

# Index

t represents table.

## A

Ability tests, 113  
Aboriginal Australians, 106  
Absenteeism, 214  
Academics, 178  
Accident proneness, 214  
Accountability, 170  
Ad hoc approach, 122–123  
Adaptability, 204, 210  
Adaptive testing, 77  
Add-on costs, 189–190  
Adverse impact: checking for, 108;  
conducting studies of, 108;  
definition of, 105; of intelligence  
tests, 107, 108; strategies to reduce,  
108, 109–110  
Age differences, 110  
Agreeableness: definition of, 37; high  
scores on, 151; low scores on, 152;  
simplified results of, 154  
Ambition, 51  
Analytics, 3–4, 162–164  
Anxiety, 104, 109  
Applications, scoring of, 69–70  
Asia, 98  
Asians, 106  
Assessment centers: cost factors and,  
117; measuring competencies in, 29,  
79–80; overview of, 79–81;  
predictive validity of, 79, 80; versus  
virtual measures, 80–81, 94–95  
Assessors, quality of, 117, 222  
Autonomy, 57  
Average, of norm group, 114

## B

Banding, 109  
Behavioral competencies, 128

Behavioral indicators, 208–209  
Behavioral tendency tests, 82  
Belgium, 106  
Benchmarks: choosing tools for, 101;  
limitations of, 62; popularity of,  
61–62; size of, 54  
Bias: cultural basis of, 68; integrity  
measures and, 213; interviews and,  
72, 73; in measuring fit, 66–94; in  
ratings of others, 67–68; in  
self-ratings, 66–67  
Big Five factors: criticism of, 38–40;  
integrity tests and, 41; overview of,  
36–38; subcomponents of, 38–39  
Biodata: challenges of, 26, 28; overview  
of, 25–26; predictive validity of, 26  
Biographical interviews, 74  
Black people, 106  
Brazil, 98  
Brokers, 176  
Buros Institute, 115, 214, 216  
Business purpose: choosing  
measurement tools and, 101–103;  
definition of, 101

## C

Calibration quality, 223–224  
Candidates: anxiety of, 104; as  
customers, 103–104; providing  
feedback to, 139. *See also* Talent  
Capabilities. *See* Competencies/  
capabilities  
Caveats, 156  
CEB-Valtera, 94–95  
Centralization: benefits of, 135;  
definition of, 134–135; issues with,  
135–136  
Character. *See* Personality

- Checking references: location considerations for, 98; online systems of, 69–70
- Chile, 106
- China: lack of talent in, 3; popular measures in, 98
- Choosing measurement tools: audience considerations in, 103–105; business purpose and, 101–103; case study of, 119–120; costs and time issues and, 116–118; diversity concerns and, 105–110, 108; independent reviews and, 115; legal concerns and, 105–110, 108; location and, 98–100; reliability and, 114–115; validity and, 110–114
- Closed systems, 194
- Closed-loop analytics, 164
- Communication: about results, 158, 160; during interviews, 168
- Comparison groups, 113–114
- Competencies/capabilities: in ad hoc approach, 123; assessment centers for measuring, 29, 79–80; definition of, 23, 28; of experts, 179–182; frameworks for, 30; interviews and, 72, 73; overview of, 28–29; of senior employees, 30
- Competency framework: aligning personality tests to, 217; challenges of, 29–31; choosing tools for, 101; common data points for, 126–128; common types of, 29; evaluation of, 207–209; norm groups for, 114; in onboarding, 162–164; predictive validity and, 29; in talent analytics, 162–164
- Competency-based interviews: description of, 73; limitations of, 73–74
- Competency-based performance management, 143–144
- Complexity of thought, 35
- Concurrent validity, 205, 206
- Confidence: score performance and, 109; on situational judgment tests, 219–220
- Conscientiousness: components of, 39; definition of, 37; high scores on, 151; importance of, 37–38; predictive validity of, 37–38; simplified results of, 154
- Consequences, 156
- Construct validity, 111, 204, 206
- Consultants, 179, 181
- Content validity, 111, 205
- Contexts, 156
- Contextual performance, 143
- Contracts, with vendors, 187–191
- Convergent validity, 205
- Corporate Executive Board, 183
- Cost issues: in ad hoc approach, 123; centralization and, 135–136; choosing measures and, 116–118; strategy and, 134; vendor selection and, 187, 189–191, 222
- Counterproductive work behaviors, 41, 212–214
- Covert measures, 212
- CPP, 183t
- Creating measures. *See* Talent measurement creation
- Criterion validity, 111; challenge of, 140–142; definition of, 140, 205; types of, 205–206. *See also* Validity
- Cross-referenced measures, 153–154
- Cubiks, 119–120, 182, 183t, 187
- Culture, ethnic/geographical: bias and, 68; choosing measurement tools and, 99–100, 104; choosing measures and, 105–110, 108; evaluating competency frameworks and, 209; intelligence tests and, 106–110; location issues and, 99–100; self-ratings and, 67
- Culture, of measurement, 166–170
- Culture, workplace: importance of fit in, 57–58; prior experience and, 24–25
- Culture-free intelligence tests, 107
- Customers, candidates as, 103–104
- ## D
- “Dark Side” personality tests, 40
- Data: accessibility of, 165; Google’s use of, 4; intellectual property rights and, 189; lack of, in talent measurement, 4; multiple uses of, 165; privacy and, 137–138; storage of, 138
- Data collection: in ad hoc approach, 123; in central location, 124–125; for onboarding, 162; by vendors, 125
- Data points, common, 126–128

- Database: central location for, 124;  
common data points and, 126
- DDI, 183t
- Deliverer, expert as, 175
- Demand-driven approach, 122–123
- Derailers. *See* Personality derailers
- Designers, 175
- Directive on Data Protection (1995),  
138
- Disability, people with, 110
- Discrimination, 105–110
- Divergent validity, 205
- Diversity: choosing measures and,  
105–110, 108; fit and, 59;  
intelligence tests and, 106–110;  
sifting tools and, 70
- Drug testing, 214
- Due diligence, 101
- Dunning-Kruger effect, 66–67
- E**
- East Asians, 106
- Egon Zender, 183
- El Factor X* (television show), 21
- Emerging policies, 137
- Emotional intelligence measures:  
incremental validity and, 48;  
limitations of, 41–42
- Emotional stability. *See* Neuroticism
- Employee engagement survey, 4
- Environment, workplace: importance of  
fit and, 57; measuring fit and, 59–60
- Estimates, results as, 152–154
- E-tray exercises, 91–92
- European Commission, 138
- European Union, 138
- Experience. *See* Prior experience
- Experts, in talent measurement: internal  
versus external, 173; lack of, 173;  
need for, 15–16, 170–171; sourcing  
of, 173–177; types of, 178–182;  
vendors as, 182–194, 186–187
- Extra-role behaviors, 143
- Extraversion, 37
- Exxon, 57
- F**
- Face validity, 26, 207
- Facebook: online contests at, 92;  
personal privacy and, 71; as sifting  
tools, 70–71
- Failure, of new employees: impact of  
measure and, 130; international  
assignments and, 210; rate of, 6, 18;  
review of, 158
- Fairness, 134
- Faith validity, 16, 207
- Faking, 218–219
- Family, 211
- Feedback: to candidates, 139; for  
managers, 88
- Financial traders, 34
- Finkelstein, S., 40
- Fit: creating measures of, 59–62;  
diversity and, 59; importance of,  
54–58; lack of ratings of, 56; need  
to broaden, 56–58; predictive  
validity and, 54; versus similarity,  
59; steps for measuring, 59–62;  
versus talent measurement, 56; types  
of, 58–59; understanding of  
measures and, 151–152
- Five-factor model: criticism of, 38–40;  
integrity tests and, 41; overview  
of, 36–38; subcomponents of,  
38–39
- Flexibility, 210
- Forced ranking systems, 141
- Foundations, for talent measurement:  
data collection issues in, 124–128;  
impact of measures in, 129–131;  
importance of, 121; measurement  
strategy in, 133–137; measure-  
performance link in, 139–146;  
policy in, 137–139; proper use of  
measures in, 131–133; reasons for  
lack of, 122–123; tips for creating,  
199–200
- Frame-of-reference training, 169
- France, 32, 98
- Frequently asked questions, 203–224
- G**
- g (general intelligence), 31
- Games. *See* Virtual measures
- Gardner, H., 35
- Gender: discrimination and, 110;  
intelligence tests and, 110, 215
- General cognitive ability (GCA),  
31
- General Electric (GE), 55–56
- General mental ability (GMA), 31
- German military, 79

Globalization: evaluating competency frameworks and, 209; international assignments and, 210–211; legal compliance and, 106; proper use of measures and, 132; situational judgment tests and, 83; war for talent and, 2–3

Golf analogy, 152

Google: database at, 4; online contests at, 92

Graphology, 16, 98

## H

Halo effect: definition of, 67–68; in 360-degree feedback, 89

Harrah's, 92

Headhunting firms: controversy over, 184; growth in, 5

Heidrick & Struggles, 183

Higher scores, 150–152

Hiring talent: choosing tools for, 101, 102; ineffectiveness of, 5, 6; misapplication of measures in, 16; using results in, 157–158

Hogan personality tests, 40

Homogeneity, 151

Human judgment, 159

Human resources (HR) professionals: common shortcomings of, 177; as experts, 174; growth in, 3

Hypothetical questions, 73

## I

IBM, 184, 187

Illegal drugs, 214

Impact, of measure, 129–131

Implementing measurements, 194–195, 198–201

Incremental validity: definition of, 48, 206; emotional intelligence measures and, 48; importance of, 49; of intelligence measures, 48; measurement creation principles and, 47–50; of personality tests, 48; of situational interviews, 73; of situational judgment tests, 83; vendors and, 49–50; of work samples, 48. *See also* Validity

Independent experts, 174

Independent reviews, 115

Individual psychological assessments: low scores on, 153; norm groups

for, 114; overview of, 84–87; predictive validity of, 85; reliability of, 86; simplified results of, 154; vendor selection and, 185, 186, 221–224

Industrial/occupational psychologists, 178–179, 181

Integrated tools, 93–94

Integrity measures: bias and, 213; Big Five measures and, 41; effectiveness of, 212–213; increased interest in, 211; limitations of, 41; overview of, 212; popularity of, 41; suggestions regarding, 213–214

Intellectual property rights, 188–199

Intelligence: definition of, 23, 31; diversity issues and, 106–110; downside of too much, 34–35; fit and, 57–58; importance of, 32–34, 42–43; job knowledge and, 33–34; of leaders versus team, 58; measurements of potential and, 51; work samples and, 48–49

Intelligence measures: age and, 110; choosing tools for, 101; common data points for, 126; gender and, 110, 215; high scores on, 151; history of, 32; incremental validity of, 48; limitations of, 34–36; location issues with, 99; predictive validity of, 32; selecting, 215–216; situational judgment tests combined with, 83

Intercultural competence, 210

Internal experts, 175–177

International assignments, 210–211

Internships, 92

Interrater reliability, 115

Interviewers, training for, 168–169

Interviews: bias and, 72, 73; case studies of, 120, 171–172; choosing measurement tools and, 103, 104; competencies and, 72, 73; guiding principles for, 168–170; for onboarding, 161; overview of, 72–75; predictive validity of, 73, 74, 75; ready-made systems for, 170

In-tray exercises, 91–92

ipat, 183t

Ipsative tests, 131, 217–218

IQ (intelligence quotient), 31

Italy, 98

**J**

- Japan, 98
- Jaques, E., 35
- Job knowledge, 33–34
- Job probationary periods, 91
- Job requirements, 46–47
- Judgment errors: definition of, 67; humans versus machines and, 159
- Junior-level employees, 103

**K**

- Kenexa, 184, 187
- Killer items, 70
- Knowledge, 24
- Knowledge, skills, abilities, and other characteristics (KSAOs). *See* Competencies/capabilities
- Knowledge-based tests, 82
- Korn/Ferry, 183

**L**

- Language differences, 99–100
- Law enforcement jobs, 37
- Leadership index ratings, 56
- Learning ability, 51
- Legal issues: in ad hoc approach, 123; choosing measures and, 99, 105–110, 108; location differences and, 99; vendor selection and, 188–189
- Licensing, 189
- LinkedIn, 70–71
- Location, of measurement, 98–100
- L'Oréal, 92
- Low-fidelity simulations. *See* Situational judgment tests

**M**

- Managers: building a culture of measurement with, 167; choosing measurement tools for, 103, 112; disagreement of, with results, 158; feedback tools for, 88; internal experts as, 175–176; understanding of measures by, 149–150
- Market forces, 193
- Market value, of business, 3
- MBTI. *See* Myers-Briggs Type Indicator
- McClelland, D., 28, 31

- McKinsey report, 165
- Measurement creation. *See* Talent measurement creation
- Measurement strategy: benefits of, 134; components of, 133–137
- Mechanical judgment, 159
- Medical diagnoses, 159
- Meehl, P. E., 159
- Meritocracy, 107
- Meta-analysis, 23
- Micromanaging, 154
- Microsoft, 92
- Middle East, 98
- Military service, 32, 34
- Moroccan immigrants, 106
- Motivation measures, 42
- Multiple intelligences, 35
- Myers-Briggs Type Indicator (MBTI), 16, 218
- Mythical validity, 207

**N**

- The Netherlands, 98, 99, 106
- Neuroticism, 37
- Norm groups, 113–114
- Normative tests, 217
- Norway, 98

**O**

- Occupational psychologists, 178–179, 181
- Onboarding, 161–162, 191–192
- Online testing: versus assessment centers, 81; case study of, 119–120; psychometrics and, 77
- Openness to experience, 36
- OPP, 183t
- Oracle, 184
- Organizational citizenship behaviors, 143, 144, 145
- Organizational structure, 177
- Outputs: acting on, 157–166; definition of, 132; disagreement with, 158; of interviews, 169–170; model for interpreting, 156, 157; for onboarding, 161–162; of personality tests, 217; proper use of, 132; standardization and, 136; for talent analytics, 162–166; understanding of, 149–157; vendor selection and, 222–223
- Outsourcing. *See* Vendors

Oversimplifying results, 154–157  
Overt measures, 212

## P

PA Consulting Group, 187  
Panel interviews, 75  
PAPI personality test, 61  
PDI, 183t  
Penalty clauses, 190–191  
Performance: definition of, 111; drug use and, 214; multiple dimensions of, 142–144  
Performance appraisals: choosing tools for, 101; in onboarding process, 162  
Performance data, lack of, 141  
Performance indicators, 190–191  
Performance management: suggestions for, 143–145; time spent on, 143  
Performance ratings, 141–142  
Personal development, 88  
Personality: Big Five factors of, 36–38; definition of, 23; integrity tests and, 41; in measuring fit, 61  
Personality derailers, 40  
Personality tests: aligning competency tests with, 217; common data points for, 126; faking on, 218–219; fit and, 60–61; history of, 36; incremental validity of, 48; international assignments and, 210; lack of progress in, 38–39; limitations of, 38–40; location issues with, 98–99; predictive validity of, 38; selecting, 216–218; simplified results of, 154; validity of, 216; women and, 109  
Person-job fit: definition of, 58; importance of, 59  
Person-manager fit: definition of, 58; importance of, 59; personality and, 60–61  
Person-organization fit: definition of, 58; importance of, 59; personality and, 60–61  
Person-team fit: definition of, 58; importance of, 59; personality and, 60–61  
Pilots, 26  
Policy: minimal use of, 137; types of, 137; for use of measurement results, 158  
Policy issues: importance of, 121; types of, 137–138

Political skills measures, 41–42  
Polygraphs, 211  
Portability, 99  
Portugal, 98  
Potential, measurement of: common factors measured in, 50; goal of, 50; importance of context in, 53–54; limitations of, 51–52; popularity of, 50; predictive validity of, 51–54; specialist models of, 52  
Potential model, 50  
Power tests, 215  
Practical intelligence, 35  
Practitioners, 178  
Predictive validity: of assessment centers, 79, 80; of biodata, 26; of competency/capability measures, 29; of conscientiousness, 37–38; versus construct validity, 206; definition of, 206; of individual psychological assessments, 85; of intelligence tests, 32; of interviews, 73, 74, 75; lack of progress in, 54; measurement creation principles and, 46–47; of measures of potential, 51–54; overview of, 27; performance ratings and, 142; of personality tests, 38; of situational judgment tests, 82–83; talent matching and, 54; of work samples, 91. *See also* Validity  
Preferred supplier lists, 194  
Preinterpreted results, 150, 155  
Previsor, 183  
Prior experience: definition of, 23; overview of, 24–25; work culture and, 24–25  
Prior experience, measures of: biodata and, 25–28; challenges of using, 24–25, 28; choosing tools for, 101; effective use for, 24  
Privacy, personal: Facebook and, 71; policy issues and, 137–138  
Progress reviews, 162  
Project Epsom, 216  
Promoting talent: choosing tools for, 101; ineffectiveness of, 5, 6; talent analytics and, 163  
Prosocial organizational behaviors, 143  
PSL, 187  
Psychologists, 178–179  
Psychometricians, 179

Psychometrics: assessors' understanding of, 149; cost of, 190; independent reviews of, 115; location issues and, 98; norm groups for, 114; overview of, 76–78

## R

Ratings: inaccuracy of, 141; in onboarding, 162–164; of others, 67–68, 90; predictive validity and, 142; of self, 66–67, 76, 90; in 360-degree feedback, 221  
 Ratings bias: definition of, 67; in 360-degree feedback, 88–89  
 Raven's Matrices, 107  
 Recruitment process: feedback in, 139; length of, 117; tracking of, 158–159; use of results in, 157–158  
 Reference checking: location issues and, 98; popularity of checkers for, 70  
 Relevance, norm groups and, 114  
 Reliability: choosing measurement tools and, 114–115; of individual psychological assessments, 86  
 Reliable employees, 25  
 Reporting bias. *See* Bias  
 Reports: making data accessible through, 165; understanding of, 150  
 Reputation, of vendors, 187  
 Response distortion, 67  
 Results, of measures. *See* Outputs  
 Résumés: inaccuracies in, 25; sifting tools for, 69–70; technical knowledge test in addition to, 24; work samples in addition to, 24  
 Returns, to shareholders, 3  
 Role plays, 91–92  
 Romania, 192

## S

Sample sizes, 113  
 Saville Consulting, 39, 183t, 216  
 Saville & Holdsworth, 183t  
 Saville, P., 16, 152  
 Scripted questions, 73  
 Second-jobbers, 181  
 Selecting talent: as entertainment, 21; ineffectiveness of, 5, 6; misapplication of measures in, 16; use of results in, 157

Self-ratings: limitations of, 66–67, 76; in psychometrics, 76; in 360-degree feedback, 90  
 Semistructured interviews: benefits of, 169; description of, 74  
 Senior employees: appointment of vendors by, 176; choosing measurement tools for, 103; competencies of, 30; competency-based interviews for, 73–74; integrity tests for, 214; personality traits required of, 37; sifting tools and, 70  
 SHL, 183t, 187  
 SHL-Previsor, 183  
 Sifting tools: limitations of, 70; overview of, 69–72; strategy and, 134  
 Similarity, versus fit, 59  
 Simplifying results, 154–157  
 Simulation exercises, 91–92  
 Situational interviews, 73  
 Situational judgment tests (SJTs): intelligence tests combined with, 83; overview of, 82–84; predictive validity of, 82–83; selecting, 219–220  
 Skilled jobs: effective measures in, 24; personality traits required for, 37; situational judgment tests for, 83–84  
 Skills: prior experience and, 24; rating others in, 67–68; self-ratings of, 66–67; in vendor management, 194  
 Social capital measures, 42  
 Social networks, 70–71  
 Social skills, 72  
 Social skills measures, 41–42  
 South Africa, 98, 99, 105, 109, 149  
 South America, 98  
 South Korea, 98  
 Spain, 98  
 Specialist measure of potential, 52  
 Speeded tests, 215  
 Standardization: benefits of, 135; definition of, 135; of interviews, 171–172; issues with, 135–136  
 Status, of people/organizations, 104  
 Strategic owners, 176–177  
 Success, transfer of, 60  
 Surinamese immigrants, 106  
 Switzerland, 98

## T

Taiwan, 106

Talent: current lack of, 2–3; increased competition for, 2–3; indicators of, 23; signs of, 22. *See also* Candidates

Talent analytics, 162–166

Talent development: choosing tools for, 101; strategy and, 134

Talent fishing, 101

Talent identification industry, 5

Talent intelligence: challenges of, 1; definition of, 1; need for, 1; time needed to build, 166

Talent management: basic methods for, 66–68; current trends in, 3–4; growth in, 3; less-biased methods for, 68–93; returns to shareholders and, 3; suggestions for, 143–144; time spent in, 143

Talent matching. *See* Fit

Talent measurement: benefits of, 7; challenges of, 7, 22–23; changes in, through history, 22–23; complexity of, 6, 8–9; confusion about, 45; definition of, 1, 2; ease in, 22; frequently asked questions about, 203–224; growth in, 5; implementation issues with, 14–15; introduction of, 167–168; lack of, in talent management data, 4; lack of quality tools for, 9–12; to meet business needs, 12–14; misapplication of, 16; need for, 1, 2–5; need for experts in, 15–16; problems with, 197–198; proper use of, 131–133, 136–137, 200–201; purpose of, 2; role of, 2; shortcomings of, 5–16; versus talent matching, 56; talent matching and, 54–62; traditional use of, 61; using a variety of, 47; using large number of, 49

Talent measurement creation: basic checks for, 22; guiding principles for, 46–50; long-term potential and, 50–54; need for, 62–63

TalentQ, 183t

Taleo, 184

Targets, 190–191

Task performance, 144, 145

Team performance, contribution to, 144, 145

Technical competencies, 128

Technical employees, 103

Technical knowledge, tests of, 24

Tenure, of employees, 103

Termination clauses, 191

Theory of categories of mental processes, 35

Thinking styles, 35

Three Cs model, 156, 157, 201

360-degree feedback: benefits of, 147; for checking references, 70; common questions regarding, 220–221; cost issues and, 117–118; halo effect in, 89; location issues with, 99; overview of, 87–91; ratings bias in, 88–89; usefulness of, 147–149

Tippins, N., 95, 129–130

Travel claims, 190

Trial periods, 167–168

Turkey, 98, 106

Turkish immigrants, 106

Turnaround leaders, 53

## U

United Kingdom: discrimination laws in, 106; location issues with, 99; measuring potential in, 50; qualified assessors in, 149

University of Nebraska, 115

US Air Force, 92

US Army, 142

## V

Validity: choosing measures and, 110–114; definition of, 111; different types of, 204–207; impact of measure and, 129; importance of checking, 47; overview of, 27; of personality tests, 216. *See also* Criterion validity; Incremental validity; Predictive validity

Valtera, 183

Values measures: Big Five measures and, 41; limitations of, 41; popularity of, 41

Vendors: ad hoc approach and, 123; appointed by senior executives,

- 176; biggest challenges of, 140;  
 checking validity with, 110–111;  
 competency frameworks from, 30;  
 competition among, 193;  
 contracting with, 187–191; data  
 collection by, 125; expansion of,  
 183–184; incremental validity and,  
 49–50; versus independent experts,  
 174; individual psychological  
 assessments and, 85, 86, 221–224;  
 limitations of, 181–182;  
 management of, 191–194; measures  
 of potential and, 51; measuring  
 effectiveness of, 192–193; number  
 of, 182; recent market changes  
 related to, 182–185; selection of,  
 185–187, 221–224; size of  
 benchmarks and, 54; types of, 182,  
 183t; unfinished products from,  
 129–130
- VesseyHopperMcVeigh, 187
- Vienna Test System, 216
- Virtual measures: versus assessment  
 centers, 80–81, 94–95; case study  
 of, 94–95, 119–120. *See also specific  
 types*
- W**
- Wave test, 39
- White people, 106
- Why Smart Executives Fail* (Finkelstein),  
 40
- Women: intelligence tests and, 215;  
 personality scores and, 109
- Wonderlic, 215
- Work sample tests, 91
- Work samples: in addition to résumé,  
 24; incremental validity of, 48;  
 intelligence and, 48–49; overview  
 of, 93; predictive validity of, 91
- Workforce analytics, 3–4
- Workforce composition, 4
- World War I, 32, 87
- World War II, 26
- X**
- X factor, 21
- X-Factor* (television show), 21
- Y**
- YSC, 183t

<http://www.pbookshop.com>