Index

References are to sections and appendices

ABANDONMENT

Application, 4:26

ABSENCE OF COPYING

Freedom to operate, **6:33**

ABSENCE OF ENFORCEMENT

Prior to issuance of patent, 2:6

ACCUSED PRODUCT

Generally, 1:2

ACTUAL CONTROVERSY

Declaratory Judgment Actions (this index)

District court jurisdiction, 3:11-3:14

ADMINISTRATIVE PATENT JUDGE

Interference proceedings, 2:25

ADMISSIBILITY

Communications petween adverse parties, negotiation-related information, 7:28

ADVERTISING INJURY

Insurance coverage, CGL policy coverage, 9:18

ADVICE OF COUNSEL

America Invents Act (AIA), **6:6** Content, **6:17**

Current value of advice, 6:11

Delaying disclosure of opinion/ bifurcation of willfulness, 6:44

Disproving recklessness, **6:13** Duty to investigate, **6:3**

ADVICE OF COUNSEL

—Cont'd

Duty to obtain advice, **6:2** Effect of failure to disclose advice of counsel, **6:9**

Elimination of affirmative advice of counsel requirement, 6:10

Failure to disclose, **6:9**, **6:10**, **6:40**

Historical levelopment, 6:7 Informing business decisions, 0:12

Minimizing risk through opinions of counsel, **5:43**

Opinion letters, 6:15

Oral opinions, 6:14

Reliance, 6:18

Risk management practices, **6:13** Timing, **6:16**

Underwater Devices Inc. duty to obtain opinion, **6:2**, **6:8**

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

Basics of patent law, 2:37

"ALL-ELEMENTS" RULE

Demand letters, 4:32, 5:15

ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION

Arbitration (this index)

Licenses, 8:18

Local court rules, 10:15

Mediation (this index)

Pre-litigation negotiation strategies

generally, 7:31

ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION—Cont'd

Pre-litigation negotiation strategies—Cont'd arbitration, 7:35 discovery mediation, 7:34 expectations, 7:33 mediation, 7:32-7:34

Structuring the resolution, App 8B

APPEALS

Generally, 10:50

Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences, 2:25

Claim construction, appellate review, 10:34

Preliminary injunction, 10:23

Reversal rate of District Court appeals, 2:51

U.S. Supreme Court, clarification of patent law, 2:50

APPLICATIONS

Abbreviated New Drug Applications (ANDA) by generics, 11:6

Basics of patent law, application versus issued patent, 2:6

Demand Letters (this index)

ARBITRATION

Basics of patent law, 2:18
Discovery obligations reduced, 2:18
Forum for enforcement of rights, 2:18
Interference proceedings, 2:25
Licenses, 8:18
Local court rules, 10:15
Pre-litigation negotiations, 7:35
Structuring the resolution, App 8B

ASSIGNMENT OF PATENT RIGHTS

Generally, 2:45

ASSIGNMENT OF PATENT RIGHTS—Cont'd

Structuring the resolution, 8:2

ATTACKING THE PATENT

Generally, 2:37
Inequitable conduct before
USPTO, unenforceability
defense, 2:40
Invalidity defense, 2:39
Noninfringement defense, 2:38
Patent invalidity, 2:39
Patent misuse defense, 2:41

ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGE

Discovery, 10:26
Waiver of privilege. Freedom to
Operate (this index)

ATTORNEY'S FEES

Pasics of patent law, 2:33 Litigation and what to expect, 10:44

AVOIDANCE

Demand Letters (this index)

BACKGROUND

History or Development (this index)

BALANCE OF HARDSHIPS

Injunctions, 10:20

BASICS OF PATENT LAW

Generally, 2:1-2:52

Absence of enforcement prior to issuance of patent, **2:6**

Affirmative defenses, 2:37

Applications versus issued patents, **2:6**

Arbitration, 2:18

Assignment of Patent Rights (this index)

Attacking the Patent (this index)

Attorney's fees, 2:33, 10:44

Index-2

BASICS OF PATENT LAW —Cont'd	BASICS OF PATENT LAW —Cont'd
Changing scope of patent claim	Forums for enforcement of rights
generally, 2:9	—Cont'd
continuation applications,	United States Patent and
2:10	Trademark Office
reexamination proceedings,	(USPTO) (this index)
2:11	Four-part test for infringement,
reissue proceedings, 2:11	2:34
supplemental examination	Georgia Pacific factors, 2:28
proceedings, 2:11	Great expense to litigate, 2:52
Continuation applications, 2:10	Increased damages, 2:32
Continuation-in-part applica-	Inequitable conduct before
tions, 2:10	USPTO, attacking the
Costs of actions, 2:31	patent, 2:40
Damage Recovery (this index)	Infringement (this index)
Defenses. Attacking the Patent	Injunctions, 2.34
(this index)	Interest, 2:31
Derivation proceedings, 2:26	Interference Proceedings (this
Design patents, 2:7	index)
Difficulty predicting outcome,	Inter partes review, 2:23
2:51	invalidity defenses, 2:39
Divisibility of Rights (this	Inventor's rights, 2:43
index)	Issued patents versus patent
Divisional applications, 2:10	applications, 2:6
Essential elements of patent, 2:8	Joint ownership, 2:44
Exclusive licensing agreements, 2:46	Laches, limitation on damage
	recovery due to, 2:14
Expenses, 2:52	Language of claim, invalidity
Fast-changing law, 2:50	defense, 2:39
Federal Courts (this index)	Legal sources of rights
Federal law as source of rights,	generally, 2:1-2:5
2:2	Federal court cases, 2:4
Federal preemption of state law, 2:5	Federal law, 2:2
Form of rights, generally, 2:6-	Federal preemption of state
2:14	law, 2:5
Forums for enforcement of rights	United States Patent and
generally, 2:15	Trademark Office, 2:3
Alternative Dispute Resolu-	Licensing patent rights, 2:46
tion (this index)	Limitation on damage recovery
Arbitration (this index)	due to laches, 2:14
Federal Courts (this index)	Limitations on monetary
United States International	recovery due to patent
Trade Commission, 2:17	marking and notice of

BASICS OF PATENT LAW —Cont'd	BASICS OF PATENT LAW —Cont'd
infringement requirements, 2:35	Reexamination Proceedings (this index)
Lost profits, 2:30	Reissue Proceedings (this
Maintenance fees, 2:12	index)
Market value rule, 2:28	Remedies
Marking and notice require-	generally, 2:27-2:52
ments, 2:35, 10:46	Attacking the Patent (this
Misuse	index)
cure by discontinuing offend-	attorney's fees, 2:33, 10:44
ing conduct, 2:41	costs and interest, 2:31
patent unenforceability, 2:41	Divisibility of Rights (this
structuring the resolution,	index)
potential pitfalls, 8:26	increased damages, 2:32
Nonexclusive licensing agree-	injunctions, 2:31
ments, 2:46	limitations on monetary
Noninfringement, attacking the	recovery due to patent
patent, 2:38	nyarking and notice of
Notice of infringement require-	infringement require-
ments, 2:35 Panduit test, "but for" causality	ments, 2:35
for lost profits, 2:30	lost profits, 2:30
Patent applications versus issued	Panduit test, lost profits, 2:30
patents, 2:6	postjudgment interest, 2:31
Patent claims define scope of	prejudgment interest, 2:31
protection, 2:8	provisional rights, 2:36
Patent invalidity, 2:39	Reasonable Royalties (this
Patent term, 2:12	index)
Patent unenforceability, 2:40,	statistical overview of cases
2:41	settled vs. litigated to
Personal property, 2:42	final judgment, 2:48
Plant patents, 2:7	unique issues in patent law, 2:49-2:52
Post-grant review, 2:22	Reversal rate in Federal Circuit,
Postjudgment interest, 2:31	2:51
Prejudgment interest, 2:31	Rights of inventors, 2:43
Prior art, invalidity defense, 2:39	Scope of protection defined by
Protection, scope of protection	patent claims, 2:8
defined by patent claims,	Section 112 defenses, invalidity
2:8	defenses, 2:39
Provisional rights, 2:36	Standing to sue for infringement
Punitive damages award, 2:27,	2:47
2:32	Statistical overview of cases
Reasonable Royalties (this	settled vs. litigated to final
index)	judgment, 2:48

INDEX

BASICS OF PATENT LAW —Cont'd

Statute of limitations on damage recovery, **2:13**

Supplemental examination proceedings, 2:11, 2:21

Term of patent, 2:12

Treble damages, 2:27, 2:32

Types of patents: utility, design, and plant patents, **2:7**

Unenforceability

inequitable conduct before USPTO, **2:40**

patent misuse, 2:41

United States Court of Federal Claims, 2:16

United States International Trade Commission, 2:17

United States Patent and Trademark Office, 2:3

U.S. Supreme Court, 2:50

Utility patents, 2:7

Willful infringement, increased damages, 2:27

BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Generally, 2:25

BULK LICENSING

Structuring the resolution, 8:25

"BUNDLING"

ARRANGEMENTS

Structuring the resolution, 8:25

CEASE AND DESIST LETTER

Generally, 1:2

CHAIN OF TITLE

Due diligence, 4:16

Likelihood of success of infringement allegations, 5:25

Third party issues, opportunity to cure title, **9:7**

CHALLENGES BY PATENT OWNER

Declaratory judgment actions, 3:16

CLAIM CHART

Declaratory judgment actions, 3:14

Infringement analysis, 4:31 Likelihood of success of infringement allegations, 5:24

CLAIMS

Demand letters, "all-elements" rule, **4:32**, **5:15**Essential elements of patent, **2:8**Scope of protection, **2:8**

COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL

Participation by third parties in derense, 9:22

COMMON INTEREST OR JOINT DEFENSE AGREEMENTS

Demand letters, 5:21

Sample common interest agreement, **App 5A**

Third Party Issues (this index)

CONFIDENTIALITY AGREEMENTS

Declaratory judgment actions, 3:18

CONSULTANTS

Demand letters, sample letter agreement, **App 5B**Patent searches, freedom to oper-

ate, **6:20**

CONTINUATION APPLICATIONS

Basics of patent law, 2:10

CONTINUATION-IN-PART APPLICATIONS

Basics of patent law, 2:10

MedImmune standard, actual

3:12-3:14

controversy requirement,

DAMAGE RECOVERY COSTS OF ACTION —Cont'd Generally, 10:5 Treble damages, 2:27, 2:32 Basics of patent law, 2:31 Willful infringement, increased Remedies, costs and interest, 10:42 damages, 2:27 COUNTERATTACK BY DAMAGES EXPERTS **TARGET** Generally, 10:29 **Demand Letters** (this index) **DECLARATORY JUDGMENT COVENANTS NOT TO SUE ACTIONS** Declaratory judgment actions, Generally, 3:11-3:16 3:19, 8:5 Actual controversy requirement Structuring the resolution, 3:19, MedImmune standard, 3:13 8:5, App 8A post-MedImmune standard for **CREDIBILITY OF WITNESSES** Court's jurisdiction, 3:14 Freedom to operate, 6:38 pre-MedImmune standard for Court's jurisdiction, 3:12 **CROSS-LICENSING** SanDisk decision, 3:14 Structuring the resolution, 8:32 Avoidence of jurisdiction. Safe **CURING DEFECTS** navens, below **Demand Letters** (this index) Challenges by patent owner, 3:16 **DAMAGE RECOVERY** Confidentiality agreements, 3:18 Demand letters, notice of Covenants not to sue, 3:19, 8:5 infringement for damages under issued patent, 3:4 Demand letters Enhanced damages, 6:1, 10:43 benefit of target's choice of Equitable relief, 10:38 forum in venue dispute, Increased damages, 2:32 5:48 Laches, 2:14 counterattack by target, 5:46-Limitation 5:48 laches, 2:14 personal jurisdiction over patentee, 5:47 marking and notice of infringement requirestrategies of target, generally, ments, 2:35 5:46 statute of limitation, 2:13 Discretion to exercise jurisdic-**Lost Profits** (this index) tion, 3:15 Marking and notice require-Generic drug companies, prements, 2:35 litigation investigations, Minimum monetary award, 2:27 11:11 Panduit test, lost profits, 2:30 Jurisdictional basis, generally, Punitive damages, 2:27, 2:32 3:11-3:14 Reasonable Royalties (this

index)

Statute of limitation, 2:13

DECLARATORY JUDGMENT DEMAND LETTERS—Cont'd "All-elements" rule, doctrine of ACTIONS—Cont'd Motivations for patent owner equivalents, 5:15 challenge, 3:16 Antitrust concerns due to assert-Prospective license negotiations, ing unenforceable patents, 3:21 4:34 "Reasonable apprehension of Applications imminent suit" vs. actual abandonment, 4:26 controversy, 3:13 filing additional applications, Safe havens 4:22 generally, 3:17 other relevant patents or confidentiality agreements, applications held by patentee, 5:17 covenants not to sue, 3:19, 8:5 reissue applications to change prospective license negotiaclaim scope, 4:29 tions, 3:21 revival of application, 4:26, standstill agreements, 3:20 5:18 SanDisk decision, 3:14 Assertion or rights, counterattack Standstill agreements, 3:20 by target, 3:22-3:29 Third party issues, supplier Assessing applicability of § 112 participation in infringepara 6 or "means plus funcment litigation, 9:13 tion" clauses, 5:11 Availability and cooperation of **DEFENSES** inventors, 4:18 Attacking the Patent (this Avoidance index) declaratory judgment jurisdic-Counterattack by target, develoption. Declaratory Judging substantive defences ment Actions (this which place patent at risk, index) investigation obligation, Demand letters, checklist of avoidance of willful potential actenses, 5:22 infringement, 3:5 **DEMAND** patentee liability. Due dili-Generally, 1:2 gence, below Cease and desist letter, 1:2 **DEMAND LETTERS** Chain of title, 5:25 Generally, 3:1-3:30 Changes to accused product Abandonment of patent applicadesign or manufacture, 5:35 tion, 4:26 Checklist of potential defenses, Actual controversy requirement. 5:22 **Declaratory Judgment** Claim chart information from **Actions** (this index) patentee, 5:24 Additional patent applications, Clear chain of title, 4:16 due diligence, 4:22 Aggressive demand letter, App Commercial relationships with target, 4:5

DEMAND LETTERS—Cont'd Common interest or joint defense agreements, 5:21, App 5A Competitive status, **4:2-4:4** Consultant letter agreement, sample, App 5B Cooperation of inventors, 4:18 Cooperation with other targets under common interest or joint defense agreements, 5:21 Coowners of patent, obtaining rights from, 5:42 Cordial or "soft" demand letter, App 3A Correcting typographical errors, 4:28 Correction of inventorship, 4:19 Counterattack by target generally, 3:10, 5:45 acquiring patents to assert against patentee, 5:51 assertion of rights, 3:22-3:29 **Declaratory Judgment** Actions (this index) derivation proceedings, 3:23, 5:55 developing substantive defenses which place patent at risk, 5:49, 5:50 identifying patents to assert against patentee, 5:51 initiating USPTO proceedings, 3:24-3:29, 5:53-5:57 interference proceedings, 3:27, 5:55 other claims against patentee, assertion by target, 3:23 other potential counterclaims, 5:52 prior art searching, 5:50 protests and public use proceedings, 3:29 reexamination requests, 3:25

review proceedings, 3:26

DEMAND LETTERS—Cont'd Counterattack by target—Cont'd selecting and prioritizing among targets, 4:9 strategies, generally, 5:45-5:57 triggering, potential for, 3:10-3:29 USPTO proceedings, initiation, 3:24-3:29, 5:53-5:57 Criteria for selecting and prioritizing among targets, 4:1 Curing defects abandonment of patent applications, 4:26 correcting typographical errors, 4:28 disclaimer, 4:27 late maintenance fees, 4:24 micro entity status claim incorrect, 4:25 mistaken claim to small or micro entity status, 4:25 reissue applications to change claim scope, 4:29 revival of patent applications, small entity status claim incorrect, 4:25 unpaid maintenance fees, 4:24 Customer obligations, shifting exposure to third parties, 5:38 Data gathering, worst case scenario for target, 5:27 **Declaratory Judgment Actions** (this index) Derivation proceedings, 3:28, 5:55 Developing substantive defenses which place patent at risk,

Disclaimer, curing defects, 4:27

DEMAND LETTERS—Cont'd Disclosing allegations of infringement to investors, 3:8 "Disclosure-dedication" rule, doctrine of equivalents, 5:14 Discounted probability analysis, worst case scenario for target, 5:33 Discouraging patentee from pursuing target, 5:44 Doctrine of equivalents "all-elements" rule, 5:15	DEMAND LETTERS—Cont'd Due diligence—Cont'd curing defects, above filing additional patent applications, 4:22 first sale/public use, 4:20 implied licensing issues, 4:30 infringement analysis, 4:31 inventors, 4:18, 4:19 licensee relationships, 4:17 patent term, 4:23 prior art searching, 4:21 Rule 11 sanctions, avoiding
"disclosure-dedication" rule,	patentee liability, 4:32
5:14 limiting, generally, 5:12-5:16	timing, 4:20-4:23 tying arrangements, avoiding
prior art limits, 5:16	patentee liability, 4:33
"prosecution history estop-	unfair competition for bad
pel," 5:13	faith infringement claims,
target's perspective, limiting doctrine, 5:12-5:16	avoiding patentee liability, 4:35
test for infringement under doctrine of equivalents, 5:12	Evaluation of merits and risks of patentee's demand. Target's perspective, below
Due diligence	Expiration of patent, 5:18
generally, 4:15-4:17	Exporting, infringement by, 5:5
antitrust concerns due to asserting unenforceable	Filing additional patent applications, 4:22
patents, avoiding patentee liability, 4:34	First sale/public use, 4:20
availability and cooperation of inventors, 4:18	General information from patentee, 5:23
avoiding patentee liability antitrust concerns due to asserting unenforce-	Impact on target's business, worst case scenario for target, 5:34
able patents, 4:34	Implied licensing issues, 4:30
Rule 11 sanctions, 4:32	Importing, infringement by, 5:6
tying arrangements, 4:33 unfair competition for bad	Indemnification from suppliers, 5:37
faith infringement claims, 4:35	Information from patentee, 5:23-5:26
clear chain of title, 4:16 cooperation of inventors, 4:18	Infringement analysis by patent owner, 4:31
correction of inventorship, 4:19	Infringement analysis by target, 5:8-5:11

DEMAND LETTERS—Cont'd **DEMAND LETTERS—Cont'd** Initial public offerings, disclos-Likelihood of success of ing allegations of infringeinfringement allegations ment to investors, 3:8 —Cont'd Initiation of litigation prior to plus function" clauses, sending letter, 3:3 5:11 Initiation of USPTO proceedchain of title, 5:25 ings. Counterattack by checklist of potential defenses, target, above 5:22 Insurer obligations, 5:39 claim chart information from Interference proceedings, 3:27 patentee, 5:24 Inter partes review, 5:54 common interest or joint Inventors, due diligence, 4:18, defense agreements, 5:21 construction of claims 4:19 objectively, 5.9 Investigation obligation cooperation with other targets avoiding willful infringement, under common interest or joint desense agreements, disclosing allegations of 5:21 infringement to investors, defenses, checklist of 3:8 potential, 5:22 post-Seagate willful infringeexpiration of patent, 5:18 ment issues, 3:7 general information from patpre-Seagate willful infringeentee, 5:23 ment issues, 3:6 information from patentee, publicly traded companies, 3:9 5:23-5:26 Joint defense agreements, 5.21 infringement analysis by Language, 3:4 target, 5:8-5:11 Late maintenance fees curing joint defense agreements, 5:21 defects, 4:24 licenses, 5:25 Length of time on market, 4:11 likely scope of patent, 5:10 limiting doctrine of Licensing equivalents. Doctrine of due diligence, 4:17, 4:30 equivalents, above implied licensing issues, 4:30 maintenance fees, 5:18 licensee relationships, 4:17 "means plus function" likelihood of success of clauses, applicability, infringement allegations, 5:11 5:25 objective construction of patent owner's perspective, claims, 5:9 implied licensing issues, other enforcement efforts by 4:30 patentee, 5:20 Likelihood of success of other information from pateninfringement allegations tee, 5:26 generally, 5:2 other relevant patents or assessing applicability of applications held by pat-§ 112 para 6 or "means entee, 5:17

DEMAND LETTERS—Cont'd DEMAND LETTERS—Cont'd Mistaken claim to small or micro Likelihood of success of infringement allegations entity status, 4:25 —Cont'd Notice of infringement for dampatent term, 5:18 ages under issued patent, 3:4 potential damage exposure. Worst case scenario for Number of targets to approach target, below simultaneously, 4:12 revival of patent, 5:18 Objective construction of claims, § 112 para 6 clauses, applicability, 5:11 Obtaining rights in patent from third parties, **5:40-5:42** standing of patentee to assert patent, **5:19** Offshore assembly, 5:5 strategies of target, below Other claims against patentee, assertion by target, 3:23 worst case scenario for target, below Other enforcement efforts by patentee, 5:20 Likely scope of patent, **5:10** Other information from patentee, Limitation by doctrine of equivalents, 5:12-5:16 5:26 Limitations of claim. Likelihood Other possible patentee of success of infringement monetary recoveries, 5:32 allegations, above Other relevant patents or applica-Litigiousness, selecting and tions held by patentee, 5:17 prioritizing among targets. Overall IP positioning, selecting 4:6 and prioritizing among Lost profits exposure estimatargets, **4:10** tions, worst case scenario, Patent exhaustion, 5:41 5:28 Patent owner's perspective Maintenance fees generally, **4:1-4:35** curing unpaid tees, 4:24 avoiding patentee liability. likelihood of success of Due diligence, above infringement allegations, implied licensing issues, 4:30 5:18 licensing, implied issues, 4:30 Manufacture overseas by process selecting and prioritizing patented in U.S., 5:6 among targets, below Market segment, selecting and Patent term prioritizing among targets, due diligence, **4:23** 4:7 likelihood of success of "Means plus function" clauses, infringement allegations, applicability, 5:11 5:18 Micro entity status claim incor-Personal jurisdiction over patenrect, 4:25 tee Minimizing risk of exposure counterattack by target, 5:47 through opinions of counsel, 5:43 effect of demand letter, 3:30

DEMAND LETTERS—Cont'd

- Position in chain of distribution, 4:8
- Post-Seagate willful infringement issues, 3:7
- Potential damage exposure. Worst case scenario for target, below
- Potential for triggering counterattack. Counterattack by target, above
- Pre-Seagate willful infringement issues, **3:6**
- Prior art
 - counterattack by target, 5:50 doctrine of equivalents, 5:16 due diligence, 4:21
- "Prosecution history estoppel," 5:13
- Protests and public use proceedings, **3:29**
- Publicly traded companies, **3:9**Raising investment obligation.

 Investment obligation
- Investment obligation, above
 Reasonable royalty exposure
- estimations, **5:29-5:31**Reducing exposure to patentee,
- 5:35-5:43
 Reexamination proceedings,
- 3:25, 5:54
- Reissue applications to change claim scope, **4:29**
- Resolution with one target in enforcement efforts against other targets, **4:4**
- Resources of target, selecting and prioritizing among targets, **4:3**
- Response strategies by target, generally, **5:1**
- Review proceedings, counterattack by target, **3:26**
- Revival of patent, **4:26, 5:18**Rights of target. Counterattack by target, above

DEMAND LETTERS—Cont'd

- Rule 11 sanctions, 4:32
- Sample "aggressive" demand letter, **App 3B**
- Sample common interest agreement, **App 5A**
- Sample consultant letter agreement, **App 5B**
- Sample demand letter for settlement purposes, **App 3C**
- Sample "soft" demand letter,
 App 3A
- Scope of claim, reissue applications to change, **4:29**
- § 112 para 6 clauses applicability, **5:11**
- Selecting and prioritizing among targets
 - commercial relationships with target, 4:5
 - competitive status, 4:2-4:4
- criteria, 4:1
- length of time on market, 4:11
- litigiousness, 4:6
- market segment, 4:7
- number of targets to approach simultaneously, **4:12**
- overall IP positioning, 4:10
- position in chain of distribution, 4:8
- potential counterattacks by target, **4:9**
- resolution with one target in enforcement efforts against other targets, **4:4**
- resources of target, 4:3
- strength of infringement case, 4:14
- value of patent to patentee, 4:13
- Setting tone for negotiations or litigation, **3:2**
- Shifting exposure to third parties, **5:36-5:39**

DEMAND LETTERS—Cont'd	DEMAND LETTERS—Cont'd
Small entity status claim incor-	Strategies of target—Cont'd
rect, 4:25	counterattack—Cont'd
Standing of patentee to assert	other potential
patent, 5:19	counterclaims, 5:52
Strategies of target generally, 5:35-5:53	post-grant review proceed- ings, 5:56
changes to accused product	prior art searching, 5:50
design or manufacture,	customer obligations, shifting
5:35 coowners of patent, obtaining	exposure to third parties, 5:38
rights from, 5:42	derivation proceedings, 5:55
counterattack	discouraging patentee from
generally, 5:45-5:57	pursuing target, 5:44
acquiring patents to assert	indemnification from suppli-
against patentee, 5:51	ers, 5:37
Declaratory Judgment	initiating USPTO proceedings
Actions (this index)	as counterattack
derivation proceedings,	generally, 5:53-5:57
5:55	derivation proceedings,
developing substantive	5:55
defenses which place	interference proceedings,
patent at risk, 5:49,	5:55
5:50	inter partes review, 5:54
identifying patents to assert	post-grant review proceed-
against patentee, 5:51	ings, 5:56
initiating USPTO proceed- ings	protests, 5:57
generally, 5:53-3:57	public use proceedings,
derivation proceedings,	5:57
5:55 interference proceedings,	reexamination proceedings, 5:54
5:55	third-party submissions, 5:57
inter partes review, 5:54	insurer obligations, 5:39
post-grant review proceedings, 5:56	inter partes review, 5:54
protests, 5:57	minimizing risk of exposure
public use proceedings,	through opinions of
5:57	counsel, 5:43
reexamination proceed-	obtaining rights in the patent
ings, 5:54	from third parties, 5:40-
third-party submissions,	5:42
5:57	patent exhaustion, 5:41
interference proceedings, 5:55	post-grant review proceedings, 5:56
inter partes review, 5:54	protests, 5:57

DEMAND LETTERS—Cont'd DEMAND LETTERS—Cont'd Target's perspective—Cont'd Strategies of target—Cont'd infringement by meeting all public use proceedings, 5:57 claim limitations. Likelireducing exposure to patentee, hood of success of 5:35-5:43 infringement allegations, reexamination proceedings, above 5:54 shifting exposure to third parlikelihood of success of infringement allegations, ties, 5:36-5:39 above third parties limiting doctrine of obtaining rights from, 5:40equivalents, above potential response strategies, potential counterclaims, generally, 5:1 5:57 response strategies, generally, shifting exposure to, 5:36-5:1 5:39 sample common interest Strength of infringement case, selecting and prioritizing agreement, App 5A sample consultant letter agreeamong targets, 4:14 Target's perspective ment, App 5B generally, 5:1-5:57 strategies of target, above common interest agreements, territorial restrictions on scope 5:21, App 5A of U.S. patent, below evaluation of merits and risks Temporary presence in United of patentee's demand States, **5:7** generally, 5:2, 5:3 Territorial restrictions on scope counterattack, above of U.S. patent damage exposure, 5:27generally, 5:4 5:34 infringement by exporting, 5:5 discouraging patentee from infringement by importing, pursuing target, 5:44 5:6 exemptions from infringemanufacture overseas by pron.ent, 5:3 cess patented in U.S., 5:6 information by patentee, offshore assembly, 5:5 5:23-5:26 target's evaluation of merits infringement analysis by and risks of patentee's target, **5:8-5:11** demand, 5:4-5:7 infringement by meeting all temporary presence in United claim limitations, 5:8-States, **5:7** 5:16 Test for infringement under docpremature demands by pattrine of equivalents, 5:12 entee, **5:3** Third parties strategies, generally, 5:35obtaining rights from, 5:40-5:43 5:42 territorial restrictions on shifting exposure to, 5:36scope of U.S. patent, below 5:39

DEMAND LETTERS—Cont'd Timing due diligence, above first sale/public use, 4:20 Triggering counterattack. Counterattack, above 25% rule of thumb, estimating reasonable royalty exposure, 5:31 Tying arrangements, 4:33 Typographical errors, correcting, 4:28 Unfair competition for bad faith infringement claims, 4:35 Unpaid maintenance fees, 4:24 USPTO proceedings initiated by target. Counterattack by target, above Value of patent to patentee, 4:13 Willful infringement issues post-Seagate, 3:7 Willful infringement issues pre-Seagate, 3:6 Worst case scenario for target generally, 5:27-5:34 data gathering, 5:27 discounted probability analysis, **5:33** Georgia Pacific factors, estimating reasonable royalty exposure, 5:30 impact on target's business, 5:34 lost profits exposure estimations, 5:28 other possible patentee monetary recoveries, 5:32 reasonable royalty exposure estimations, 5:29-5:31 25% rule of thumb, estimating

reasonable royalty

exposure, 5:31

DERIVATION PROCEEDINGS

Demand letters, counterattack,

3:28, 5:55

DERIVATION PROCEEDINGS —Cont'd

United States Patent and Trademark Office as forum for enforcement, 2:26

DESIGN PATENTS

Basics of patent law, 2:7

DISCLAIMERS

Demand letters, curing defects, 4:27 Scope of book, 1:3

Shortening patent term, 2:12

DISCLOSURE

Adverse inference, failure to disclose advice of counsel, 6:9, 6:10, 5:40

Advice of counsel, failure to disclose, **6:9**, **6:10**, **6:40**

Delaying disclosure of opinion/ bifurcation of willfulness, 6:44

Demand letters, disclosing allegations of infringement to investors, **3:8**

Doctrine of equivalents, "disclosure-dedication" rule, 5:14

Expert disclosure, 10:27
Initial public offerings, disclosing allegations of infringement to investors, 3:8

Investigation obligation, disclosing allegations of infringement to investors, **3:8**

Publicly traded companies, disclosure of potential liability for patent infringement, 3:9

DISCOVERY

Generally, **10:24**Arbitration, reduced discovery obligations, **2:18**Attorney-client privilege, **10:26**Expert discovery, **10:27**

DISCOVERY—Cont'd

Fact discovery, generally, **10:24- 10:26**

Protective orders, 10:25

Work product privilege, 10:26

DISCRETION

Declaratory judgment jurisdiction, **3:15**

DISTRIBUTION CHAIN

Demand letters, 4:8

DISTRICT COURTS

Actual controversy, **3:11-3:14** Jurisdiction, **3:11**

Pending USPTO proceeding, stay of district court action, 2:19

Reversal rate of District Court appeals, 2:51

DIVISIBILITY OF RIGHTS

Generally, 2:42

Assignment of Patent Rights (this index)

Inventor's rights, 2:43

Joint ownership, 2:44

Licensing patent rights, 2:40

Licensing (this index)

Rights of inventors, 2:43

Standing to sue for infringement, 2:47

DIVISIONAL APPLICATIONS

Basics of patent law, 2:10

DOCTRINE OF EOUIVALENTS

"All-elements" rule, 5:15

"Disclosure-dedication" rule, 5:14

Limiting, generally, 5:12-5:16

Prior art limits, 5:16

"Prosecution history estoppel," 5:13

Target's perspective, limiting doctrine, **5:12-5:16**

DOCTRINE OF

EQUIVALENTS—Cont'd

Test for infringement under doctrine of equivalents, **5:12**

DRUG COMPANIES

Pharmaceutical Patents, Pre-Litigation Investigations (this index)

DUE DILIGENCE

Demand Letters (this index)

eBAY v. MERCEXCHANGE

Injunctions, 2:34

EMPLOYEE INVENTORS

Patent rights, 2:43

ESSENTIAL ELEMENTS OF PATENT

Basics of patent law, 2:8

ESTOPPEL

Collateral estoppel, participation by third parties in defense, 9:22

Doctrine of equivalents, "prosecution history estoppel," 5:13

EXCLUSIVE LICENSING AGREEMENTS

Basics of patent law, 2:46

EXHAUSTION

Demand letters

patent owner's perspective, 4:30

target's strategy, 5:41

Structuring the resolution, potential pitfall, **8:28**

EXPENSES

Basics of patent law, 2:52

Costs of Action (this index)

Litigation and what to expect, 10:5

INDEX

EXPERTS

Damages experts, 10:29 Expert disclosure and discovery,

Patent prosecution expert, 10:30 Technical experts, 10:28

EXPIRATION OF PATENT

Statute of limitations on damage recovery, 2:13

FEDERAL COURTS

Generally, 2:16

Case law as source of rights, 2:4

District Courts (this index)

Forum for enforcement of rights, generally, 2:16

Legal sources of rights, 2:4

United States Court of Federal Claims, 2:16

United States Supreme Court, clarification of patent law,

FEDERAL LAW

Legal sources of rights, 2:2

FEDERAL PREEMPTION OF **STATE LAW**

Legal sources of rights, 2:5

FORUMS FOR ENFORCEMENT OF RIGHTS

Generally, 2:15 Arbitration, 2:18

Federal Courts (this index)

United States International Trade Commission, 2:17

United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) (this index)

FREEDOM TO OPERATE

Generally, 6:1-6:44

Absence of copying/independent development, 6:33

FREEDOM TO OPERATE

—Cont'd

Advantages in asking for a license, 6:24

Adverse inference, failure to disclose advice of counsel, 6:9, 6:10, 6:40

Advice of counsel

America Invents Act (AIA), 6:6

content, 6:17

current value of advice, 6:11

delaying disclosure of opinion/bifurcation of willfulness, 6:44

disproving recktessness, 6:13

duty to investigate, 6:3

duty to obtain advice, 6:2

effect of failure to disclosure, 6.9

elimination of affirmative advice of counsel requirement, 6:10

failure to disclose, 6:9, 6:10,

historical development, 6:7 informing business decisions, 6:12

minimizing risk through opinions of counsel, 5:43

opinion letters, 6:15

oral opinions, 6:14

reliance, 6:18

risk management practices, 6:13

timing, **6:16**

Underwater Devices Inc. duty to obtain opinion, 6:2,

America Invents Act (AIA), 6:6

Associated risks, 6:29

Attempts to find relevant patents, 6:20

Attorney-client privilege. Using letters and waiver of privi-

Duty to investigate

America Invents Act (AIA),

FREEDOM TO OPERATE

-Cont'd —Cont'd lege issues, below Disproving recklessness and risk management practices Business analysis, reliance, 6:18 —Cont'd Case law interpreting Seagate standard, 6:5 enforcement history of patent, 6:26 Communications with patent owner, 6:22 Finisar Corp. v. DirectTV Group, Inc., 6:13 Consideration of patent before notification by patent formal documentation of owner, 6:19 policy, **6:31** Consultants conducting patent history of licensing patents searches, 6:20 from others when Content of advice of counsel, appropriate, 6:32 6:17 independent development, Continuing patent searching, 6:33 6:21 insurance coverage, 6:35-6:37 Credibility of witnesses, 6:38 licensing Current value of advice of history of licensing patents counsel, 6:11 from others when Delaying disclosure of opinion/ appropriate, 6:32 bifurcation of willfulness, risks and advantages in ask-6:44 ing for a license, 6:24 Description of accused strategy after denial of infringer's position, 6:23 license, **6:25** Design-around efforts, 6:34 negative information about Disproving recklessness and risk patent from litigation, management practices 6:28 accused infringer's policy of no copying/independent respecting intellectual development, 6:33 property rights, 6:30 questionable relevance of advice of counsel, 6:13 insurance coverage, 6:36 associated risks, 6:29 reflection of patent owner's attempts to find relevant interpretation of patent, patents, 6:20 6:27 communications with patent rejection of insurance coverowner, 6:22 age, **6:37** consideration of patent before risks and advantages in asking notification by patent for a license, 6:24 owner, 6:19 searches, 6:20 continuing patent searching, strategy after denial of license, 6:21 6:25 credibility of witnesses, 6:38

FREEDOM TO OPERATE

description of accused

design-around efforts, 6:34

infringer's position, 6:23

FREEDOM TO OPERATE

—Cont'd —Cont'd Duty to investigate—Cont'd Historical development—Cont'd evolution of duty over time, history of licensing patents from others when 6:3 appropriate, 6:32 Federal Circuit formation, 6:2 licensing patents from others historical development, 6:1 when appropriate, 6:32 In Re Seagate Technology recklessness standard, using letters and waiver of 6:5 privilege issues, 6:39 new recklessness standard for Independent development of proving willful infringedesign, **6:33** ment, **6:4** Informing business decisions, Underwater Devices Inc. case, 6:12 6:2, 6:8 In Re Seagate Technology Duty to obtain advice of counsel, recklessness standard, 6:4, 6:2 6:5, 6:42 Early Federal Circuit cases, 6:8 Insurance goverage Effect of failure to disclose disproving recklessness and advice of counsel, 6:9 risk management prac-Efforts to design around patent, tices, **6:35-6:37** 6:34 questionable relevance of Elimination of adverse inferinsurance coverage, 6:36 ences, **6:40** rejection of insurance cover-Elimination of affirmative advice age, **6:37** of counsel requirement relevance, 6:36 6:10 Interpretation of patent by patent Employee patent searches, 6:20 owner, 6:27 Evidence of rejection of insur-Knorr-Bremse elimination of ance coverage, 6:37 adverse inference, 6:6, 6:9, Evolution of dury to investigate, 6:10, 6:40 6:3 Legal analysis, reliance, 6:18 Federal Circuit formation, 6:2 Licensing Finisar Corp. v. DirectTV advantages in asking for a Group, Inc., disproving recklessness, 6:13 license, **6:24** Formal documentation of policy disproving recklessness and of respecting IP rights, 6:31 risk management prac-Historical development tices, **6:24**, **6:25**, **6:32** advice of counsel, 6:7 history of licensing patents disproving recklessness and from others when appropriate, 6:32 risk management practices, 6:26, 6:32 risks in asking for a license, 6:24 duty to investigate, 6:1 enforcement history of patent, strategy after denial of license, 6:26 6:25

FREEDOM TO OPERATE

FREEDOM TO OPERATE —Cont'd

Negative information about patent from litigation, **6:28**

New recklessness standard for proving willful infringement, **6:4**

No copying/independent development, **6:33**

Objective recklessness. Disproving recklessness and risk management practices, above

Opinion letters, advice of counsel, **6:15**

Oral communications accused infringer, 6:23 advice of counsel, 6:14 patent owner, 6:22

Outside patent search services, **6:20**

Persons involved, 6:42

Recklessness

disproving recklessness and risk management practices, above

In Re Seagate Technology recklessness standard, **6:4, 6:5, 6:42**

Reflection of patent owner's interpretation of patent, **6:27**

Rejection of insurance coverage, 6:37

Reliance on advice of counsel, **6:18**

Rick management. Disproving recklessness and risk management practices, above

Risks in asking for a license, **6:24**

Scope of waiver of attorneyclient privilege issues, **6:41** Searches for relevant patents, **6:20**

FREEDOM TO OPERATE —Cont'd

Strategy after denial of license, **6:25**

Subject matter, 6:43

Timing of advice of counsel, **6:16**

Underwater Devices Inc. duty to investigate and obtain advice of counsel, **6:2**, **6:8**

Using letters and waiver of privilege issues

delaying disclosure of opinion/bifurcation of willfulness, 5:44

elimination of edverse inferences, 6:40

historical development, **6:39** *In Re EcheStar*, **6:42**, **6:43** persons involved, **6:42**

scope of waiver, **6:41** subject matter, **6:43**

GENERIC DRUG COMPANIES

Pharmaceutical Patents, Pre-Litigation Investigations (this index)

GEORGIA PACIFIC FACTORS

Basics of patent law, 2:28
Demand letters, estimating reasonable royalty exposure,
5:30

GOVERNMENT OR GOVERNMENT CONTRACTOR

Claim in United States Court of Federal Claims, 2:16

GRANTBACKS

Structuring the resolution, 8:33

HATCH-WAXMAN ACT

Pharmaceutical Patents, Pre-Litigation Investigations (this index)

INDEX

HISTORY OR BACKGROUND

Adverse party's negotiating history, 7:13

Advice of counsel, 6:7

Disproving recklessness and risk management practices,

6:26, 6:32

Duty to investigate, 6:1, 6:3 Enforcement history of patent,

Pre-litigation context, 1:1 Using letters and waiver of privilege issues, 6:39

INCREASED DAMAGES

Basics of patent law, 2:32

INEQUITABLE CONDUCT BEFORE USPTO

Basics of patent law, attacking the patent, 2:40

INFRINGEMENT

Damage Recovery (this index) **Demand Letters** (this index)

Four-part test for infringement, 2:34

Marking and notice requirements, 2:35, 10:46

Pre-litigation context, 1:1 Standing to sue, 2:47

INITIAL PUBLIC OFFERINGS

Demand letters, disclosing allegations of infringement to investors, 3:8

INITIATING USPTO PROCEEDINGS

Counterattack by target, 3:24-3:29, 5:53-5:57

INITIATION OF LITIGATION

Demand letters, timing, 3:3 Laches, limitation on damage recovery, 2:14 Pre-litigation negotiations, 7:4

INJUNCTIONS

Appeal of preliminary injunction, 10:23

Basics of patent law, 2:34

Bond, 10:22

eBay v. MercExchange, 2:34

Preliminary injunctions, generally, 10:16

Remedies, 10:45

Standard for granting preliminary injunction

generally, 10:17

balance of hardships, 10:20

irreparable harm, 10:19

likelihood of success on merits, 19:18

public interest, 10:21

IN RE ECHOSTAR

Demand letters, estimating reasonable royalty exposure, 5:30

Using letters and waiver of privilege issues, **6:42**, **6:43**

IN RE SEAGATE **TECHNOLOGY**

Case law, 6:5

Recklessness standard, 6:4, 6:42

INSURANCE COVERAGE FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

Generally, 9:14-9:21

CGL policy coverage

generally, 9:19

advertising injury coverage,

9:18

Demand letters, obligations of insurer, **5:39**

Disproving recklessness and risk management practices, 6:35-6:37

Patent-specific policies

generally, 9:15

control of litigation, 9:17

INSURANCE COVERAGE FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

-Cont'd

Patent-specific policies—Cont'd policy provisions to investigate, 9:16

Privilege issues, 9:20

Questionable relevance of coverage, **6:36**

Rejection of coverage, **6:37** Relevance of coverage, **6:36**

Work product concerns, 9:21

INTEREST ON MONEY

Basics of patent law, 2:31

INTERFERENCE PROCEEDINGS

Arbitration, 2:25

Demand letters, counterattack, 3:27

Lengthening patent term, 2:12
United States Patent and
Trademark Office as forum
for enforcement, 2:25

INTER PARTES REVIEW

Demand letters, counterattack, 5:54

United States Patent and Trademark Office as forum for enforcement, 2:23

INVENTORS

Basics of patent law, 2:43 Due diligence, 4:18, 4:19 Employee inventors, 2:43

INVESTIGATION OBLIGATION

Advice of counsel, duty to investigate, **6:3**

Demand Letters (this index), 3:5

Duty to investigate. **Freedom to Operate** (this index)

INVESTIGATION OBLIGATION—Cont'd

Insurance coverage, provisions of patent-specific policies, 9:16

Pharmaceutical Patents, Pre-Litigation Investigations (this index)

Rule 11 sanctions, avoidance, 4:32

Underwater Devices Inc., 6:2, 6:8

IRREPARABLE HARM

Injunctions, 10:19

JOINT DEFENSE AGREEMENTS

Demand letters, 5:21

Third Party Issues (this index)

JOINT INVENTORS

Parent rights, 2:43

JOINT OWNERS

Basics of patent law, 2:44
Demand letters, obtaining rights
from coowners of patent,
5:42

KNORR-BREMSE

Elimination of adverse inference, **6:6, 6:9, 6:10, 6:40**

LACHES

Initiation of suit, limitation on damage recovery, **2:14**

LANGUAGE

Claim, invalidity defenses, **2:39** Demand letters, **3:4**

LEGAL SOURCES OF PATENT LAW RIGHTS

Generally, 2:1

Federal court cases, 2:4

Federal law, 2:2

Federal preemption of state law, 2:5

LEGAL SOURCES OF LICENSING—Cont'd PATENT LAW RIGHTS History of licensing patents from others when appropriate, -Cont'd 6:32 United States Patent and Trademark Office, 2:3 Implied licensing issues, 4:30 Likelihood of success of **LICENSING** infringement allegations, Advantages in asking for a 5:25 license, 6:24 Marking licensed product, 8:12 Alternative dispute resolution, MedImmune decision, 3:12-3:14 8:18 No challenge clauses to discour-Antitrust considerations, bulk age validity challenges, licensing, 8:25 8:15 Basics of patent law, 2:46 Nonexclusive licensing agree-Choice of law, 8:17 ments, 2:46 Covenant not to sue, 8:5 Penalty clauses to discourage Cross-licensing, 8:32 validity challenges, 8:16 Declaratory judgment actions, Pricing licensed product, 8:10 prospective license negotia-Prior licenses, effects, 8:29 tions, 3:21 Production controls, 8:8 Defining scope of license, 8:6 Prospective license negotiations, Demand letters 3:21 due diligence, 4:17, 4:30 Reasonable royalty, pre-litigaimplied licensing issues, 4:30 tion licensing offers, 2:30 licensee relationships, 4:17 Restrictions on use or conduct, likelihood of success of 8:6 infringement allegations, Right to sue, 8:4 5:25 Risks in asking for a license, patent owner's perspective, 6:24 implied licensing issues, Royalties, 8:11 4:30 Standing to sue, status of Disproving recklessness and risk licensee, 2:47 management practices State law, 2:5 advantages in asking for Strategy after denial of license, license, **6:24** 6:25 history of licensing patents Structuring the resolution, generfrom others when ally, **8:3** appropriate, 6:32 Term, 8:9 risks in asking for license, Territorial limitations, 8:7 6:24 Validity challenges, discouragstrategy after denial of license. ing, 8:14-8:16 6:25 Warranties, 8:13 Divisibility of rights, 2:46 LIKELIHOOD OF SUCCESS Due diligence, 4:17, 4:30 **Demand Letters** (this index) Exclusive licensing agreements, 2:46 Injunctions, 10:18

plaintiff or plaintiffs, 10:8

Statute of limitations, 10:47

LITIGATION AND WHAT TO **LIMITATIONS** EXPECT—Cont'd Damage Recovery (this index) Lost profits, 10:41 **Demand Letters** (this index) Marking and notice require-Scope of book, 1:3 ments, 10:46 Statute of Limitations (this Outcome predictions, 10:6 index) Parties. Selection and identifica-Territorial Limitations (this tion of parties, below index) Posttrial motions, 10:49 LITIGATION AND WHAT TO Predictability, 10:1 **EXPECT** Predicting outcome, 10:6 Generally, 10:1-10:50 Preliminary injunctions. Injunc-**Alternative Dispute Resolution** tions (this index) (this index) Pre-litigation offers, 10:40 **Appeals** (this index) Reasonable royalty, 19:39 Attorney's fees, 2:33, 10:44 Remedies Claim construction generally, 10:38-10:48 generally, 10:31 attorney's fees, 2:33, 10:44 appellate review, 10:34 costs and interest, 10:42 evidence considered, 10:32 damages, 10:38 rolling claim construction, nhanced damages, 10:43 10:33 equitable relief, 10:38 Counterclaims, 10:14 impact of laches, 10:48 Damage Recovery (this index) injunctions, 10:45 Demand letters, setting tone for laches, 10:48 litigation, 3:2 lost profits, 10:41 Difficulty predicting outcome, marking and notice require-2:51, 10:6 ments, 10:46 Enhanced damages, 10:43 pre-litigation offers, 10:40 Equitable relief, 19:38 reasonable royalty, 10:39 Evidence considered in claim statute of limitations, 10:47 construction, 10:32 Resolution by trial, frequency, Expense, 10:5 10:36 Experts (this index) Responsive pleadings, 10:14 Fact discovery. **Discovery** (this Rolling claim construction, index) 10:33 Frequency of resolution by trial, Selecting and prioritizing among 10:36 targets according to Identification of parties. Seleclitigiousness, 4:6 Selection and identification of tion and identification of parties parties, below generally, 10:7 Key events, 10:2 defendant, 10:9 Laches, 10:48

Legal challenges unique to patents arena, 10:3

INDEX

LITIGATION AND WHAT TO EXPECT—Cont'd

Summary judgment, **10:35**Technical challenges unique to patent arena, **10:3**

Trial

frequency of resolution by trial, 10:36

procedure, 10:37

Unique technical and legal challenges, 10:3

Variations in proceedings, 10:4

Venue and Jurisdiction (this index)

LOCAL COURT RULES

Alternative dispute resolution, **10:15**

LOST PROFITS

Basics of patent law, 2:30
Demand letters, worst case scenario for target, 5:28
Litigation and what to expect, 10:41

Panduit test, "but for" causality for lost profits, 2:30

MAINTENANCE FEES

Curing unpaid fees, 4:24
Likelihood of success of
infringement allegations,
5:18

Patent term, 2:12

MARKETS

Demand letters, selecting and prioritizing among targets, 4:7, 4:11

Pre-litigation negotiations, communications between adverse parties, **7:27**

Reasonable royalties, market value rule, 2:28

MARKING AND NOTICE REQUIREMENTS

Damage recovery, 2:35

MARKING AND NOTICE REQUIREMENTS—Cont'd

Litigation and what to expect, 10:46

"MEANS PLUS FUNCTION" CLAUSES

Demand letters, 5:11

MEDIATION

Discovery mediation, 7:34
Expectations, 7:33
Licenses, 8:18
Local court rules, 10:15
Pre-litigation negotiation strategies, 7:32-7:34

Structuring the resolution, App

MEDIMMUNE STANDARD

Actual controversy requirement, 3:12-3:14

Post-MedImmune standard for Court's jurisdiction, **3:14**

Pre-*MedImmune* standard for Court's jurisdiction, **3:12**

MICRO ENTITY

Demand letters, curing status defects, **4:25**

MINIMUM MONETARY AWARD

Damage recovery, 2:27

MISUSE OF PATENT

Attacking the patent, **2:41**Cure by discontinuing offending conduct, **2:41**Structuring the resolution,

potential pitfalls, 8:26

NAME-BRAND DRUG COMPANIES

Pharmaceutical Patents, Pre-Litigation Investigations (this index)

NOTICE

Demand Letters (this index)
Marking and notice requirements
damage recovery, 2:35
litigation and what to expect,
10:46

OPINION LETTERS

Advice of counsel, 6:15

ORAL COMMUNICATIONS

Accused infringer, **6:23**Advice of counsel, **6:14**Patent owner, **6:22**

PANDUIT TEST

Damage recovery, lost profits, 2:30

PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE (PTO)

United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) (this index)

PATENTEE

Generally, 1:2

PATENT OWNER

Generally, 1:2

Freedom to operate, reflection of patent owner's interpretation of patent, 6:27

Perspective of owner. Demand
Letter's (this index)

PATENT POOLING

Structuring the resolution, 8:31

PATENT PROSECUTION EXPERT

Generally, 10:30

PATENT TERM

Term of Patent Protection (this index)

PERSONAL JURISDICTION OVER PATENTEE

Counterattack by target, 5:47

PERSONAL JURISDICTION OVER PATENTEE

—Cont'd

Effect of demand letter, 3:30

PERSONAL PROPERTY

Basics of patent law, 2:42

PHARMACEUTICAL PATENTS, PRE-LITIGATION INVESTIGATIONS

Generally, 11:1-11:15

Abbreviated New Drug Applications (ANDA) by generics, 11:6

"Artificial act of miringement" in Paragraph IV certification, 11.9

Declaratory judgment actions by generic drug companies, 11:11

Drug products, defined, 11:2 Exclusivity awards for generic drug companies, 11:7

Generic drug companies declaratory judgment actions, 11:11

exclusivity awards, 11:7

Hatch-Waxman Act

generally, 11:1, 11:4

Abbreviated New Drug Applications (ANDA) by generics, 11:6

"artificial act of infringement" in Paragraph IV certification, 11:9

declaratory judgment actions by generic drug companies, 11:11

exclusivity awards for generic drug companies, 11:7

generic drug companies declaratory judgment

actions, 11:11

exclusivity awards, 11:7

Index

PHARMACEUTICAL PATENTS, PRE-LITIGATION INVESTIGATIONS —Cont'd Hatch-Waxman Act—Cont'd	POST-GRANT REVIEW Demand letters, counterattack, 5:56 United States Patent and Trademark Office as forum for enforcement, 2:22
incentive for timely suit by name-brand drug companies, 11:10	PREDICTIONS Basics of patent law, 2:51
name-brand drug companies incentive for timely suit by name-brand drug	PRE-LITIGATION CONTEXT Generally, 1:1 PRE-LITIGATION
companies, 11:10 relief available, 11:12 Paragraph IV certification as 'artificial act of infringement,' 11:9	INVESTIGATION Advice of counsel, duty to investigate, 6:3 Demand Letters (this index),
patent certifications, 11:8 patent layering, 11:14 patent listings for new drugs, 11:5	3:5 Duty to investigate. Freedom to Operate (this index) Insurance coverage, provisions of patent-specific policies,
statements of non-applicable	9:16 Pharmaceutical Patents, Pre-
use, 11:13 venue choices, 11:15 Incentive for timely suit by name-brand drug	Litigation Investigations (this index) Underwater Devices Inc., 6:2, 6:8
venue choices, 11:15 Incentive for timely suit by	Litigation Investigations (this index) Underwater Devices Inc., 6:2, 6:8 PRE-LITIGATION NEGOTIATIONS
venue choices, 11:15 Incentive for timely suit by name-brand drug companies, 11:10 Medical devices distinguished,	Litigation Investigations (this index) Underwater Devices Inc., 6:2, 6:8 PRE-LITIGATION NEGOTIATIONS Generally, 7:1-7:35 Alternative dispute resolution strategy. Alternative Dispute Resolution (this index)
venue choices, 11:15 Incentive for timely suit by name-brand drug companies, 11:10 Medical devices distinguished, 11:3 Name-brand drug companies incentive for timely suit by name-brand drug companies, 11:10	Litigation Investigations (this index) Underwater Devices Inc., 6:2, 6:8 PRE-LITIGATION NEGOTIATIONS Generally, 7:1-7:35 Alternative dispute resolution strategy. Alternative Dispute Resolution (this index) Communications between adverse parties generally, 7:15 admissibility of information
venue choices, 11:15 Incentive for timely suit by name-brand drug companies, 11:10 Medical devices distinguished, 11:3 Name-brand drug companies incentive for timely suit by name-brand drug companies, 11:10 relief available, 11:12 Paragraph IV certification as "artificial act of infringe- ment," 11:9	Litigation Investigations (this index) Underwater Devices Inc., 6:2, 6:8 PRE-LITIGATION NEGOTIATIONS Generally, 7:1-7:35 Alternative dispute resolution strategy. Alternative Dispute Resolution (this index) Communications between adverse parties generally, 7:15

PRE-LITIGATION PRE-LITIGATION NEGOTIATIONS—Cont'd NEGOTIATIONS—Cont'd Communications between Developing strategy—Cont'd initial goals, 7:2 adverse parties-Cont'd future market changes, 7:27 initiating suit, 7:4, 7:5 initial communication by level of aggressiveness, below patent holder, 7:16 logistics with multiple alleged initial response by target infringers, 7:8 generally, 7:17 time to begin, 7:5 content of response, 7:19 when to begin, 7:5 counterattacks by target, worst outcome consideration, 7:21 7:3 demands by target for infor-Historical issues mation, **7:20** adverse party's negotiating information demands by history, 7:13 target, 7:20 enforcement history of patent, target demands for informa-7:12 tion, 7:20 Level of aggressiveness timing of response, if any, generally, 7:6 7:18 mixed message, 7:7 tone of response, 7:19 Logistics with multiple alleged market changes, 7:27 infringers, 7:8 negotiating techniques, 7:25 Multiple alleged infringers, ongoing communications, logistics of developing 7:22 strategy, 7:8 Useful intelligence for negotiapersonal meetings, 7:26 tions procedural terms of resolution, generally, 7:9 7:24 adverse party's negotiating publicizing infringement history, **7:13** dispute, 7.30 alignment of parties' interests, sensitive business relation-7:14 ships, 7:29 allegedly infringing products sensitivity to current and or services, 7:10 future market changes, business of target, 7:10 7:27 enforcement history of third parties involvement, 7:23 patents, 7:12 Demand letters, setting tone, 3:2 merits of patent claim, 7:11 Developing strategy target's business and allegedly generally, 7:1 infringing products or best outcome consideration. services, 7:10 7:3 PRE-LITIGATION conclusion timing, 7:5 REASONABLE ROYALTY considering best and worst **OFFER** outcomes, 7:3 Forum for enforcement of rights,

2:29

how long to continue, 7:5

INDEX

PRICE FIXING

Structuring the resolution, 8:23

PRIOR ART

Demand letters, counterattack by target, **5:50**

Doctrine of equivalents, limits, **5:16**

Due diligence, **4:21**Invalidity defense, **2:39**

Searches, 4:21, 5:50

PRIORITIZING AMONG TARGETS

Demand Letters (this index)

PRIOR LICENSES

Structuring the resolution, 8:29

PRIVILEGE

Attorney-Client Privilege (this index)

Discovery, work product privilege, **10:26**

Insurance coverage for patent infringement, 9:20

PRODUCTION CONTROLS

Structuring the resolution, 8:8

PROSECUTION HISTORY ESTOPPEL

Doctrine of equivalents, 5:13

PROSPECTIVE LICENSE NEGOTIATIONS

Declaratory judgment actions, 3:21

PROTECTIVE ORDERS

Discovery, 10:25

PUBLIC INTEREST

Injunctions, 10:21

PUBLICLY TRADED COMPANIES

Disclosure of potential infringement liability in Securities

PUBLICLY TRADED

COMPANIES—Cont'd

and Exchange Commission filings, **3:9**

PUNITIVE DAMAGES

Basics of patent law, 2:27, 2:32

"REASONABLE

APPREHENSION OF IMMINENT SUIT" VS. ACTUAL CONTROVERSY

Declaratory judgment actions, 3:13

REASONABLE ROYALTIES

Generally, 2:28

Georgia Pacific factors, estimating reasonable royalty exposure, 5:30

Georgia Pacific factors, 2:28
In Re EchoStar, estimating reasonable royalty exposure, 5:30

Licensing, 2:30, 8:11

Litigation and what to expect, 10:39

Market value rule, 2:28

Minimum monetary award, 2:28

Pre-litigation offers, 2:30

Structuring the resolution, **8:11**

25% Rule of thumb, **5:31**

Worst case scenario for target, reasonable royalty exposure estimations, 5:29-5:31

RECKLESSNESS

Disproving recklessness.

Freedom to Operate (this index)

REEXAMINATION PROCEEDINGS

Changing scope of patent claim, 2:11

Initiating USPTO proceedings as counterattack, **5:54**

REEXAMINATION PROCEEDINGS—Cont'd

Pending ex parte reexamination proceeding, 2:20

Request as counterattack by target, 3:25

United States PTO as forum for enforcement, 2:20

REISSUE PROCEEDINGS

Changing scope of patent claim, 2:11, 4:29

Curing defects, 4:29

United States Patent and Trademark Office as forum for enforcement, 2:24

RESPECT SHOWN TO IP RIGHTS

Disproving recklessness, 6:30

REVERSAL RATE

District Court appeals, 2:51

REVIEW PROCEEDINGS

Demand letters, counterattack, 3:26

Inter partes review, 2:23, 5:54 Post-grant review, 2:22, 5:56

REVIVAL OF PATENT APPLICATION

Curing defects, 4:26
Likelihood of success of infringement allegations, 5:18

RISK MANAGEMENT

Freedom to Operate (this index)

ROYALTIES

Reasonable Royalties (this index)

RULE 11 SANCTIONS

Avoiding patentee liability, 4:32

SAFE HAVENS

Confidentiality agreements, 3:18

SAFE HAVENS—Cont'd

Covenants not to sue, 3:19, 8:5, App 8A

Declaratory Judgment Actions (this index)

Prospective license negotiations, 3:21

Standstill agreements, 3:20

SANDISK DECISION

Declaratory judgment actions, 3:14

SEARCHES

Continuing patent searching, **6:21**

Demand letters, prior art searching, **4:21**, **5.50**

Due diligence, 4:21

Employee patent searches, 6:20

Outside patent search services, 6:20

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION (SEC)

Publicly traded companies, disclosure of potential infringement liability, **3:9**

SELECTING AMONG TARGETS

Demand Letters (this index)

SETTLEMENT

Enforcement history of patent, 7:12

Sample demand letter for settlement purposes, **App 3C**

Statistical overview of cases settled vs. litigated to final judgment, 2:48

STANDING TO ASSERT PATENT

Demand letters, 5:19

STANDING TO SUE

Infringement, 2:47

Index-30

Index

Licensee, 2:47 , 8:4	RESOLUTION—Cont'd
STANDSTILL AGREEMENTS	Covenant not to sue, 3:19 , 8:5 ,
Declaratory judgment actions,	App 8A
3:20	Cross-licensing, 8:32
STATE LAW	Factoring in other intellectual property rights, 8:30
Federal preemption of state law,	Format and terms
2:5	generally, 8:1
Licensing issues, 2:5	assignment, 8:2
STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS	licenses, below
Damage recovery, 2:13	stipulated dismissal, 8:20
Litigation and what to expect,	stipulated judgment, 8:19
10:47	Grantbacks, 8:33
Third party issues, implied war-	Licenses
ranty against infringement	generally, 8:3
under UCC, 9:9	alternative dispute resolution,
STAY OF ACTION	8:18
Pending USPTO proceeding,	choice of law, 8:17
2:19, 2:24	covenant not to sue, 8:5
,	defining scope of license, 8:6
STIPULATED DISMISSAL	marking licensed product,
Structuring the resolution, 8:20	8:12
STIPULATED JUDGMENT	no challenge clauses, provi-
Structuring the resolution, 8.19	sion to discourage valid-
STRATEGIES OF TARGET	ity challenges, 8:15
Demand Letters (this index)	penalty clauses to discourage
	validity challenges, 8:16
STRUCTURING THE	pricing licensed product, 8:10
RESOLUTION	production controls, 8:8
Generally, 3:1-8:33	restricting use or conduct, 8:6
Alternative dispute resolution, App 8B	right to sue, 8:4
Antitrust considerations	royalties, 8:11
generally, 8:22	term, 8:9
bulk licensing, 8:25	territorial limitations, 8:7
patent "bundling" arrange-	validity challenges, discourag-
ments, 8:25	ing
price fixing, 8:23	generally, 8:14
tying arrangements, 8:25	no challenge clauses, 8:15
use and sale restrictions, 8:24	penalty clauses, 8:16
Bulk licensing, 8:25	warranties, 8:13
"Bundling" arrangements, 8:25	Litigation and What to Expect
Choice of law, 8:17	(this index)
	` '

STANDING TO SUE—Cont'd STRUCTURING THE

STRUCTURING THE RESOLUTION—Cont'd No challenge clauses, provision to discourage validity challenges, 8:15

Other intellectual property rights consideration in resolution, 8:30

cross-licensing, 8:32 grantbacks, 8:33 patent pooling, 8:31

Patent "bundling" arrangements, **8:25**

Patent pooling, 8:31

Penalty clauses to discourage validity challenges, **8:16**

Potential pitfalls generally, **8:21** antitrust considerations, above exhaustion of patent, **8:28**

implied license, 8:27 patent misuse, 8:26 prior licenses, effects, 8:29

Pre-Litigation Negotiations (this index)

Price fixing, 8:23
Pricing licensed product, 8:10
Prior licenses, effects, 3:29
Production controls, 8:8
Restricting use or conduct, 8:6
Right to sue, 5:4
Stipulated dismissal, 8:20
Stipulated judgment, 8:19
Term of license, 8:9
Territorial limitations, 8:7
Tying arrangements, 8:25

SUMMARY JUDGMENT Generally, 10:35

SUPPLEMENTAL EXAMINATION PROCEEDINGS

Changing scope of patent claim, 2:11

SUPPLEMENTAL EXAMINATION PROCEEDINGS—Cont'd

United States Patent and Trademark Office as forum for enforcement, 2:21

TARGET'S PERSPECTIVE

Demand Letters (this index)

TECHNICAL EXPERTS

Generally, 10:28

TERM OF PATENT PROTECTION

Generally, 2:12
Demand letters, due diligence,
4:23

Disclaimers, shortening patent term 2:12

Due diligence, 4:23

Interference, lengthening patent term, 2:12

ikelihood of success of infringement allegations, 5:18

Maintenance fees, 2:12

TERRITORIAL LIMITATIONS

Evaluation of merits and risks of patentee's demand. **Demand Letters** (this index)

Structuring the resolution, 8:7

THIRD PARTY ISSUES

Generally, 9:1-9:26

Applicability of implied warranty against infringement under UCC, **9:4**

Collateral estoppel, participation by third parties in defense, 9:22

Community of interest/joint defense agreements generally, 5:21, 9:23 drafting considerations, 9:25 requirements, 9:24

THIRD PARTY ISSUES THIRD PARTY ISSUES —Cont'd —Cont'd Supplier participation in Community of interest/joint infringement litigation defense agreements —Cont'd generally, 9:11-9:13 waiver, 9:26 declaratory judgment jurisdic-Demand letters tion, 9:13 counterattack, 5:57 indemnitor control and appearance in infringeobtaining rights from, 5:40ment suit, 9:11 5:42 priority of suits, 9:12 shifting exposure to, 5:36-5:39 Third parties participating in defense, collateral estoppel Drafting considerations, comissues, 9:22 munity of interest/joint Timing of notice to indemnitor, defense agreements, 9:25 9:8, 9:9 Implied warranty against infringement under UCC Timing of suit between supplier and customer, 9:10 generally, 9:3 UCC warranty against infringeapplicability, 9:4 ment. Implied warranty modifying indemnity obligaagainst infringement under tions, **9:6** UCC, above opportunity to cure title, 9:7 Waiver, community of interest/ opting out, 9:5 joint defense agreements, statute of limitations, 9:9 9:26 timing of notice to indemnior. THREAT OF LITIGATION 9:8, 9:9 Pre-litigation context, 1:1 timing of suit between supplier and customer, 9:10 **Insurance Coverage for Patent** Demand letters, time to send, 3:3 **Infringement** (this index) Demand Letters (this index), Modifying indemnity obliga-3:8 tions, 9:6 Implied warranty against Opportunity to cure title, 9:7 infringement under UCC, Opting out, 9:5 9:8-9:10 Pre-litigation negotiations, com-Interference proceeding complemunications between tion, 2:25 adverse parties, 7:23 Pendency of patent application, Statute of limitations, 9:9 2:6 Supplier/customer relations Pending ex parte reexamination proceeding, 2:20 generally, 9:2 Pre-litigation reasonable royalty indemnification by supplier/ offer, 2:29 customer. Implied warranty against infringe-Statute of Limitations (this ment under UCC, above index)

TIME—Cont'd Term of Patent Protection (this index)

TREBLE DAMAGES

Basics of patent law, 2:28, 2:32

TYING ARRANGEMENTS

Demand letters, avoiding patentee liability, **4:33**

Structuring the resolution, 8:25

UNDERWATER DEVICES INC.

Duty to investigate and obtain advice of counsel, **6:2**, **6:8**

UNIFORM COMMERCIAL CODE

Third Party Issues (this index)

UNITED STATES COURT OF FEDERAL CLAIMS

Claim against U.S. government or government contractor, 2:16

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE (USPTO)

Attacking the patent, unenforceability due to inequitable conduct before USPTO, 2:40

Basics of potent law, 2:19-2:22 Board of Patent Appeals & Interferences, 2:25

Counterattack by target, initiating USPTO proceedings, 3:24-3:29, 5:53-5:57

Forum for enforcement of rights generally, 2:19 derivation proceedings, 2:26 interference proceedings, 2:25 inter partes review, 2:23 post-grant review, 2:22 reexamination, 2:20 reissue proceedings, 2:24

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE (USPTO)—Cont'd

Forum for enforcement of rights —Cont'd

supplemental examination proceedings, 2:21

Interference, USPTO as forum for enforcement, 2:25

Legal sources of patent rights, 2:3

Maintenance fees, payment to, 2:12

Pending USPTO proceeding, stay of district court action, 2:19

Reexamination Proceedings (this index)

Reissue Proceedings (this

UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT

Clarification of patent law, 2:50

VENUE AND JURISDICTION Declaratory Judgment Actions (this index)

District courts, 3:11, 10:10
Federal courts, generally, 2:16
Federal government and federal contractors, patent claims against, 10:11

Personal Jurisdiction Over Patentee (this index)

Pharmaceutical patents, pre-litigation investigations, 11:15

State agencies, patent claims against, 10:12

State court patent issues, 10:13

Strategies to avoid declaratory judgment jurisdiction.

Declaratory Judgment Actions (this index)

VITIATION

All elements of claim, 4:32, 5:15

INDEX

WAIVER

Attorney-client privilege.

Freedom to Operate (this index)

Third party issues, 9:26

WARRANTIES

Licensing, 8:13
UCC warranty against infringement. Third Party Issues
(this index)

WORK PRODUCT

Discovery, privilege, 10:26 Insurance coverage for patent infringement, 9:21

WORST CASE SCENARIO FOR TARGET

Demand Letters (this index)

Attp://www.phookshop.com?