

Index

A

Accountability: for progress on each strategic priority, 191; Rapid-Results Initiatives and, 170; as success factor, 42, 44, 65

Action learning, 195–196

Action Learning (Dotlich and Noel), 196

Action and planning blend, 191

AlliedSignal, 6

American Standard, 69

Apollo 13 mission, 18

Armstrong Industries, 91–94, 93t, 216

ArvinMeritor, 148–149

Ashkenas, R., 10, 12

AT&T, 8, 9, 207

ATMs case study (Banorte Bank), 52–55, 58

Aversa, M., 201–202

Avery Dennison case study: accelerating corporate growth goal in, 73–74; leadership learning during, 198–199; pilot project set up in, 75–76; positive results during, 78–81; rapid expansion during, 76–78; self-defeating myths disproved during, 82–83; senior management involvement during, 81

B

Backward-looking analysis, 6

Banorte Bank ATMs (Mexico) case study, 52–55, 58

Bayway Refinery case study, 17–18

Beer, M., 7, 97, 105

Beier, C., 184, 185

Bellmann, M., 209

Best bet change strategies: failure of, 10–11; implementation capability

missing from, 11–12; overview of, 8–10

“Bet your company” feelings, 104

“Beyond the Fads” (Ashkenas), 10

Beyond the Hype (Eccles, Nohria, and Berkeley), 10

BHAG (big hairy, audacious goal), 216

Big-fix fantasy, 82

Big-fix programs: considering pros and cons of, 28; grassroots implementation capability instead of, 25–27; performance improvement using, 23–24

Blanckenberg, R., 41

Blindfolds, 21–22

Bossidy, L., 6, 194

The Boundaryless Organization (Ashkenas, Ulrich, Jick, and Kerr), 190

British Broadcasting System, 33

Bundy, D., 165, 166

Business Matters (British Broadcasting System), 33

BusinessWeek, 133, 206

Buzzard, J., 142, 145, 147

C

Calhoun, F., 225

CAP (Change Acceleration Program) (GE Capital), 207

Case studies: Armstrong Industries, 91–94, 93t, 216; Avery Dennison, 73–83, 198–199; Banorte Bank ATMs (Mexico), 52–55, 58; Bayway Refinery case study, 17–18; CEMEX, 207–208; Con Edison, 27–29, 38; Georgia-Pacific Consumer Products, 111–129; GTZ, 176, 184–187t; JLG Industries, 140; MeadWestvaco, 141–148; Mission Control, 18; Mohawk Carpeting,

- 19; Morgan Bank, 18–19, 207;
 Motorola, 25–27, 38, 41, 42, 44;
 PSNH (Public Service of New Hampshire), 176–183*fig*, 187*t*; Siemens Corporation, 4, 41–42; United Aluminum Company, 31–34, 35–36, 37, 40, 41, 58; Updyke Supply Company, 23–24, 33; Zurich U.K., 101–110, 225–226.
 CEMEX, 207–208
- Center for Creative Leadership, 220
- Challenges: emergency situations, 18–19;
 zest factors responses to, 20–21
- Change: backward-looking analysis of, 6;
 building unique transformation process for, 97–110; call for organizational, 3–4; fragmented theories on, 6; institutional modifications supporting, 107–109; lack of real results from, 4–5; large-scale, 36–37, 47*fig*–48; mobilizing large numbers in, 73–95; necessity as mother of, 18–19; untested prescriptions for, 6–7. *See also* Transformation process
- Change literature: backward-looking analysis by, 6; contributions of, 5–6; fragmented theories included in, 6; information missing from, 7; untested prescriptions included in, 6–7
- Change strategies: failure of, 10–11; implementation capability and, 11–13; large-scale mergers and acquisitions, 8, 9, 133–152; major technology upgrades, 8–9; massive restructuring, 8; radical strategic shifts, 8; rapid-cycle projects and, 14–15
- Chase Manhattan, 8, 207
- Chrysler, 8
- Citigroup Europe, 4, 65–67
- Coles, C., 6
- Colgate, 201
- Collins, J., 6, 216
- Colvin, G., 134
- Communications Division of Motorola case study, 25–27, 38, 41, 42, 44
- Con Edison case study, 27–29, 38
- Connecticut's Department of Mental Health, 67–68
- Correll, A. D. (“Pete”), 112, 121, 126, 128, 213
- “Crafting Strategy” (Mintzberg), 174, 190
- CSWs (commercial sex workers) [Eritrea], 164–165, 170
- Customer partnering: applying rapid results approach to, 60; improving value chain through, 200–202; ISP (International Specialty Products) experience with, 201–202; U.S. Borax experience with, 60–63, 200
- Customer-transaction-driven business, 188
- ## D
- Daimler Chrysler, 8
- Day, R., 104
- De La Riva, J., 148, 149
- “Deep Change: How Operational Innovation Can Transform Your Company” (Hammer), 55
- Deliberate learning, 46
- Dell, 55
- DeMonaco, L. J., 224
- Dennison, A., 14, 45
- Department of Labor & Industries (Washington), 208–209
- Developing countries: cross-institutional collaboration in, 171; Eritrea Rapid-Results Initiatives, 160–167; Kenya, Sierra Leone, and Mozambique rapid-results experiences, 167–170; leadership capacity development in, 157–159, 170–172; Nicaraguan Rapid-Results Initiatives, 154–160; recommended programs for change to, 153–154
- Developmental strategic planning, 176
- Dixon, D., 77
- Dot-com boom (1990s), 56
- Dotlich, D., 196
- Dunlap, A. “Chainsaw AI,” 6
- ## E
- Eagle Star Insurance, 89–91. *See also* Zurich U.K.
- Eccles, R., 10
- Emergency change situations, 18–19
- Employee involvement initiative, 38
- Employees: keeping health workers healthy, 67–68; rapid results for diversity of, 65–67. *See also* Staff
- Empowerment, 159–160, 170
- Enron, 9
- Eritrea: background information on, 160–161; CSWs (commercial sex

- workers) work in, 164–165, 170; scaling up efforts in, 162–163; school-based prevention program in, 165–166; VCT work in, 161–162, 163, 164, 167
- Esso Corporation, 17
- Evaluating Training Programs* (Kirkpatrick), 196–197
- Execution* (Bossidy), 6
- Execution culture, 68–70
- Experiential leadership development, 195–196, 202–203
- Experimentation, 44–45
- Exxon, 17
- ## F
- Fast Company*, 197
- “Father knows best” syndrome, 82
- Feck, R., 121, 122, 123
- Federal Reserve Bank of New York, 227
- Fernandez, M., 53
- Fidelity Investments, 188, 189
- Fisher, L., 66
- Flessas, D., 220
- Flores, J. J., 54
- Ford, H., 194
- Fort James Corporation, 119, 120
- Fortune* magazine, 134
- Fowler, T., 115–116
- Francis, S., 68
- Frigolet, P., 52, 53, 54
- ## G
- Galvin, R., 216
- “Gasp goal,” 220
- GE Capital, 224
- GE Capital’s CAP (Change Acceleration Program), 207
- The GE Work-Out* (Ulrich, Kerr, and Ashkenas), 84
- GE Work-Out process: Armstrong Industries use of, 91–94, 93*t*; description of, 84; design of, 85; Eagle Star Insurance use of, 89–91; implementation of, 87–89; origins of, 83–84; three phases of, 88*t*; the Work-Out event as heart of, 86–87
- General Electric, 4, 6, 216
- Georgia-Pacific Consumer Products: creating collaborative network through, 117–119; expanding involvement of people in, 115–116; expanding MIP to Plattsburgh Plant, 117; five-year review of improvement process, 116–117; Fort James Corporation acquired by, 119, 120; improvement as part of daily routine at, 127–128; mill improvement process after ten years, 123–127; mill improvement process evolution, 114; mill improvement process of, 113–114; origins of mill improvement process used by, 112–113; rapid-cycle improvement implementation by, 111–112; synergy of mill improvement process, 119–120; transformation through mill improvement process, 120–123
- Gerstner, L., 194
- GlaxoSmithKline Pharmaceuticals, 4, 45–46, 225
- Goals: attaining hard results in soft area, 64–65; FHAG (big, hairy, audacious goal), 216; deliberate learning, 46; demanding better results for achieving, 218–221; framework for strategic planning, 190–191; from overall objective to rapid results, 43*fig*; gaining rapid results on key, 51–72; “gasp,” 220; leadership development through achievement of, 198; NAFTA market share, 42; rapid-cycle project business/rapid-cycle, 59*t*; as rapid-cycle project design focus, 37–38; self-defeating, 65; setting strategic one-year, 174; The Seven Deadly Sins of Demand Making for achieving, 219. *See also* Rapid results
- Good to Great* (Collins), 216
- Grassroots implementation capability: absences of easy testing result of, 44–45; Motorola improvement of, 25–27, 38, 41, 42, 44; rapid-cycle projects linking large-scale changes to, 47*fig*–48
- Grove, A., 6, 38
- GTZ (Deutsche Gesellschaft fuer Technische Zusammenarbeit): challenges facing, 184–186; described, 176; moving to long-term direction, 186; new strategic planning paradigm used by, 187*t*
- GTZ Rehabilitation and Crisis Prevention process, 185, 186

H

- Hamel, G., 15, 176
 Hammer, M., 55, 56
Harvard Business Review, 133, 173–174, 224
 Harvard Business School, 7, 97
 Hayes, R., 56
 Hise, R., 143–144, 145
 HIV/AIDS Rapid-Results Initiative, 160–161, 162, 167, 168, 169t, 171
 Hodges, C. E., 112, 119, 124, 128
 Honeywell, 6
 Howett, B., 103
 Hybsch, B., 177, 180, 181

I

- IBM, 3
 IMPAC, 144–145
 Implementation capability: change and failure of, 11–12; developing, 12–13; in developing countries, 153–172; execution culture to increase, 68–70; GE's Work-Out process to increase, 87–89; new opportunities for, 55–56; psychological barriers inhibiting, 56–57
In Search of Excellence (Peters and Waterman), 5–6
 Integration. *See* Mergers and acquisitions integration
 Intel, 6, 38
 ISP (International Specialty Products) case study, 201–202

J

- Jacobson, D., 18–19
 Jarawan, E., 161
 JLG Industries, 140
 Johnson & Johnson, 150–151
 JPMorgan, 8

K

- Kalt, F., 206
 Kanter, R. M., 6
 Katzenbach, J. R., 197
 Kenya, 167, 168–169t, 170
 Kirkpatrick, D., 196–197
 Kirsch, T., 150
 Kodak, 3
 Kotter, J., 7

L

- Large, T., 183
 Large-scale changes: capability for, 36–37; rapid-cycle projects linking grassroots implementation to, 47fig–48. *See also* Mobilizing large numbers
 Larrick, R., 115, 117, 128
 Leadership capacity: ability to make it happen as, 194–195; capacity to challenge or motivate as part of, 170; cross-institutional collaboration and, 171; empowerment and accountability as part of, 170; Nicaraguan development of, 157–159; rapid results from, 171–172. *See also* Managers; Rapid-Results Initiatives
 Leadership development: achieving tough goals as part of, 198; built into achievement, 198–202; the chicken and the egg of, 209–210; experiential (or action learning), 195–196, 202–203; impact of success on, 193; importance of real work experience to, 197; results-focused collaboration for, 200–202; results-fueled learning in formal, 202–209; traditional subject-matter orientation of, 193–194. *See also* Managers
 Learning: change as about, 105; generated through experimentation and achievement, 221–222; as leadership development element, 198–199; leadership development using results-fueled, 202–209; as rapid-cycle projects benefit, 35–36, 191
The Lessons of Experience—How Successful Executives Develop on the Job (McCall, Lombardo, and Morrison), 197
 Libby, J., 180
 Linear strategic planning, 174–175
 Lockhart, M. D., 92, 93, 94, 216
 Long, G., 177, 179, 183
 Lovell, J., 18
 Low-hanging fruit, 39
 Luke, J., 143, 144
- M**
- McCall, M., 197, 220
 McCreight, M., 68
 McDonough, W. J., 227, 228
 McMahan, G., 104

- Malaki, J., 164, 165
- Malchione, B., 81, 199
- Maletz, M. C., 197
- Management: involvement in mobilizing large numbers in change, 81; of organization transition process, 98–99; Zurich U.K. developmental agenda for, 102–103
- Managers: big-fix programs used by, 23–24; blindfold responses of, 21–22; importance of staff to achievements by, 222–226; making connection between poor performance and results by, 64; psychological barriers inhibiting implementation by, 56–57; setting self-defeating goals, 65; too much reliance on staff or outside consultants by, 65. *See also* Leadership capacity; Leadership development
- Matta, N., 12
- Mead Corporation, 141–142
- MeadWestvaco case study: acquisition and integration experience, 145–148; background information on, 141–142; Evadale acquisition lessons applied to, 142–144; IMPAC acquisition lessons applied to, 144–145
- Mean Business* (Dunlap), 6
- Measurable stretch results, 38–40
- Meky, S., 160, 161, 162, 166, 167
- Mergers and acquisitions: Arvin Meritor case study on, 148–149; challenges of changes created by, 133; as change strategy, 8, 9; dismal track record of, 133–134; fulfilling promise of, 136–141; Johnson & Johnson case study on, 149–151; MeadWestvaco case study on, 141–148; reasons for lack of success of, 134–136
- Mergers and acquisitions integration: creating master plan for, 138–139; creating overall vision, 137–138; elements of, 136–137*fig*; rapid results projects to fuel the, 139–141
- Meritor Automotive, 148–149
- Metz, R., 6
- Meyers, K., 125
- Mintzberg, H., 173, 190, 197
- MIP Leadership Conferences, 118–119
- MIP (Mill Improvement Process) (Georgia-Pacific): creating collaborative network using, 117–119; description of, 113–114; evolution of, 114; expanded to Plattsburgh Plant, 117; expanding involvement of people in, 115–116; five-year review of, 116–117; origins of, 112–113; reviewing ten years of progress using, 123–127; synergy through, 119–120; “10-K” checkups, 115, 117; transformation of Old Town Mill using, 120–123
- Mission Control, 18
- Mitchell, D., 6
- Mobilizing large numbers: Avery Dennison experience with, 73–81, 82–83, 198–199; common myths associated with, 82–83; GE’s Work-Out process modified for, 89–94; GE’s Work-Out process used for, 85–89; lessons learned from cases of, 94–95. *See also* Large-scale changes
- Model week (or model month) projects, 58
- Mohawk Carpeting case study, 19
- Morgan Bank, 18–19, 207
- Motorola, 4, 216
- Motorola Communications Division case study, 25–27, 38, 41, 42, 44
- Mozambique, 167–168, 170
- Muskogee Mill (Oklahoma), 125
- ## N
- NAFTA market share goal, 42
- Neal, P. M., 74, 78, 81, 213
- Necessity, 18–19
- New York Times*, 141
- Nicaragua: large-scale project experience in, 154–156; leadership capacity development in, 157–159; Rapid-Results Initiatives completed in, 157; shift from victimhood to empowerment, 159–160
- Noel, J., 196
- ## O
- Old Town Mill (Maine), 120–123
- On-time delivery project (United Aluminum Company), 31–34, 35–36, 37
- 100-day action projects, 41
- Only the Paranoid Survive* (Grove), 6, 38
- Operations, Strategy, and Technology: Pursuing the Competitive Edge* (Hayes and associates), 56

Organization culture: changing, 70t; creating execution, 68–70

Organizations: call for change in, 3–4; change myth regarding size of, 82; customer transaction-driven business and strategic planning by, 188; hard results in soft areas of, 64–65; institutional modifications supporting change process, 107–109; rapid-cycle project business/rapid-cycle goals of, 59t; transformation process built by, 97–110

O'Sullivan, P., 89, 90, 100, 102, 103, 109, 216, 225

P

Pallais, N., 158

Performance improvement: big-fix programs used for, 23–24, 28; blindfold barriers to, 21–22; emergency situations leading to, 18–19; Georgia-Pacific experience with, 111–129; organizational capacity for, 17–18; rapid results projects used for, 14–15, 25–29, 28t, 57–58; zest-produced, 20–21. *See also* Case studies

Peters, T., 5, 6

Piccioni, N., 155

Planning and discipline stage, 45–46

Plattsburgh Plant (New York), 117

Poe, E. A., 20

Polaroid, 3

Porras, J., 6

Poses, F., 69

Positive payoffs, 34–35

Process innovations: as rapid cycle projects benefit, 35; sample of rapid-cycle project, 36

Process redesign projects, 58

Procter & Gamble, 201

Progressive Insurance, 55

PSNH (Public Service of New Hampshire): background information on, 176–177; described, 176; electric distribution improved performance by, 180–181; iterative learning process used by, 178–179; new strategic planning paradigm created by, 187t; refining strategic focus, 179–180; strategic decision making leading to operational gains, 181–183; strategic horizons of 2001 versus 2004, 183fig; two critical issues facing, 177–178

Purdue Frederick, 201

“The Purloined Letter” (Poe), 20

Q

“Q alerts,” 13, 45

Quality Circle initiative, 38

“Quest for Resilience” (Hamel and Välikangas), 176

R

Radical strategic shifts, 8

Raelin, J., 196

Rapid results: ATMs for available cash, 52–55, 58; attaining hard results in soft areas, 64–65; Citigroup Europe experience in employee diversity, 65–67; Connecticut's Department of Mental Health, 67–68; customer partnering across boundaries for, 60–63; different ways to get started getting, 57–59t; GE's Work-Out process used for, 83–94; mergers and acquisitions integration fueled by, 139–141; mobilizing large numbers in change for, 73–95; myth regarding, 82; new opportunities for, 55–56; psychological barriers inhibiting, 56–57; strategic thinking driven by focus on, 188–192. *See also* Goals; Rapid-cycle success

Rapid-cycle project design: clear accountability included in, 42, 44; experimentation driven by, 44–45; from overall objective to rapid results goal, 43fig; goal focus of, 37–38; learning as deliberate outcome of, 46; measurable stretch result focus of, 38–40; as planned and disciplined, 45–46; required elements of, 63; as short term, 41–42; strategic planning driving, 99–100

Rapid-cycle projects: accelerating change through, 94–95; business goals or rapid-cycle goals of, 59t; Consolidated Edison use of, 27–29; described, 14–15; execution culture created by, 68–70; grassroots implementation capability improved in, 34; integrating strategic planning through, 173–192; large-scale change capability development through, 36–37, 47–48; learning and development as benefit of, 35–36, 191; model week (or model month)

- projects, 58; Motorola use of, 25–27; process redesign projects, 58; rewards of, 70–71; sample process innovations for, 36; shifting the paradigm through, 175–176; short-term hurry-up attack versus, 28t; straight performance improvement projects, 57–58; three beneficial outcomes of, 34–36. *See also* Case studies
- Rapid-cycle success: assumptions made by leaders to achieve, 214–215; blending short-term focus and long-term focus for, 226–228; getting started with short-term results, 228; importance of staff to, 222–226; paradigm of, 214; positive payoffs as benefit of, 34–35. *See also* Rapid results
- Rapid-cycle success steps: 1: public commitment to action and results, 215–218; 2: demand better results, 218–221; 3: generate learning through experimentation and achievement, 221–222
- Rapid-Results Initiatives: described, 154; empowerment and accountability as part of, 170; Eritrea experience with, 160–167; Kenya, Sierra Leone, and Mozambique experience with, 167–170; Nicaraguan experience with, 154–160. *See also* Leadership capacity
- Rehabilitation and Crisis Prevention process (GTZ), 185, 186
- “Reinventing Management Development” (Maletz and Katzenbach), 197
- “Resilient” capability, 15
- Resistance myth, 82
- “Restoring Health to Workers Compensation” (Francis and McCreight), 68
- Restructuring change strategy, 8, 9
- Results-fueled learning: additional organizations using, 207; CEMEX program’s use of, 207–208; Department of Labor & Industries (Washington) use of, 208–209; described, 202–203; Siemens AG use of, 203–206
- Richter, C., 185
- Rockwell Automotive, 148
- S**
- Sapoznik, T., 119, 120, 126
- Saunders, H., 77, 79, 81
- Scarborough, D., 74, 76, 77, 80–81
- Schafer, B., 60–61, 63
- School-based prevention program (Eritrea), 165–166
- Schuler, T., 79, 80, 200
- Selden, L., 133
- Self-defeating goals, 65
- Senior management. *See* Managers
- September 11, 2001, 19
- Servison, R., 188, 189
- The Seven Deadly Sins of Demand Making, 219
- “Seven S’s,” 6
- Short-term hurry-up attack, 28t
- Siemens AG Corporation, 4, 41–42
- Sierra Leone, 167, 168
- Six Sigma, 84
- Sloan, A., 194
- Soft area goals: attaining hard results in, 64; barriers to achieving hard results in, 64–65
- Spetch, N., 85
- Sprint Telecommunications, 220
- Staff: good leadership and role of, 222–226; overreliance by managers on, 65. *See also* Employees
- Straight from the Gut* (Welch), 6
- Straight performance improvement projects, 57–58
- Strategic planning: adopting development, 176; blending action and, 191; customer-transaction-driven business and, 188; four major risks in, 106; framework for goals of, 190–191; GTZ’s approach to, 176, 184–186, 187t; need for continuous, 106–107; PSNH’s approach to, 176–183, 187t; rapid results projects driven by, 99–100; rapid-cycle projects to integrate organizational, 173–192; results-focus driving, 188–192; trap of linear, 174–175
- “Stratlets,” 176
- SWOT analysis, 174
- T**
- Technology upgrade change strategy, 8–9
- “10-K” checkups (MIP), 115, 117
- Tesfazion, A., 162, 167
- Thomas, L., 126, 128
- TimeWarner, 8
- Toole, L. J., 224, 225
- Town Meeting sessions (GE’s Work-Out), 90, 93
- Toyota, 55, 187

Trailblazing (GE's Work-Out), 92–93, 94
Transformation process: creating orderly,
109–110; designing unique, 98–99;
for rapid results projects, 99–100;
Zurich U.K. case study on, 100–110.
See also Change
Türk, T., 203, 204, 206

U

Unilever, 201
United Aluminum Company case study,
31–34, 35–36, 37, 40, 41, 58
United Nations Development Program,
153
Updyke Supply Company, 23–24, 33
Updyke Supply Company case study,
23–24
U.S. Borax case study, 60–63, 200
U.S. Department of Labor, 64
USAID, 34

V

Välíkangas, L., 15, 176
Van Dessel, T., 79
VCT (Voluntary Counseling and Testing
Services) (Eritrea), 161–162, 163,
164, 167

W

Walker, K., 177, 178, 180
Wal-Mart, 3, 55

Washington Department of Labor &
Industries, 208–209
Waterman, R., 5, 6
Welch, J. J., 6, 194, 216
Wendt, G., 224
Westvaco, 141–142
“Why Good Projects Fail Anyway”
(Matta and Ashkenas), 12
Wolfensohn, J. D., 216
Wong, J., 144, 145
Worede, M., 163, 167
*Work-Based Learning: The New Frontier
of Management Development* (Raelin),
196
World Bank, 34, 153, 158, 167, 216

Y

Yost, L., 148, 149

Z

Zest factors, 20–21
Zurich U.K.: institutional modifications
supporting change, 108–109; intro-
duction of new tools and business
processes, 103; managerial skill
development agenda of, 102–103;
rapid results for, 107; rapid-results
goal of, 101–102; role of staff in
achievements by, 225–226; strategic
thrusts by, 103–105. *See also* Eagle
Star Insurance