

# INDEX

Page references followed by *fig* indicate an illustrated figure; followed by *t* indicate a table; followed by *e* indicate an exhibit.

## A

- Abbott, A. S., 69
- Abilene Paradox, 72*e*–74, 77
- Accountability: high-performing teams and, 116; of individual and team performance in governance as leadership, 116–118; Individual Board Member Performance Self-Assessment for, 117*e*–118*e*
- Ackoff, R., 134
- Acton, R., 145
- Adaptive leaders: adaptive and technical work of, 170–171; description of, 170; paradoxes of, 172
- Adaptive leadership: applied to the boardroom and governance, 171–172; suggestions for, 171
- Agendas: benefits of an effective, 143; Cabrini Green Legal Aid (CGLA) “before” and “after,” 145–150; characteristics of the best, 144–145; Liberal Arts College, Board Meeting Goals, 145*e*; Sample Consent Agenda, 145*e*; value of *interrupting* routine of, 143–144
- Air hog personality type, 142*e*
- Albright College Board, 75–76
- Alderfer, C., 96, 119
- Allison, J., 164
- Ambiguity: living in VUCA world of, 16; as marker of generative issues, 16, 48
- American University of Beirut Board, 42–43
- Anchoring: in action, 63*e*; description of, 62
- Anchoring cognitive bias: applied to boards, 63–64; approaches to dealing with the, 64
- Anxiety 1, 24, 28
- Anxiety 2, 28
- Arbitrary coherence: in action, 63*e*; description of, 62
- Archilochus, 51
- Argyris, C., 127
- Ariely, D., 58, 60, 61, 63
- Aristotle, 51
- Aronson, E., 54, 74
- Aronson, J., 74
- Artifacts: description of, 126; norms resulting in, 127
- Asch, S. E., 74
- Assessment: measuring board performance, 188–211; MGH Institute of Health Professions Board, 206–208; sample committee assessment tailored to a specific need, 157*e*–158*e*
- Assessment instruments: Board Retreat/ Meeting Evaluation form, 155*e*; board

self-assessment surveys, 195–208;  
dashboards, 151*e*–153*e*, 161–163,  
208–211; interviews, 188–190;  
observation, 190–192; postsurvey  
follow-up, 206

Association of Government Boards,  
161, 163

Axelrod, N. R., 98, 126, 130

## B

Bacow, L., 163

Barksdale, J., 133

Baylor Health Care System Board,  
163–164

Bazerman, M. H., 67, 68, 69, 72

Behan, B. A., 86, 90

Behavioral norms. *See* Norms

Bellagio Casino (Las Vegas), 32

Berlin, I., 51, 55

Blakeslee, S., 54

Bloviator personality type, 142*e*

Board biases: anchoring cognitive, 63–64;  
bounded awareness, 67–70; confirmation  
bias and cognitive conservatism, 65–66;  
false consensus, 66–67; framing and loss  
aversion, 65

Board capital, 139*t*–140

Board chairs: on benefits of board coaches,  
212; characteristics of effective,  
179; defining and using generative  
thinking, 34–36; effective governance-  
as-leadership, 180–181; reporting on  
the most interesting board meetings,  
143; selection process and succession  
planning, 120–121; talking about candor  
and trust, 99*e*–100*e*. *See also* CEO-  
board chair relationships; Leadership

Board coaches: CEOs and board chairs  
on benefits of, 212; description of, 211;  
functions of, 213–214; services provided  
by, 213*e*

Board committees: applying trimodal  
thinking to work of, 40; EC (executive  
committees), 158–159; evaluating,  
150, 156*e*–157*e*; sample committee

assessment tailored to a specific need,  
157*e*–158*e*

Board composition: board performance  
profile in, 197; Centenary College Board  
example of, 93, 94; collective strength  
of the, 92; in context of governance-as-  
leadership model, 92–93, 95–96; issues  
to consider for the, 91–92; shared sense  
of compelling in context of governance  
as leadership, 96–98; shared sense of  
compelling purpose, 96; United World  
college USA Board example of, 92–93.  
*See also* Board members

Board environment: encouraging  
collegiality, 140; where dissent is  
encouraged and expected, 141; where  
renegades are sanctioned, 141–142;  
where robust discourse is driven by  
questions, 141

Board governance: benefits of the  
trimodal approach to, 19; benefits  
of Type III mental map for, 15–16;  
comparing three types of, 16, 17*t*–18*t*;  
dashboard on, 208–210*fig*; measuring  
performance of, 188–211; spotting  
generative opportunities, 16; summary  
of governance as leadership model used  
for, 16, 19; Type I: fiduciary mode of,  
4, 5*fig*, 6*fig*, 7–8*t*; Type II: strategic  
mode of, 4, 5*fig*, 6*fig*, 9–11*t*; Type III:  
generative mode of, 4–5*fig*, 6*fig*, 12–14,  
22–23; working in single or combined  
modes of, 14–15*t*. *See also* Governance;  
Optimizing board performance  
“Board Handbook,” 115

Board-management collaboration,  
135–137

Board meetings: agendas of, 143–150;  
board performance profile in, 197;  
Board Retreat/Meeting Evaluation form,  
155*e*; CEOs and board chairs on the  
most interesting, 143; evaluating all  
retreats and, 150, 155*e*; percentage of  
untapped brain power at, 47–48; pre-  
meeting clarification protocol used for,

- 154*e*; time wasted attending, 21–22; what is optimized at, 22
- Board members: accountability of, 116–118; ambivalence over change by, 25–26; board performance profile of, 198*e*; on board service and effectiveness, 26–27; boardroom lions and humble hounds among, 53–54; building support for optimizing performance, 27–28; cognitive biases and board workarounds for, 62–70; critical thinking and metacognition skills of, 48–50*t*, 54–62; espoused values of, 126–127; “getting on the balcony,” 50–51, 172; groupthink by, 71–75, 76–82; hedgehogs and foxes thinking by, 51–52; Individual Board Member Performance Self-Assessment, 117*e*–118*e*; navel-gazing concerns by, 26; orientation of new, 115–116; personality types ranging from destructive to benign, 142*e*; Questions Frequently Asked by Board Members About What to Expect from Board Service by, 112*e*–114*e*; sanctioning renegades among the, 141–142; self-assessment surveys of, 195–208; social loafing (“free-riding”) by, 70–71; traditional type sought for fiduciary mode (Type I), 139; Trait and Preference Inventory, 95*t*. *See also* Board composition
- Board mission statement, 98
- Board performance: board self-assessment surveys on, 195–208; governance dashboard on, 208–211; interviews for measuring, 188–190; MGH Institute of Health Professions Board example of, 206–208; outsider observation and feedback on, 190–206
- Board self-assessment surveys: assessing how well informed the board is, 200, 201*e*; data analysis and displays of, 202*fig*–206; gap between board “should be” and “is” informed, 199*fig*; global assessment on board performance, 195–199*e*; MGHHP self-assessment example of, 206–208; postsurvey follow-up to, 206
- Board Team Assessment Survey, 119*e*
- Boards: adaptive leadership applied to the, 171–172; Board Team Assessment Survey on, 119*e*; characteristics differentiating them from other teams, 90*t*; composition of, 91–96; discuss how effectively trimodal governance is being used by, 41–42; “First Law of Generative Governance” on, 174–175*fig*; plethora of evidence of poor, 87; relationship between CEOs and, 89–90; sensemaking in action in a, 136*e*; skilled team leadership of, 118–120; survey members about service and effectiveness of, 26–27; as teams in context of governance-as-leadership model, 91–122; turning it into a high-performing team, 85–123; understood as teams, 89–91; as viewed by executives, 172–173*t*
- Bobblehead personality type, 142*e*
- Bohm, D., 78
- Bonhoeffer, D., 13
- Boston Children’s Chorus Board (BCC), 81–82
- Boston Museum of Fine Arts, 32
- Bounded awareness bias: description of, 67; failure to *see* information due to, 67–68; failure to seek information due to, 68; failure to use and share information due to, 68–69; overcoming, 69–70
- Brooks, D., 51, 53
- Bully personality type, 142*e*
- Burton, R., 56, 57
- Butler, L. M., 161
- C**
- Cabrini Green Legal Aid (CGLA): advance surveys and breakout groups of, 154*e*; the “after” agenda of, 148*e*–150; the “before” agenda of, 146*e*–148;

- dashboard for evaluating performance of, 151e–153e; examining the process of improving the, 145–146; pre-meeting clarification protocol used by, 154e
- Campbell, A., 58, 59
- Candor: board and CEO mutual trust and, 99–100; CEOs on trust and, 100
- Carlson, S., 25
- Cascio, W., 87
- Cedar Crest College, Board of Trustees Responsibilities/Norms, 103, 105e–106e, 166–167
- CEO-board chair relationships: CEOs and board chairs reflecting on the, 181e–182e; importance of effective and dynamic, 181; questions to ask about improving, 183; tips for building the, 183e–184. *See also* Board chairs
- CEOs (chief executive officers): advice from several interviewed, 178e–179e; ambivalence over change by, 25–26; Baylor Health Care System Board example of linking compensation to performance of, 163–164; on benefits of board coaches, 212; building support for optimizing performance, 27–28; characteristics of effective, 173–174; defining and using generative thinking, 34–36; effective relationships of board chairs and, 181–184; executive committees (EC) sessions held with/without the, 164–166; perceptions, objectives, and actions with respect to boards by, 172–173t; relationship between boards and, 89–90; reporting on the most interesting board meetings, 143; surveyed on percentage of untapped brain power at board meetings, 47–48; surveyed on time spent in board meetings, 21; talking about candor and trust, 99e–100e; Type I: fiduciary mode of governance and role of, 7–8. *See also* Governance-as-leadership CEOs; Leadership
- Certainty errors, 56–58
- Chabris, C., 6, 54
- Chait, R. P., 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 15, 16, 21, 24, 25, 26, 32, 33, 64, 66, 86, 93, 95, 96, 110, 112, 114, 115, 118, 120, 132, 133, 134, 137, 139, 140, 141, 143, 155, 165, 173, 174, 175, 176, 177, 181, 183, 187
- Challenger* shuttle accident (1986), 56
- Challenger* Study, 56
- Change barriers: Anxiety 1 as, 24, 28; Anxiety 2 to calculate cost of status quo, 28; CEO and board ambivalence as, 25–26; fear of change as, 23–24; navel-gazing as, 26; reluctance to change as, 23; vulnerability and fear of the unknown as, 24–25
- Charan, R., 67, 86, 89, 90, 91, 98, 118, 130, 165
- Choice shifts, 77
- Christensen, C., 69
- Chronicle of Higher Education*, 140
- Chugh, D., 67, 68, 72
- Churchill, W., 51
- Clark, R. C., 85
- Clueless wonder personality type, 142e
- Coaches. *See* Board coaches
- Cognitive biases: anchoring, arbitrary, coherence, and ordering, 62–63e; bounded awareness, 67–70; confirmation bias and cognitive conservatism, 65–66; description of, 62; false consensus, 66–67; framing and loss aversion, 64–65
- Cognitive conservatism bias: applied to boards, 65; approaches to dealing with, 66; description of, 65
- Cognitive mistakes: as impediment to critical thinking, 54–56; readings on mental shortcuts and, 54e
- Cohen, T., 215
- Collaboration: board-management, 135–137; CEO-board chair relationship and, 181–184; of collective discerning,

- 132–133; true spirit of partnership and, 137
- Collins, J., 53, 91, 96
- Committees. *See* Board committees
- Compensation-to-performance link, 163–164
- Complexity (VUCA marker), 16, 48
- Conard, R., 109
- Confidence errors, 56–58
- Confirmation bias: applied to boards, 65; approaches to dealing with, 66; description of, 65
- Congressional Research Service report (2009), 215
- Consensus: false consensus bias, 66–67; hold “second-chance” meetings after initial, 72. *See also* Decision making; Dissent
- Copley Health Systems Board, 36–37
- Core values: Cedar Crest College, Board of Trustees Responsibilities/Norms example of, 103, 105e–106e; CEOs and board chairs on candor and trust, 99–100; culture and shared, 126–127; Daniel Webster College, Board Operating Agreements example of, 107e–109e; high-performing teams and, 98–99; Life University Board Mission and Board Agreements example of, 101e–102e; linking optimizing performance with, 27–28; made explicit in context of governance and leadership, 110, 111–112, 114; making values and norms explicit, 100–103, 110; MGH Institute of Health Profession’s “Trustee Expectations and Statement of Commitment” example of, 103, 104e–105e; Questions Frequently Asked by Board Members About What to Expect from Board Service on, 112e–114e; Trinity College, Trustee Code of Conduct: example of, 110e–111e; underlying assumptions of, 127–128. *See also* Cultures; Espoused values
- Covey, S. R., 78, 169
- Critical thinking: by boardroom lions and humble hounds, 53; cognitive biases and board workarounds to, 62–70; description of, 48–49; “getting on the balcony” viewpoint for, 50–51, 172; by hedgehogs and foxes, 51–53; impediments to, 54–62; metacognition element of, 49; more hedgehogs/lions and less foxes/humble hounds approach to, 53–54; readings on mental shortcuts and cognitive mistakes, 54e; universal intellectual standards applied to, 49–50t
- Critical thinking impediments: being certain as, 56–58; being wrong as, 54–56; delusion of rationality as, 60–61; diagnosis momentum as, 58–60; how governance as leadership helps to overcome, 61–62; loss aversion as, 60; readings on cognitive mistakes, 54e
- Critchfield, L., 174
- Csikszentmihalyi, M., 4
- Culture change, eight steps to oversee effective, 131–132
- Culture types: culture of inquiry, 130–131; Culture of maybe, 128; Culture of no, 128–129t; Culture of yes, 130–131
- Cultures: artifacts of, 126; description of, 125, 126; espoused values of, 126–127; shared norms of a, 98–114, 125; three types of toxic, 128–131; underlying assumptions of, 127–128. *See also* Core values; Governance-as-leadership culture
- D**
- Daniel Webster College, Board Operating Agreements, 107e–109e
- Dante, 51
- Dashboards: board governance, 208–211; Cabrini Green Legal Aid (CGLA), 151e–153e; St. Paul’s School Board example of using, 161–162; Tufts University use of, 162–163

- Decision making: board workarounds to cognitive biases impacting, 62–70; choice shifts, 77; critical thinking and metacognition for, 48–53; critical thinking impediments to good, 54e–62; reflective practice of examining past, 194; risky shift, 77. *See also* Consensus; Dissent; Groupthink; Problems
- DeGenring, S., 172
- Delusions of rationality, 60–61
- Demb, A., 92, 173
- Devil’s advocacy: assigning board members to engage in, 72; culture of not versus, 129t
- Diagnosis momentum error, 58–60
- Dialectical inquiry (DI), 79
- Dialogue: discussions versus, 79t; preventing groupthink by engaging in, 78–79
- Discerning, 132–133
- Discussions: defining generative thinking, 34–36; dialogue versus, 79t; on effective trimodal governance by boards, 41–42; preventing groupthink by engaging in, 78–79
- Disengaged personality type, 142e
- Dissent: encouraging and expecting, 141; how effective CEOs invite, 174; “second-chance” meetings to encourage constructive, 72. *See also* Consensus; Decision making
- Dominator personality type, 142e
- E**
- Einstein, A., 60, 184
- Elder, L., 49, 50
- Environment. *See* Board environment
- Erasmus, 51
- Espoused values, 126–127. *See also* Core values
- Evaluating: board committees, 150, 156e–157e; Board Retreat/Meeting Evaluation form, 155e; CGLA organizational performance dashboard, 151e–153e; measuring board performance, 188–211; sample committee assessment tailored to a specific need, 157e–158e; St. Paul’s School Board example of using dashboard for, 161–162; Tufts University use of dashboards for, 162–163
- Executive committees (EC): description and functions of, 158–159; Greenhill School Board example of, 160–161; held with the CEO, 165–166; held without the CEO, 164–165; reflective practitioners approach to *in* action of, 164
- F**
- False consensus bias: applied to boards, 66; approaches to dealing with, 67; description of, 66
- Feedback: board self-assessment surveys for, 195–206; global assessment on board performance, 195–199e; observation, 190–192; reflective practice of, 192–195
- Fiduciary mode (Type I): adaptive and technical work in the, 170; board performance interviews on, 188; board performance profile of, 196e; Boston Museum of Fine Arts decision using, 32; comparing Types II and III to, 17t–18t; comparing Types II and Type III rules to, 15t; consequences of board operations using, 14–15; description of, 4, 7; four flawed assumptions of, 7–8; Jane Doe, Inc., Board use of, 39; lines of authority in, 136; moving from oversight questions to inquiry questions, 8t; perception of governance as primarily a fiduciary matter, 136–137; reflecting on evidence of board work in, 193; Southwestern Vermont Medical Center Board use of, 37; three depictions of, 5fig, 6fig; traditional type

- of board members sought for, 139. *See also* Governance-as-leadership model; Trimodal governance approach
- Fine, C., 54
- Finkelstein, S., 58, 173, 174
- “First Law of Generative Governance,” 174, 175*fig*
- Flame thrower personality type, 142*e*
- Flavell, J. H., 49
- Foxes: comparing hedgehogs and, 52*t*; learning to think less like humble hounds and, 53–54; ways of thinking by, 51–53
- Framing and loss aversion biases: applied to boards, 65; approaches to dealing with, 65; description of, 64
- Framing issues, 133–134
- Franz, T. M., 69
- Free-rider theory, 70–71
- Friedkin, N., 77
- G**
- Garvin, D., 80
- Geller, S. L., 215
- General Motors, 66
- Generative mode (Type III): adaptive and technical work in the, 170–171; board performance profile of, 196*e*; Boston Museum of Fine Arts decision using, 32; comparing Types I and II to, 17*t*–18*t*; comparing Types I and Type II rules to, 15*t*; consequences of board operations in, 14–15; description of, 4–5, 12; “First Law of Generative Governance,” 174, 175*fig*; four scenarios of the, 13*fig*–14; hallmark characteristics of, 22–23; Jane Doe, Inc., Board use of, 39; reflecting on evidence of board work in, 193; Southwestern Vermont Medical Center Board use of, 38; three depictions of, 5*fig*, 6*fig*; three steps for reaching epiphanies in, 12–13; VUCA (volatility, uncertainty, complexity, and ambiguity) world markers of, 16, 48. *See also* Governance-as-leadership model; Trimodal governance approach
- Generative thinking: asking and focusing on better questions using, 35; defining, 34; exploring why by using, 36; framing issues using, 35; higher-level thinking characteristic of, 34; thinking about the future and longer-term view using, 35; VUCA (volatility, uncertainty, complexity, and ambiguity) world markers of, 16, 48
- Geronimo Terkla, D., 161
- Gerstner, L., 128
- “Getting on the balcony,” 50–51, 172
- Gilovich, T., 54
- Goethe, 51
- Goodwin, D. K., 79
- Governance: benefits of the trimodal approach to, 19; developing leadership capacity for, 169–185; four basic premises about leadership and, 2; high-performance teams as elevating the importance of, 121–122; issues to consider for reform of, 3–4; underlying assumptions about, 2–3. *See also* Board governance; Nonprofits; Trimodal governance approach
- Governance as leadership: measuring board performance, 188–211; measuring success requirement for maintaining, 187; strategies for sustaining, 211–214. *See also* Leadership
- Governance-as-leadership CEOs: CEO advice on, 178*e*–179*e*; challenges and recommendations for, 177–178; common ground, 177*fig*; contested territory: in reality, 176*fig*; contested territory: in theory, 175*fig*; “First Law of Generative Governance” on, 174; hypothesis on generative curve of, 174–176; partnership between board chair and, 179–184. *See also* CEOs (chief executive officers)

- Governance as Leadership* (Chait, Ryan, and Taylor), 1, 2, 22, 32
- Governance-as-leadership culture:  
agendas as tools for, 143–150; Baylor Health Care System Board example of, 163–164; board-management collaboration trait of, 135–137; Cedar Crest College Board example of, 166–167; committee evaluation as tool for, 150–158*e*; dashboards as tool for, 151*e*–152*e*, 159, 161–163; diligence through productive engagement trait of, 139–140; discerning trait of, 132–133; environment and evidence traits of, 140–142; executive committees (EC) and, 158–159; executive sessions in, 164–166; finding and framing the issues trait of, 133–134; four forms of board capital in, 139*t*; Greenhill School Board example of, 160; learning trait of, 134; meeting evaluations as tool for, 150; sensemaking trait of, 134–135, 136*e*; St. Paul’s School Board example of, 161–162; University of New Haven example of, 138–139. *See also* Cultures
- Governance-as-leadership model:  
benefits of trimodal approach to, 19; consequences of using only one mode of the, 14–15; depicted equilateral triangle depiction of, 4–5*fig*; effective board teams in context of, 91–122; introduction to Types I, II, and III modes of, 4–18*t*; leaders and leadership expectations under the, 169–185; mental maps of three modes of governance, 5, 6*fig*; mitigating critical thinking impediments using, 61–62; summary of main point on, 16, 19; triple-helix governance approach of the, 5–6*fig*, 31–40, 133. *See also* Fiduciary mode (Type I); Generative mode (Type III); Strategic mode (Type II)
- Grashow, A., 50, 59, 169
- Gravelle, J. G., 215
- Greenhill School: board EC (executive committee) of, 160; core principles at, 27
- Greenspan, A., 55
- Grenade launcher personality type, 142*e*
- Groopman, J., 55, 58, 68
- Group polarization, 77
- Groups: definition of working, 87; nonprofit boards as typically working, 88; polarization of, 77. *See also* Teams
- Groupthink: Abilene Paradox form of, 72*e*–74, 77; Albright College Board example of avoiding, 75–76; cascades and group polarization phenomena of, 76–77; description of and conditions for, 71–72; social conformity form of, 74–75; strategies for preventing, 77–82; ways to prevent, 72. *See also* Decision making
- Groupthink avoidance: Albright College Board example of, 75–76; Boston Children’s Chorus Board example of, 81–82; engage in dialogue and discussion, 78–79; resist the urge to suppress debate, 77–78; team learning, 80–82
- ## H
- Hallinan, J. T., 54
- Handler personality type, 142*e*
- Hanna, C., 69
- Harkins, S., 70
- Hart, J., 98
- Harvard Business Review*, 130
- Harvard Corporation, 29
- Harvard University, 29
- Harvey, J. B., 72
- Hedgehogs: comparing foxes and, 52*t*; learning to think more like lions and, 53–54; ways of thinking by, 51–53
- Heifetz, R. A., 50, 59, 169, 170, 171, 172
- High-performing teams: accountability of, 116–118; board composition, 91–96; Board Team Assessment



- Survey, 119*e*; breaking down groups into smaller, 88–89; chair selection process and succession planning in, 120–121; common perceptions about, 85–86; definition of, 88; elevating the importance of governance by, 121–122; Individual Board Member Performance Self-Assessment, 117*e*–118*e*; new board member orientation in, 115–116; shared sense of compelling purpose, 96–98; skilled team leadership of, 118–120; understanding social systems aspect of, 86–87; values and behavioral norms of, 98–114*e*. *See also* Performance; Teams
- High stakes: living in VUCA world of, 16; as marker of generative issues, 16, 48
- Hoffman, R. R., 134, 135
- Holland, T. P., 115, 155
- Horowitz T.S., 67
- Humble hounds: learning to think less like foxes and, 53–54; ways of thinking by, 53
- I**
- Individual Board Member Performance Self-Assessment, 117*e*–118*e*
- Intellectual capital, 139*t*
- Interviews: board performance measured by, 188–191; sample questions for, 189*e*–190*e*
- Irreversibility: living in VUCA world of, 16; as marker of generative issues, 16, 48
- Issues. *See* Problems
- J**
- Jane Doe, Inc., Board, 38–40
- Janis, I. L., 71, 72
- K**
- Kahneman, D., 23, 60
- Kaplan, S., 138, 139
- Karau, S., 70
- Katzenbach, J. R., 87, 88, 96, 98, 116
- Kelleher, H., 125
- Kenner, N.M., 67
- Kida, T., 54
- Klein, G., 134, 135
- Knetsch, J. L., 23
- Know What You Don't Know* (Roberto), 132
- Kotter, J., 131
- Kuran, T., 76, 77
- L**
- Lantané, B., 70
- Larsen, J. R., Jr., 69
- Leadership: adaptive, 171–172; adaptive and technical work of, 170; CEO-board chair relationship and, 181*e*–184; developing capacity for, 169–170; four basic premises about governance and, 2. *See also* Board chairs; CEOs (chief executive officers); Governance as leadership
- Learning: definition of, 134; governance-as-leadership culture on, 134; team, 81–82
- Lehrer, J., 49, 57, 60, 61, 66, 77, 78
- Lencioni, P., 98, 116
- Lerner, J. S., 66
- Lewontin, R., 5
- Liberal Arts College, Board Meeting Goals, 145*e*
- Life University Board Mission and Board Agreements, 101*e*–102*e*
- Light, M., 159
- Lincoln, A., 79
- Lindsey, E., 47
- Linsky, M., 50, 59, 169
- Lions: learning to think more like hedgehogs and, 53–54; ways of thinking by, 53
- Loose cannon personality type, 142*e*
- Lorsch, J. W., 85
- Loss aversion: bias of, 64–65; description of, 60

Loss aversion and framing biases: applied to boards, 65; approaches to dealing with, 65; description of, 64  
 Lucretius, 51

## M

Massachusetts General Hospital (MGH)  
 Institute of Health Professions Board, 206–208  
 Massey, D. S., 140  
 McLeod Grant, H., 174  
 Metacognition: description of, 49; humble hounds use of, 53  
 MGH Institute of Health Profession's  
 "Trustee Expectations and Statement of Commitment," 103, 104e–105e  
 Michigan State University, 55  
 Micromanager personality type, 142e  
 Mission: governance as leadership context of, 96–98; shared sense of compelling purpose for, 96  
 Mission statements: Life University Board  
 Mission and Board Agreements example of, 101e–102e; writing a, 98  
 Molière, 51, 55  
 Montaigne, 51  
 Moon, B., 134, 135  
 Moore, D., 69  
 Mueller, R. K., 92

## N

Nadler, D. A., 86, 90, 98, 116, 119, 125, 127  
 Nadler, M. B., 86, 90  
 NASA misidentification report (1995), 58  
 Navel-gazing, 26  
 Naysayer personality type, 142e  
 Neisser, U., 56  
 Neubauer, F.-F., 92, 173  
 New board member orientation: "Board Handbook" used during, 115; in context of governance as leadership, 115–116  
 Nietzsche, F., 51

"The Nonprofit Dashboard: A Tool for Tracking Progress" (Butler), 161  
 Nonprofits: common challenges faced by all, 215–216; Congressional Research Service report (2009) statistics on, 215; no "one-size-fits-all" panacea for governing, 215. *See also* Governance  
 Norms: artifacts resulting from, 126, 127; Cedar Crest College, Board of Trustees Responsibilities/Norms example of, 103, 105e–106e, 166–167; description of, 125; high-performing teams and values and, 98–99; made explicit in context of governance and leadership, 110, 111–112, 114; making values and norms explicit, 100–103, 110; Questions Frequently Asked by Board Members About What to Expect from Board Service on, 112e–114e; Trinity College, Trustee Code of Conduct: example of, 110e–111e

## O

Observation: board performance measured using, 190–192; reflective practice of, 192–195  
 Obstfeld, D., 134  
 "On the balcony," 50–51, 172  
 Optimizing board performance: barriers to change, 23–24; examples of, 36–41, 42–44; getting started with, 26–33; getting traction for, 33–36, 40, 41–42. *See also* Board governance; Performance  
 Optimizing board performance examples:  
 American University of Beirut Board, 42–43; Copley Health Systems Board, 36–37; Jane Doe, Inc., Board, 38–40; Parish Episcopal School, 44; Ripon College Board, 40–41; Southwestern Vermont Medical Center Board, 37–38  
 Optimizing board performance practices:  
 apply trimodal thinking to committee work, 40; building support for

- optimizing performance, 27–28;  
calculating the cost of the status quo,  
28–30; considering how to apply  
three modes for, 30–33; discuss and  
define generative thinking, 34–36;  
discuss how the board is doing, 41–42;  
practice thinking in three modes, 36;  
survey members and board service and  
effectiveness, 26–27
- Orlikoff, J. E., 181
- P**
- Parish Episcopal School, 44
- Parsons, T., 86
- Pascal, B., 51
- Passive personality type, 142*e*
- Paul, R., 49, 50
- Performance: accountability of individual  
and team, 116–118; Baylor Health Care  
System Board example of linking CEO  
compensation to, 163–164; evaluating  
board committees, 150, 156*e*–157*e*;  
evaluating board meeting, 155*e*;  
measuring board, 188–211. *See also*  
High-performing teams; Optimizing  
board performance
- Pfeffer, J., 12, 61, 129
- Pitchen, E. J., 55
- Plato, 51
- Platt, L., 132
- Political capital, 139*t*
- Potential team, 88
- Powell, C., 47, 78
- Problems: collective discernment of,  
132–133; finding and framing issues  
and, 133–134; triple-helix issues and,  
133. *See also* Decision making
- Pseudo-teams: definition of, 87; nonprofit  
boards as typically, 88
- Q**
- Questions Frequently Asked by Board  
Members About What to Expect from  
Board Service, 112*e*–114*e*
- R**
- “Radar” chart on governance data, 203*fig*
- Real team, 88
- Reflective practice: discussions after  
experimenting with trimodal thinking,  
193; evidence of trimodal and generative  
governance, 192–193; examining past  
decisions, 194; of reflecting *in* action  
and *on* action, 164; thinking forward,  
194–195
- Renegade board members, 141–142
- Reputational capital, 139*t*
- Ringelmann, M., 70, 71
- Ripon College Board, 40–41
- Risky shift, 77
- Robert, M. A., 129
- Roberto, M., 61, 64, 79, 80, 128, 129,  
132, 133
- Robinson, J. H., 57
- Ryan, W. P., 1, 2, 3, 4, 21, 24, 33, 64, 66,  
86, 132, 174, 175, 176, 177, 187
- S**
- Salamon, L. M., 215, 216
- Saliency: living in VUCA world of, 16; as  
marker of generative issues, 16, 48
- Sample Consent Agenda, 145*e*
- Samuelson, W., 23
- Sarbanes-Oxley Act, 89
- Schein, E., 23, 24, 28, 126, 127, 128, 131
- Schoemaker, P.J.H., 173
- Schön, D., 164
- Schulz, K., 55, 56, 63, 65, 70, 71
- Securities and Exchange Commission  
(SEC), 216
- Senge, P. M., 78, 79, 80
- Senn, L., 98
- Sensemaking: board sensemaking in  
action, 136*e*; description of, 134;  
governance-as-leadership culture  
approach to, 135; groups, 134
- Sequential trimodal governance: additional  
scenarios for thinking sequentially, 31;  
description of, 30; knowing where to

- start, 32–33; scenario 1 of, 30; scenario 2 of, 30–31
- Sherlock, M. F., 215
- Shore, Z., 54
- Simons, D., 54, 68
- Simultaneous trimodal governance:
  - examples of using, 32; knowing where to start, 323–333; overview of, 31–32
- Skilled team leadership: in context of governance as leadership, 120; high-performing teams and, 118–119
- Sloan, A. P., 66, 67
- Smith, D. K., 87, 88, 96, 98, 116
- Social capital, 139*t*, 140
- Social cascading: description of, 76–77; group polarization due to, 77
- Social conformity: Asch “Line Experiment” example of, 74*fig*–75; description of, 74
- Social loafing: description of, 70–71; ways to prevent, 71
- Social norms. *See* Norms
- Social systems, 86–87
- Sokolowski, S. W., 215
- Sonnenfeld, J. A., 75, 85, 86, 87, 98, 99, 116, 174
- Southwest Airlines, 125
- Southwestern Vermont Medical Center Board: shared values of, 27; triple-helix questions discussion by, 37–38
- St. Paul’s School Board, 161–162
- Stasser, G., 69
- Stewart, D. D., 69
- Strategic mode (Type II): adaptive and technical work in the, 170; board performance interviews on, 188; board performance profile of, 196*e*; Boston Museum of Fine Arts decision using, 32; changes required under the, 10; comparing Types I and III to, 17*t*–18*t*; comparing Types I and Type III rules to, 15*t*; consequences of board operations using, 14–15; description of, 4, 9; Jane Doe, Inc., Board use of, 39; moving from strategic planning to strategic thinking, 10*t*; reasons that board members become disillusioned with, 9; reflecting on evidence of board work in, 193; reflective practice of thinking forward in, 194–195; Southwestern Vermont Medical Center Board use of, 37; three depictions of, 5*fig*, 6*fig*. *See also* Governance-as-leadership model; Trimodal governance approach
- Strife: living in VUCA world of, 16; as marker of generative issues, 16, 48
- Succession planning, 120–121
- Sunstein, C. R., 76, 77
- Sutcliffe, K. M., 134
- Sutton, R. I., 61, 129
- Szent-Györgi, A., 1
- T**
- T-shirts basketball game (YouTube), 59
- Tagg, J., 23
- Tavris, C., 54
- Taylor, B. E., 1, 2, 3, 4, 21, 24, 33, 64, 66, 86, 115, 132, 155, 175, 187
- Taylor, L. A., 69
- Team learning: Boston Children’s Chorus Board example of, 81–82; description and conditions for, 80; scrutinizing group’s assumptions about, 80
- Teams: boards understood as teams, 89–91; challenges for boards performing as teams, 90–91; comparing boards from other types of teams, 90*t*; groupthink by, 71–82; potential team type of, 88; pseudo-team type of, 87, 88; real team type of, 88. *See also* Groups; High-performing teams
- Tetlock, P. E., 49, 51, 52, 53, 56, 65, 66, 173
- Thaler, R. H., 23
- “Theories-in-use,” 127
- 360 review: description and functions of, 102; sample questions for board, 103*t*
- Titus, W., 69

- Tolstoy, L., 51
- Totten, M. K., 181
- Toxic cultures: culture of maybe, 128;  
culture of no, 128–129; culture of yes,  
130–131
- Triangle governance-as-leadership image,  
4–5*fig*
- Trimodal governance approach: applying  
to committee work, 40; description of,  
19; discuss how the board is doing with  
the, 41–42; knowing when to start using  
the, 32–33; optimizing performance by  
sequentially using, 30–31; optimizing  
performance by simultaneously using,  
31–32; practicing thinking in the three  
modes of, 36; reflective discussions  
after experimenting with, 193. *See also*  
Fiduciary mode (Type I); Generative  
mode (Type III); Governance; Strategic  
mode (Type II)
- Trinity College, Trustee Code of Conduct,  
110e–111e
- Triple-helix governance: Copley Health  
System Board use of, 36–37; finding  
and identifying triple-helix issues,  
133; image and modes of, 5–6*fig*,  
Jane Doe, Inc., Board use of, 33–40;  
Southwestern Vermont Medical  
Center Board consideration of, 37–38;  
working trimodally simultaneously for,  
31–32
- Trower, C., 95, 114, 136
- Trower, W., 6
- Trust: board and CEO mutual, 99–100;  
CEOs on candor and, 100
- Tufte, E., 58
- Tufts University, 137
- Tversky, A., 60
- Type I. *See* Fiduciary mode (Type I)
- Type II. *See* Strategic mode (Type II)
- Type III. *See* Generative mode (Type III)
- U**
- Uncertainty (VUCA marker), 16, 48
- Underlying assumptions, 127–128
- University of New Haven (UNH): as  
governance-as-leadership culture  
example, 138–139; mission of the,  
27–28
- V**
- Values. *See* Core values
- Van Hecke, M. L., 54
- Vaughn, S. I., 69
- VUCA (volatility, uncertainty, complexity,  
and ambiguity) world, 16, 48
- W**
- Weick, K., 134, 135
- Whitehead, J., 58
- Williams, K., 70
- Wine Advocate* magazine, 63
- Wolfe, J.M., 67
- Woocher, L., 62, 64
- Working groups: definition of, 87;  
nonprofit boards as typically, 88
- X**
- “X-ray of micromanagement,” 174
- Y**
- YouTube T-shirts basketball game, 59
- Z**
- Zeckhauser, R., 23
- Zeleny, M., 134

<http://www.pbookshop.com>

<http://www.pbookshop.com>

<http://www.pbookshop.com>