

# Contents

<i>Acknowledgments</i> .....	vii
<i>About the Author</i> .....	xi
<i>Introduction</i> .....	xiii
<b>Chapter 1. State Statutes</b> .....	1
A. Definitions and Scope	1
1. Specific Conduct Prohibited	2
2. Single Act or Series of Acts	10
3. Categories or Classes of Students Protected	12
4. Inclusion or Omission of Cyberbullying	13
5. Scope of the Application of the Definition	16
B. Procedures	20
<b>Chapter 2. Constitutional Issues</b> .....	29
A. Freedom of Speech	29
1. Student Speech	29
2. Lewd Speech	31
3. School-Sponsored Speech	32
4. Speech Advocating Drug Use	33
5. True Threats	35
6. Fighting Words	38
7. Hate Speech	38
B. Fourth Amendment and Related Search Issues	40
1. Student Search Cases	40
2. Computer and Electronic System Searches	42
3. Federal Laws	44
C. Due Process Issues	46
1. Procedural Due Process	46
2. Vagueness Standard	47

**Chapter 3. Case Law** ..... 51

- A. Cases Rejecting the District's Right to Regulate 52
  - 1. *Mahaffey v. Aldrich* 52
  - 2. *Beussink v. Woodland R-IV School District* 54
  - 3. *Beidler v. North Thurston School District No. 3* 55
  - 4. *Killion v. Franklin Regional School District* 56
  - 5. *Emmett v. Kent School District* 58
  - 6. *Coy v. Board of Education of North Canton City Schools* 59
  - 7. *Flaherty v. Keystone Oaks School District* 60
  - 8. *Layshock v. Hermitage School District* 61
  - 9. *J.S. v. Blue Mountain School District* 64
  - 10. *J.C. v. Beverly Hills Unified School District* 66
  - 11. *Evans v. Bayer* 67
- B. Cases Upholding the District's Right to Regulate 69
  - 1. *J.S. v. Bethlehem Area School District* 69
  - 2. *Wisniewski v. Board of Education of Weedsport Central School District* 70
  - 3. *Doniger v. Niehoff* 71
  - 4. *Kowalski v. Berkeley County Schools* 72
  - 5. *Mardis v. Hannibal Public School District* 74
- C. Summary and Analysis 75
  - 1. Do the Principles of *Tinker* Apply to the Off-Campus Conduct of Students? 75
  - 2. What Proof Will Be Required in Order to Apply *Tinker* to Off-Campus Conduct? 76
  - 3. Do the Principles of *Fraser* Apply to the Off-Campus Conduct of Students? 77
  - 4. When, If Ever, Does Speech or Conduct That Is Clearly Off-Campus in Nature Become On-Campus and Subject to Regulation by the School? 77

## Contents

---

5. Will the Courts Adopt a Broader Standard for Regulation of Student Conduct Based on the “Invasion of the Rights of Others” Principle Enunciated in *Tinker*? 78

### **Chapter 4.** Federal Guidance on Harassment . . . . . 81

- A. Dear Colleague Letters 82
  1. Bullying Letters 82
  2. Peer Sexual Harassment and Sexual Violence Letters 94
  3. Disability Harassment Letters 99
- B. Guidance on Sexual Harassment 101
- C. U.S. Commission on Civil Rights Report on Bullying 105

### **Conclusion.** Suggestions for Dealing with Bullying . . . . . 117

- A. Home Front 118
- B. School Front 120
  1. Government Publication 121
  2. Author Recommendations 128
- C. Final Words 132

### *Index* . . . . . 133