

Index

NOTE: Page numbers in *italics* indicate figures and tables.

- Acentech, 240
- Adobe Acrobat, 321
- AEC, defined, 17
- AEC CADduct, 321
- AEC CADpipe, 321
- AIM™ Maintenance Management, 321
- AKF Engineers, 240
- American Institute of Architects (AIA), 92, 93, 99–100, 102, 216, 303
- American Standard Code for Information Interchange (ASCII), 120
- application programming interface (API), 9–10
- apps, BIM-related, 38
- Archibus Space Inventory, 321
- Autodesk
 - AutoCAD, 321
 - Ecotect, 25
 - FM Desktop, 322
 - Navisworks, 24
 - Navisworks Manage, 323
 - Project Geppetto, 25
 - Research, 26
 - Revit, 33–36, 34, 35, 233
 - Seek, 43
- Bentley Microstation, 321
- BIM Execution Plan (BEP), 54, 55–56, 88
- BIM FM integration. *See* building information management (BIM) facilities management (FM) integration
- BIM Planning for Facility Owners, 81
- BIM technology for FM, 17–45
 - Bishop Henry Whipple Federal Building, Fort Snelling, Minnesota (GSA example), 73–76
 - BlueBeam PDF Revu, 322
 - Brando & Johnston, 192
 - Broadus & Associates, 162
 - Brown Sardina, Inc., 240
 - building automation systems (BASs), 86, 87–88
 - building information management (BIM) facilities management (FM) integration, 1–15
 - addressing current problems and, 5–6, 6
 - current FM practice and, 2–4, 3, 4
 - graphics and data needs for, 6–7, 7
 - interoperability for, 8, 8–10, 9, 10
 - main benefits of, 1–2, 2
 - owner benefits of, 10–15, 11, 12
 - building information modeling (BIM) technology
 - applications for, 19–27, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26 (*See also* building information management (BIM) facilities management (FM) integration)
 - augmented reality (AR) and, 40, 40–41, 41, 42
 - BIM, defined, 17–19, 18
 - BIM component data, 42–43
 - challenges of, for facilities management (FM), 29–31
 - emerging technologies and, 36–40, 38
 - facility management (FM) applications, 19–27, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26

- building information modeling (BIM)
 - technology (*continued*)
 - Healthcare BIM Consortium (HBC)
 - and, 32, 32–36, 34, 35
 - sensor data and, 41–42
 - standards, 43–44
 - standards and data exchange, 27, 27–29, 29
 - See *also* case studies; Construction Operations Building information exchange (COBie); legal issues
 - buildingSMART alliance, 9, 27, 27–29, 29, 56. See *also* Specifiers' Properties information exchange (SPie)
- Camden Annex Lifecycle and NASA Projects (GSA example), 77–80
- Campbell-McCabe, Inc., 240
- case studies, 145–313
 - generally, 145, 146
 - MathWorks, 146, 147–163, 149, 151–152, 155, 158, 161
 - Texas A&M Health Science Center—A Case Study of BIM and COBie for Facility Management, 146, 164–184, 166, 167, 171, 173, 174, 175, 178
 - University of Chicago Administration Building Renovation, 146, 294–313, 295, 297, 298, 299, 300, 301, 302, 303, 304, 305, 307, 308
 - USC School of Cinematic Arts, 146, 185–232, 187, 188, 189, 194, 197, 199, 200, 201, 204, 206, 207, 208, 225
 - Wisconsin Bureau of Facilities Management, Division of State Facilities, Department of Administration, 146, 250–293, 255, 257, 259, 260, 261, 263, 269, 275, 276, 277, 281, 282, 283, 285, 290
 - Xavier University, BIM and FM Implementation, 146, 233–249, 235, 236, 237, 240, 242, 243
- cloud computing, 36–37, 39–40
- CMiC collaboration construction software, 322
- component data, 42–43
- computer-aided design (CAD), BIM vs., 17–18, 18
- computerized maintenance management system (CMMS), BIM FM integration and, 2, 4, 8–11, 12
- ConsensusDOCS, 91n, 93, 99–100
- Construction Operations Building information exchange (COBie), 107–143
 - BIM FM integration, 8, 8–10
 - BIM technologies and, 22, 22, 28, 29
 - conclusions about, 140
 - defined, 107
 - delivery, 131–136
 - design of, 109–115
 - formats, 120–121
 - future developments, 141–142
 - General Services Administration (GSA), 61–62
 - implementation, 138–139
 - importance of, 107–109
 - information included in, 115–120, 116, 117, 119
 - legal issues and, 85–86, 94–95, 137–138
 - software, internal testing, 137
 - software support for, 136–137
 - spreadsheet format, 121–131, 124
 - Texas A&M Health Science Center—A Case Study of BIM and COBie for Facility Management (case study), 146, 164–184, 166, 167, 171, 173, 174, 175, 176, 178
 - See *also* case studies
- contracts
 - generally, 88, 89–90, 90, 113–114
 - MathWorks (case study), 151
 - Texas A&M Health Science Center—A Case Study of BIM and COBie for Facility Management (case study), 168–170
 - University of Chicago Administration Building Renovation (case study), 297
 - USC School of Cinematic Arts (case study), 191–193, 194
 - Wisconsin Bureau of Facilities Management, Division of State Facilities, Department of Administration (case study), 261–262
 - Xavier University, BIM and FM Implementation (case study), 239
- copyright, 93–94
- Cost Analysis of Inadequate Interoperability in the U.S. Capital Facilities Industry (NIST), 1, 4, 5, 6
- CPMI, Inc., 261
- Cranshaw Construction, 153

- data
 - alternative paths for data transfers, 66, 67
 - BIM FM integration and owner benefits, 10–11, 11
 - BIM FM integration needs, 6–7, 7
 - BIM technologies, standards and data exchange, 27, 27–29, 29
 - component data, 42–43
 - sensor data, 41–42
 - See *also* case studies; Construction Operations Building information exchange (COBie)
- design, ownership of, 92–93
- document storage, 2–5, 3, 48

- eBuilder Document Management, 322
- EcoDomus
 - about, 9, 9, 80, 95
 - FM, 203–205, 322
 - PM, 203
 - TOKMO, 323
- emergency management/security, BIM for, 23, 25
- energy and sustainability, BIM for, 23, 25
- Engineering Research and Development Center, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, 28
- Enterprise Building Integrator, 322
- ESG Architects, Inc., 261

- Facility Management Handover Model
 - View Definition, 113. See *also* Construction Operations Building information exchange (COBie)
- FAMIS (CMMS), 322
- financial issues
 - of BIM software, 30–31
 - life cycle cost analysis, 4–5, 5
 - return on investment (ROI) and BIM FM integration, 1, 13–15
 - See *also* case studies
- FKP Architects, 168
- “Floors,” defined (COBie), 117
- FM Desktop, 322
- FM:Interact, 155, 157, 157–158, 158, 322
- FMS division, USC, 191–193

- General Services Administration (GSA)
 - COBie submittals, 61–62
 - design, construction, and record BIMs, 58–61
 - examples, 69–72, 71, 73–76, 77–80
 - Guidelines, 49–57, 50
 - high-level modeling requirements, 57–58
 - model servers, 66–69, 67
 - technology overview, 64–66
 - technology requirements, 63–64
- Gensler, 152–153
- globally unique identifier (GUIDs), 52, 58
- GoToMeeting, 322
- Gregory P. Luther and Associates (GPLA), Inc., 192

- Hanson Bridgett Standard IPD
 - Agreement liability waiver, 99–100
- Hathaway Dinwiddle, 192

- IBE Consulting Engineers, 192
- IFMA Foundation, 1–2
- industry foundation classes (IFCs), 27, 27–29, 29, 113, 121
- information technology (IT)
 - cloud computing, 36–37, 39–40
 - mobile computing, 37–40, 38
 - Radio-frequency identification (RFID), 39
- infrastructure as a service (IaaS), 36
- insurance, 101–102
- integrated project delivery (IPD)
 - defined, 43
 - legal issues, 99–101
- intellectual property, 92–94
- International Facilities Management Association
 - IFMA Foundation, 1–2
 - Maintenance Survey (2009), 4iPads, use of, 7, 7

- Ken Saiki Design, 261
- Kinect (Microsoft), 40, 40–41, 41, 42
- KJWW Engineering Consultants, 261
- Kleingers & Associates, 240
- KPFF Consulting Engineers, 192

- LACCD BIM Standards, 83
- laser scanning, 26
- Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED), 23, 86
- legal issues, 85–106
 - COBie standards and, 85–86, 94–95, 137–138
 - contracts, 88, 89–90, 90, 113–114
 - generally, 85–87
 - insurance coverage, 101–102

- legal issues (*continued*)
 - intellectual property, 92–94
 - liability, 96–98
 - liability and integrated project delivery (IPD) environment, 99–101
 - ownership of model, 91–92
 - requests, 87–89
 - sample BIM specification, 102–106
 - standards and operability, 94–95
- liability, 96–98, 99–101
- life cycle
 - BIM FM integration, cloud-based, 9–10, 10
 - BIM FM integration and graphics/data needs, 6–7, 7
 - cost analysis, 4–5, 5
 - facility life-cycle management, 32, 32–36, 34, 35
 - legal issues, 86–87
 - See also case studies
- LogMeln, 322
- M. A. Mortenson Company, 297
- Maintenance Survey (2009, IFMA), 4
- managed asset inventory, 110–111, 118
- MathWorks (case study), 146, 147–163
 - architect for buildings, 152
 - architect for interiors, 152–153
 - BIM consultant, 154, 155
 - BIM role for supporting FM requirements, 155–156
 - collaboration, 158–160
 - construction manager, 153
 - developer, 153–154
 - facility, 149
 - FM software, 155
 - general description, 148–149
 - lessons learned from, 162–163
 - management summary, 147–148
 - MEP engineer, 154
 - metrics, 160–162, 161
 - project contracts, 151
 - project team, 151, 151–152
 - project technology, 156–158, 158
 - role of owner and FM staff in setting BIM and FM requirements, 150–151
- Matt Construction, 192
- Maximo Asset Management, 322
- Meridian Enterprise, 322
- Messer Construction Co., 240
- Metasys®: Building Management System, 323
- Michael Schuster Associates, 240
- Micron Construction Co., Inc., 261
- Microsoft, 40, 40–41, 41, 42
- Miron Construction Co., Inc., 261
- Mitchell & Morgan LLP, 168
- mobile computing, 37–40, 38
- model servers, 66–69, 67
- multi-user access requirements, 64, 65–66
- NASA, 61
- National BIM Standard, 81–82
- National Building Information Model Standard (NBIMS), 27, 27–29, 29
- National Development, 153–154
- National Institute of Building Sciences (NIBS), 56. See also Specifiers' Properties information exchange (SPie)
- National Institute of Standards & Technology (NIST), 1, 4, 5, 6
- Navisworks Manage, 323
- Onuma System, 323
- operations and maintenance (O&M)
 - costs, 4–5, 5
 - requirements, 111–112
- owner guidelines, 47–83
 - BIM Planning for Facility Owners, 81
 - Bishop Henry Whipple Federal Building, Fort Snelling, Minnesota (example), 73–76
 - Camden Annex Lifecycle and NASA Projects (example), 77–80
- COBie submittals, 61–62
- design, construction, and record BIMs, 58–61
- generally, 47–49
- GSA Guidelines, 49–57, 50
- high-level modeling requirements, 57–58
- LACCD BIM Standards, 83
- model servers, 66–69, 67
- National BIM Standard, 81–82
- Peter W. Rodino Federal Building Modernization, Newark, New Jersey (example), 69–72, 71
- technology overview, 64–66
- technology requirements, 63–64

- Wisconsin (state) BIM Guidelines and Standards for Architects and Engineers, 82–83
- owner/operators
 BIM FM integration and owner benefits, 10–15, 11, 12
 building information modeling (BIM) technologies for, 19
See also owner guidelines
- Palanisami & Associates, Inc., 261
- parametric capabilities, of BIM, 18–19
- Peter W. Rodino Federal Building Modernization, Newark, New Jersey (GSA example), 69–72, 71
- physical room/Space concept, COBie and, 115
- project development, BIM templates for, 21, 21
- quality control, 55–56
- Radio-frequency identification (RFID), 39
- real-time data display, BIM for, 24, 26
- regularized project delivery, BIM for, 22, 22
- Renschler Co., 261
- return on investment (ROI), BIM FM integration and, 1, 13–15
- Revit
 Architecture, 323
 MEP, 323
 SEPS BIM Tool, 323
 Structure, 323
- ROCKEY Structures LLC, 297
- Rolf Jensen & Associates, 240
- Satterfield & Pontikes Construction, Inc., 168
- SDS Architects, 261
- sensor data, 41–42
- SEPS Bim Tool, 33–36, 34, 35
- server applications, BIM, 26–27
- Shah Smith & Associates, 168
- Shepley Bulfinch Richardson and Abbott, 240
- software
 COBie and, 136–137
 list of brand names and associated projects, 321–323
 software as a service (SaaS), 37
See also case studies; *individual brand names*
- space management
 BIM for, 22, 23
 space concept and COBie, 115
- Spagnolo, Gisness, and Associates, Inc. (SG&A), 152
- Spearin* doctrine, 97–98
- Specifiers' Properties information exchange (SPie), 43–44, 56
- spreadsheet format, of COBie, 121–131, 124
- Standard for the Exchange of Product (STEP), 121
- standards
 COBie creation and, 113 (*See also* Construction Operations Building information exchange (COBie))
 generally, 43–44
 legal issues, 94–95
- state of Wisconsin. *See* Wisconsin Bureau of Facilities Management, Division of State Facilities, Department of Administration (case study)
- Steven Schaefer Associates, Inc., 240
- Submittal Exchange, 323
- Sutter Health Agreement, 99–100
- Taylor & Games, 192
- Tekla Structures, 323
- Tekla BIMsight, 323
- Texas A&M Health Science Center—A Case Study of BIM and COBie for Facility Management (case study), 146, 164–184
- areas of improvement for, 181–183
 collaboration and training, 179
 contracts, 168–170
 facility, 167
 general description, 165–167
 lessons learned from, 180–181
 management summary, 164–165
 master plan, 166
 project team, 168
 return on investment, 173–177, 174, 175, 176
- Revit, 168
- role of owner and FM staff in setting BIM and FM requirements, 170–173, 171, 173
- technologies, 177–179, 178
- Thelen Associates, Inc., 240
- Thermal Tech Engineering, 240

- TMADTad, 192
 TOKMO, 323
- University of Chicago Administration
 Building Renovation (case study),
 146, 294–313
 BIM for FM, 310–311
 BIM handover, 305–310, 306, 307, 308
 contract structure, 297
 documenting as-built conditions and
 development of BIM, 301,
 301–304, 302, 303, 304
 facility, 295, 297
 FM systems, 300, 300–301
 future efforts, 312
 introduction, 295–297
 lessons learned and challenges,
 311–312
 management summary, 294–295
 project team, 297–299, 298, 299
- University of Wisconsin River Falls
 (residential hall, case study), 257,
 257–274, 259, 260, 261, 263,
 269, 290
 updates, managing, 65
 Urban Design Group, 192
 U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, 28, 61
 U.S. Department of Defense, 33
 U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs
 (VA), 33
- USC School of Cinematic Arts (case
 study), 146, 185–232
 AIA Document 202, Building
 Information Modeling Protocol
 Exhibit, 216–217
 BIM FM implementation, 190–191
 BIM FM integration, 217–220
 challenges of, 231
 collaboration, 193–194
 contract structure, 193, 194
 critical decisions for BIM FM,
 226–227
 data collection, 210–216
 education and skills for BIM FM, 226
 facility, 187, 188, 189
 FM management portal creation,
 220–226, 225
 introduction, 186–190
 lessons learned from, 227–231
 management summary, 185–186
 project team and contracts, 191–193
 software used, 194–209, 197, 199,
 200, 201, 204, 206, 207, 208
 USC BIM guidelines, 209
- Van Zelm Engineers, 154
 Vela Mobile Systems, 323
 Vela Systems, 39–40
 Vico Software, 154, 155
 View By View, 192
 visualization, BIM for, 22–23, 24
- WebTMA, 323
 Weidt Group, Inc., 261
- Wisconsin Bureau of Facilities
 Management, Division of State
 Facilities, Department of
 Administration (case study), 146,
 250–293
 contracts, 261–262
 findings, 290, 290–292
 guidelines and standards, 252–254
 introduction, 251
 management summary, 250–251
 owner guidelines and, 82–83
 pilot projects, overview,
 254–257, 255
 project teams, 261–262
 Residential Hall at University of
 Wisconsin River Falls, 257,
 257–274, 259, 260, 261, 263, 269
 Wisconsin Energy Institute, 274–290,
 275, 276, 277, 281, 282, 283, 285
- Xavier University, BIM and FM
 Implementation (case study), 146,
 233–249
 collaboration, 245–246
 contracts, 239
 design innovation and impact
 on building life cycle, 240–244,
 242, 243
 facility, 235, 236, 237
 general description, 234–238
 lessons learned from, 246–248
 management summary, 233–234
 owner and FM staff, setting BIM and
 FM requirements, 238
 project team, 239, 240
 technology used, 245