

# Index

*Note:* The letter *e* following a page number denotes an exhibit; *f* denotes a figure; *t* denotes a table; and *w* denotes a worksheet.

## A

Accenture, 50t, 186  
Accounting. *See* Valuation  
Accounting firms, 59  
Active Voice, 20  
Ad Council, 4, 51t, 59, 69  
Administrative support services, 5t, 6t  
Advertising firms, 59, 61  
Advertising services: history of, 4; intermediaries for, 69, 70; potential projects for, 231e–232; valuation of, 172f  
Agenda, for kickoff meeting, 115e–118  
AIDS Service Center NYC (ASCNYC), 39, 108  
AIGA Design for Good Initiative, 69  
Alignment, 10, 12t  
Allen, R., 75e  
Allen, W., 35, 138, 171, 185  
Alumni programs, 52, 69, 73, 74, 76e–77  
American Bar Association, 69  
American Express Foundation, 53  
American Institute of Graphic Arts (AIGA), 50t, 69, 74  
Analysis, of discovery findings, 127–129  
Annual reports: pro bono projects for, 11t, 40; recognizing board members in, 171; reporting pro bono value in, 171  
Application: sample, 213e–215; template, 84, 88, 89, 92w–93  
Approach, making an, 80–89  
Approvers, 37f  
Arab American Family Services, 26  
Architecture services: from architecture firms, 59; from architecture schools, 75; intermediaries for, 69, 70; potential for pro bono, 48f, 50t, 59; resource statistics for, 48f; valuation of, 172f  
Archive system, 163  
Arrogance, 105  
Arts Umbrella, 7  
Ashoka, 64e  
Atlanta Workforce Development Agency, 160  
Atlas Corps, 119  
Audience questions, 125, 126t  
Availability heuristic, 128e

## B

Baby boomers, 47  
Background materials: examples of, 121t–122; general organization, 119, 120t; project-specific, 121, 121t–122; supplying, to consultant team, 110, 119–122, 127  
Bain & Company, 59, 60, 102, 177, 180e  
Bandwagon effect, 128e  
Bank of America Charitable Foundation, 8  
Base rate neglect, 128e  
Beale, S., 119  
Beginner stage (Level One of scaling), 3, 154–155  
Behaviors, core, 101–102  
Belief bias, 128e  
Benerech, 69  
Benz, J., 66  
Benz Communications, 66  
Blue Avocado, 172  
Board development projects, 231e  
Board meeting minutes, 120t  
Board member search services, 5t, 6t  
Board members: activating and involving, 176–179, 180e; in beginner stage of scaling, 154; communication with, 179; deep collaboration of staff and, 175–182; education and training for, 165–166, 177f; evaluation involvement of, 170; in organization-wide adoption stage, 160; percentage of, who secure pro bono services, 5; principles of involvement of, 177f; in practicing stage, 156; as pro bono providers, 15f, 66; recognizing and celebrating, 177f, 178; recruiting, 177; roles of, in Powered by Pro Bono organization, 176; scoping involvement of, 32, 176, 178–179; securing resources through, 5, 79, 83, 176; tips for involving, 177–178  
Board readiness, screening potential projects by, 24, 29–30  
BoardSource, 5, 47, 79, 176  
Booz Allen Hamilton, 7  
Bose, B. K., 138  
Boston Consulting Group, 7  
Brand strategist job posting, 202e  
Brochure design projects, 11t, 121t  
Brochures, client, 120t  
Bucklin, L., 67  
Budgeting project, 23t  
Business Objects, 7

Business plan development project, 12t  
 Business schools, 74, 76e–77. *See also* Professional schools  
*Business Times, The*, 80  
 Buzzwords, sector differences in, 108

**C**

Cannon Design, 60  
 Capacity building, intermediaries for, 69–72  
 Capital One, 56, 57e, 183t  
 CARE, 9  
 Catchafire, 51t, 67, 68, 70  
 Celebration, 100, 144–145, 167t–168, 178  
 Centralization, 189–190  
 CEP Youth Leadership Inc., 22  
 Chambers of Commerce, 80  
 Cheap, being, 191  
 Checklist: delivery and implementation, 138; discovery, 129–130; drafting, 135; evaluation and celebration, 145; kickoff meeting, 118; kickoff preparation, 109–110  
 Chevron, 5  
 Chhaya CDC, 7  
 Chicago Civic Consulting Alliance, 149  
 Chicago Public Schools, 7  
 Children’s Creativity Museum, 180e  
 Chong, R., 67  
 CitiWide Harm Reduction, 27  
 Civil rights, legal services for, 4  
 Client: contextual information about, 110, 119–122; internal kickoff meeting of, 111, 112; leadership transition in, 134t; role and responsibilities of, 102–104. *See also* Internal team  
 Clinic by the Bay, 173–175  
 Coaching. *See* Functional coaching and mentoring  
 Cobb, I. G., 154  
 Cognitive biases, 128e  
 Cohen, J. L., 97  
 Collaboration: deep, between board and staff, 152t, 175–182; in evaluation, 170; in implementation, 137t; in Powered by Pro Bono level, 182; in scope definition, 31–32; training in, 166  
 College enrollment tracking project, 62–63  
 College Summit, 62e–63  
 Committee for Encouraging Corporate Philanthropy (CECP), 171–172  
 Common Impact, 51t, 70  
 Commonwealth Club of California, 76e  
 Communications: with consultant team, 99, 130–135, 132t–133; determining the process for, 112, 113, 114, 117e–118; within internal team, 99; problems in, 132t–133, 134t; in strategic partnerships, 185t. *See also* Feedback  
 Communications services, 7, 64e, 68e, 75e  
 Community Youth Center of San Francisco (CYC), 5  
 Competitor questions, 125, 126t  
 Completion criteria, 34  
 Confidentiality, 89, 125, 210e  
 Confirmation bias, 128e  
 Construction services, valuation of, 172f  
 Consultant management competency, 161  
 Consultant team: assessing requirements for, 38; communications with, 99, 130–135, 132t–133; educating, about nonprofit sector, 105–107, 120t; evaluation questions for, 143e, 222w–224; evaluation with, 138–143; in final delivery meeting, 136t; handling problems with, 132–134; implementation involvement of, 137t; internal kickoff meeting of, 111, 113; joint kickoff meeting with, 113–118; kickoff with, 110–118; missing member of, 132; motivating, throughout the engagement, 108–109; on-boarding process for, 166; preparing to work with, 100–110; providing information to (discovery), 119–130; relationship closure with, 138–139, 166–168; relationship owner in, 36; responsibilities of, *versus* client responsibilities, 103f; roles and responsibilities in, 39f, 113; scope definition involvement of, 31–32; working relationship with, 101–102. *See also* Providers; Team-based engagement  
 Contextual information, 119–122  
 Copy writer job posting, 203e  
 Coral Reef Alliance, 76e  
 Cordero, R., 27  
 Core competencies: identifying, 229–230; lacking, 190–191; matching pro bono support with, 188–189, 193w; for strong pro bono engagements, 161  
 Core systems: common, 162f; development of, 152t, 161–175; importance of, 162f; key design factors for, 162f  
 Corporate citizenship, 55  
 Corporate providers, 53–58; approaching, 82–84; assumptions about, 104–105; case study of, 57e; engagement models for, 52t, 56–58; examples of, 51t; motivations of, 54–56; nature of, 51t, 53–54; preparing to work with, 104–108; project types for, 56; risks of, 58; sourcing, 80, 82–84; value propositions of, 54–56  
 Corporate social responsibility, 55  
 Corporation for National and Community Service, 5–6, 47  
 Corporations. *See* Corporate providers  
 Costs, 89, 208e  
 Craigslist.org, 86  
 Craigs, 80  
 Cramer, A., 58  
 Createathon, 70  
 Cristo Rey New York High School, 182  
 Culture, pro bono, 175–182  
 Culture shifts: for becoming Powered by Pro Bono, 149, 150, 152t, 154, 183, 184–191; in Level One: Forecast Your Pro Bono Needs, 152t, 156–159; in Level Two: Practicing, 156–159; in Level Three: Organization-wide Adoption, 160, 161–182; in Level Four: Powered by Pro Bono, 184–191; listed and defined, 152t, 154. *See also* Collaboration; Core systems; Forecasting; Resource-conscious thinking; Strategic partnerships  
 Curry Senior Center, 110

## D

Database, engagement management, 162f, 163–164  
 Davis-Peccoud, J., 59, 60, 102  
 Day-to-day contact person (point person), 36, 37f  
 Deadline clarity: forecasting and, 159e; implemented *versus* ignored, 12t; principle of, 10, 12t, 27; screening potential projects for, 24, 26–27, 190  
 Decision maker, of internal team, 36, 37f  
 Decision making, cognitive biases in, 128e  
 Deliverables: archiving, 163; defining, in scope document, 32–33; implementation of, 36, 111t, 135–138; liability from, 88; ownership of, 89; unsatisfactory, 133t, 134t  
 Delivery: checklist for, 138; final meeting for, 135–136; implementation and, 136–138  
 Delivery models. *See* Engagement models  
 Deloitte, 8, 60, 62e–63, 80  
 Design. *See* Graphic design headings  
 Design for Good Initiative (AIGA), 69  
 DesigNYC, 70, 71e  
 Direct social capital, 81  
 Discovery: analyzing findings of, 127–129; checklist for, 129–130; excessive *versus* too little, 123, 123f; incomplete, 134t; interviewee selection for, 124–125; interviews, 123–126; mapping, 146w; project management phase of, 119–130; providing contextual information in, 119–122; questions for, 125–126, 146w; in scoping stage, 32–33; successful *versus* unsuccessful, 124e; types of, 127  
 Distractions, 28, 29  
 Documentation. *See* Background materials; Liability waiver; Scope-of-work document  
 Domestic violence call center renovation, 8  
 Donor database update project, 158e  
 Drafting phase, 130–135; checklist for, 135; handling problems in, 132–134  
 Duke, S., 39, 108

## E

Early adopter team, 160  
 Edelman, 68e  
 Educational campaign support services, 7–8  
 Elevator pitch, 83, 87  
 Emails, 133t  
 Emory University, 74  
 End product. *See* Deliverables  
 Engagement log, 163  
 Engagement (delivery) models: for corporate providers, 52t, 56–58; defined, 52; exploring new, 182, 183–184; for graduate school alumni group, 52; for intermediaries, 72; for professional schools, 78; for professional services firms, 65; types of, 52t–53, 183t–184; using a single, 155. *See also* Functional coaching and mentoring; Loaned employee model; Open-ended outsourcing; Team-based engagement  
 Engagement management. *See* Project management  
 Engagement management database: building, 163–164; importance of, 162f; key design factors for, 161f

Engagement stages: motivation at different, 108–109; recognition at different, 167t–168  
 Engineering services, valuation of, 172f  
 Enterprise skill services, 65  
 Environmental initiative engagement, 7  
 Environmental scan, 127  
 Ernst & Young, 60  
 Evaluation: advanced, 141e; basic, 141e; categories of, 168f; checklist for, 145; criteria for, 141; culture of, 169; feedback collection methods in, 139–140, 169–170, 221–228; of mission impact, 141e, 142e, 143e, 168f; of project success, 141e, 168f; reusable tools for, 161f, 168–170; sample questions for, 142e, 143e, 222w–228; of satisfaction, 142e, 143e, 168f; of single project, 138–143; standardized process for, 161f, 168–170. *See also* Feedback  
 Evaluation documents, 120t  
 Events, consultant recognition at, 145f, 167–168  
 Executive search services, 5t, 6t  
 Expectation bias, 128e

## F

Fabes, B., 149  
 Facilities-related projects, 232e  
 Failure, assessing potential for, 40–42  
 Fair Market Value (FMV), 171  
 Family Center, 154  
 Family Connections, 7  
 Family Health International, 183t  
 Federal government, nonprofit sector and, 106t  
 Feedback: for designers, 131e; determining the process for, 112, 113, 114; for end-of-project evaluation, 139–143; good *versus* bad, 131t; group, 140, 170; ineffective, 133t, 134t; methods of collecting, 139–140, 169–170; one-on-one interview, 140, 169–170; providing actionable, 130–135; survey, 169, 221–228; third-party interview, 170. *See also* Evaluation  
 Fellowships, 73  
 Fetelli (Rockford, Illinois), 61  
 Financial consulting services: from accounting firms, 59; from business schools, 74; from corporations, 56; job posting for, 205e; need for, 6t; potential for pro bono, 48f, 50t; potential projects for, 232e–233; resource statistics for, 48f; usage statistics on, 5t; valuation of, 172f  
 Financial statements, reporting pro bono value in, 171  
 Financial support services, 5t, 6t  
 Fiver Children’s Foundation, 130  
 Flashcards, project-vetting, 44w  
 Flink, D., 24  
 Forecasting, pro bono needs: defined, 152t; in Level Two: Practicing Pro Bono, 155; method of, 157, 159e  
 Formative organization stage, 15f  
 For-profit sector: assumptions about, 104–105; jargon in, 108t; preparing to work with, 104–108  
 Fortune 1000 companies, 80  
 Foundation Center, 80  
 Framing effect, 128e

FTI Consulting, 5, 6  
 Functional coaching and mentoring: case study of, 184t; from corporations, 58; engagement model of, 52t, 184t; in Powered by Pro Bono organization, 151f  
 Functional expert, 82–83  
 Funding contact, 82  
 Fundraising competency, 161  
 Fundraising materials, reporting pro bono value in, 171  
 Fundraising support services: examples of, 7, 23t; potential projects for, 233e

**G**

GAAP (generally accepted accounting principles) reporting, 171, 173  
 Gamble Cobb, I., 154  
 Gap Inc., 184t, 186  
 Gap Inc. Leadership Initiative (GILI), 9, 184t  
 Garlinghouse, M., 85  
 Gates, B., 8  
 Gather questions, 125, 126t  
 Gen Y workforce, 47, 55  
 Geographic expansion plan, 22f  
 Gifts, to pro bono consultants, 144  
 Goals, multiyear: needs assessment connected to, 21–23; project examples related to, 22–23; sample indicators for, 199t–200; setting, 181, 199t–200  
 Goldman School of Public Policy, 51t  
 Goodwill Industries, 8, 77e, 160  
 Graphic design projects: assessing failure in, 40; case study of, 75e; giving feedback on, 131e; misdiagnosed needs in, 20; missed deadlines in, 12t  
 Graphic design schools, 74, 75e  
 Graphic design services: by advertising firms, 57, 61; intermediaries for, 69, 70, 71e; potential for pro bono, 48f, 50t; resource statistics for, 48f  
 Group feedback discussion, 140, 170

**H**

Haiti Regeneration Initiative, 68e  
 Handoff, 135–136, 137t  
 Harvard Business School Community Partners (HBSCP), 76e–77  
 High-impact projects, 28, 178  
 Hill & Knowlton, 64e  
 Hiller Aviation Museum, 76e  
 Hochberg, E., 80  
 Hoffman, R., 80  
 Holland, M., 68e  
 Hudson Link for Higher Education in Prison, 38  
 Human resources (HR) firms, 59  
 Human resources (HR) projects: examples of, 7, 23t; list of potential, 233e–234; maintenance questions about, 111t; scope creep in, 26  
 Human resources (HR) services: from corporations, 56; need for, 6t; potential for pro bono, 48f, 50t; from professional schools, 74; resource statistics for, 48f; usage statistics on, 5t; valuation of, 172f

Hunter, J., 160  
 Hurst, A., 10

**I**

IBM, 58, 60  
 Idealist.org, 86  
 Impact: evaluation of mission, 141e, 142e, 143e, 168f; evaluation of project, 141e, 168f; *versus* investment, assessment of, 28–29  
 Implementation: checklist for, 138; by consultant, 137t; joint client and consultant, 137t; key questions for, 111t; process of, 136–138; reviewing the plan for, 117e; spectrum of, 137t; by staff, 137t  
 Incompleted project, 132t  
 Indirect social capital, 82  
 Individual-based engagement: preparation for, 101; starting pro bono with, 98  
 Individual providers, 65–67; approaching, 85–86; case study of, 68e; from corporations, 58; examples of, 51t; internal team and, 36; motivations of, 66; nature of, 51t, 66; project types for, 66–67; recruiting, for a team, 87; risks with, 67; sourcing, 85–86; students as, 78; value propositions for, 66  
 Information technology (IT) firms, 59  
 Information technology (IT) projects: assessing potential failure of, 41; list of potential, 235e; scope creep in, 26  
 Information technology (IT) services: from corporations, 56; intermediaries for, 69, 70; need for, 6t; potential for pro bono, 48f, 50t; potential projects for, 235e; from professional schools, 74; resource statistics for, 48f; usage statistics on, 5t; valuation of, 172f  
 Institutional fundraising competency, 161  
 Intellectual property clause, 210e–211  
 Intermediaries, 67–72; approaching, 85; case study of, 71e; engagement models for, 72; examples of, 51t, 69–70; motivations of, 69; nature of, 51t, 68; project types for, 69; risks with, 72; sourcing, 85; value propositions for, 69  
 Internal team: building, 35–40; communications within, 99; composition of, 36; evaluation with, 138–143; in final delivery meeting, 136t; internal kickoff meeting of, 111, 112; joint kickoff meeting with, 113–118; key success factors for, 35–36; list of potential members of, 29, 30t; missing member of, 133t; pre-mortem exercise for, 40–42; preparation of, 110, 111; readiness of, 29–30, 112; roles and responsibilities in, 36–38, 39f, 112; self-reflection questions for, 142e, 225w–228; size of, 36. *See also* Client  
 Internships, 12t, 73, 84–85. *See also* Professional schools  
 Interviews: discovery, 123–126; evaluation, 140, 169–170  
 Involvement project, 23t  
 IRS 990 forms, reporting pro bono value on, 171, 173, 175f  
 IRS Schedule M, 171, 173, 175f  
 IRS Schedule O, 171, 173

## J

Jargon, 107–108  
 Jasin, R., 26  
 Job postings, 86; for brand strategist, 202e; for copy writer, 203e; for financial consultant, 205e; sample, 201–205; for web developer, 204e  
 Johns Hopkins University Urban Health Institute, 7–8, 75e

## K

Kennedy, J. F., 4  
 Kennedy, R. F., 196  
 Kickoff meeting: checklists for, 109–110, 118; conducting, 110–118; internal client, 111, 112; internal consultant, 111, 113; joint, 113–118; objectives for, 113–114; preparing for, 100–110, 111; sample agenda for, 115e–118; weak *versus* strong, 114  
 Klinger, L., 161  
 Klothen, E., 72  
 Knego, D., 110  
 “Know Your Rights,” 71e  
 Knowledge and skill requirements: model for assessing impact and, 28–29; reviewing, in kickoff meeting, 116e; screening potential projects for, 24, 27–29, 190; of staff, paid consultants, and pro bono consultants, compared, 103f; too specialized for pro bono, 190  
 Knowledge bias, 128e  
 Ko, C., 130

## L

Lambert, E. W., 195  
 Landers, A., 119  
 Law firms, 59. *See also* Legal services  
 Law schools, 74–75  
 Lawyers Alliance of New York, 70  
 Lawyers’ Committee for Civil Rights Under Law, The, 4  
 Leadership development, pro bono services for, 9  
 Leadership transitions, 134t  
 Learning, principle of mutual: evaluation and, 139; feedback process and, 112, 113; implemented *versus* ignored, 13t; overview of, 11; screening projects for, 27–29  
 Learning curve, screening projects for, 27–29  
 Legal services: from corporations, 56; history of, 4; intermediaries for, 69, 70; from law schools, 74–75; need for, 6t; potential for pro bono, 48f, 50t, 59; potential projects for, 234e; resource statistics for, 48f; usage statistics on, 5t; valuation of, 172f  
 Leverage, 190  
 Levi, 8  
 Liability waiver, 88–89, 110; sample, 207e–212  
 Lievre, K. A., 89  
 LinkedIn, 79, 80, 82, 85, 86  
 Litow, S., 60  
 Loaned employee model, 13t, 52t, 65, 183t  
 Logistics: reviewing, in kickoff meeting, 117e–118; in scope document, 35

Logo, of client, 120t  
 Longo, E., 65  
 Longo Consulting LLC, 65  
 Long-term solution evaluation, 142e, 143e  
 Los Angeles Information Exchange Committee, 31  
 Low-impact projects, 28, 29, 178  
 Lucile Packard Children’s Hospital, 77e

## M

Mallet, R., 53  
 Manage. *See* Project management  
 Management consulting firms, 59  
 Management schools, 74  
 Mankins, M., 177, 180e  
 Marathon engagement model, defined, 52t  
 Marketing services: of corporations, 56; intermediaries for, 69, 70; need for, 6t; potential for pro bono, 48f, 50t; resource statistics for, 48f; usage statistics on, 5t; valuation of, 172f  
 Maryland Institute College of Art (MICA), 7–8, 75e  
 Mature organizational stage, 15f  
 McClellan, J., 68e  
 McClimon, T., 53  
 Medical services, valuation of, 172f  
 Meetings: approach, 83–84, 92w–93; difficulty in scheduling, 132t; final delivery, 135–136; kickoff, conducting, 110–118; kickoff, preparing for, 100–110; separate internal team and consultant team, 111  
 Memorandums of understanding, 110  
 Mentor training project, 12t  
 Mentoring. *See* Functional coaching and mentoring  
 Mentoring Partnerships of New York and Long Island, 97  
 Merck Company Foundation, 195  
 Mere exposure effect, 128e  
 Messaging projects, 20, 111t  
 MICA/JHU Design Collective, 75e  
 Microsoft, 8  
 Missing team members, 132t, 133t  
 “Mission-critical,” meanings of, 108  
 Mission impact evaluation, 141e, 142e, 143e, 168f  
 Monster.com, 86  
 Morales, A., 22  
 Motivations: of corporate providers, 54–56; for giving pro bono services, 47–50, 54, 61; of individual providers, 66; of intermediaries, 69; of professional schools, 73; of professional services firms, 60–61; of staff, 182; of staff, paid consultants, and pro bono consultants, compared, 103f; sustaining, throughout the engagement, 108–109  
 Multiplier effect, 54  
 Multiyear goals. *See* Goals, multiyear  
 Museum, sample application of, 213e–215

## N

National Center for Data Statistics, 107t  
 National Domestic Violence Hotline (NDVH), 8  
 National Summit on Arts and Environment, 7

- Needs: common nonprofit, with correlating pro bono services, 23t; communicating, to board, 179; evaluation of meeting of, 142e, 143e; forecasting, 152t, 156–159; trends and statistics on, 5–10
- Needs assessment: implemented *versus* ignored, 11t; overview of, 10; principle of, 10, 11t; reviewing, 112, 113; step of, 20–24; strategy-based, 20, 21, 151f, 157, 159e, 192w; to-do list-based, 20–30, 43w, 157, 159e. *See also* Principle One (Know and Define Your Needs)
- Neighborhood Economic Development Advocacy Project (NEDAP), 71e
- Nerdery, 67, 70
- Newsletters, 120t
- Niroga Institute, 138
- Nonprofit organizations: defined, 1; developmental stages of, 14, 15f; funding of, 106t–107; prior pro bono experience of, 14, 16w; strengthening, through pro bono, 8–10. *See also* Powered by Pro Bono organization
- Nonprofit sector: approach to mission work of, 106t; basics of, 106t–107; educating consultants about, 105–107, 120t; finances in, 106t–107; jargon in, 108t; potential pro bono impact on, by profession, 50t; role of, in society, 106t; size of, 106t
- Northwestern University, 74
- NPower, 51t, 69
- O**
- Oakland Museum of California, 77e
- OASES, 7
- On-boarding process, 166
- Open-ended outsourcing: case study of, 184; engagement model of, 53t, 184t; in Powered by Pro Bono organization, 151f
- Organization chart, 120t
- Organization design services: need for, 6t; usage statistics on, 5t; valuation of, 172f
- Organization stages, 14, 15f
- Organization-wide adoption (Level Three of scaling), 160–182; benefits of, 160; board and staff involvement for, 175–182; centralized pro bono management and, 189–190; core competencies for, 161; core system development for, 161–175; culture shifts for, 160, 161–182; key change of, 160; roles in, 160; strategic partnerships and, 186t; success criteria for, 160
- Ostrich effect, 128e
- Out-of-scope activities, 34
- Outsourcing. *See* Open-ended outsourcing
- Ownership, 89, 190
- P**
- Paid consulting: differences between pro bono, paid staff, and, 103f; project management in, 97; scoping in, 25, 31
- Paperwork, 110
- Parkes, J. P., 182
- Partnerships. *See* Strategic partnerships
- Paying client, acting like a. *See* Principle Four
- Peer organizations, of client, 120t
- Pentagram Design (New York City), 58
- “Performance management,” meanings of, 108
- Peters, T., 25
- Pfizer Foundation, 53
- Pfizer Global Health Fellows, 183t
- PhotoPhilanthropy, 70
- Pica, S., 38
- Post-completion implementation team, 36, 37f
- Post-mortem, 163; template for, 217–219
- Post-project surveys, 169, 221–228
- Powered by Pro Bono organization: benefits of, 150, 182; centralized pro bono management in, 189–190; characteristics of, 150, 151f, 152t; concept of, training in, 165t, 166t; core competencies in, 161; core systems for, 161–175; culture shifts for, 149, 150, 152t, 154, 183, 184–191; key change of, 182; level of, 182–191; meaning of, 149–152; resource-conscious mind-set in, 189–191; ripple effects of, 195–196; roles in, 182; scaling to, 149–193; staff and board participation in, 175–182; strategic partnerships in, 184–189; success criteria for, 166. *See also* Scaling
- Practicing stage (Level Two of scaling), 155–159; benefits at, 155; culture shift in, 156–159; forecasting in, 156–159; roles in, 156; single-model and single-function aspect of, 155; success criteria for, 156
- Pratt Institute, Design Corps Class, 74
- Pre-mortem exercise, 40–43
- Preparation phase, 100–110
- Presbyterian Senior Services (PSS), 26
- President’s Council on Service and Civic Participation, 77e
- Press kit, 120t
- PricewaterhouseCoopers, 60, 184
- Principle One (Know and Define Your Needs): implemented *versus* ignored, 11t; overview of, 10. *See also* Needs assessment
- Principle Two (Get the Right Resource for the Right Job): implemented *versus* ignored, 12t; overview of, 10
- Principle Three (Be Realistic About Deadlines): implemented *versus* ignored, 12t; overview of, 11; screening projects by, 26–27. *See also* Deadline clarity; Timeline
- Principle Four (Act Like a Paying Client): implemented *versus* ignored, 13t; importance of, 104; overview of, 11; in project management, 99, 104; vetting and, 25
- Principle Five (Learning Goes Both Ways): implemented *versus* ignored, 13t; for internal teams, 36; overview of, 11; screening projects by, 27. *See also* Learning, principle of mutual
- Principles, of successful project engagements: examples of implemented *versus* ignored, 11–13t; overview of, 10–13
- Prior experience, evaluation of, 14, 16w
- Pro bono management, centralizing, 189–190. *See also* Project management

- Pro Bono Partnership, 70
- Pro Bono Partnership of Atlanta, 70
- Pro bono process: overview of, 2–4; *Stage One*: Scope Projects, 3, 19–44; *Stage Two* of, 3; *Stage Three* of, 3; *Stage Four* of, 3–4
- Pro bono providers. *See* Consultant team; Providers
- Pro bono services: concept of, training in, 165t; definition of, 1; 80-20 rule of, 189, 190–191; five principles for successful, 10–13; high impact of, 8–10; history of, 4; marketplace of, by profession, 48f; motivation to give, 47–50, 54; need for, trends and statistics on, 5–10; needs assessment for, 20–24; potential for, by profession, 48f, 50t, 59; primer on, 2–16; securing, 47–93, 166, 179; situations that require alternatives to, 190–191; sources of, 51–80; supply of, 5–6, 47–50; use of, trends and statistics on, 5–10; value of, in cash, 6t, 9, 145f; volunteerism *versus*, 4, 8–10. *See also* Providers, pro bono
- Pro bono team. *See* Consultant team
- Professional schools, 71–78; approaching, 84–85; case studies of, 75e, 76e–77; engagement models for, 52, 78; examples of, 51t; motivations of, 73; nature of, 51t, 71–73; project types for, by profession, 74–75; risks with, 78; value propositions of, 73
- Professional services firms, 58–65; approaching, 82–84; assumptions about, 104–105; case studies of, 62e–63, 64e; core-competency services of, 65; engagement models for, 52, 65; enterprise-skill services of, 65; examples of, 51t; motivations of, 60–61; multiprofession, 60; nature of, 51t, 59; preparing to work with, 104–108; pro bono approaches of, by profession, 59; project types for, 65; risks with, 65; sources on, 80; value propositions of, 60–61
- “Programmatically,” meanings of, 108
- Program-related projects, 234e
- Project Eye-To-Eye National, 24
- Project management, 97–146; celebration in, 144–145; checklist for beginning, 109–110; delivery process in, 134–135; discovery process in, 119–130, 146w; drafting process in, 130–135; evaluation in, 138–143; guiding principles for, 99–100; implementation process in, 136–138; key implementation questions for, 111t; kickoff of, 110–118; overview of, 97–100; Phase Zero (Preparing for Kickoff) of, 100–110; Phase One (Kickoff) of, 110–118; Phase Two (Discovery) of, 119–130; Phase Three (Drafting) of, 130–135; Phase Four (Delivery and Implementation) of, 135–138; Phase Five (Evaluation and Celebration) of, 138–145; preparation phase in, 100–110; problems in, 132–134; process overview of, 100f; project design steps in, 116e–118; reviewing the structure of, 116e; for team-based projects, 97–146; training in, 166
- Project success evaluation, 141e, 168f
- Projects: board development-related, 231e; communications-related, 231e–232; criteria for, 24–30, 44w, 159e; difficulty levels of, 98f; examples of range of, 7–8; list of high-potential, 30; list of ideas for, 231e–235; nonprofit attributes for getting started with, 14–16; organizational stage and, 14, 15f; as partnership, 11; prior experience with, evaluation of, 14, 16w; scoping, 19–44; screening potential, 24–30, 44w; short list of potential, 24t
- Proposal: application sample for, 213e–215; application template for, 92w–93; collaboration on, 87; contents of, 87–88; submitting a, 87–89
- Providers, pro bono: application to, 89, 92w–93, 213e–215; approaching sources of, 82–87; contacting and asking, 80–89; corporate, 51t; full-spectrum, 186t; identifying prospective, 78–80, 90w–91; individual, 51t; initial meetings with, 83–84, 92w–93; intermediary, 51t; marketplace of, by profession, 48f; motivation of, 47–50, 54; potential for, by professional, 48f, 59; professional school, 51t; professional service firm, 51t; proposal submission to, 87–89; recognition of, 144–145; securing, 47–93, 166, 179; social capital in relationships with, 81–82, 86; sources of, 51–80; sourcing, 80–89; strategic partners as, 184–189; vetting prospective, 80, 90w–91. *See also* Consultant team; Corporate providers; Intermediaries; Pro bono services; Professional schools; Professional services firms; individual providers
- Provision of value, 102, 144
- Public Architecture, 50t, 68, 70
- Public high school improvement project, 7
- Public policy project, 158e
- Public relations services: case study of, 64e; potential for pro bono, 48f, 50t; potential projects for, 231e–232; resource statistics for, 48f; valuation of, 172f
- Public visibility project, 23t
- Q**
- Qualitative surveys, 169
- Quantitative surveys, 169
- R**
- Random Acts of Kindness, 70
- Rapid-growth stage, 14, 15f
- Reactance, 128e
- Receptiveness, mutual, 102
- Recognition: importance of, 144, 161f, 166–167; key design factors for, 161f; sample protocol for system of, 167t–168; standardized process of, 161f, 166–168; for strategic partners, 187; ways of, 144f–145
- Referrers, thanking, 110
- Reflection: as core system, 161f; key areas for, 140–143; in project evaluation, 140–143; sample questions for, 142e, 222w–224
- Relationships, pro bono consulting: closing, 138–139, 166–168; establishing, 101–102; owner of, 36, 188; social capital in, 81–82; with strategic partners, 186–189
- Renewal organizational stage, 14, 15f
- Reports, financial, 171
- Resource-conscious thinking, 152t, 189–191
- Resource-constrained thinking, 152t, 191
- Resources: describing necessary, in scope document, 35; Powered by Pro Bono mind-set toward, 152t;

- securing pro bono, 47–93. *See also* Pro bono services; Providers
- Retail consulting engagement, 8
- Rhode Island School of Design, 51t
- Ripple effects, 195–196
- Risks: with corporate providers, 58; defined, for provider sources, 52; forecasting and, 159e; in implementation structures, compared, 137t; with individual providers, 67; with intermediaries, 72; with professional schools, 78; with professional services firms, 65
- Rodrigo Corral Design, 71e
- Roles and responsibilities: in beginner stage, 154; board, 176; client, 102–104, 112; consultant team, 39f, 103f, 113; internal team, 36–38, 39f; in organization-wide adoption stage, 160, 175–182; in Powered by Pro Bono stage, 182; in practicing stage, 157; reviewing, 112; staff, 179–180; of staff, paid consultants, and pro bono consultants, compared, 103f; template for, 39f, 112
- Rosie's Theater Kids, 161
- Ross School of Business, 51t
- Rutgers Law School, 72
- S**
- St. Joseph's Villa (SJV), 57e
- Salzberg, B., 63e
- San Francisco Volunteers in Medicine, 173
- Satisfaction measures, 142e, 143e, 168f
- Scaling, 149–193; benefits of, 149–150; culture shifts in, 149, 150, 152t, 154; Level One (Beginner) of, 154–155; Level Two (Practicing) of, 155–159; Level Three (Organization-wide Adoption) of, 160–182; Level Four (Powered by Pro Bono) of, 182–191; overview of, 153; process of, 153–191; ripple effect and, 195–196. *See also* Powered by Pro Bono organization
- Scher, P., 58
- Schneider, E., 20
- Schuyler, S., 184
- Scope: creep, 26, 32; defining, 24, 25–26, 31–35; problems with, 133t; reviewing, prior to kickoff, 110, 112, 113; template, 33f, 133t; training about, 165
- Scope-of-work document: accomplishments section of, 32–33; completion criteria section of, 34; finalized, 109–110; initial draft of, 31–35; logistics section of, 35; out-of-scope section of, 34; participants in, 31–32; proposal and, 87–89; in sample liability waiver, 212e
- Scoping, project (*Stage One*), 19–44; board involvement in, 32, 176, 178–179; criteria for, 24–30, 44w, 178–179; end products of, 19; importance of, 31; internal team and, 35–40; iterative, 19; needs assessment step in, 20–24; overview of, 3, 19; in paid *versus* pro bono engagements, 25; pre-mortem exercise for, 40–42; scope definition in, 31–35; screening step in, 24–30; staff involvement in, 178–179; steps of, 19f
- Screening, of potential projects, 24–30, 44w
- Secure resources, 3, 47–93. *See also* Providers
- Self-reflection questions, 142e, 222w–224
- Shalabi, I., 26
- Shipping and logistics project, 13t
- Signature issue campaign, engagement model of, 53t
- Silten, B., 9, 184
- SITI Company, 155
- Skill requirements. *See* Knowledge and skill requirements
- Social capital, 81–82, 86
- Social entrepreneurship, 64
- Social media projects, 26, 111t
- Sparked.com, 70
- Specialists, in internal team, 36, 37f
- Staff: accountability of, 151f, 182; activating and involving, 179–182; in beginner stage, 154; compared to paid consultants and pro bono consultants, 103f; creating a pro bono culture among, 181–182; deep collaboration of board and, 175–182; implementation involvement of, 137t; inspirational meetings with, 181; motivating, 182; in organization-wide adoption stage, 160; in practicing stage, 156; project management role of, 179; readiness of, 24, 29–30, 112; resource securing role of, 179; roles of, in Powered by Pro Bono organization, 179–180; scope development involvement of, 32; tools and resources for, 181. *See also* internal team
- Staff retreat project, 13t
- Staff satisfaction, in Powered by Pro Bono organization, 151f
- Staff training, in pro bono best practices, 151f; importance of, 161f, 164; key design factors for, 161f; on-board, 164; standardized system of, 164–166, 181; topics and messages for, 165t–166
- Stage One*: Scope Projects, 3, 19–44. *See also* Scoping
- Stage Two*: Secure Resources, 3, 47–93. *See also* Providers
- Stage Three*: Manage Projects, 3, 97–146. *See also* Project management
- Stage Four*: Scale Projects, 3–4, 149–193. *See also* Powered by Pro Bono organization; Scaling
- Standardized team projects. *See* Project management; Team-based engagement
- Stanford ACT teams, 74
- Stanford Graduate School of Business Alumni Consulting Team, 74
- Stanford School of Engineering, 51t
- Status quo bias, 128e
- Stephen M. Ross School of Business, 51t
- Sternad, K., 9
- Strategic corporate philanthropy, 8, 54
- Strategic partnerships, 184–189; benefits of, 185; characteristics of, 185t–186; creating, 152t, 186–189; multiple *versus* single, 188; selecting, 186–187
- Strategic plan document, 120t
- Strategic planning projects, 13t, 28, 122t, 137t, 234e–235
- Strategic planning services: from business schools, 74; from corporations, 56; intermediaries for, 69; potential for pro bono, 48f, 50t; potential projects for, 234e–235; resource statistics for, 48f; valuation of, 172f

Strategic questions, 125, 126t  
 Strategy-based needs assessment, 20, 21, 151f, 157, 159e, 192w  
 Style guide, 120t  
 Sullivan, K., 8  
 Summary sheet, project, 163  
 Support systems. *See* Core systems  
 Surveys, evaluation, 169, 221–228  
 “Sustainable,” meanings of, 108  
 Syvertsen, J. M., 60

## T

Taproot Foundation: guide to pro bono standards and valuation, 172–173; intermediary services of, 51t, 68, 70, 135; OASES project of, 7; pro bono legal counsel for, 184t; studies of, 5, 6, 47, 50t  
 Target Technology Services/Target Commercial Interiors, 8  
 Tax professionals, 190  
 Team-based engagement: case study of, 183t; corporate, 57; model of, 53t, 183t; professional school, 78; professional services firm, 65; project management for, 97–146; recruiting individuals for, 87. *See also* Consultant team; Project management  
 Teams. *See* Consultant team; Internal team; Post-completion implementation team; Team-based engagement  
 “Technical assistance,” meanings of, 108  
 Termination clause, 208e  
 Thank-yous: to consultants, 144–145, 166–168; to referrals, 110. *See also* Recognition  
 Third-party interviews, 170  
 Third-party research documents, 120t  
 Thomson Reuters Foundation, 70  
 “Three Hows” exercise, 157, 158e, 192w  
 “Three Whys” exercise, 43w, 157  
 Time investment, in project management, 99  
 Time wasters, 28, 29  
 Timeline: defining, in kickoff meeting, 116t; describing, in scope document, 35; discrete, for individual providers, 66–67; examples of, good and bad, 27; key dates driving, 120t; plotting needs against, 157, 159e; reviewing, prior to kickoff, 110; scoping projects by, 26–27; slippage in, 26–27. *See also* Deadline clarity  
 To-do list-based needs assessment, 20–30, 43w, 157, 159e  
 Tracking system, 161f  
 “Tracking Volunteer Time to Boost Your Bottom Line” (Blue Avocado), 172  
 Training, in pro bono best practices, 151f, 161f, 164–166, 181  
 Tri-City Health Center, 89  
 Trust, 101–102  
 TrustLaw, 51t, 68, 70

## U

UNICEF, 9  
 University of Washington, Entrepreneurial Law Clinic, 74–75  
 UPS, 9  
 Urgency, criterion of, 24, 26–27, 159e, 190. *See also* Deadline clarity; Timeline  
 USAID, 183t

## V

Valuation, of pro bono hours: assignment of, 6t, 9, 145f, 171–173; based on CECF recommendations, 171–172; based on invoice, 171; calculation of, 171–173; case example of, 173–175; importance of, 161f; journal entry for, with expense coding, 172, 173t; key design factors for, 161f; places to report, 171; resources on, 172–173; by skill area, 172t; tracking and reporting system for, 161f, 171–175  
*Valuation Guide* (CECF), 172  
 Value, providing mutual, 102, 144, 185t  
 Value propositions: of corporate providers, 54–56; defined, 51–52; of individual providers, 66; of intermediaries, 69; of professional schools, 73; of professional services firms, 60–61  
 Vetting: potential projects, 24–30, 44w, 159e; prospective providers, 80, 90w–91  
 Visual identity materials, 120t, 121t  
 Volunteer management competency, 161, 163  
 Volunteer management support services, examples of, 7, 22  
 VolunteerMatch.org, 86  
 Volunteers: pro bono consultants *versus*, 4, 8–10; pro bono questionnaire for, 163–164; recruiting, for pro bono, 163–164; sourcing, 86. *See also* individual providers

## W

*Wall Street Journal, The*, 8  
 Walsh, D., 172  
 Wan, S.C.-T., 5  
 Wanlass, M., 155  
 Warner, M., 54  
 Washington, A., 54  
 Web developer job posting, 204e  
 Website, client, 121t  
 Website development projects, 20–21, 111t  
 Wells Fargo, 7  
 “What Now?” situations, 132t–134  
 White paper project, 158e  
 Wilson Sonsini Goodrich & Rosati (WSGR), 184t  
 Wolf Trap Foundation, 7  
 World Food Program, 9  
 Worldwide Human Resources for Warner Bros., 54

## Z

Zeum, 180e