" (Ho), 89 One-sided thinking, 67–68 Operating performance, poor, 3 Organizational culture: blaming in, 186; defensive routines taking hold of, 4–5, 93; history of, beliefs and values in the, personal views reflecting the, 117 Organizational defensive routines: defined and described, 4-5; goal to reduce negative impact of, 214; overview of, 3–4; setting the stage for, 42–43; ubiquity of, 214. See also specific types of defensive routines, strategies, and responses Organizational reflection, 138-140

Organizational reflection, 138–140
Organization-wide defensive routines,
mapping, 117–119, 228–236, 237
Other category, 108, 109
Overview vs. details, questions of, case
involving, 201–203

P

Pain, as an incentive, 129–130
Paradox, 68
Paraphrasing: deep listening required for, 157; to engage differences, 143,

150–152, 156; exercise on, muddling the instructions for, case involving, 203–206; in mapping, 217, 223; in our own minds, 24; when using thought enablers, 170, 173

Partiality, assuming, 23, 35, 142, 162–165, 183

"Peanuts" (cartoon), 181

Pedagogical approach, versatility in, importance of, 190

Perpetuating defensive routines, 5, 125

Personal responsibility, distancing ourselves from, 5

Personal triggers. *See* Trigger points

Personality conflicts, writing off defensive routines as, 138

Philosophy, study of, 5–6 Physical cues, being alert to, 171, 184–185 "Pick your battles" recommendation, issue with the, 75

Pilot workshops, cases from, 193–207 Point-counterpoint conversations, 17, 28, 113, 116, 147, 154, 155, 160, 171. *See* also Stalemates

Politeness, misguided, 70 Positive intent, attributing, 165–167, 172–173, 184

Practice: gap between theory and, 130–131; habits of, engaging in, 7, 157, 189, 207–208, 213–214, 239, 240

Practitioners as teachers: challenges facing, overview of, 189–190; competencies and abilities required of, 190–192; experienced, learning from, 207–208; mistakes made by, cases reflecting, 193–207; and moving from the script to modeling the skills, 192–193; tips for, on becoming skill proficient and facing challenges, 207–211

Private assessments, mapping, 219–220, 227, 228

Private interviews vs. group discussions, 105–106

Private thinking. *See* Left Hand Column (LHC)

Problem solving, limited, 145 Productivity, lost, 72, 117

Proposing action, 143-144

Protective strategies, as a constant theme, 57–58, 103. *See also* Bypass strategies; Control, being in

Public speaking skills, importance of, 190, 193

Punishment: and acknowledging impact, 170; for being on top of the ladder, 27; and ground rules, 230; for making mistakes, 177, 180, 181, 182, 183, 184; mapping, 235; for misunderstandings, 198; practitioner use of, as a sign of not using theory, 210

Putnam, R., 16, 207, 209, 211 Puzz'es: maps as, 230; mistakes as, 182–188

Q

Questions: rhetorical, using, 199, 200, 219, 225; why, issue of, 33, 49. *See also* Inquiry; Leading questions Quick thinking, issue with, 22

R

Rather, D., 163
Rational, remaining, 68–69
Reality: of change, adapting to, 68; inaccurate interpretation of, 98–99; of our actions, being subjected to the, 134; outside, inability to acknowledge the difference between our view and, 163; social, Chinese, 89
Real-time help, enlisting, 135–136

Real-time reflection, demonstrating, 193

Real-time situations, ability to model the skills in, importance of, 190 Reasonableness: acknowledgment of, 160, 161, 162; assuming, as a ground rule for mapping, 230; discovering others', 183; listening for, 169; waiting to be discovered, 165 Recall, 31–32, 106 Receiving end, being on the, of others' actions, 169-170 Recipes, reliance on, 209 Reciprocity, 91, 171-172 Recouping ability, 184 Reflection: alternative avenue into, 134–135; artifacts for, 18, 192, 193; in case study groups, 240, 241-246; commitment to, sustaining, 119; dance of, and mutual learning, first step in the, 168; facilitated, mistakes made during, case study involving, 194–198; failure to contract with another person for, effect of, 209; importance of, 120; invitation to, 132-140, 154; journey of, embarking on the, 123–124 lack of, after making mistakes, 178; and the Ladder of Inference, 23; mirrored, 165; pausing for, 116, 171; power of, 127-128; real time, demonstrating, 193; reasons for engaging in, 129–132, 214; as requisite, 6; team, 136-138; on trigger points, 185. See also Left Hand Column (LHC) Reframing, 159, 244

Reframing, 159, 244
Reinforcing defensive routines, 93–99
Repeated patterns, 220
Repetition, 67
Replication, 192
Reputation on the line, case involving, 51–53
Requesting help, strategy of, 83–85, 113

Resistance: addressing, 146; understanding, 165

Respecting others' views, issue with, 71, 76
Responsibility: assumptions about, coming to the table with, 111; categorizing views on, 108; issue of, and holding others accountable, 95, 114; to learn from mistakes, 184; mapping, 118, 233; personal, distancing ourselves from, 5; to talk about the impact of another's actions, 166

Results: describing, for case diagnosis, 241; effective, likelihood of, increasing, 33; identifying, 231, 234, 236; mismatch between intentions and, occurrence of, 177, 178, 179, 180

Rhetorical questions, use of 199, 200

Rhetorical questions, use of, 199, 200, 219, 225

Riceour, P., 8

Right Frand Column (RHC), 18, 19, 131. See also LHC-RHC case studies

Rogers, W., 68

Role playing, 191–192, 240, 244–245, 246 Roles: assumptions about, coming to the table with, 111; categorizing views on, 108; mapping, 118, 233 Romans 7:19, 166

S

Safety, environment of, 239
St. Paul, 166
Saudi Arabia, culture of, 71, 90–91
Saving face. See Face-saving strategy
Schön, D., 11, 15, 16, 18, 130
Selecting data: and the advanced version
of the Ladder of Inference, 143, 149–
150; in case study groups, 243; discovering others' means of, 170; and the
Ladder of Inference, 23, 24, 25, 26,
27, 28, 30; moving down the ladder
to, 146

Resignation, 98

Selective listening, 150, 221 Strategic interventions. See Interviews; Self category, 108, 109 Mapping Self-discovery, journey of, embarking on, Stravinsky, I., 182 Stress, effect of, 160 132, 214 Study groups. See Case study groups Self-fulfilling prophecies, defensive routines becoming, 97–98 Subjectivity, 131 Self-referential logic, 97 Successful organizations, hallmarks of, 3 Self-reflection: beginning the journey Supporting others, issue with, 69–70, 76, toward, reason for, 123; challenge of, 133; full commitment to, aspects Systems thinking discipline, 33 of, 132-136; importance of, 120; offer-Т ing to help others with, 132; reasons "Taking Personal Change Seriously" for committing to, 129-132, 214. See also Reflection (Senge), 130 Senge, P. M., 33, 130, 140 Task category, 108, 109 Senior leadership, participation of, 138 Teachers, helping practitioners be. See Practitioners as teachers Shared actions, creating, 139 Shared meaning: creating, 139; making Teaching style, being questioned about, assumptions about, 144, 147–148 mistakes in responding to, case involv-Shared reasoning process, 161 ing, 198–201 Shell Oil Company's Learning and Trans-Team defensive routines, mapping, 116–117, 222–228, 237 formation Services, 7 Team efficiency, reduced, 145 Silence, 92, 116, 137, 226 Simulation, use of, 191 Team polarization, 145 Skill transfer, 190, 191. See also Experi Team reflection, 136–138 mentation; Modeling Tension, escalation of, potential, promise Skilled incompetence, 178–179 of, 75 Smith, D. M., 16, 28, 158, 163, 184, 207 Theory: in practice, gap between es-Social face, 90. See also Face-saving poused theory and, 130-131; using, issues with, 208-211 strategy Social justice, pursuit of, 6 Thinking: agility of, 164; chicken-and-egg type of question involving, 173; criti-Social reality, 89, 90 cal, use of, 127, 128; different, effect Social virtues, 69–72, 75, 86, 87, 158 Socrates, 120 of, 157; fundamental change of, 183; Socratic method, 200 gradual change of, 159-160; influence Stalemates, 28, 145, 241. See also Pointof, on actions, 111; instant change of, 157-159; limits of our, acknowledgcounterpoint conversations STATE and ASK, 35 ing the, 23, 35, 163-164; obvious-Statements, making. See Advocacy ness of our, assumption of the, 165; STEP process, 155–156 one-sided, 67–68; outside the box, 3; Storytelling, use of, 8-9, 96-97 partiality of our, acknowledging, 35,

142, 162–165, 183; quick, 22; recording, for case diagnosis, 242; shifts of, 128, 159, 161–162, 166, 172–173; toxic, 20, 106, 110, 217; win-lose, 66–68, 74–75, 93, 123, 128, 145

Thinking models, 16, 64. *See also* Mutual Learning Model; Unilateral Control Model

Thinking privately. See Left Hand Column (LHC)

Thinking-Action map, 112, 115, 117, 119, 221, 236

Thinking-Action mapping template: for interpersonal defensive routines, 215–221; issue of using, for mapping manager-team interaction, 222; for the organizational level, 228, 229, 230–235

Third party, use of a, 104, 105. *See also*Facilitated dialogue; Interviews;
Mapping

Thomas Aquinas, 7

Thought enablers: acknowledging impact and contribution as, 167–171, 184; assuming partiality as, 162–165, 183; attributing positive intent as, 165–167, 184; drawing on, to learn from mistakes, 183–184; exercise involving use of, 172–173; gradually changing thinking with use of, 159–160; granting legitimacy as, 160–162, 183–184; instantly changing thinking without use of, 157–159; need for, 157; working with, 171–173

Threat and embarrassment, conditions of: activating, by talking about undiscussables, 112; and admitting one's impact and contribution, 168; and being in control, 64, 65, 69, 74; breeding grounds for, 68, 182; and bypass

strategies, 81, 86, 89, 91, 92, 125, 137, 158; case studies of, 45-55; changing the default response to, 160; constant themes featured in, 55-58; as crucibles for learning, 58–59; denial leading to, 73; difficulty identifying errors and mistakes in, 177; exercises involving, 59; faced by beginning practitioners, 207-208; in facilitated dialogue, addressing, 223; first level of protection against, 181; and "getting help" discovering mistakes, 209; as just cause for defense, 58; potential, features of, 43-45; presence of the "expert" inducing, 210; reaction to, 3, 4, 103, 182; scenario involving, 39–42; ubiquity of, 129

Threats, understanding, 44
Time constraints, 198
Time management, importance of, in conducting workshops, 199
Time period, for case study group discussion, 239

Toxic work environment, 5, 98
Trigger points: awareness of, 20, 44–45, 59, 169; having different, 65; identifying, 107, 113; mapping the, 115, 221; reflecting on, 185; respective actions serving as, realization of, 123; and talking about mistakes, 182
Trust, environment of, 239

Toxic thinking, 20, 106, 110, 217

U

Twain, M., 27

Understanding: advocating for, 150; asking for, 145–146; assuming mutual, 228; as the basis for taking accountability, 187; deeper, fostering, 153, 157; mutual, conversation yielding, 170

Unilateral Control Model: alternative to the, 159; anticipating seeing every aspect of the, 136-137; causes activating the, 64-66; classic example of the, 114; common reactions to the, 72–75; desire to hold onto the, 81; and the hazards of arguing atop our ladders, 145; hybrid of the Mutual Learning Model and the, 213; main features of the, 66–72; mapping the, 113; mental description of the, 163; playing out being under the influence of the, in retrospect, 133; purpose of the, 64; reasoning typical of the, 103; results of the, 128; sharing the same mindset of the, 114–115, 131; strategy for shifting from the, exercise involving, 172–173; as a thinking model, 64; and using the language of the Mutual Learning Model, 196, 210. See also Control, being in Unlearning, continual process of, and learning anew, 132, 133 "Unlocking Organizational Defensive" Routines" workshop, 7, 193 Unproductive behavior, escalating cycles of, caught up in, 3, 4–5 Untangling defensive rounnes, approach to, 104-105, 110

Upset, creating, avoidance of, 68-69

V

Value, demonstrating, issue of, 208
Venting, freedom to engage in, 106, 185
Verbal cues, being alert to, 171
Vigilance, 126, 169, 173
Virtues: of humility, 164, 168, 186, 209; of justice, 6, 161; social, 69–72, 75, 86, 87, 158
Vulnerability, showing, 211

W

Weeds, dealing with, 214 Western society, 92, 179 "What's wrong with it?" reaction, 72, 74 White lies, telling, strategy of, 86–87 Why questions, issue of, 33, 49 Win-lose thinking, 66–68, 74–75, 93, 123, 128, 145 Wisdom 126 Withdrawal: Catch-22 involving, 208; justuying, 137; silence as, 116 Workplace culture. See Organizational culture Workshops: modeling the skills during, 192-193; pilot, cases from, 193-207 Wrongly accused, perception of being, case involving, 54-55

Z

Zinged by a question, practitioner getting, case of the, 198–201

Attp://www.hbookshop.com