
Index

Note: Tables are indicated by **bold** page numbers, footnotes by suffix ‘n’ (e.g. ‘253n[56]’ means note 56 on page 253). Abbreviations: CI Arb = Chartered Institute of Arbitrators; CJC = Civil Justice Council; CPR = Civil Procedure Rules; IBA = International Bar Association; ICE = Institution of Civil Engineers; RICS = Royal Institution of Chartered Surveyors; TCC = Technology & Construction Court

- abbreviations listed 326
- Academy of Experts
 - Code of Practice 154n[35]
 - Expert’s Declaration 199, 229–30, 295
 - Guidance Note 52, 73–4, 185–6
 - Model Form of Declaration 217, 229–30
 - Rules for Expert Determination 89
- access to site or property 179, 248
- additional documentation 174–5
- adjudication 45–7
 - appointment of expert witness 139
 - assessors in 83
 - compared with litigation 46
 - role of expert witness 46, 91
- adversarial judicial system 135, 275, 285, 297
 - compared with concurrent evidence
 - approach 304–5
- advisor, expert as 5–6, 51–5
- advocate, expert witness as 6–7, 24, 25, 26, 40, 94
- advocates, abolition of immunity from suit 315
- Aird v Prime Meridian Ltd* [2006] 253n[56]
- alternative dispute resolution (ADR) 47–50, 83–94
- American Arbitration Association (AAA)
 - International Arbitration Rules 115
 - on disclosure of documents 167, 168
 - on party-appointed experts **344**
 - on tribunal-appointed experts 58, 59, 115, **331–3**
- American Federal Rule(s) of Evidence, on role of expert evidence 16
- Ampleforth Abbey Trust v Turner & Townsend Project Management Ltd* [2012] 6n[11], 12n[28], 15n[38], 21n[60]
- Anglo Group plc v Winther Brown & Co Ltd and BML (Office Computers) Ltd* [2000] 24, 29n[25]
- appointment of expert witness 150–1
 - CJC Protocol on 100
 - ending of appointment 161–4
 - interviews 146–50
 - pre-application issues 142–5
- appointment of single joint expert 70, 80
 - reasons for 62, 65
 - timing of 67–8
- arbitration 44–5
 - compared with expert determination 90
 - compared with litigation 44–5
 - expert assessors in 82–3, **330**
 - oral hearings in 297–8
 - and single joint experts 62, 79
 - and tribunal-appointed experts 57–60
 - and withdrawal of expert witness 164
 - see also* domestic arbitration; international arbitration

- Arbitration Act [1996] 57, 105–6
 on access to site or property 179
 on assessors 82
 on correction of award 309
 on disclosure of documents 166
 on role of arbitrators 297
 on translation of documents 179
 on tribunal-appointed experts 106, **326–30**
- arbitrator
 attendance at meetings of experts 269–70
 expert witness as 45
 questioning of expert witness by 297–8
- Armstrong and another v First York Ltd* [2005] 75
- Arthur JS Hall & Co. v Simons* [2000] 315n[14]
- assessor 81–3
 in adjudication 83
 in arbitration 82–3, **330**
 in England and Wales courts 81–2, 83, **330**
 function and role 81
- assistants, expert's 140, 208–12, 247–8
see also main entry: expert's assistants
- Association of Arbitrators (Southern African)
 Rules 83
- attendance of experts at court, CJC Protocol
 on 102, 279
- Austen v Oxford City Council* [2002] 78
- availability of expert witnesses 145, 159, 279–81
- Beck v Ministry of Defence* [2003] 163n[71], 273
 'black box syndrome' 194
- Bolam v Friern Hospital Management Committee*
 [1957] 20n[56]
- Boyle v Ford Motor Company Ltd* [1992] 280
- Britannia Zinc Ltd v Connect South West*
 [2003] 262–3
- BSkyB Ltd v HP Enterprise Services UK Ltd* [2010] 6n[11], 14n[36], 15n[38],
 29n[26], 206–8
- Cala Homes (South) Ltd and others v Alfred McAlpine Homes East Ltd* [1995] 189–90
- cancellation fee 162
- Carillion JM Ltd v Phi Group Ltd and Robert West Consulting* [2011] 8n[14], 181, 195
- Carlisle Place Investments Ltd v Wimpey Construction (UK) Ltd* [1980] 220
- Carlson v Townsend* [2001] 163n[71]
- Carnell Computer Technology Ltd v UniPart Group Ltd* [1988] 259
- Centre for Effective Dispute Resolution (CEDR), Model Expert Determination Agreement 88
- Chartered Institute of Arbitrators (CIArb)
 Arbitration Rules 107, 167, 291, **336**
 Expert's Declaration 228, 229
 Practice Guideline on tribunal-appointed experts 57, 109–10, **326–30**
- Protocol on party-appointed experts 57, 107–8, **340–4**
 on expert's testimony 108, 276, 298
 on meetings of experts 108, 243
 on written reports 108, 227, 228, 229
- City Axis Ltd v Daniel P Jackson* [1998] 299
- City Inn Ltd v Shepherd Construction Ltd* [2007] 9n[17], 11
- Civil Evidence Act [1968, 1972], on admissibility of expert evidence 15, 213
- Civil Justice Council (CJC) Protocol 99–102, 159
 on attendance of experts at court 102, 279, 292
 on availability of experts 279–80
 on disclosure of documents 166
 on duties of expert witness 100, 186, 187
 on experts' agreements 258, 263
 on expert's assistants 208–9
 on joint statements 252
 on meetings of experts 102, 236, 238, 244–5, 250, 251, 265
 on party-appointed experts **336–9**
 on single joint experts 52, 62, 63, 64, 71, 72, 79, 101–2, **334–5**
 on withdrawal of expert witness 163
 on written report 101, 191, 192, 193, 200, 204, 213, 214–15, 223, 226, 227, 232
- civil law jurisdictions 135
 compared with common law jurisdictions 135
 tribunal-appointed experts appointed in 57, 62, 112
- Civil Procedure Rules (CPR) 96–105
 on assessors 82, **330**
 on change of expert witness 272
 on disclosure of documents 166
 on duties of expert witness 13, 17, 97
 on experts' agreements 98, 262, 263
 on joint statements 252, 253

- on meetings of experts 98, 235–6, 241, 245, 250
- on oral hearings 278–9
- Part 35 [rules on expert evidence] 97–8
- on party-appointed experts **336–9**
- on provision of expert evidence 14, 15
- role of expert witness, in litigation 38
- on sampling of expert evidence 219
- on single joint experts 62, 63, 64, 66, 67, 70, 71, 72, 79, **334–5**
- on written report 98, 183, 186, 199–200, 222, 231
- see also* CPR Practice Direction 35
- clarity of expression [of expert witness] 8
- co-expert's report 176
- common law jurisdictions 135
 - compared with civil law jurisdictions 135
 - party-appointed experts appointed in 57, 62, 110, 112, 119
- Compania Sud Americana De Vapores SA v Sinochem Tianjin Import and Export Corp* [2009] 195–6
- concurrent evidence ['hot-tubbing'] approach 61, 105, 281, 299–306
 - advantages for tribunal 303–4, 306
 - criticisms of approach 304–5
 - factors affecting use of 301
 - piloted in UK 300
 - preparation for 305–6
 - process 302–3
 - TCC Guide on 301, 303
 - typical tribunal order for 345
- confidentiality
 - in arbitration 44, 118, 122
 - in expert determination 85
- confidentiality agreement/undertaking 58, 116, 146, 147, 273, **331**
- confidentiality clause [in letter of instruction] 170–1
- conflicting duties of expert witness 35
- conflicts of interest 30–3
 - checklist 32–3
- contingency fee arrangement 153
- contract, liability under 311, 312
 - immunity from 313, 315, 321
- Coopers Payen and another v Southampton Container Terminal Ltd and another* [2003] 75
- core bundle of documentation 176–7, 246
- Cosgrove & Another v Pattison & Another* [2000] 76–7
- cost estimates 152–3
- costs, apportionment between parties 308
- costs order(s)
 - against expert witness 31, 100, 314
 - for expert witness fees 153
- County Courts Act [1984], on assessors 81, 82, **330**
- courts, levels of 38
- CPR *see* Civil Procedure Rules
- CPR Practice Direction 35 [experts and assessors] 98–9
 - on assessors 82, **330**
 - on disclosure of documents 166
 - on expert's assistants 208
 - 'hot-tubbing' to be introduced as option 300
 - on joint statement 99, 256
 - on meetings of experts 99, 233, 239, 244, 266
 - on party-appointed experts **336–9**
 - on written report 99, 186, 187–8, 193–4, 199–200, 228, 231
- Criminal Procedure (Insanity and Fitness to Plead) Act [1991] 16n[41]
- criticism [of expert witness] by trial judge 28–9, 162, 190–1, 193, 194–5, 205, 210–12, 213–14, 215, 216–17, 218–19, 222, 242, 248–9, 257, 289, 297
- cross-examination 294–7
 - expert witness's evidence undermined during 295–6
 - purpose of 295
- curriculum vitae [of expert] 80, 143–5, 148, 149
 - and interview 146, 148–9
 - minimum information to be listed 144–5
- Daniels v Walker* [2000] 69, 71, 76, 77, 78, 80–1
- Darker & Others v Chief Constable of West Midlands Police* 313n[5], 318n[20]
- Dawkins v Lord Rokeby* [1873] 313
- De Gruchy Holdings Ltd v House of Fraser (Stores) Ltd* [2001] 74–5
- defamation, liability under 312–13
 - immunity from 313, 315, 321
- Degelder Construction Co. v Dancorp Developments Ltd* [1998] 205
- Denton Hall Legal Services v Fifield* [2006] 257

- Derby v Weldon* (no. 9) [1990] 24n[6]
 'directions requested' [from the court] 160–1
 disciplinary proceedings [by professional body] 314
 disclosure 39, 40
 disclosure of documents
 of additional documents 174–5
 in domestic arbitration 166–7
 by electronic means 174
 interim report/notes/etc. 20
 in international arbitration 167–9
 in litigation 39, 40, 166
 reasons for not providing documents 171–2
 discussions between experts *see* meetings of experts
 disinstruction of expert witness 162–3
 dispute forums
 meaning of term 37
 types 37
 see also adjudication; arbitration; expert determination; informal processes; litigation; mediation
 dispute resolution procedures
 adjudication 45–6
 arbitration 44–5
 expert determination 48–9, 83–91
 expert evaluation 91–2
 informal processes 49–50
 litigation 38–43
 mediation 47–8
 dispute resolution programme [for expert witness] 159
 documents
 common/core bundle 176–7, 246
 disclosure of 166–9, 174–5
 electronic versions 174, 204
 identifying and recording 172, 177
 provision of 158
 requested but not supplied 171–2, 180, 219
 translation of 179–80
 at trial or hearing 178
 domestic arbitration 105–12
 and disclosure of documents 166–7
 expert assessors in 82–3, **330**
 party-appointed experts in 44–5, 51, 57, 107–8, **336–9**
 single joint experts in **334–5**
 tribunal-appointed experts in 57, 106, 109–10, 112, **326–30**
Double G Communications Ltd v News Group International Ltd [2011] 8n[16], 9n[17], 213–14, 297
 Dubai International Arbitration Centre (DIAC)
 Rules 115–16
 on access to site or property 179
 on disclosure of documents 167, 168
 on party-appointed experts **340–4**
 on tribunal-appointed experts 58, 59, 62, 115–16, **331–3**
 duties and obligations of expert witness 16–19, 33–4, 51, 97, 100, 108, 124–5, 126–7, 127–8, 139, 185, 186–7, 277, 311, 312
 failings in 28–9
 international differences 131–3
 owed to those giving instructions 33–4
 duties and obligations owed to expert witness 34–5
Edwin John Stevens v R J Gullis [1999] 9n[18], 188, 236
 80/20 Rule 220
 electronic disclosure 174
 electronic versions of documents/reports 174, 204
 Engineering and Construction [pre-action] Protocol 43
 England and Wales courts 38
 assessors in 81–2, **330**
 procedural rules 96–105
 single joint experts in 63–5, **334–5**
 tribunal-appointed experts in 57, **326–30**
 see also Civil Procedure Rules (CPR)
EPI Environmental Technologies Inc. and another v Symphony Plastic Technologies plc and another [2005] 275, 276, 277
 evidence: *see* oral evidence; written report
 evidential hearing: *see* oral hearing
 evidential rules 120–3
 and instructions 159
 ex-parte proceedings 307–8
 examination-in-chief [in oral hearing] 293–4
 expert, definition 5
 expert advisor 5–6, 51–5
 role 52, 53, 81, 100
 expert assessors 81–3, **330**

- expert determination 48–9, 83–91
 - advantages/benefits 85, 87–8
 - as alternative dispute resolution approach 84
 - challenging 90–1
 - compared with arbitration 90
 - compared with mediation 84–5
 - disadvantages 86
 - institutional rules 88–9
- expert evidence
 - ‘hot-tubbing’ of 61, 105, 281, 299–306
 - information technology used in presentation of 292
 - meaning of term 4–5
 - permissibility 10–11
 - restrictions on 13–14, 16
 - subjectivity of 296
 - use of 19–21
 - Woolf on 17, 105
- expert facilitator/manager 269
 - involvement in meeting of experts 269, 270
- expert witness
 - as advisor 5–6, 53–5
 - as advocate 6–7, 24, 25, 26, 40, 94
 - appointment of 100, 150–1
 - as arbitrator 45
 - attack on basis on which opinions made 296
 - attack on credibility of 296
 - availability 145, 159, 279–81
 - change of 162–3, 271–3
 - change of opinion 189, 251, 256–7, 282
 - conflicting duties 35
 - criticisms by trial judge(s) 28–9, 162, 190–1, 193, 194–5, 205, 210–12, 213–14, 215, 216–17, 218–19, 222, 242, 248–9, 257, 289, 297
 - disinstruction of 162–3
 - duties and obligations 16–19, 33–4, 51, 97, 100, 108, 124–5, 126–7, 127–8, 139, 185, 186–7, 277, 311, 312
 - ending of appointment 161–4
 - expansion of role 5–7
 - failings in duties 28–9
 - forensic ability 12, 29
 - as ‘hired gun’ 6, 26, 55, 136, 272, 320
 - impartiality 26–8
 - ‘in purdah’ rule [oral hearing] 298–9
 - independence 27, 187–93
 - interview of 146–50
 - as ‘judge and jury’ 26
 - large number of experts [in one case] 195–6
 - liabilities 18
 - obligations and duties owed to 34–5
 - pre-appointment issues 142–5
 - predictability in approach 141
 - qualifications 144, 200, 204–8
 - qualities required when choosing 140–1
 - reluctance to testify [if immunity is removed] 319
 - role in adjudication 46, 94
 - role in mediation 48, 94
 - role in negotiations 49–50
 - role of 11–16
 - skills required for ‘good’ expert 7–10
 - withdrawal of 163–4
 - see also* party-appointed expert; single joint expert; tribunal-appointed expert
- expert witness and advisor 5–6, 53–5
 - advantages 54–5
- Expert Witness Institute (EWI), on single joint experts 63
- expert witness shopping’ 27n[21], 141, 162–3, 271
- experts’ agreements, binding effect of 98, 258–63
- expert’s assistants 140, 208–12
 - advantages of using 209, 248
 - attendance at meetings of experts 247–8
 - dangers of using 209
 - qualifications 209
- experts’ brief 174, 196, 223, 224–5, 243
- expert’s declaration 199, 228–30
 - Academy of Experts’ Model Form 229–30
- expert’s report
 - information sources to be listed 182
 - see also* written report
- expression of facts [of expert witness] 8
- Factortame v Secretary of State for Transport* [2002] 153
- fees and payment provisions 151–4
- flexibility [of expert witness] 9
- Folkes v Chadd* [1782] 4–5, 7–8, 10
- Foreign Judgments (Reciprocal Enforcement) Act [1993] 86
- forensic ability of expert witness 12
 - failings in/lack of 29
- forensic analysis of delays 194, 195

- 'full and frank evidence', effect of removal of immunity 319
- Fuller v Cyracuse Ltd* [2000] 84
- Graigola Merthyr Co Ltd v Swansea Corporation* [1928] 233, 239
- Great Hotel Company Ltd v John Laing Construction Ltd* [2005] 215, 276, 277
- H v Schering Chemicals* [1983] 213
- Hajigeorgiou v Vasiliou* [2005] 163n[70], 273
- Harrison and others v Shepherd Homes Ltd and others* [2011] 301
- hearings, role of expert witnesses at 42–3
- Hedley Bryne & Co. Ltd v Heller & Partners Ltd* [1963] 311n[2]
- 'hired gun', expert witness as 6, 26, 55, 136, 272, 320
- Hong Kong International Arbitration Centre (HKIAC) Rules 116–18
- on access to site or property 179
 - on disclosure of documents 167, 168
 - on party-appointed experts **340–4**
 - on tribunal-appointed experts 58, 59, 116–18, **331–3**
- 'hot-tubbing' [of expert evidence] 61, 105, 281, 299–306
- advantages for tribunal 303–4, 306
 - criticisms of approach 304–5
 - factors affecting use of 301
 - piloted in UK 300
 - preparation for 305–6
 - process 302–3
 - TCC Guide on 301, 303
 - typical tribunal order for 345
- Housing Grants Construction and Regeneration Act [1996] 45
- How Engineering Services Ltd v Lindner Ceilings Floors Partitions plc* [1999] 269–70
- Hubbard and others v Lambeth Southwark and Lewisham Health Authority and others* [2001] 266, 266–7, 271
- Ikarian Reefer* judgment 15, 23, 25
- on duties and obligations of expert witnesses 16–17, 23–4, 187
- immunity [from liability] for experts
- current position [post-2011!] 315
 - erosion of general position 314–15
 - historical background 313–14
 - reasons for providing 313, 319–20
- 'impartial employee' 27n[22]
- impartiality of expert witness 26–8
- independence of expert witness 27, 187–93
- informal processes of dispute resolution 49–50
- information
- assumptions to be made 181
 - insufficient 181–2
 - non-provision of 171–2, 180
 - non-sharing of documents 181
 - obtaining 165–82
- information sources 169–70
- additional documents 174–5
 - from other experts 175
 - listed in expert's report 182
- information technology, use in presentation of evidence 232
- Institution of Chemical Engineers (IChemE) Guide: Note and Rules for expert determination 87, 89
- Standard Conditions for Process Plants 88–9, 90
- institution of Civil Engineers (ICE)
- Arbitration Procedure/Rules 57, 110–11, 167, 179, 232, 297–8, **336–9**
 - Code of Professional Conduct 124–5
- instructions 154–61
- availability of expert 159
 - basic information in 157
 - constraint on expert witness's work 160
 - correspondence protocol 170
 - detail instructions compared with developing instructions **156**
 - dispute resolution programme 159
 - evidential or procedural rules 159
 - expertise requirements 157
 - experts' acceptance of 100
 - international cases 132–3
 - issues to be addressed 157–8
 - on provision of documents 158
 - single joint experts 70–2, 80–1, 98
 - work done beyond scope of 155, 222
- international application of professional standards 135–6
- international arbitration

- and disclosure of documents 167–9
- expert assessors in 83
- party-appointed experts in 113, 115, 118, 118–20, 121–2, **340–4**
- tribunal-appointed experts in 58–60, 113–20, 123, **331–3**
- International Bar Association (IBA) Rules 120–3, 159
 - on access to site or property 179
 - on concurrent evidence 299–300
 - on disclosure of documents 169, 172
 - on evidential hearings 278, 279, 288, 290, 290–1, 291–2
 - hearing(s) 123
 - on meetings of experts 241
 - on party-appointed experts 121–2, **340–4**
 - on translation of documents 180
 - on tribunal-appointed experts 58, 59, 60, 62, 123, **331–3**
 - on written report 121, 182, 183–4, 227, 228, 229
- international cases
 - cultural differences 132
 - different approaches 131–4
 - expectations of expert's support 131–3
 - location of hearing 134
 - and professional standards 132–3, 135–6
- International Chamber of Commerce (ICC) Rules
 - Arbitration Rules 58, 59, 118, 278, 285–6, **331–3**
 - Case Management techniques 118, 169, 286, **340–4**
 - Expertise Rules 92–4
- international issues 122–36
- interview of [prospective] expert witness 146–50
- investigations 29–30, 197
- Joint Contracts Tribunal/Construction Industry
 - Model Arbitration (JCT/CIMA) Rules 57, 82, 111–12, 166–7, 179, 277, 290, 297, **326–30, 336–9**
- joint inspection of evidence or carrying out tests 248, 249
- joint report 224, 254
- joint statement 10, 19n[54], 25, 31n[29], 242, 243, 246, 252–8
 - CIArb Protocol on 108
 - drafts 42, 254
 - post-hearing 308–9
 - producing of 252–8
 - TCC Guide on 104, 255, 256
- jointly appointed experts 62–81
 - effect of removal of immunity 321
 - oral evidence given by 306–7
 - see also* single joint experts
 - Jones v Kaney* [2011] 5, 18, 62n[23], 252n[53], 257n[60], 271n[84], 315, 316–21
 - analogous construction scenario 316–17
 - facts of case 316
 - issues for experts to consider 322
 - likely future developments 322–3
 - Supreme Court judgment 317–21
 - 'judge and jury', expert witness as 26
 - judgment, effect on expert witness's appointment 162
- knowledge [of expert witness] 8
- Layland and another v Fairview New Homes plc and another* [2002] 77–8
- 'leading' questions [at oral hearing] 288, 298
- letter of appointment 150
 - fees and payment provisions 151–4
 - terms and conditions 150–1
- letter of instruction 154–5, 165, 170
 - confidentiality clause 170–1
 - correspondence protocol 170
 - international cases 132–3
- liability
 - breach of duty and obligation that causes 311–12
 - of expert witness 18, 312–13
- Liddell v Middleton* [1996] 17n[43]
- lien on expert witness's report 154, 164
- litigation 38–43
 - compared with adjudication 46
 - compared with arbitration 44–5
 - disclosure of documents 39, 40, 166
 - hearings 42–3
 - outline 38–40
 - particulars of claim document 38, 40
 - particulars of defence document 38, 40
 - post-hearing closing statements 43
 - pre-action process 43
 - and tribunal-appointed experts 57
 - witness statements 39, 41

- London Court of International Arbitration (LCIA)
Rules 58, 59, 118–19, 168, 179, 297, **331–3**,
340–4
- London Maritime Arbitrators Association (LMAA)
Rules 58n[14], 119, 168, **331–3**, **340–4**
- London Underground Ltd v Kenchington Ford plc*
[1998] 218–19
- Lord Denning, prose style 201
- Lord Justice Goldring, on ‘hot-tubbing’ 300–1
- McClellan, Peter [New South Wales Justice] 300,
303, 304
- marketing of expert services 139–40
- Marlow (t/a The Crown Hotel) v Exile Productions
Ltd and another* [2003] 190, 218, 226
- Meadow v General Medical Council* [2006] 17,
314n[11]
- Medcalf v Mardell* [2002] 315n[15]
- mediation 47–8
compared with expert determination 84–5
role of expert witness 48, 94
- meetings of experts 42, 233–74
agenda for 243–9
first meeting 245–6
subsequent meetings 247–9
aims and purposes 237, 238–41
attendance of arbitrator 269–70
attendance of experts’ assistants 247–8
attendance of lawyers 264–6
CI Arb Protocol on 108, 243
CJC Protocol on 102, 236, 238, 244–5, 250,
251, 265
CPR on 98, 99, 233, 235–6, 239, 241, 244, 245,
250, 266
face-to-face meetings 234, 236
forms of communications 234
IBA rules on 241
involvement of tribunal expert/facilitator/
manager 266–9, 270
location of meetings 249
recording and reporting on 249–52
RICS Practice Statement on 233
TCC Guide on 104, 238, 240, 241–2, 265
timing of 237, 241–3
‘without prejudice’ basis 235, 249–50, 251,
259
Woolf on 235
- Miller v Jackson* [1977] 201
- money laundering 151–2
- MS v Lincolnshire County Council*
[2011] 154n[40], 163n[73]
- Murray Pipework Ltd v UIE Scotland Ltd*
[1988] 259–60
- National Justice Compania Naviera SA v
Prudential Assurance Company [Ikarian
Reefer]* 15n[39], 23n[3]
see also Ikarian Reefer judgment
- negotiation, dispute resolution using 49–50
- New York Convention [1958] 86
- non-payment of fees 154
- Northbuild Construction Pty v Discovery Beach
Project Pty* [2007] 84n[73]
- oral evidence 275–310
guidelines on giving 288–91
by jointly appointed experts 306–7
purpose of 277
by tribunal-appointed experts 306–7
- oral hearing
aims 276
in arbitration tribunals 297–8
CPR on 278–9
cross-examination 294–7
documents allowed to be used 284, 294
ex-parte proceedings 307–8
examination-in-chief 293–4
giving evidence at 288–91
IBA Rules on 278, 279, 288, 290, 290–1,
291–2
‘in purdah’ rule 298–9
international cases 115, 119, 133, 134, 291
language in which conducted 133, 291
modern technology used 291–3
post-hearing activities 308–10
preparation before 279–85
re-examination 298
RICS Guide Note on 284, 294
rules on whether required 277–9
split hearings 285–8
UNCITRAL Rules on 277, 291
- Owen Pell Ltd v Bindi (London) Ltd* [2008]
90–1
- Oxley v Penwarden* [2001] 65

- Palmer v Durnford Ford* [1992] 314n[8]
Pantelli Associates Ltd v Corporate City Development Number 2 Ltd [2010] 20n[58], 40n[15], 53n[5], 68, 102n[6]
 Pareto's Principle 220
 particulars-of-claim document [in litigation] 38, 40
 particulars-of-defence document [in litigation] 38, 40
 party-appointed experts
 domestic arbitration rules on 44–5, 51, 57, 106, 107–8, 110, 112, **336–9**
 expectations in international cases 131–3
 international arbitration rules on 113, 115, 118, 118–20, 121–2, **340–4**
 payment arrangements 151–2
Pearce v Ove Arup Partnership Ltd and others [2001] 190–1, 193, 218
Peet v Mid-Kent Healthcare NHS Trust [2001] 72n[45], 73, 74, 78
Penny and another v Digital Structure Ltd [2009] 292
Phillips v Symes [2004] 31n[30], 100, 314n[10]
 pleadings, read by expert witness 172–3, 196–7
Polivitte Ltd v Commercial Union Assurance Co PLC [1987] 24n[5]
 post-hearing activities 308–10
Pozzolanici Lytag Ltd v Bryan Hobson Associates [1998] 155n[46], 222
 Practice Direction *see* CPR Practice Direction
 Pre-Action Practice Direction 103, **334–5**, **336**
 Pre-Action Protocols 43, 102–3, **334–5**, **336**
 pre-appointment of expert witness 142–5
 predictability in approach [of expert witness] 141
 presentation of evidence, TCC Guide on 105
Pride Valley Foods Ltd v Hall & Partners [2000] 222
 procedural rules 96–105
 and instructions 159
 professional indemnity insurance, effect of removal of immunity 322
 professional negligence claims 20, 28, 40n[15], 102–3, 311
 Professional Negligence Pre-Action Protocol 43, 68, 102
 professional standards 124–8, 132–3
 international application of 135–6
 professionalism [of expert witness] 9
 proliferation of claims against expert, effect of removal of immunity 320
 ‘purdah’ rule [oral evidence] 298–9
 qualifications [of expert witness] 144, 200, 204–8
 lying about 206–8
 quantum expert witnesses
 agreement between 240–1
 interest/finance calculations 308
 and other experts’ evidence/reports 176n[37], 197, 243, 249
 timing of evidence 240, 281, 286
Quarmby Electrical Ltd v John Trant (t/a Trant Construction) [2005] 65, 79
 questions, written, to expert on contents of report 230–2
R v Benetton [1984] 10
R v Bunnies [1964] 12
R v Momodou & Limani [2005] 285
R v Oakley [1980] 11
R v Silverlock [1894] 12n[26]
R v Turner (Terence Stuart) [1975] 13n[30]
 re-examination [in evidential hearing] 298
 Redfern and Hunter, on concurrent evidence 304
 Redfern Schedule(s) 177–8
 see also Scott Schedule(s)
Regina v Balfour Beatty Civil Engineering Ltd and Geoconsult GES [1999] 210–11
 reports *see* written report(s)
 resilience [of expert witness] 9
 retainer letter (letter of appointment) 150–1
Richard Roberts Holdings Ltd v Douglas Smith Stimson Partnership [1989] 260
Ricky Edwards-Tubb v JD Wetherspoon plc [2011] 163n[71]
Roadrunner Properties Ltd v Dean [2003] 78
Robin Ellis Ltd v Malwright Ltd [1999] 236–7, 253n[56], 257, 258–9, 260, 261–2
 role of expert witness 11–16
 expansion of 5–7
Rollinson v Kimberley Clark [1999] 145n[14], 280
 Royal Institute of British Architects (RIBA), Code of Professional Conduct 125–6

- Royal Institution of Chartered Surveyors (RICS)
 Guide Note, on oral hearing 284, 294
 Practice Statement 69, 71n[42,43], 94, 126–8,
 164
 on duty to tribunal 126, 127, 277
 on meetings of experts 233
 on single joint experts 69, 71n[42,43]
 on written report 187, 204, 209, 213, 221,
 226, 227, 229
 Rules of Conduct for Members 128
- Sage v Feiven* [2002] 69
 sampling of items 219–21, 246
*Scheldebouw BV v St James Homes (Grosvenor
 Dock) Ltd* [2006] 27n[22]
 Scheme for Construction Contracts [1998] 45
 Scott Schedule(s) 253, 254, 306
see also Redfern Schedule(s)
Seabrook v British Transport Commission
 [1959] 41
 Sedley's 'Laws of Documents' 203–4
 Senior Courts Act [1981], on assessors 82, 330
 settlement [of claim], effect on expert witness's
 appointment 161–2
Simms v Birmingham Health Authority [2001] 67
*Simon Andrew Matthews v Tarmac Bricks and Tiles
 Ltd* [1999] 145n[15] + section 11.3
Singh v O'Shea and Co. Ltd [2009] 273
 single joint expert(s) 62–81
 advantages/benefits 65, 69
 agreeing on 69–70
 appointment of 70, 80
 and arbitration 66
 in arbitration 66
 challenging the expert's opinions 75–9
 CJC Protocol on 52, 62, 63, 64, 71, 72, 79,
 101–2, 334–5
 CPR on 62, 63, 64, 66, 67, 70, 71, 72, 79, 99
 in England and Wales courts 63–5, 334–5
 instructions given to 70–2, 80–1, 98
 liaising with the parties 72–4
 limitations and concerns about
 appointment 66–9
 meeting with party-appointed experts 267
 offer of settlement and 80
 reasons for appointment 62, 65
 TCC Guide on 68, 70, 71, 78–9, 104, 334–5
 timing of appointment 67–8
 weight of expert's opinions 74–5
 Woolf on 57, 67, 71, 76, 80–1
*Skanska Construction UK Ltd v Egger (Barony)
 Ltd* [2004] 8n[15], 194–5, 210
 skeleton report 197
 skills required of expert witness 7–10
Smith v Stephens 72
*SPE International Ltd v Professional Preparation
 Contractors (UK) Ltd and another*
 [2002] 8n[13], 205, 210
 Spigelman, James [Chief Justice of NSW], on
 meetings of experts 239, 267–8
 split hearings 285–8
Stallwood v David [2006] 272
Stanley v Rawlinson [2011] 6, 18, 19n[52], 29,
 30, 34n[35], 40n[15], 156n[48], 197n[44],
 216n[75]
Stanton v Callaghan [1998!] 239, 261, 314n[7], 317
 statement of truth 126, 144n[13], 199–200, 227–8
Stevens v Gullis [1999] 9n[18], 188, 236
 Stockholm Chamber of Commerce (SCC)
 Rules 119–20
 on disclosure of documents 168
 on party-appointed experts 340–4
 on tribunal-appointed experts 58, 59, 331–3
Strait Construction Ltd v Odar [2006] 205
Stringfellow v Blyth [2001] 251–2
 Supply of Goods and Services Act [1982] 311n[1]
- team player, expert witness as 9–10
 'technical advocate' 48n[40], 94
 Technology and Construction Court (TCC), cost
 estimate trial 153
 Technology and Construction Court (TCC)
 Guide 97, 103–5
 on access to site or property 248
 on concurrent evidence ['hot-tubbing'] 301, 303
 on expert's report 104, 192, 194
 on joint statement 104, 255, 256
 on meetings of experts 104, 238, 240,
 241–2, 265
 on party-appointed experts 336–9
 on presentation of expert evidence 105, 281,
 292, 294, 301
 on quality and reliability of expert evidence 103,
 296

- on single joint experts 68, 70, 71, 78–9, 104, 334–5
- terms of reference document 174, 224–5, 243
- Thorpe v Fellowes Solicitors LLP* [2011] 74, 280–1
- timetable of hearing 145
- Tomko v Tomko* [2007] 77
- Tomlin v Standard Telephones & Cables* [1969] 259n[64]
- tort, liability under 311, 312
 - immunity from 313, 315, 321
- translators 133, 134
- Trebor Bassett Holdings Ltd & Anor v ADT Fire and Security Plc* [2011] 41, 189, 194, 211–12, 216–17, 237–8, 242–3, 249, 289
- tribunal
 - meaning of term 3n[2]
 - role in arbitration hearing 45
- tribunal-appointed experts 56–62
 - concerns about their ability 57, 61–2
 - domestic arbitration rules on 57, 106, 109–10, 112, 326–30
 - international arbitration rules on 58–60, 113–20, 123, 331–3
 - involvement in meeting of experts 60–1, 267–9, 270
 - in litigation 57
 - oral evidence given by 306–7
 - reasons for appointment 56
- tribunal's permission [to appoint expert witness] 142
- Trustees of Ampleforth Abbey Trust v Turner & Townsend Project Management Ltd* [2012] 6n[11], 12n[28], 15n[38], 21n[60]
- understanding [of expert witness] 8
- United Nations Commission on International Trade Law (UNCITRAL)
 - Model Law 57, 106, 112–13, 167, 310
 - on party-appointed experts 113, 340–4
 - on tribunal-appointed experts 58, 59, 60, 113, 331–3
- Rules
 - on disclosure of documents 167, 168
 - on oral hearings 277, 291
 - on party-appointed experts 340–4
 - on tribunal-appointed experts 58, 59, 60, 114–15, 331–3
- Vasilioiu v Hajigeorgiou* [2005] 163n[70], 273
- vexatious claims from clients, effect of removal of immunity 319
- Vickrage v Badger* [2011] 191
- video conferencing 234, 236n[11], 291, 292
- Watson v McEwan* [1905] 314n[6]
- Waugh v British Railways Board* [1980] 41
- websites for further information 325–6
- withdrawal of expert witness 163–4
- 'witness conferencing' 123, 234, 236n[11]
- witness familiarisation 285
- witness statements [in litigation] 39, 41
- Woolf ['Access to Justice'] report 57n[12]
 - on expert assessors 81
 - on expert evidence 17, 105
 - on meetings of experts 235
 - on single joint experts 64, 67, 71, 81
- Woolley v Essex County Council* [2006] 79n[65]
- written report 19, 183–232
 - Academy of Experts' Guidance Note on 185–6
 - accuracy and completeness 217–19
 - amendment of 101, 192–3, 282–3
 - binding of 203
 - CIArb Protocol on 227, 228, 229
 - CJC Protocol on 101, 191, 192, 193, 200, 204, 213, 214–15, 223, 226, 227, 232, 283
 - conclusions section 227
 - CPR on 98, 99, 183, 186, 187–8, 193–4, 199–200, 222, 228, 231, 283
 - criteria for adoption by tribunal 185
 - declarations 199, 228–30
 - documents requested but not supplied 171–2, 180, 219
 - expert's qualifications listed 200, 204–8
 - facts and instructions relied upon 155, 214–17
 - font style and size used 200–1
 - IBA Rules on 121, 182, 183–4, 227, 228, 229
 - independent opinions in 187–93
 - information sources to be listed 182, 212–14
 - instructions received 155, 221–3
 - international cases 134
 - investigations 197
 - layout 201–2
 - length and complexity 8n[15], 194–6, 210
 - non-compliance with tribunal rules 188, 205

- written report (*cont'd*)
- numbering of appendices/exhibits/figures/
pages/tables 202
 - purpose of 184–5
 - qualification/uncertainty of expert's
opinions 218, 225–7
 - questions on contents 230–2
 - RICS Practice Statement on 187, 204, 209, 213,
221, 226, 227, 229
 - sampling of items to be examined 219–21
 - skeleton report 197
 - starting point for writing 196
 - statement of truth 126, 144n[13], 199–200,
227–8
 - structure 198–9
 - TCC Guide on 104, 192
 - types 184
 - writing 193–8
- Wu v Statewide Developments Pty Ltd* [2009] 73, 77
- Yorkshire Electricity Distribution plc v Telewest
Ltd* [2006] 84–5