

# Index

References are to sections and appendices

## ABANDONMENT

Application, **4:26**

## ABSENCE OF COPYING

Freedom to operate, **6:33**

## ABSENCE OF ENFORCEMENT

Prior to issuance of patent, **2:6**

## ACCUSED PRODUCT

Generally, **1:2**

## ACTUAL CONTROVERSY

**Declaratory Judgment Actions**  
(this index)

District court jurisdiction, **3:11-3:14**

## ADMINISTRATIVE PATENT JUDGE

Interference proceedings, **2:25**

## ADMISSIBILITY

Communications between  
adverse parties, negotiation-  
related information, **7:28**

## ADVERTISING INJURY

Insurance coverage, CGL policy  
coverage, **9:18**

## ADVICE OF COUNSEL

America Invents Act (AIA), **6:6**

Content, **6:17**

Current value of advice, **6:11**

Delaying disclosure of opinion/  
bifurcation of willfulness,  
**6:44**

Disproving recklessness, **6:13**

Duty to investigate, **6:3**

## ADVICE OF COUNSEL

—Cont'd

Duty to obtain advice, **6:2**

Effect of failure to disclose  
advice of counsel, **6:9**

Elimination of affirmative advice  
of counsel requirement,  
**6:10**

Failure to disclose, **6:9, 6:10,**  
**6:40**

Historical development, **6:7**

Informing business decisions,  
**6:12**

Minimizing risk through  
opinions of counsel, **5:43**

Opinion letters, **6:15**

Oral opinions, **6:14**

Reliance, **6:18**

Risk management practices, **6:13**

Timing, **6:16**

*Underwater Devices Inc.* duty to  
obtain opinion, **6:2, 6:8**

## AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

Basics of patent law, **2:37**

## “ALL-ELEMENTS” RULE

Demand letters, **4:32, 5:15**

## ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION

**Arbitration** (this index)

Licenses, **8:18**

Local court rules, **10:15**

**Mediation** (this index)

Pre-litigation negotiation strate-  
gies  
generally, **7:31**

## PRE-LITIGATION PATENT ENFORCEMENT

### ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION—Cont'd

Pre-litigation negotiation strategies—Cont'd  
arbitration, **7:35**  
discovery mediation, **7:34**  
expectations, **7:33**  
mediation, **7:32-7:34**  
Structuring the resolution, **App 8B**

### APPEALS

Generally, **10:50**  
Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences, **2:25**  
Claim construction, appellate review, **10:34**  
Preliminary injunction, **10:23**  
Reversal rate of District Court appeals, **2:51**  
U.S. Supreme Court, clarification of patent law, **2:50**

### APPLICATIONS

Abbreviated New Drug Applications (ANDA) by generics, **11:6**  
Basics of patent law, application versus issued patent, **2:6**  
**Demand Letters** (this index)

### ARBITRATION

Basics of patent law, **2:18**  
Discovery obligations reduced, **2:18**  
Forum for enforcement of rights, **2:18**  
Interference proceedings, **2:25**  
Licenses, **8:18**  
Local court rules, **10:15**  
Pre-litigation negotiations, **7:35**  
Structuring the resolution, **App 8B**

### ASSIGNMENT OF PATENT RIGHTS

Generally, **2:45**

### ASSIGNMENT OF PATENT RIGHTS—Cont'd

Structuring the resolution, **8:2**

### ATTACKING THE PATENT

Generally, **2:37**  
Inequitable conduct before USPTO, unenforceability defense, **2:40**  
Invalidity defense, **2:39**  
Noninfringement defense, **2:38**  
Patent invalidity, **2:39**  
Patent misuse defense, **2:41**

### ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGE

Discovery, **10:26**  
Waiver of privilege. **Freedom to Operate** (this index)

### ATTORNEY'S FEES

Basics of patent law, **2:33**  
Litigation and what to expect, **10:44**

### AVOIDANCE

**Demand Letters** (this index)

### BACKGROUND

**History or Development** (this index)

### BALANCE OF HARDSHIPS

Injunctions, **10:20**

### BASICS OF PATENT LAW

Generally, **2:1-2:52**  
Absence of enforcement prior to issuance of patent, **2:6**  
Affirmative defenses, **2:37**  
Applications versus issued patents, **2:6**  
Arbitration, **2:18**  
**Assignment of Patent Rights** (this index)  
**Attacking the Patent** (this index)  
Attorney's fees, **2:33, 10:44**

## INDEX

### BASICS OF PATENT LAW

#### —Cont'd

- Changing scope of patent claim generally, **2:9**
- continuation applications, **2:10**
- reexamination proceedings, **2:11**
- reissue proceedings, **2:11**
- supplemental examination proceedings, **2:11**
- Continuation applications, **2:10**
- Continuation-in-part applications, **2:10**
- Costs of actions, **2:31**
- Damage Recovery** (this index)
- Defenses. **Attacking the Patent** (this index)
- Derivation proceedings, **2:26**
- Design patents, **2:7**
- Difficulty predicting outcome, **2:51**
- Divisibility of Rights** (this index)
- Divisional applications, **2:10**
- Essential elements of patent, **2:8**
- Exclusive licensing agreements, **2:46**
- Expenses, **2:52**
- Fast-changing law, **2:50**
- Federal Courts** (this index)
- Federal law as source of rights, **2:2**
- Federal preemption of state law, **2:5**
- Form of rights, generally, **2:6-2:14**
- Forums for enforcement of rights generally, **2:15**
- Alternative Dispute Resolution** (this index)
- Arbitration** (this index)
- Federal Courts** (this index)
- United States International Trade Commission, **2:17**

### BASICS OF PATENT LAW

#### —Cont'd

- Forums for enforcement of rights—Cont'd
- United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO)** (this index)
- Four-part test for infringement, **2:34**
- Georgia Pacific* factors, **2:28**
- Great expense to litigate, **2:52**
- Increased damages, **2:32**
- Inequitable conduct before USPTO, attacking the patent, **2:40**
- Infringement** (this index)
- Injunctions, **2:34**
- Interest, **2:31**
- Interference Proceedings** (this index)
- Inter partes review, **2:23**
- Invalidity defenses, **2:39**
- Inventor's rights, **2:43**
- Issued patents versus patent applications, **2:6**
- Joint ownership, **2:44**
- Laches, limitation on damage recovery due to, **2:14**
- Language of claim, invalidity defense, **2:39**
- Legal sources of rights generally, **2:1-2:5**
- Federal court cases, **2:4**
- Federal law, **2:2**
- Federal preemption of state law, **2:5**
- United States Patent and Trademark Office, **2:3**
- Licensing patent rights, **2:46**
- Limitation on damage recovery due to laches, **2:14**
- Limitations on monetary recovery due to patent marking and notice of

PRE-LITIGATION PATENT ENFORCEMENT

**BASICS OF PATENT LAW**

—Cont'd

infringement requirements, **2:35**  
Lost profits, **2:30**  
Maintenance fees, **2:12**  
Market value rule, **2:28**  
Marking and notice requirements, **2:35, 10:46**  
Misuse  
    cure by discontinuing offending conduct, **2:41**  
    patent unenforceability, **2:41**  
    structuring the resolution, potential pitfalls, **8:26**  
Nonexclusive licensing agreements, **2:46**  
Noninfringement, attacking the patent, **2:38**  
Notice of infringement requirements, **2:35**  
*Panduit* test, “but for” causality for lost profits, **2:30**  
Patent applications versus issued patents, **2:6**  
Patent claims define scope of protection, **2:8**  
Patent invalidity, **2:39**  
Patent term, **2:12**  
Patent unenforceability, **2:40, 2:41**  
Personal property, **2:42**  
Plant patents, **2:7**  
Post-grant review, **2:22**  
Postjudgment interest, **2:31**  
Prejudgment interest, **2:31**  
Prior art, invalidity defense, **2:39**  
Protection, scope of protection defined by patent claims, **2:8**  
Provisional rights, **2:36**  
Punitive damages award, **2:27, 2:32**  
**Reasonable Royalties** (this index)

**BASICS OF PATENT LAW**

—Cont'd

**Reexamination Proceedings** (this index)  
**Reissue Proceedings** (this index)  
Remedies  
    generally, **2:27-2:52**  
    **Attacking the Patent** (this index)  
    attorney’s fees, **2:33, 10:44**  
    costs and interest, **2:31**  
    **Divisibility of Rights** (this index)  
    increased damages, **2:32**  
    injunctions, **2:34**  
    limitations on monetary recovery due to patent marking and notice of infringement requirements, **2:35**  
    lost profits, **2:30**  
    *Panduit* test, lost profits, **2:30**  
    postjudgment interest, **2:31**  
    prejudgment interest, **2:31**  
    provisional rights, **2:36**  
    **Reasonable Royalties** (this index)  
    statistical overview of cases settled vs. litigated to final judgment, **2:48**  
    unique issues in patent law, **2:49-2:52**  
Reversal rate in Federal Circuit, **2:51**  
Rights of inventors, **2:43**  
Scope of protection defined by patent claims, **2:8**  
Section 112 defenses, invalidity defenses, **2:39**  
Standing to sue for infringement, **2:47**  
Statistical overview of cases settled vs. litigated to final judgment, **2:48**

## INDEX

### **BASICS OF PATENT LAW**

#### **—Cont'd**

- Statute of limitations on damage recovery, **2:13**
- Supplemental examination proceedings, **2:11, 2:21**
- Term of patent, **2:12**
- Treble damages, **2:27, 2:32**
- Types of patents: utility, design, and plant patents, **2:7**
- Unenforceability
  - inequitable conduct before USPTO, **2:40**
  - patent misuse, **2:41**
- United States Court of Federal Claims, **2:16**
- United States International Trade Commission, **2:17**
- United States Patent and Trademark Office, **2:3**
- U.S. Supreme Court, **2:50**
- Utility patents, **2:7**
- Willful infringement, increased damages, **2:27**

### **BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES**

- Generally, **2:25**

### **BULK LICENSING**

- Structuring the resolution, **8:25**

### **“BUNDLING” ARRANGEMENTS**

- Structuring the resolution, **8:25**

### **CEASE AND DESIST LETTER**

- Generally, **1:2**

### **CHAIN OF TITLE**

- Due diligence, **4:16**
- Likelihood of success of infringement allegations, **5:25**
- Third party issues, opportunity to cure title, **9:7**

### **CHALLENGES BY PATENT OWNER**

- Declaratory judgment actions, **3:16**

### **CLAIM CHART**

- Declaratory judgment actions, **3:14**
- Infringement analysis, **4:31**
- Likelihood of success of infringement allegations, **5:24**

### **CLAIMS**

- Demand letters, “all-elements” rule, **4:32, 5:15**
- Essential elements of patent, **2:8**
- Scope of protection, **2:8**

### **COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL**

- Participation by third parties in defense, **9:22**

### **COMMON INTEREST OR JOINT DEFENSE AGREEMENTS**

- Demand letters, **5:21**
- Sample common interest agreement, **App 5A**
- Third Party Issues** (this index)

### **CONFIDENTIALITY AGREEMENTS**

- Declaratory judgment actions, **3:18**

### **CONSULTANTS**

- Demand letters, sample letter agreement, **App 5B**
- Patent searches, freedom to operate, **6:20**

### **CONTINUATION APPLICATIONS**

- Basics of patent law, **2:10**

### **CONTINUATION-IN-PART APPLICATIONS**

- Basics of patent law, **2:10**

PRE-LITIGATION PATENT ENFORCEMENT

**COSTS OF ACTION**

Generally, **10:5**  
Basics of patent law, **2:31**  
Remedies, costs and interest,  
**10:42**

**COUNTERATTACK BY  
TARGET**

**Demand Letters** (this index)

**COVENANTS NOT TO SUE**

Declaratory judgment actions,  
**3:19, 8:5**  
Structuring the resolution, **3:19,**  
**8:5, App 8A**

**CREDIBILITY OF WITNESSES**

Freedom to operate, **6:38**

**CROSS-LICENSING**

Structuring the resolution, **8:32**

**CURING DEFECTS**

**Demand Letters** (this index)

**DAMAGE RECOVERY**

Demand letters, notice of  
infringement for damages  
under issued patent, **3:4**  
Enhanced damages, **6:1, 10:43**  
Equitable relief, **10:38**  
Increased damages, **2:32**  
Laches, **2:14**  
Limitation  
laches, **2:14**  
marking and notice of  
infringement require-  
ments, **2:35**  
statute of limitation, **2:13**  
**Lost Profits** (this index)  
Marking and notice require-  
ments, **2:35**  
Minimum monetary award, **2:27**  
*Panduit* test, lost profits, **2:30**  
Punitive damages, **2:27, 2:32**  
**Reasonable Royalties** (this  
index)  
Statute of limitation, **2:13**

**DAMAGE RECOVERY**

—**Cont'd**

Treble damages, **2:27, 2:32**  
Willful infringement, increased  
damages, **2:27**

**DAMAGES EXPERTS**

Generally, **10:29**

**DECLARATORY JUDGMENT  
ACTIONS**

Generally, **3:11-3:16**  
Actual controversy requirement  
*MedImmune* standard, **3:13**  
post-*MedImmune* standard for  
Court's jurisdiction, **3:14**  
pre-*MedImmune* standard for  
Court's jurisdiction, **3:12**  
*SanDisk* decision, **3:14**  
Avoidance of jurisdiction. Safe  
havens, below  
Challenges by patent owner,  
**3:16**  
Confidentiality agreements, **3:18**  
Covenants not to sue, **3:19, 8:5**  
Demand letters  
benefit of target's choice of  
forum in venue dispute,  
**5:48**  
counterattack by target, **5:46-**  
**5:48**  
personal jurisdiction over pat-  
entee, **5:47**  
strategies of target, generally,  
**5:46**  
Discretion to exercise jurisdic-  
tion, **3:15**  
Generic drug companies, pre-  
litigation investigations,  
**11:11**  
Jurisdictional basis, generally,  
**3:11-3:14**  
*MedImmune* standard, actual  
controversy requirement,  
**3:12-3:14**

## INDEX

### DECLARATORY JUDGMENT

#### ACTIONS—Cont'd

- Motivations for patent owner challenge, **3:16**
- Prospective license negotiations, **3:21**
- “Reasonable apprehension of imminent suit” vs. actual controversy, **3:13**
- Safe havens
  - generally, **3:17**
  - confidentiality agreements, **3:18**
  - covenants not to sue, **3:19, 8:5**
  - prospective license negotiations, **3:21**
  - standstill agreements, **3:20**
- SanDisk* decision, **3:14**
- Standstill agreements, **3:20**
- Third party issues, supplier participation in infringement litigation, **9:13**

### DEFENSES

- Attacking the Patent** (this index)
- Counterattack by target, developing substantive defenses which place patent at risk, **5:49**
- Demand letters, checklist of potential defenses, **5:22**

### DEMAND

- Generally, **1:2**

### DEMAND LETTERS

- Generally, **3:1-3:30**
- Abandonment of patent application, **4:26**
- Actual controversy requirement. **Declaratory Judgment Actions** (this index)
- Additional patent applications, due diligence, **4:22**
- Aggressive demand letter, **App 3B**

### DEMAND LETTERS—Cont'd

- “All-elements” rule, doctrine of equivalents, **5:15**
- Antitrust concerns due to asserting unenforceable patents, **4:34**
- Applications
  - abandonment, **4:26**
  - filing additional applications, **4:22**
  - other relevant patents or applications held by patentee, **5:17**
  - reissue applications to change claim scope, **4:29**
  - revival of application, **4:26, 5:18**
- Assertion of rights, counterattack by target, **3:22-3:29**
- Assessing applicability of § 112 para 6 or “means plus function” clauses, **5:11**
- Availability and cooperation of inventors, **4:18**
- Avoidance
  - declaratory judgment jurisdiction. **Declaratory Judgment Actions** (this index)
  - investigation obligation, avoidance of willful infringement, **3:5**
  - patentee liability. Due diligence, below
- Cease and desist letter, **1:2**
- Chain of title, **5:25**
- Changes to accused product design or manufacture, **5:35**
- Checklist of potential defenses, **5:22**
- Claim chart information from patentee, **5:24**
- Clear chain of title, **4:16**
- Commercial relationships with target, **4:5**

PRE-LITIGATION PATENT ENFORCEMENT

**DEMAND LETTERS—Cont'd**

Common interest or joint defense agreements, **5:21, App 5A**  
Competitive status, **4:2-4:4**  
Consultant letter agreement, sample, **App 5B**  
Cooperation of inventors, **4:18**  
Cooperation with other targets under common interest or joint defense agreements, **5:21**  
Coowners of patent, obtaining rights from, **5:42**  
Cordial or “soft” demand letter, **App 3A**  
Correcting typographical errors, **4:28**  
Correction of inventorship, **4:19**  
Counterattack by target generally, **3:10, 5:45**  
acquiring patents to assert against patentee, **5:51**  
assertion of rights, **3:22-3:29**  
**Declaratory Judgment Actions** (this index)  
derivation proceedings, **3:23, 5:55**  
developing substantive defenses which place patent at risk, **5:49, 5:50**  
identifying patents to assert against patentee, **5:51**  
initiating PTO proceedings, **3:24-3:29, 5:53-5:57**  
interference proceedings, **3:27, 5:55**  
other claims against patentee, assertion by target, **3:23**  
other potential counterclaims, **5:52**  
prior art searching, **5:50**  
protests and public use proceedings, **3:29**  
PTO proceedings, initiation, **3:24-3:29, 5:53-5:57**  
reexamination requests, **3:25**

**DEMAND LETTERS—Cont'd**

Counterattack by target—Cont'd  
review proceedings, **3:26**  
selecting and prioritizing among targets, **4:9**  
strategies, generally, **5:45-5:57**  
triggering, potential for, **3:10-3:29**  
Criteria for selecting and prioritizing among targets, **4:1**  
Curing defects  
abandonment of patent applications, **4:26**  
correcting typographical errors, **4:28**  
disclaimer, **4:27**  
late maintenance fees, **4:24**  
mistaken claim to small entity status, **4:25**  
reissue applications to change claim scope, **4:29**  
revival of patent applications, **4:26**  
small entity status claim incorrect, **4:25**  
unpaid maintenance fees, **4:24**  
Customer obligations, shifting exposure to third parties, **5:38**  
Data gathering, worst case scenario for target, **5:27**  
**Declaratory Judgment Actions** (this index)  
Derivation proceedings, **3:28, 5:55**  
Developing substantive defenses which place patent at risk, **5:49**  
Disclaimer, curing defects, **4:27**  
Disclosing allegations of infringement to investors, **3:8**  
“Disclosure-dedication” rule, doctrine of equivalents, **5:14**

## INDEX

### DEMAND LETTERS—Cont'd

- Discounted probability analysis, worst case scenario for target, **5:33**
- Discouraging patentee from pursuing target, **5:44**
- Doctrine of equivalents
  - “all-elements” rule, **5:15**
  - “disclosure-dedication” rule, **5:14**
  - limiting, generally, **5:12-5:16**
  - prior art limits, **5:16**
  - “prosecution history estoppel,” **5:13**
  - target’s perspective, limiting doctrine, **5:12-5:16**
  - test for infringement under doctrine of equivalents, **5:12**
- Due diligence
  - generally, **4:15-4:17**
  - antitrust concerns due to asserting unenforceable patents, avoiding patentee liability, **4:34**
  - availability and cooperation of inventors, **4:18**
  - avoiding patentee liability
    - antitrust concerns due to asserting unenforceable patents, **4:34**
    - Rule 11 sanctions, **4:32**
    - tying arrangements, **4:33**
    - unfair competition for bad faith infringement claims, **4:35**
  - clear chain of title, **4:16**
  - cooperation of inventors, **4:18**
  - correction of inventorship, **4:19**
  - curing defects, above
  - filing additional patent applications, **4:22**
  - first sale/public use, **4:20**
  - implied licensing issues, **4:30**

### DEMAND LETTERS—Cont'd

- Due diligence—Cont'd
  - infringement analysis, **4:31**
  - inventors, **4:18, 4:19**
  - licensee relationships, **4:17**
  - patent term, **4:23**
  - prior art searching, **4:21**
  - Rule 11 sanctions, avoiding patentee liability, **4:32**
  - timing, **4:20-4:23**
  - tying arrangements, avoiding patentee liability, **4:33**
  - unfair competition for bad faith infringement claims, avoiding patentee liability, **4:35**
- Evaluation of merits and risks of patentee’s demand. Target’s perspective, below
- Expiration of patent, **5:18**
- Exporting, infringement by, **5:5**
- Filing additional patent applications, **4:22**
- First sale/public use, **4:20**
- General information from patentee, **5:23**
- Impact on target’s business, worst case scenario for target, **5:34**
- Implied licensing issues, **4:30**
- Importing, infringement by, **5:6**
- Indemnification from suppliers, **5:37**
- Information from patentee, **5:23-5:26**
- Infringement analysis by patent owner, **4:31**
- Infringement analysis by target, **5:8-5:11**
- Initial public offerings, disclosing allegations of infringement to investors, **3:8**
- Initiation of litigation prior to sending letter, **3:3**

PRE-LITIGATION PATENT ENFORCEMENT

**DEMAND LETTERS—Cont'd**

Initiation of PTO proceedings.  
Counterattack by target,  
above  
Insurer obligations, **5:39**  
Interference proceedings, **3:27**  
Inter partes review, **5:54**  
Inventors, due diligence, **4:18,**  
**4:19**  
Investigation obligation  
avoiding willful infringement,  
**3:5**  
disclosing allegations of  
infringement to investors,  
**3:8**  
post-*Seagate* willful infringe-  
ment issues, **3:7**  
pre-*Seagate* willful infringe-  
ment issues, **3:6**  
publicly traded companies, **3:9**  
Joint defense agreements, **5:21**  
Language, **3:4**  
Late maintenance fees, curing  
defects, **4:24**  
Length of time on market, **4:11**  
Licensing  
due diligence, **4:17, 4:30**  
implied licensing issues, **4:30**  
licensee relationships, **4:17**  
likelihood of success of  
infringement allegations,  
**5:25**  
patent owner's perspective,  
implied licensing issues,  
**4:30**  
Likelihood of success of  
infringement allegations  
generally, **5:2**  
assessing applicability of  
§ 112 para 6 or "means  
plus function" clauses,  
**5:11**  
chain of title, **5:25**  
checklist of potential defenses,  
**5:22**

**DEMAND LETTERS—Cont'd**

Likelihood of success of  
infringement allegations  
—Cont'd  
claim chart information from  
patentee, **5:24**  
common interest or joint  
defense agreements, **5:21**  
construction of claims  
objectively, **5:9**  
cooperation with other targets  
under common interest or  
joint defense agreements,  
**5:21**  
defenses, checklist of  
potential, **5:22**  
expiration of patent, **5:18**  
general information from pat-  
entee, **5:23**  
information from patentee,  
**5:23-5:26**  
infringement analysis by  
target, **5:8-5:11**  
joint defense agreements, **5:21**  
licenses, **5:25**  
likely scope of patent, **5:10**  
limiting doctrine of  
equivalents. Doctrine of  
equivalents, above  
maintenance fees, **5:18**  
"means plus function"  
clauses, applicability,  
**5:11**  
objective construction of  
claims, **5:9**  
other enforcement efforts by  
patentee, **5:20**  
other information from paten-  
tee, **5:26**  
other relevant patents or  
applications held by pat-  
entee, **5:17**  
patent term, **5:18**  
potential damage exposure.  
Worst case scenario for  
target, below

## INDEX

### DEMAND LETTERS—Cont'd

- Likelihood of success of infringement allegations
  - Cont'd
  - revival of patent, **5:18**
  - § 112 para 6 clauses, applicability, **5:11**
  - standing of patentee to assert patent, **5:19**
  - strategies of target, below
  - worst case scenario for target, below
- Likely scope of patent, **5:10**
- Limitation by doctrine of equivalents, **5:12-5:16**
- Limitations of claim. Likelihood of success of infringement allegations, above
- Litigiousness, selecting and prioritizing among targets, **4:6**
- Lost profits exposure estimations, worst case scenario, **5:28**
- Maintenance fees
  - curing unpaid fees, **4:24**
  - likelihood of success of infringement allegations, **5:18**
- Manufacture overseas by process patented in U.S., **5:6**
- Market segment, selecting and prioritizing among targets, **4:7**
- “Means plus function” clauses, applicability, **5:11**
- Minimizing risk of exposure through opinions of counsel, **5:43**
- Mistaken claim to small entity status, **4:25**
- Notice of infringement for damages under issued patent, **3:4**
- Number of targets to approach simultaneously, **4:12**

### DEMAND LETTERS—Cont'd

- Objective construction of claims, **5:9**
- Obtaining rights in patent from third parties, **5:40-5:42**
- Offshore assembly, **5:5**
- Other claims against patentee, assertion by target, **3:23**
- Other enforcement efforts by patentee, **5:20**
- Other information from patentee, **5:26**
- Other possible patentee monetary recoveries, **5:32**
- Other relevant patents or applications held by patentee, **5:17**
- Overall IP positioning, selecting and prioritizing among targets, **4:10**
- Patent exhaustion, **5:41**
- Patent owner's perspective generally, **4:1-4:35**
  - avoiding patentee liability.
    - Due diligence, above
    - implied licensing issues, **4:30**
    - licensing, implied issues, **4:30**
    - selecting and prioritizing among targets, below
- Patent term
  - due diligence, **4:23**
  - likelihood of success of infringement allegations, **5:18**
- Personal jurisdiction over patentee
  - counterattack by target, **5:47**
  - effect of demand letter, **3:30**
- Position in chain of distribution, **4:8**
- Post-*Seagate* willful infringement issues, **3:7**
- Potential damage exposure.
  - Worst case scenario for target, below

PRE-LITIGATION PATENT ENFORCEMENT

**DEMAND LETTERS—Cont'd**

Potential for triggering counterattack. Counterattack by target, above  
 Pre-*Seagate* willful infringement issues, **3:6**  
 Prior art  
     counterattack by target, **5:50**  
     doctrine of equivalents, **5:16**  
     due diligence, **4:21**  
     “Prosecution history estoppel,” **5:13**  
 Protests and public use proceedings, **3:29**  
 PTO proceedings initiated by target. Counterattack by target, above  
 Publicly traded companies, **3:9**  
 Raising investment obligation. Investment obligation, above  
 Reasonable royalty exposure estimations, **5:29-5:31**  
 Reducing exposure to patentee, **5:35-5:43**  
 Reexamination proceedings, **3:25, 5:54**  
 Reissue applications to change claim scope, **4:29**  
 Resolution with one target in enforcement efforts against other targets, **4:4**  
 Resources of target, selecting and prioritizing among targets, **4:3**  
 Response strategies by target, generally, **5:1**  
 Review proceedings, counterattack by target, **3:26**  
 Revival of patent, **4:26, 5:18**  
 Rights of target. Counterattack by target, above  
 Rule 11 sanctions, **4:32**  
 Sample “aggressive” demand letter, **App 3B**

**DEMAND LETTERS—Cont'd**

Sample common interest agreement, **App 5A**  
 Sample consultant letter agreement, **App 5B**  
 Sample demand letter for settlement purposes, **App 3C**  
 Sample “soft” demand letter, **App 3A**  
 Scope of claim, reissue applications to change, **4:29**  
 § 112 para 6 clauses, applicability, **5:11**  
 Selecting and prioritizing among targets  
     commercial relationships with target, **4:5**  
     competitive status, **4:2-4:4**  
     criteria, **4:1**  
     length of time on market, **4:11**  
     litigiousness, **4:6**  
     market segment, **4:7**  
     number of targets to approach simultaneously, **4:12**  
     overall IP positioning, **4:10**  
     position in chain of distribution, **4:8**  
     potential counterattacks by target, **4:9**  
     resolution with one target in enforcement efforts against other targets, **4:4**  
     resources of target, **4:3**  
     strength of infringement case, **4:14**  
     value of patent to patentee, **4:13**  
 Setting tone for negotiations or litigation, **3:2**  
 Shifting exposure to third parties, **5:36-5:39**  
 Small entity status claim incorrect, **4:25**  
 Standing of patentee to assert patent, **5:19**

## INDEX

### DEMAND LETTERS—Cont'd

Strategies of target  
generally, **5:35-5:53**  
changes to accused product  
design or manufacture,  
**5:35**  
coowners of patent, obtaining  
rights from, **5:42**  
counterattack  
generally, **5:45-5:57**  
acquiring patents to assert  
against patentee, **5:51**  
**Declaratory Judgment  
Actions** (this index)  
derivation proceedings,  
**5:55**  
developing substantive  
defenses which place  
patent at risk, **5:49,**  
**5:50**  
identifying patents to assert  
against patentee, **5:51**  
initiating PTO proceedings  
generally, **5:53-5:57**  
derivation proceedings,  
**5:55**  
interference proceedings,  
**5:55**  
inter partes review, **5:54**  
post-grant review  
proceedings, **5:56**  
protests, **5:57**  
public use proceedings,  
**5:57**  
reexamination proceed-  
ings, **5:54**  
third-party submissions,  
**5:57**  
interference proceedings,  
**5:55**  
inter partes review, **5:54**  
other potential  
counterclaims, **5:52**  
post-grant review proceed-  
ings, **5:56**

### DEMAND LETTERS—Cont'd

Strategies of target—Cont'd  
counterattack—Cont'd  
prior art searching, **5:50**  
customer obligations, shifting  
exposure to third parties,  
**5:38**  
derivation proceedings, **5:55**  
discouraging patentee from  
pursuing target, **5:44**  
indemnification from suppli-  
ers, **5:37**  
initiating PTO proceedings as  
counterattack  
generally, **5:53-5:57**  
derivation proceedings,  
**5:55**  
interference proceedings,  
**5:55**  
inter partes review, **5:54**  
post-grant review proceed-  
ings, **5:56**  
protests, **5:57**  
public use proceedings,  
**5:57**  
reexamination proceedings,  
**5:54**  
third-party submissions,  
**5:57**  
insurer obligations, **5:39**  
inter partes review, **5:54**  
minimizing risk of exposure  
through opinions of  
counsel, **5:43**  
obtaining rights in the patent  
from third parties, **5:40-**  
**5:42**  
patent exhaustion, **5:41**  
post-grant review proceedings,  
**5:56**  
protests, **5:57**  
public use proceedings, **5:57**  
reducing exposure to patentee,  
**5:35-5:43**

PRE-LITIGATION PATENT ENFORCEMENT

**DEMAND LETTERS—Cont'd**

Strategies of target—Cont'd  
reexamination proceedings,  
**5:54**  
shifting exposure to third parties,  
**5:36-5:39**  
third parties  
obtaining rights from, **5:40-5:42**  
potential counterclaims,  
**5:57**  
shifting exposure to, **5:36-5:39**  
Strength of infringement case,  
selecting and prioritizing among targets, **4:14**  
Target's perspective  
generally, **5:1-5:57**  
common interest agreements,  
**5:21, App 5A**  
evaluation of merits and risks of patentee's demand  
generally, **5:2, 5:3**  
counterattack, above  
damage exposure, **5:27-5:34**  
discouraging patentee from pursuing target, **5:44**  
exemptions from infringement, **5:3**  
information by patentee, **5:25-5:26**  
infringement analysis by target, **5:8-5:11**  
infringement by meeting all claim limitations, **5:8-5:16**  
premature demands by patentee, **5:3**  
strategies, generally, **5:35-5:43**  
territorial restrictions on scope of U.S. patent, below  
infringement by meeting all claim limitations. Likeli-

**DEMAND LETTERS—Cont'd**

Target's perspective—Cont'd  
hood of success of infringement allegations, above  
likelihood of success of infringement allegations, above  
limiting doctrine of equivalents, above  
potential response strategies, generally, **5:1**  
response strategies, generally, **5:1**  
sample common interest agreement, **App 5A**  
sample consultant letter agreement, **App 5B**  
strategies of target, above  
territorial restrictions on scope of U.S. patent, below  
Temporary presence in United States, **5:7**  
Territorial restrictions on scope of U.S. patent  
generally, **5:4**  
infringement by exporting, **5:5**  
infringement by importing, **5:6**  
manufacture overseas by process patented in U.S., **5:6**  
offshore assembly, **5:5**  
target's evaluation of merits and risks of patentee's demand, **5:4-5:7**  
temporary presence in United States, **5:7**  
Test for infringement under doctrine of equivalents, **5:12**  
Third parties  
obtaining rights from, **5:40-5:42**  
shifting exposure to, **5:36-5:39**  
Timing  
due diligence, above

## INDEX

### DEMAND LETTERS—Cont'd

- Timing—Cont'd
  - first sale/public use, **4:20**
- Triggering counterattack.
  - Counterattack, above
- 25% rule of thumb, estimating reasonable royalty exposure, **5:31**
- Tying arrangements, **4:33**
- Typographical errors, correcting, **4:28**
- Unfair competition for bad faith infringement claims, **4:35**
- Unpaid maintenance fees, **4:24**
- Value of patent to patentee, **4:13**
- Willful infringement issues post-*Seagate*, **3:7**
- Willful infringement issues pre-*Seagate*, **3:6**
- Worst case scenario for target generally, **5:27-5:34**
  - data gathering, **5:27**
  - discounted probability analysis, **5:33**
  - Georgia Pacific* factors, estimating reasonable royalty exposure, **5:30**
  - impact on target's business, **5:34**
  - lost profits exposure estimations, **5:28**
  - other possible patentee monetary recoveries, **5:32**
  - reasonable royalty exposure estimations, **5:29-5:31**
  - 25% rule of thumb, estimating reasonable royalty exposure, **5:31**

### DERIVATION PROCEEDINGS

- Demand letters, counterattack, **3:28, 5:55**
- United States Patent and Trademark Office as forum for enforcement, **2:26**

### DESIGN PATENTS

- Basics of patent law, **2:7**

### DISCLAIMERS

- Demand letters, curing defects, **4:27**
- Scope of book, **1:3**
- Shortening patent term, **2:12**

### DISCLOSURE

- Adverse inference, failure to disclose advice of counsel, **6:9, 6:10, 6:40**
- Advice of counsel, failure to disclose, **6:9, 6:10, 6:40**
- Delaying disclosure of opinion/bifurcation of willfulness, **6:44**
- Demand letters, disclosing allegations of infringement to investors, **3:8**
- Doctrine of equivalents, "disclosure-dedication" rule, **5:14**
- Expert disclosure, **10:27**
- Initial public offerings, disclosing allegations of infringement to investors, **3:8**
- Investigation obligation, disclosing allegations of infringement to investors, **3:8**
- Publicly traded companies, disclosure of potential liability for patent infringement, **3:9**

### DISCOVERY

- Generally, **10:24**
- Arbitration, reduced discovery obligations, **2:18**
- Attorney-client privilege, **10:26**
- Expert discovery, **10:27**
- Fact discovery, generally, **10:24-10:26**
- Protective orders, **10:25**
- Work product privilege, **10:26**

## PRE-LITIGATION PATENT ENFORCEMENT

### DISCRETION

Declaratory judgment jurisdiction, **3:15**

### DISTRIBUTION CHAIN

Demand letters, **4:8**

### DISTRICT COURTS

Actual controversy, **3:11-3:14**

Jurisdiction, **3:11**

Pending USPTO proceeding, stay of district court action, **2:19**

Reversal rate of District Court appeals, **2:51**

### DIVISIBILITY OF RIGHTS

Generally, **2:42**

**Assignment of Patent Rights** (this index)

Inventor's rights, **2:43**

Joint ownership, **2:44**

Licensing patent rights, **2:46**

**Licensing** (this index)

Rights of inventors, **2:43**

Standing to sue for infringement, **2:47**

### DIVISIONAL APPLICATIONS

Basics of patent law, **2:10**

### DOCTRINE OF

#### EQUIVALENTS

“All-elements” rule, **5:15**

“Disclosure dedication” rule, **5:14**

Limiting, generally, **5:12-5:16**

Prior art limits, **5:16**

“Prosecution history estoppel,” **5:13**

Target's perspective, limiting doctrine, **5:12-5:16**

Test for infringement under doctrine of equivalents, **5:12**

### DRUG COMPANIES

**Pharmaceutical Patents, Pre-Litigation Investigations** (this index)

### DUE DILIGENCE

**Demand Letters** (this index)

### *eBAY v. MERCEXCHANGE*

Injunctions, **2:34**

### EMPLOYEE INVENTORS

Patent rights, **2:43**

### ESSENTIAL ELEMENTS OF PATENT

Basics of patent law, **2:8**

### ESTOPPEL

Collateral estoppel, participation by third parties in defense, **9:22**

Doctrine of equivalents, “prosecution history estoppel,” **5:13**

### EXCLUSIVE LICENSING AGREEMENTS

Basics of patent law, **2:46**

### EXHAUSTION

Demand letters  
patent owner's perspective, **4:30**

target's strategy, **5:41**

Structuring the resolution, potential pitfall, **8:28**

### EXPENSES

Basics of patent law, **2:52**

**Costs of Action** (this index)

Litigation and what to expect, **10:5**

### EXPERTS

Damages experts, **10:29**

Expert disclosure and discovery, **10:27**

Patent prosecution expert, **10:30**

Technical experts, **10:28**

### EXPIRATION OF PATENT

Statute of limitations on damage recovery, **2:13**

## INDEX

### FEDERAL COURTS

- Generally, **2:16**
- Case law as source of rights, **2:4**
- District Courts** (this index)
- Forum for enforcement of rights, generally, **2:16**
- Legal sources of rights, **2:4**
- United States Court of Federal Claims, **2:16**
- United States Supreme Court, clarification of patent law, **2:50**

### FEDERAL LAW

- Legal sources of rights, **2:2**

### FEDERAL PREEMPTION OF STATE LAW

- Legal sources of rights, **2:5**

### FORUMS FOR ENFORCEMENT OF RIGHTS

- Generally, **2:15**
- Arbitration, **2:18**
- Federal Courts** (this index)
- United States International Trade Commission, **2:17**
- United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO)** (this index)

### FREEDOM TO OPERATE

- Generally, **6:1-6:44**
- Absence of copying/independent development, **6:33**
- Advantages in asking for a license, **6:24**
- Adverse inference, failure to disclose advice of counsel, **6:9, 6:10, 6:40**
- Advice of counsel
  - America Invents Act (AIA), **6:6**
  - content, **6:17**
  - current value of advice, **6:11**

### FREEDOM TO OPERATE

#### —Cont'd

- Advice of counsel—Cont'd
  - delaying disclosure of opinion/bifurcation of willfulness, **6:44**
  - disproving recklessness, **6:13**
  - duty to investigate, **6:3**
  - duty to obtain advice, **6:2**
  - effect of failure to disclose, **6:9**
  - elimination of affirmative advice of counsel requirement, **6:10**
  - failure to disclose, **6:9, 6:10, 6:40**
  - historical development, **6:7**
  - informing business decisions, **6:12**
  - minimizing risk through opinions of counsel, **5:43**
  - opinion letters, **6:15**
  - oral opinions, **6:14**
  - reliance, **6:18**
  - risk management practices, **6:13**
  - timing, **6:16**
  - Underwater Devices Inc.* duty to obtain opinion, **6:2, 6:8**
- America Invents Act (AIA), **6:6**
- Associated risks, **6:29**
- Attempts to find relevant patents, **6:20**
- Attorney-client privilege. Using letters and waiver of privilege issues, below
- Business analysis, reliance, **6:18**
- Case law interpreting *Seagate* standard, **6:5**
- Communications with patent owner, **6:22**
- Consideration of patent before notification by patent owner, **6:19**

**FREEDOM TO OPERATE**

—Cont'd

- Consultants conducting patent searches, **6:20**
- Content of advice of counsel, **6:17**
- Continuing patent searching, **6:21**
- Credibility of witnesses, **6:38**
- Current value of advice of counsel, **6:11**
- Delaying disclosure of opinion/bifurcation of willfulness, **6:44**
- Description of accused infringer's position, **6:23**
- Design-around efforts, **6:34**
- Disproving recklessness and risk management practices
  - accused infringer's policy of respecting intellectual property rights, **6:30**
  - advice of counsel, **6:13**
  - associated risks, **6:29**
  - attempts to find relevant patents, **6:20**
  - communications with patent owner, **6:22**
  - consideration of patent before notification by patent owner, **6:19**
  - continuing patent searching, **6:21**
  - credibility of witnesses, **6:38**
  - description of accused infringer's position, **6:23**
  - design-around efforts, **6:34**
  - enforcement history of patent, **6:26**
  - Finisar Corp. v. DirectTV Group, Inc.*, **6:13**
  - formal documentation of policy, **6:31**
  - history of licensing patents from others when appropriate, **6:32**

**FREEDOM TO OPERATE**

—Cont'd

- Disproving recklessness and risk management practices
  - Cont'd
  - independent development, **6:33**
  - insurance coverage, **6:35-6:37**
  - licensing
    - history of licensing patents from others when appropriate, **6:32**
    - risks and advantages in asking for a license, **6:24**
    - strategy after denial of license, **6:25**
  - negative information about patent from litigation, **6:28**
  - no copying/independent development, **6:33**
  - questionable relevance of insurance coverage, **6:36**
  - reflection of patent owner's interpretation of patent, **6:27**
  - rejection of insurance coverage, **6:37**
  - risks and advantages in asking for a license, **6:24**
  - searches, **6:20**
  - strategy after denial of license, **6:25**
- Duty to investigate
  - America Invents Act (AIA), **6:6**
  - evolution of duty over time, **6:3**
  - Federal Circuit formation, **6:2**
  - historical development, **6:1**
  - In Re Seagate Technology* recklessness standard, **6:5**
  - new recklessness standard for proving willful infringement, **6:4**

## INDEX

### FREEDOM TO OPERATE

#### —Cont'd

- Duty to investigate—Cont'd
  - Underwater Devices Inc.* case, **6:2, 6:8**
- Duty to obtain advice of counsel, **6:2**
- Early Federal Circuit cases, **6:8**
- Effect of failure to disclose advice of counsel, **6:9**
- Efforts to design around patent, **6:34**
- Elimination of adverse inferences, **6:40**
- Elimination of affirmative advice of counsel requirement, **6:10**
- Employee patent searches, **6:20**
- Evidence of rejection of insurance coverage, **6:37**
- Evolution of duty to investigate, **6:3**
- Federal Circuit formation, **6:2**
  - Finisar Corp. v. DirectTV Group, Inc.*, disproving recklessness, **6:13**
- Formal documentation of policy of respecting IP rights, **6:31**
- Historical development
  - advice of counsel, **6:7**
  - disproving recklessness and risk management practices, **6:26, 6:32**
  - duty to investigate, **6:1**
  - enforcement history of patent, **6:26**
  - history of licensing patents from others when appropriate, **6:32**
  - licensing patents from others when appropriate, **6:32**
  - using letters and waiver of privilege issues, **6:39**
- Independent development of design, **6:33**

### FREEDOM TO OPERATE

#### —Cont'd

- Informing business decisions, **6:12**
- In Re Seagate Technology* recklessness standard, **6:4, 6:5, 6:42**
- Insurance coverage
  - disproving recklessness and risk management practices, **6:35-6:37**
  - questionable relevance of insurance coverage, **6:36**
  - rejection of insurance coverage, **6:37**
  - relevance, **6:36**
- Interpretation of patent by patent owner, **6:27**
- Knorr-Bremse* elimination of adverse inference, **6:6, 6:9, 6:10, 6:40**
- Legal analysis, reliance, **6:18**
- Licensing
  - advantages in asking for a license, **6:24**
  - disproving recklessness and risk management practices, **6:24, 6:25, 6:32**
  - history of licensing patents from others when appropriate, **6:32**
  - risks in asking for a license, **6:24**
  - strategy after denial of license, **6:25**
- Negative information about patent from litigation, **6:28**
- New recklessness standard for proving willful infringement, **6:4**
- No copying/independent development, **6:33**
- Objective recklessness. Disproving recklessness and risk management practices, above

**FREEDOM TO OPERATE**

—Cont'd

- Opinion letters, advice of counsel, **6:15**
- Oral communications
  - accused infringer, **6:23**
  - advice of counsel, **6:14**
  - patent owner, **6:22**
- Outside patent search services, **6:20**
- Persons involved, **6:42**
- Recklessness
  - disproving recklessness and risk management practices, above
  - In Re Seagate Technology* recklessness standard, **6:4, 6:5, 6:42**
- Reflection of patent owner's interpretation of patent, **6:27**
- Rejection of insurance coverage, **6:37**
- Reliance on advice of counsel, **6:18**
- Rick management. Disproving recklessness and risk management practices, above
- Risks in asking for a license, **6:24**
- Scope of waiver of attorney-client privilege issues, **6:41**
- Searches for relevant patents, **6:20**
- Strategy after denial of license, **6:25**
- Subject matter, **6:43**
- Timing of advice of counsel, **6:16**
- Underwater Devices Inc.* duty to investigate and obtain advice of counsel, **6:2, 6:8**
- Using letters and waiver of privilege issues
  - delaying disclosure of opinion/bifurcation of

**FREEDOM TO OPERATE**

—Cont'd

- Using letters and waiver of privilege issues—Cont'd
  - willfulness, **6:44**
  - elimination of adverse inferences, **6:40**
  - historical development, **6:39**
  - In Re EchoStar*, **6:42, 6:43**
  - persons involved, **6:42**
  - scope of waiver, **6:41**
  - subject matter, **6:43**

**GENERIC DRUG COMPANIES**

**Pharmaceutical Patents, Pre-Litigation Investigations**  
(this index)

**GEORGIA PACIFIC FACTORS**

- Basics of patent law, **2:28**
- Demand letters, estimating reasonable royalty exposure, **5:30**

**GOVERNMENT OR GOVERNMENT CONTRACTOR**

- Claim in United States Court of Federal Claims, **2:16**

**GRANTBACKS**

- Structuring the resolution, **8:33**

**HATCH-WAXMAN ACT**

**Pharmaceutical Patents, Pre-Litigation Investigations**  
(this index)

**HISTORY OR BACKGROUND**

- Adverse party's negotiating history, **7:13**
- Advice of counsel, **6:7**
- Disproving recklessness and risk management practices, **6:26, 6:32**
- Duty to investigate, **6:1, 6:3**
- Enforcement history of patent, **7:12**

## INDEX

### HISTORY OR BACKGROUND

#### —Cont'd

- Pre-litigation context, **1:1**
- Using letters and waiver of privilege issues, **6:39**

### INCREASED DAMAGES

- Basics of patent law, **2:32**

### INEQUITABLE CONDUCT BEFORE USPTO

- Basics of patent law, attacking the patent, **2:40**

### INFRINGEMENT

- Damage Recovery** (this index)
- Demand Letters** (this index)
- Four-part test for infringement, **2:34**
- Marking and notice requirements, **2:35, 10:46**
- Pre-litigation context, **1:1**
- Standing to sue, **2:47**

### INITIAL PUBLIC OFFERINGS

- Demand letters, disclosing allegations of infringement to investors, **3:8**

### INITIATING USPTO PROCEEDINGS

- Counterattack by target, **3:24-3:29, 5:53-5:57**

### INITIATION OF LITIGATION

- Demand letters, timing, **3:3**
- Laches, limitation on damage recovery, **2:14**
- Pre-litigation negotiations, **7:4**

### INJUNCTIONS

- Appeal of preliminary injunction, **10:23**
- Basics of patent law, **2:34**
- Bond, **10:22**
- eBay v. MercExchange*, **2:34**
- Preliminary injunctions, generally, **10:16**

### INJUNCTIONS—Cont'd

- Remedies, **10:45**
- Standard for granting preliminary injunction generally, **10:17**
- balance of hardships, **10:20**
- irreparable harm, **10:19**
- likelihood of success on merits, **10:18**
- public interest, **10:21**

### IN RE ECHOSTAR

- Demand letters, estimating reasonable royalty exposure, **5:30**
- Using letters and waiver of privilege issues, **6:42, 6:43**

### IN RE SEACATE TECHNOLOGY

- Case law, **6:5**
- Recklessness standard, **6:4, 6:42**

### INSURANCE COVERAGE FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

- Generally, **9:14-9:21**
- CGL policy coverage generally, **9:19**
- advertising injury coverage, **9:18**
- Demand letters, obligations of insurer, **5:39**
- Disproving recklessness and risk management practices, **6:35-6:37**
- Patent-specific policies generally, **9:15**
- control of litigation, **9:17**
- policy provisions to investigate, **9:16**
- Privilege issues, **9:20**
- Questionable relevance of coverage, **6:36**
- Rejection of coverage, **6:37**
- Relevance of coverage, **6:36**
- Work product concerns, **9:21**

PRE-LITIGATION PATENT ENFORCEMENT

**INTEREST ON MONEY**

Basics of patent law, **2:31**

**INTERFERENCE  
PROCEEDINGS**

Arbitration, **2:25**

Demand letters, counterattack,  
**3:27**

Lengthening patent term, **2:12**

United States Patent and  
Trademark Office as forum  
for enforcement, **2:25**

**INTER PARTES REVIEW**

Demand letters, counterattack,  
**5:54**

United States Patent and  
Trademark Office as forum  
for enforcement, **2:23**

**INVENTORS**

Basics of patent law, **2:43**

Due diligence, **4:18, 4:19**

Employee inventors, **2:43**

**INVESTIGATION  
OBLIGATION**

Advice of counsel, duty to  
investigate, **6:3**

**Demand Letters** (this index),  
**3:5**

Duty to investigate. **Freedom to  
Operate** (this index)

Insurance coverage, provisions  
of patent-specific policies,  
**9:16**

**Pharmaceutical Patents, Pre-  
Litigation Investigations**  
(this index)

Rule 11 sanctions, avoidance,  
**4:32**

*Underwater Devices Inc.*, **6:2,**  
**6:8**

**IRREPARABLE HARM**

Injunctions, **10:19**

**JOINT DEFENSE**

**AGREEMENTS**

Demand letters, **5:21**

**Third Party Issues** (this index)

**JOINT INVENTORS**

Patent rights, **2:43**

**JOINT OWNERS**

Basics of patent law, **2:44**

Demand letters, obtaining rights  
from coowners of patent,  
**5:42**

**KNORR-BREMSE**

Elimination of adverse inference,  
**6:6, 6:9, 6:10, 6:40**

**LACHES**

Initiation of suit, limitation on  
damage recovery, **2:14**

**LANGUAGE**

Claim, invalidity defenses, **2:39**

Demand letters, **3:4**

**LEGAL SOURCES OF  
PATENT LAW RIGHTS**

Generally, **2:1**

Federal court cases, **2:4**

Federal law, **2:2**

Federal preemption of state law,  
**2:5**

United States Patent and  
Trademark Office, **2:3**

**LICENSING**

Advantages in asking for a  
license, **6:24**

Alternative dispute resolution,  
**8:18**

Antitrust considerations, bulk  
licensing, **8:25**

Basics of patent law, **2:46**

Choice of law, **8:17**

Covenant not to sue, **8:5**

Cross-licensing, **8:32**

## INDEX

### LICENSING—Cont'd

- Declaratory judgment actions, prospective license negotiations, **3:21**
- Defining scope of license, **8:6**
- Demand letters
  - due diligence, **4:17, 4:30**
  - implied licensing issues, **4:30**
  - licensee relationships, **4:17**
  - likelihood of success of infringement allegations, **5:25**
  - patent owner's perspective, implied licensing issues, **4:30**
- Disproving recklessness and risk management practices advantages in asking for license, **6:24**
- history of licensing patents from others when appropriate, **6:32**
- risks in asking for license, **6:24**
- strategy after denial of license, **6:25**
- Divisibility of rights, **2:46**
- Due diligence, **4:17, 4:30**
- Exclusive licensing agreements, **2:46**
- History of licensing patents from others when appropriate, **6:32**
- Implied licensing issues, **4:30**
- Likelihood of success of infringement allegations, **5:25**
- Marking licensed product, **8:12**
- MedImmune* decision, **3:12-3:14**
- No challenge clauses to discourage validity challenges, **8:15**
- Nonexclusive licensing agreements, **2:46**
- Penalty clauses to discourage validity challenges, **8:16**

### LICENSING—Cont'd

- Pricing licensed product, **8:10**
- Prior licenses, effects, **8:29**
- Production controls, **8:8**
- Prospective license negotiations, **3:21**
- Reasonable royalty, pre-litigation licensing offers, **2:30**
- Restrictions on use or conduct, **8:6**
- Right to sue, **8:4**
- Risks in asking for a license, **6:24**
- Royalties, **8:11**
- Standing to sue, status of licensee, **2:47**
- State law, **2:5**
- Strategy after denial of license, **6:25**
- Structuring the resolution, generally, **8:3**
- Term, **8:9**
- Territorial limitations, **8:7**
- Validity challenges, discouraging, **8:14-8:16**
- Warranties, **8:13**

### LIKELIHOOD OF SUCCESS

- Demand Letters** (this index)
- Injunctions, **10:18**

### LIMITATIONS

- Damage Recovery** (this index)
- Demand Letters** (this index)
- Scope of book, **1:3**
- Statute of Limitations** (this index)
- Territorial Limitations** (this index)

### LITIGATION AND WHAT TO EXPECT

- Generally, **10:1-10:50**
- Alternative Dispute Resolution** (this index)
- Appeals** (this index)

**LITIGATION AND WHAT TO EXPECT—Cont'd**

Attorney's fees, **2:33, 10:44**  
 Claim construction  
     generally, **10:31**  
     appellate review, **10:34**  
     evidence considered, **10:32**  
     rolling claim construction, **10:33**  
 Counterclaims, **10:14**  
**Damage Recovery** (this index)  
 Demand letters, setting tone for litigation, **3:2**  
 Difficulty predicting outcome, **2:51, 10:6**  
 Enhanced damages, **10:43**  
 Equitable relief, **10:38**  
 Evidence considered in claim construction, **10:32**  
 Expense, **10:5**  
**Experts** (this index)  
 Fact discovery. **Discovery** (this index)  
 Frequency of resolution by trial, **10:36**  
 Identification of parties. Selection and identification of parties, below  
 Key events, **10:2**  
 Laches, **10:48**  
 Legal challenges unique to patent arena, **10:3**  
 Lost profits, **10:41**  
 Marking and notice requirements, **10:46**  
 Outcome predictions, **10:6**  
 Parties. Selection and identification of parties, below  
 Posttrial motions, **10:49**  
 Predictability, **10:1**  
 Predicting outcome, **10:6**  
 Preliminary injunctions. **Injunctions** (this index)  
 Pre-litigation offers, **10:40**  
 Reasonable royalty, **10:39**

**LITIGATION AND WHAT TO EXPECT—Cont'd**

Remedies  
     generally, **10:38-10:48**  
     attorney's fees, **2:33, 10:44**  
     costs and interest, **10:42**  
     damages, **10:38**  
     enhanced damages, **10:43**  
     equitable relief, **10:38**  
     impact of laches, **10:48**  
     injunctions, **10:45**  
     laches, **10:48**  
     lost profits, **10:41**  
     marking and notice requirements, **10:46**  
     pre-litigation offers, **10:40**  
     reasonable royalty, **10:39**  
     statute of limitations, **10:47**  
 Resolution by trial, frequency, **10:36**  
 Responsive pleadings, **10:14**  
 Rolling claim construction, **10:33**  
 Selecting and prioritizing among targets according to litigiousness, **4:6**  
 Selection and identification of parties  
     generally, **10:7**  
     defendant, **10:9**  
     plaintiff or plaintiffs, **10:8**  
 Statute of limitations, **10:47**  
 Summary judgment, **10:35**  
 Technical challenges unique to patent arena, **10:3**  
 Trial  
     frequency of resolution by trial, **10:36**  
     procedure, **10:37**  
 Unique technical and legal challenges, **10:3**  
 Variations in proceedings, **10:4**  
**Venue and Jurisdiction** (this index)

## INDEX

### LOCAL COURT RULES

Alternative dispute resolution,  
**10:15**

### LOST PROFITS

Basics of patent law, **2:30**  
Demand letters, worst case scenario for target, **5:28**  
Litigation and what to expect, **10:41**  
*Panduit* test, “but for” causality for lost profits, **2:30**

### MAINTENANCE FEES

Curing unpaid fees, **4:24**  
Likelihood of success of infringement allegations, **5:18**  
Patent term, **2:12**

### MARKETS

Demand letters, selecting and prioritizing among targets, **4:7, 4:11**  
Pre-litigation negotiations, communications between adverse parties, **7:27**  
Reasonable royalties, market value rule, **2:28**

### MARKING AND NOTICE REQUIREMENTS

Damage recovery, **2:35**  
Litigation and what to expect, **10:46**

### “MEANS PLUS FUNCTION” CLAUSES

Demand letters, **5:11**

### MEDIATION

Discovery mediation, **7:34**  
Expectations, **7:33**  
Licenses, **8:18**  
Local court rules, **10:15**  
Pre-litigation negotiation strategies, **7:32-7:34**

### MEDIATION—Cont’d

Structuring the resolution, **App 8B**

### MEDIMMUNE STANDARD

Actual controversy requirement, **3:12-3:14**  
Post-*MedImmune* standard for Court’s jurisdiction, **3:14**  
Pre-*MedImmune* standard for Court’s jurisdiction, **3:12**

### MINIMUM MONETARY AWARD

Damage recovery, **2:27**

### MISUSE OF PATENT

Attacking the patent, **2:41**  
Cure by discontinuing offending conduct, **2:41**  
Structuring the resolution, potential pitfalls, **8:26**

### NAME-BRAND DRUG COMPANIES

**Pharmaceutical Patents, Pre-Litigation Investigations**  
(this index)

### NOTICE

**Demand Letters** (this index)  
Marking and notice requirements damage recovery, **2:35**  
litigation and what to expect, **10:46**

### OPINION LETTERS

Advice of counsel, **6:15**

### ORAL COMMUNICATIONS

Accused infringer, **6:23**  
Advice of counsel, **6:14**  
Patent owner, **6:22**

### PANDUIT TEST

Damage recovery, lost profits, **2:30**

PRE-LITIGATION PATENT ENFORCEMENT

**PATENT AND TRADEMARK  
OFFICE (PTO)**

United States Patent and  
Trademark Office  
(USPTO) (this index)

**PATENTEE**

Generally, 1:2

**PATENT OWNER**

Generally, 1:2

Freedom to operate, reflection of  
patent owner's interpreta-  
tion of patent, 6:27

Perspective of owner. **Demand  
Letters** (this index)

**PATENT POOLING**

Structuring the resolution, 8:31

**PATENT PROSECUTION  
EXPERT**

Generally, 10:30

**PATENT TERM**

**Term of Patent Protection** (this  
index)

**PERSONAL JURISDICTION  
OVER PATENTEE**

Counterattack by target, 5:47

Effect of demand letter, 3:30

**PERSONAL PROPERTY**

Basics of patent law, 2:42

**PHARMACEUTICAL  
PATENTS,  
PRE-LITIGATION  
INVESTIGATIONS**

Generally, 11:1-11:15

Abbreviated New Drug Applica-  
tions (ANDA) by generics,  
11:6

“Artificial act of infringement”  
in Paragraph IV certifica-  
tion, 11:9

**PHARMACEUTICAL  
PATENTS,  
PRE-LITIGATION  
INVESTIGATIONS  
—Cont'd**

Declaratory judgment actions by  
generic drug companies,  
11:11

Drug products, defined, 11:2

Exclusivity awards for generic  
drug companies, 11:7

Generic drug companies  
declaratory judgment actions,  
11:11

exclusivity awards, 11:7

Hatch-Waxman Act

generally, 11:1, 11:4

Abbreviated New Drug  
Applications (ANDA) by  
generics, 11:6

“artificial act of infringe-  
ment” in Paragraph IV  
certification, 11:9

declaratory judgment actions  
by generic drug  
companies, 11:11

exclusivity awards for generic  
drug companies, 11:7

generic drug companies  
declaratory judgment  
actions, 11:11

exclusivity awards, 11:7

incentive for timely suit by  
name-brand drug  
companies, 11:10

name-brand drug companies  
incentive for timely suit by  
name-brand drug  
companies, 11:10

relief available, 11:12

Paragraph IV certification as  
“artificial act of infringe-  
ment,” 11:9

patent certifications, 11:8

patent layering, 11:14

## INDEX

### PHARMACEUTICAL

#### PATENTS, PRE-LITIGATION INVESTIGATIONS —Cont'd

- Hatch-Waxman Act—Cont'd
  - patent listings for new drugs, **11:5**
  - statements of non-applicable use, **11:13**
  - venue choices, **11:15**
- Incentive for timely suit by name-brand drug companies, **11:10**
- Medical devices distinguished, **11:3**
- Name-brand drug companies
  - incentive for timely suit by name-brand drug companies, **11:10**
  - relief available, **11:12**
- Paragraph IV certification as “artificial act of infringement,” **11:9**
- Patent certifications, **11:8**
- Patent layering, **11:14**
- Patent listings for new drugs, **11:5**
- Statements of non-applicable use, **11:13**
- Venue choices, **11:15**

### POST-GRANT REVIEW

- Demand letters, counterattack, **5:56**
- United States Patent and Trademark Office as forum for enforcement, **2:22**

### PREDICTIONS

- Basics of patent law, **2:51**

### PRE-LITIGATION CONTEXT

- Generally, **1:1**

### PRE-LITIGATION INVESTIGATION

- Advice of counsel, duty to investigate, **6:3**

### PRE-LITIGATION

#### INVESTIGATION—Cont'd

- Demand Letters (this index), **3:5**
- Duty to investigate. **Freedom to Operate** (this index)
- Insurance coverage, provisions of patent-specific policies, **9:16**
- Pharmaceutical Patents, Pre-Litigation Investigations** (this index)
- Underwater Devices Inc.*, **6:2, 6:8**

### PRE-LITIGATION NEGOTIATIONS

- Generally, **7:1-7:35**
- Alternative dispute resolution strategy. **Alternative Dispute Resolution** (this index)
- Communications between adverse parties
  - generally, **7:15**
  - admissibility of information relating to negotiations, **7:28**
  - current market changes, **7:27**
  - dealing with sensitive business relationships, **7:29**
  - effective negotiating techniques, **7:25**
  - future market changes, **7:27**
  - initial communication by patent holder, **7:16**
  - initial response by target
    - generally, **7:17**
    - content of response, **7:19**
    - counterattacks by target, **7:21**
    - demands by target for information, **7:20**
    - information demands by target, **7:20**

## PRE-LITIGATION PATENT ENFORCEMENT

### PRE-LITIGATION

#### NEGOTIATIONS—Cont'd

Communications between  
adverse parties—Cont'd  
initial response by target  
—Cont'd  
target demands for information, **7:20**  
timing of response, if any,  
**7:18**  
tone of response, **7:19**  
market changes, **7:27**  
negotiating techniques, **7:25**  
ongoing communications,  
**7:22**  
personal meetings, **7:26**  
procedural terms of resolution,  
**7:24**  
publicizing infringement  
dispute, **7:30**  
sensitive business relationships,  
**7:29**  
sensitivity to current and  
future market changes,  
**7:27**  
third parties involvement, **7:23**  
Demand letters, setting tone, **3:2**  
Developing strategy  
generally, **7:1**  
best outcome consideration,  
**7:3**  
conclusion timing, **7:5**  
considering best and worst  
outcomes, **7:3**  
how long to continue, **7:5**  
initial goals, **7:2**  
initiating suit, **7:4, 7:5**  
level of aggressiveness, below  
logistics with multiple alleged  
infringers, **7:8**  
time to begin, **7:5**  
when to begin, **7:5**  
worst outcome consideration,  
**7:3**

### PRE-LITIGATION

#### NEGOTIATIONS—Cont'd

Historical issues  
adverse party's negotiating  
history, **7:13**  
enforcement history of patent,  
**7:12**  
Level of aggressiveness  
generally, **7:6**  
mixed message, **7:7**  
Logistics with multiple alleged  
infringers, **7:8**  
Multiple alleged infringers,  
logistics of developing  
strategy, **7:8**  
Useful intelligence for negotia-  
tions  
generally, **7:9**  
adverse party's negotiating  
history, **7:13**  
alignment of party's interests,  
**7:14**  
allegedly infringing products  
or services, **7:10**  
business of target, **7:10**  
enforcement history of  
patents, **7:12**  
merits of patent claim, **7:11**  
target's business and allegedly  
infringing products or  
services, **7:10**

### PRE-LITIGATION

#### REASONABLE ROYALTY OFFER

Forum for enforcement of rights,  
**2:29**

### PRICE FIXING

Structuring the resolution, **8:23**

### PRIOR ART

Demand letters, counterattack by  
target, **5:50**  
Doctrine of equivalents, limits,  
**5:16**  
Due diligence, **4:21**

INDEX

**PRIOR ART—Cont'd**

Invalidity defense, **2:39**  
Searches, **4:21, 5:50**

**PRIORITIZING AMONG TARGETS**

**Demand Letters** (this index)

**PRIOR LICENSES**

Structuring the resolution, **8:29**

**PRIVILEGE**

**Attorney-Client Privilege** (this index)

Discovery, work product privilege, **10:26**

Insurance coverage for patent infringement, **9:20**

**PRODUCTION CONTROLS**

Structuring the resolution, **8:8**

**PROSECUTION HISTORY ESTOPPEL**

Doctrine of equivalents, **5:13**

**PROSPECTIVE LICENSE NEGOTIATIONS**

Declaratory judgment actions, **3:21**

**PROTECTIVE ORDERS**

Discovery, **10:25**

**PUBLIC INTEREST**

Injunctions, **10:21**

**PUBLICLY TRADED COMPANIES**

Disclosure of potential infringement liability in Securities and Exchange Commission filings, **3:9**

**PUNITIVE DAMAGES**

Basics of patent law, **2:27, 2:32**

**“REASONABLE APPREHENSION OF IMMINENT SUIT” VS. ACTUAL CONTROVERSY**

Declaratory judgment actions, **3:13**

**REASONABLE ROYALTIES**

Generally, **2:28**

**Georgia Pacific** factors, estimating reasonable royalty exposure, **5:30**

*Georgia Pacific* factors, **2:28**

*In Re EchoStar*, estimating reasonable royalty exposure, **5:30**

Licensing, **2:30, 8:11**

Litigation and what to expect, **10:39**

Market value rule, **2:28**

Minimum monetary award, **2:28**

Pre-litigation offers, **2:30**

Structuring the resolution, **8:11**

25% Rule of thumb, **5:31**

Worst case scenario for target, reasonable royalty exposure estimations, **5:29-5:31**

**RECKLESSNESS**

Disproving recklessness.

**Freedom to Operate** (this index)

**REEXAMINATION PROCEEDINGS**

Changing scope of patent claim, **2:11**

Initiating PTO proceedings as counterattack, **5:54**

Pending ex parte reexamination proceeding, **2:20**

Request as counterattack by target, **3:25**

United States PTO as forum for enforcement, **2:20**

**REISSUE PROCEEDINGS**

Changing scope of patent claim, **2:11, 4:29**

Curing defects, **4:29**

United States Patent and Trademark Office as forum for enforcement, **2:24**

PRE-LITIGATION PATENT ENFORCEMENT

**RESPECT SHOWN TO IP RIGHTS**

Disproving recklessness, **6:30**

**REVERSAL RATE**

District Court appeals, **2:51**

**REVIEW PROCEEDINGS**

Demand letters, counterattack, **3:26**

Inter partes review, **2:23, 5:54**

Post-grant review, **2:22, 5:56**

**REVIVAL OF PATENT APPLICATION**

Curing defects, **4:26**

Likelihood of success of infringement allegations, **5:18**

**RISK MANAGEMENT**

**Freedom to Operate** (this index)

**ROYALTIES**

**Reasonable Royalties** (this index)

**RULE 11 SANCTIONS**

Avoiding patentee liability, **4:32**

**SAFE HAVENS**

Confidentiality agreements, **3:18**

Covenants not to sue, **3:19, 8:5, App 8A**

**Declaratory Judgment Actions** (this index)

Prospective license negotiations, **3:21**

Standstill agreements, **3:20**

**SANDISK DECISION**

Declaratory judgment actions, **3:14**

**SEARCHES**

Continuing patent searching, **6:21**

**SEARCHES—Cont'd**

Demand letters, prior art searching, **4:21, 5:50**

Due diligence, **4:21**

Employee patent searches, **6:20**

Outside patent search services, **6:20**

**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE**

**COMMISSION (SEC)**

Publicly traded companies, disclosure of potential infringement liability, **3:9**

**SELECTING AMONG TARGETS**

**Demand Letters** (this index)

**SETTLEMENT**

Enforcement history of patent, **7:12**

Sample demand letter for settlement purposes, **App 3C**

Statistical overview of cases settled vs. litigated to final judgment, **2:48**

**STANDING TO ASSERT PATENT**

Demand letters, **5:19**

**STANDING TO SUE**

Infringement, **2:47**

Licensee, **2:47, 8:4**

**STANDSTILL AGREEMENTS**

Declaratory judgment actions, **3:20**

**STATE LAW**

Federal preemption of state law, **2:5**

Licensing issues, **2:5**

**STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS**

Damage recovery, **2:13**

Litigation and what to expect, **10:47**

## INDEX

### STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS

#### —Cont'd

Third party issues, implied warranty against infringement under UCC, **9:9**

### STAY OF ACTION

Pending USPTO proceeding, **2:19, 2:24**

### STIPULATED DISMISSAL

Structuring the resolution, **8:20**

### STIPULATED JUDGMENT

Structuring the resolution, **8:19**

### STRATEGIES OF TARGET

**Demand Letters** (this index)

### STRUCTURING THE RESOLUTION

Generally, **8:1-8:33**

Alternative dispute resolution, **App 8B**

Antitrust considerations

generally, **8:22**

bulk licensing, **8:25**

patent “bundling” arrangements, **8:25**

price fixing, **8:23**

tying arrangements, **8:25**

use and sale restrictions, **8:24**

Bulk licensing, **8:25**

“Bundling” arrangements, **8:25**

Choice of law, **8:17**

Covenant not to sue, **3:19, 8:5, App 8A**

Cross-licensing, **8:32**

Factoring in other intellectual property rights, **8:30**

Format and terms

generally, **8:1**

assignment, **8:2**

licenses, below

stipulated dismissal, **8:20**

stipulated judgment, **8:19**

Grantbacks, **8:33**

### STRUCTURING THE RESOLUTION—Cont'd

Licenses

generally, **8:3**

alternative dispute resolution, **8:18**

choice of law, **8:17**

covenant not to sue, **8:5**

defining scope of license, **8:6**

marking licensed product, **8:12**

no challenge clauses, provision to discourage validity challenges, **8:15**

penalty clauses to discourage validity challenges, **8:16**

pricing licensed product, **8:10**

production controls, **8:8**

restricting use or conduct, **8:6**

right to sue, **8:4**

royalties, **8:11**

term, **8:9**

territorial limitations, **8:7**

validity challenges, discouraging

generally, **8:14**

no challenge clauses, **8:15**

penalty clauses, **8:16**

warranties, **8:13**

**Litigation and What to Expect** (this index)

No challenge clauses, provision to discourage validity challenges, **8:15**

Other intellectual property rights consideration in resolution, **8:30**

cross-licensing, **8:32**

grantbacks, **8:33**

patent pooling, **8:31**

Patent “bundling” arrangements, **8:25**

Patent pooling, **8:31**

Penalty clauses to discourage validity challenges, **8:16**

**STRUCTURING THE  
RESOLUTION—Cont'd**

- Potential pitfalls
  - generally, **8:21**
  - antitrust considerations, above
  - exhaustion of patent, **8:28**
  - implied license, **8:27**
  - patent misuse, **8:26**
  - prior licenses, effects, **8:29**

**Pre-Litigation Negotiations**  
(this index)

- Price fixing, **8:23**
- Pricing licensed product, **8:10**
- Prior licenses, effects, **8:29**
- Production controls, **8:8**
- Restricting use or conduct, **8:6**
- Right to sue, **8:4**
- Stipulated dismissal, **8:20**
- Stipulated judgment, **8:19**
- Term of license, **8:9**
- Territorial limitations, **8:7**
- Tying arrangements, **8:25**

**SUMMARY JUDGMENT**

- Generally, **10:35**

**SUPPLEMENTAL  
EXAMINATION  
PROCEEDINGS**

- Changing scope of patent claim,  
**2:11**
- United States Patent and  
Trademark Office as forum  
for enforcement, **2:21**

**TARGET'S PERSPECTIVE**

- Demand Letters** (this index)

**TECHNICAL EXPERTS**

- Generally, **10:28**

**TERM OF PATENT  
PROTECTION**

- Generally, **2:12**
- Demand letters, due diligence,  
**4:23**

**TERM OF PATENT  
PROTECTION—Cont'd**

- Disclaimers, shortening patent  
term, **2:12**
- Due diligence, **4:23**
- Interference, lengthening patent  
term, **2:12**
- Likelihood of success of  
infringement allegations,  
**5:18**
- Maintenance fees, **2:12**

**TERRITORIAL LIMITATIONS**

- Evaluation of merits and risks of  
patentee's demand.  
**Demand Letters** (this  
index)
- Structuring the resolution, **8:7**

**THIRD PARTY ISSUES**

- Generally, **9:1-9:26**
- Applicability of implied war-  
ranty against infringement  
under UCC, **9:4**
- Collateral estoppel, participation  
by third parties in defense,  
**9:22**
- Community of interest/joint  
defense agreements  
generally, **5:21, 9:23**
- drafting considerations, **9:25**
- requirements, **9:24**
- waiver, **9:26**
- Demand letters  
counterattack, **5:57**
- obtaining rights from, **5:40-  
5:42**
- shifting exposure to, **5:36-  
5:39**
- Drafting considerations, com-  
munity of interest/joint  
defense agreements, **9:25**
- Implied warranty against  
infringement under UCC  
generally, **9:3**
- applicability, **9:4**

## INDEX

### THIRD PARTY ISSUES

#### —Cont'd

- Implied warranty against infringement under UCC
  - Cont'd
  - modifying indemnity obligations, **9:6**
  - opportunity to cure title, **9:7**
  - opting out, **9:5**
  - statute of limitations, **9:9**
  - timing of notice to indemnitor, **9:8, 9:9**
  - timing of suit between supplier and customer, **9:10**
- Insurance Coverage for Patent Infringement** (this index)
- Modifying indemnity obligations, **9:6**
- Opportunity to cure title, **9:7**
- Opting out, **9:5**
- Pre-litigation negotiations, communications between adverse parties, **7:23**
- Statute of limitations, **9:9**
- Supplier/customer relations
  - generally, **9:2**
  - indemnification by supplier/customer. Implied warranty against infringement under UCC, above
- Supplier participation in infringement litigation
  - generally, **9:11-9:13**
  - declaratory judgment jurisdiction, **9:13**
  - indemnitor control and appearance in infringement suit, **9:11**
  - priority of suits, **9:12**
- Third parties participating in defense, collateral estoppel issues, **9:22**
- Timing of notice to indemnitor, **9:8, 9:9**
- Timing of suit between supplier and customer, **9:10**

### THIRD PARTY ISSUES

#### —Cont'd

- UCC warranty against infringement. Implied warranty against infringement under UCC, above
  - Waiver, community of interest/joint defense agreements, **9:26**
- ### THREAT OF LITIGATION
- Pre-litigation context, **1:1**
- ### TIME
- Demand letters, time to send, **3:3**
  - Demand Letters** (this index), **3:8**
  - Implied warranty against infringement under UCC, **9:8-9:10**
  - Interference proceeding completion, **2:25**
  - Pendency of patent application, **2:6**
  - Pending ex parte reexamination proceeding, **2:20**
  - Pre-litigation reasonable royalty offer, **2:29**
  - Statute of Limitations** (this index)
  - Term of Patent Protection** (this index)
- ### TREBLE DAMAGES
- Basics of patent law, **2:28, 2:32**
- ### TYING ARRANGEMENTS
- Demand letters, avoiding patentee liability, **4:33**
  - Structuring the resolution, **8:25**
- ### UNDERWATER DEVICES INC.
- Duty to investigate and obtain advice of counsel, **6:2, 6:8**
- ### UNIFORM COMMERCIAL CODE
- Third Party Issues** (this index)

## PRE-LITIGATION PATENT ENFORCEMENT

### UNITED STATES COURT OF FEDERAL CLAIMS

Claim against U.S. government  
or government contractor,  
**2:16**

### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE (USPTO)

Attacking the patent, unenforce-  
ability due to inequitable  
conduct before USPTO,  
**2:40**

Basics of patent law, **2:19-2:22**

Board of Patent Appeals &  
Interferences, **2:25**

Counterattack by target, initiat-  
ing PTO proceedings, **3:24-  
3:29, 5:53-5:57**

Forum for enforcement of rights  
generally, **2:19**

derivation proceedings, **2:26**

interference proceedings, **2:25**

inter partes review, **2:23**

post-grant review, **2:22**

reexamination, **2:20**

reissue proceedings, **2:24**

supplemental examination  
proceedings, **2:21**

Interference, USPTO as forum  
for enforcement, **2:25**

Legal sources of patent rights,  
**2:3**

Maintenance fees, payment to,  
**2:12**

Pending USPTO proceeding,  
stay of district court action,  
**2:19**

**Reexamination Proceedings**  
(this index)

**Reissue Proceedings** (this  
index)

### UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT

Clarification of patent law, **2:50**

### VENUE AND JURISDICTION

**Declaratory Judgment Actions**  
(this index)

District courts, **3:11, 10:10**

Federal courts, generally, **2:16**

Federal government and federal  
contractors, patent claims  
against, **10:11**

**Personal Jurisdiction Over  
Patentee** (this index)

Pharmaceutical patents, pre-liti-  
gation investigations, **11:15**

State agencies, patent claims  
against, **10:12**

State court patent issues, **10:13**

Strategies to avoid declaratory  
judgment jurisdiction.

**Declaratory Judgment  
Actions** (this index)

### DEFINITION

All elements of claim, **4:32, 5:15**

### WAIVER

Attorney-client privilege.

**Freedom to Operate** (this  
index)

Third party issues, **9:26**

### WARRANTIES

Licensing, **8:13**

UCC warranty against infringe-  
ment. **Third Party Issues**  
(this index)

### WORK PRODUCT

Discovery, privilege, **10:26**

Insurance coverage for patent  
infringement, **9:21**

### WORST CASE SCENARIO FOR TARGET

**Demand Letters** (this index)