Trim Size: 7in x 10in 12:37pm Page 377

# Index

#### AU-C Section 402 Service A Organizations, 33 ACFE. See Association of Certified Fraud AU-C Section 530, Audit Sampling, Examiners 211, 298, 300 Affordable Care Act, 55, 67 AICPA. See American Institute of Audit and Accounting Guide (AAG), 34, 179, 192, 258 Certified Public Accountants American Institute of Certified Public Audit and Accounting Guide on Sampling (AAG-S), 199–200, 258 Accountants (AICPA). See also Audit Guide: Assessing and Responding "CPA's Handbook of Fraud and Commercial Crime Prevention"; to Audic Risk in a Financial Statement Audit, 337–38 SAS No. 99, Auditor's Auditing Standards, 103, 181, 198, Consideration of Fraud in an 250 Audit of Financial Statements ethics rule, 123 about, 2, 6, 12, 74 A Framework for Evaluating Control AA-Guide, Assessing and Responding to Audit Risk in a Financial Statement Exceptions and Deficiencies, 263 Government Auditing Standards and Audit. 282 Circular A-133 Audits Guide, 203 "Achilles' Heel of Fraud Prevention," Management Antifraud Programs and 225 Controls (2002), 66 assertions, thirteen 47 Assessing and Responding to Audit Risk Management Override of Internal Controls: The Achilles' Heel of Fraud in a Financial Statement Audit, 192 Attestation Standards, 12, 275 Detection (2005), 66 AT No. 50, Attestation Standard, 266 AU-C Section 240, Consideration of Fraud in a Financial Statement AT No. 101, Attest Engagements, 303 Audit, 238 AT No. 501: reports on internal controls, AU-C Section 265, 257, 297 33, 316 AU-C Section 315: *Understanding the* nonpublic companies, guidance to auditors of, 2 Entity and Its Environment and Risk Assessment Standards, 318 Assessing the Risks of Material Misstatement, 126 SAS No. 70, 154 AU-C Section 317, 310 Section 101–3, professional ethics, 272 Standards for Attestation AU-C Section 324 for public companies, 33 Engagements No. 16, 123 AU-C Section 402, 34 annual 10-K report, 314

antifraud. See also fraud controls for management, 66-67 processes and controls, evaluating, 80 - 82program, 72–73, 86 (See also SAS No. 99, Auditor's Consideration of Fraud in an Audit of Financial Statements) programs and controls, 64-66, 72-73, 86, 271, 273 Association of Certified Fraud Examiners (ACFE), 63 audit committee control activities, 159-60, 164 control environment, 104-7, 118 risk assessment, 44, 70, 80n5, 81-86 SAS No. 99, Auditor's Consideration of Fraud in an Audit of Financial Statements, 82-84

## В

backup and recovery plans, 207 Bank of America, 27 Barings Bank, 26-27 Bedard-Graham study, 281 Berra, Yogi, 23, 250 Beswick, Paul (SEC), 305 board of directors control activities, 153-60, 163-64 control environment, 104-5, 110. 117 - 18documentation project, 44 risk assessment, 70, 74–75, 80–86 SAS No. 99, Auditor's Consideration of Fraud in an Audit of Financial Statements, 82-84 business risk, 102, 113

## •

California Civil Code Sections 1798.82 and 1798.29, 95 CEO. *See* chief executive officer certified fraud examiner (CFE), 67 certified public accounting (CPA), 217, 262, 319 chief accounting officer, 84 chief executive officer (CEO), 75, 82, 217, 240, 319 chief financial officer, 84, 228, 265, 319 chief information officer, 332 chief operating office, 74 ChoicePoint, 96 COBIT. See Control Objectives for Information and related Technology code of conduct, 73, 75-80, 83, 85, 87, 103.119 code of ethics, 78 Code of Ethics Statement (of FEI), 91-92 Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission COSO). See also Principles of 2013 COS Framework 1992 Framework Guidance, 6 2006 Framework Guidance, 20 Principles of, 6, 9–10 2013 Framework, 17 Principles in, 5 2013 Framework, transitioning to COSO, 9-10 2013 Framework Guidance, 7–8, 10 - 11approach, flexible, adaptable, no one-size-fits-all, 16-17 approach, objective-driven, 16 A Compendium of Approaches and Examples, 158 controls vs. processes, 14-18 COSO Framework (1992; 2013), five interrelated components of the, 3 - 5cost-benefit requirements, debate of, internal control has limitations. 15 - 16

internal control integrated framework

overview, 2

Internal Control—Integrated Framework: Evaluation Tools (1992), 2, 158, 338 *Internal Controls Framework* (2013), revised COSO, 6-8 Internal Controls over Financial Reporting—Guidance for Smaller Public Companies (2006), 146, maintenance, basic scoping and strategies for, 11–12 people factor, 17-18 private companies, 14 reasonable assurance, 17 Section 302 certificates, 319 Section 404 certificates, 319 Smaller Public Company, 185 SOC No. 1, 7, 136 SOC No. 2, 7, 176 triangle of efficiency, 13–14 what we must do, 8-11where we depart, 12-13 compensating controls, 260-61, 269 287-90, 294-97, 301 compensation programs, 112 competence, 103, 110-12, 114.116, 118 complementary controls, 296 compliance and entity values (CE), 66 control activities 1992 Framework, 128, 130, 132 2013 Framework, 128-29, 132, 143 - 45accounts vs. transaction cycles, 129 assertions, role of, 124-27 assertions vs. control objectives, 127 - 29audit committee, 159-60, 164 automated controls, 130-32, 135, 162 board of directors, 158-60, 163-64 change controls, 137-38 COBIT and the IT Governance Institute, 140-41

commitments and contingencies, 152 - 53controls, examples of, 145 dependency, determining, 132-34 detective controls, 130, 162 equity, 153-54 fixed assets, 150-51 generic cycle, 157 goodwill and intangibles, 151 inventory, 148–49 investments, 154 IT environment, 131, 135, 139, 141 IT-related controls, 134-40 loans (financial institutions), 156–57 mix of controls types and levels of application, 130-31 new framework, transitioning to, 144-45 new systems development, 138–39 operations and maintenance, 139–40 payroll and benefits, 149–50 period end (quarterly and/or annual) process, 156 preventive controls, 124, 130 Principle 1: demonstrates commitment to integrity and ethical values, 158 Principle 2: exercises oversight responsibility, 159 Principle 3: establishes structure, authority, and responsibility, 142, 159 Principle 4: demonstrates commitment to competence, 143, 159 Principle 5: enforces accountability, 158, 160 Principle 6: specifies clear objectives, 160 Principle 7: identifies and analyzes risk, 160 Principle 8: assesses fraud risk, 160 - 61Principle 9: identifies and analyzes

significant changes, 161

control activities (Continued) Principle 10: selects and develops control activities to mitigate risks, 120-32, 145, 159-62, 164 Principle 11: selects and develops information technology general controls, 120, 131-34, 142, 145, Principle 12: deploys controls through policies and procedures, 120, 141-43, 145, 158-61, 163 Principle 13: uses relevant information, 120, 133, 163 Principle 14: communicates internally, 133, 163 Principle 15: communicates externally, 158, 163-64 Principle 16: conducts ongoing and/or separate evaluations, 164 Principle 17: evaluates and communicates deficiencies, 164 purchasing and cash disbursements, 148 - 49revenue. 147 risk assessment, 121-24 security and access, 136-37 segregation of duties, 131-32 summing up, 143-44 tax accrual and compliance, 151-52 treasury, 154–56 control criteria, 1-2 control deficiencies accounting expertise, in-house, 272 adjusted exposure, 287, 289, 296, 300 application controls, 258–59, 274, 280, 290-91, 293, 296-97 assessment, overall, 281–82 automated control, 249, 261, 265, 277-78, 280, 296 business characteristics and risk environment, 268-70 compensating controls, 260–62 control, purpose and level of, 264-65

control deficiencies, automated, 277 - 78control deficiencies, conditions indicating, 270-76 control deficiencies, evaluating process/transaction-level, 269 control deficiencies, internal, 249n1, 253, 265n9 control deficiencies, IT general, 279 - 80control deficiencies, manual, 277 control deficiencies and definitions, 252 - 63control deficiencies in pervasive controls other than ITGC, evaluating, 293-96 control deficiency, 251, 255, 260-62, 267-68, 272, 277-78, 285-87, 291–92, 294, 296, 300 control environment, 256, 260, 265, 271, 273–74, 281 control objective, 248, 252, 260, 269, 277-78, 280, 287-89, 291-92, 296-98 controls performance, inadequate evidence of, 275–76 deficiencies, aggregating, 280-81 deficiencies, examples of more severe, deficiencies, framework for assessing severity of, 262-63 deficiencies, inevitable, 248-51 deficiencies, other, 272–73 deficiencies and exceptions, 259-60 deficiencies that may be material weaknesses, special, 256–58 deficiency, design, 252, 255, 274, deficiency, key factors to assess severity of, 263-70deficiency, operating, 252, 274, 290 documentation, inadequate, 273-75 exceptions found in operating effectiveness, evaluating, 285-87

exceptions found when testing operating effectiveness, 268 gross exposure, 267, 287, 289, 296-97, 300 guiding principles, 285–96 IT general controls (ITCGs), 258–59, 266-67, 270, 273, 277-81 ITGC deficiencies, evaluating, 290–93 material misstatement, 253-56, 258, 269, 271, 277, 288–89, 294, 297 material weakness, 248, 250, 252-67, 269-72, 276, 278-81, 284, 286, 288-92, 294-97, 299 material weakness deficiencies over time, 276 misstatement, assessing the likelihood and significance of possible, 254 - 56not-for-profit company, 250, 270, 275 objectives and timing, 265-67 pervasive controls other than ITGC, 285, 293-97 potential misstatement, 255, 277 286, 297-98 Principle 11: selects and develops information technology general controls, 259 private companies, 250-51, 263, 270 process/transaction-level control deficiencies, 287-90 "prudent official" test, 262 public and private company standards alignment for assessing deficiency severity, 251-52 public companies, 248–49, 251–53, 260, 263, 266, 270, 273, 276 purpose, 283-85 redundant controls, 269, 287-89, 291, 298 terminology, 296-98 upper limit deviation rate, 286, 296 - 98upper limit in percent, calculate, 300

upper limit related to the magnitude of exposure, 300-301 weaknesses over time, 276 when is material weakness not a material weakness?, 258-59 control environment 2013 Principles, transition to, 115–16 audit committee, 104-7, 118 board of directors, 104-5, 110, 117 - 18governance and, 104–8, 113, 117 management's philosophy and operating style, 102 Principle 1: demonstrates commitment to integrity and ethical values, 100–103 108, 110, 114, 116 Principle 2: exercises oversight responsibility, 104-8 Principle 3: establishes structure, authority, and responsibility, 109-10 Principle 4: demonstrates commitment to competence, 103, 110-13, 116 Principle 5: enforces accountability, 113 - 16Principle 8: assesses fraud risk, 113, 115, 118 Principle 10: selects and develops control activities to mitigate risks, Principle 14: communicates internally, Principle 16: conducts ongoing and/or separate evaluation, 110 Principle 17: evaluates and communicates deficiencies, 110, 114 Control Objectives for Information and related Technology (COBIT), 140-41, 204 control responsibilities audit committee, 118 board of directors, 118

chief executive, 117

control responsibilities (Continued) finance officers, 118 internal auditors, 119 management, 118 personnel, other, 19 corruption, 62-64, 76, 76n1, 81, 85 Corruptions Transparency Index, 64 COSO. See Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission "CPA's Handbook of Fraud and Commercial Crime Prevention," 87-90. See also fraud clients and suppliers relationships, 88 code of conduct, organizational, 87 communications, prompt, 90 conflicts of interest, 88 employee conduct, 87-88 gifts, entertainment, and favors, 88 kickbacks and secret commissions, 88-89 organization funds and other assets, organization records and communications, 89 outside activities, employment, and directorships, 88 outside people and organizations, dealing with, 89 privacy and confidentiality, 90 credit cards, business, 101 customer complaints, 174 cycle counts of inventory, 226

## D

dashboard, 175
data element mapping, 207
data-mining thieves, 55
disaster and recovery plan, 140
disaster recovery, 208–10
documentation project. *See also* scoping
inquiries summary
be careful out there!, 40–41
business objectives, 21–24

disclosure committee (public companies only), 40 financial statements, mapping the entity to the, 25-26fraud, 22-23, 27, 38, 44 "in scope" does it imply extensive testing?, 37-39 initial year, after the, 24-25 internal audit activities, 34-35 investment and merger scoping considerations, 35–37 locations, multiple, 29–31 overstatement and understatement, 28 - 29risk, inherent and control, 28 risks as not just quantitative measures, 27-28 scope set by financial statements, 26 scope set by revenue, 22 service organizations and outsourcing, 31-34 Dodd-Frank Act of 2010, 13, 18, 67, 319 dual signatures, 49

## E

Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) *Consensus Position* 00−1, 36 employee survey. See sample practice aids Enron, 23, 165, 250 Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), Ernst & Young survey, 249 ethical behavior, 74, 76, 78-79, 83, 92 values, 273 ethics officer, 78 ethics policy, 103 European Spreadsheet Risks Interest Group, 133 evidence and testing. See also sample practice aids; sample size tutorial auditor alert, 197 auditor caution, 183

EITF. See Emerging Issues Task Force

corroborating information, 191 corroborative inquiry, 188 documentation, 184-85 efficiency tip, 181 evidence, 184 evidence, sufficient, 179-87 evidence, types of, 187-88 external auditors, 215 financial statement areas, 197 functioning, 188–89 independent auditors, 182-83, 185 - 87information, gathering, 187-93 inquiries, suggestions for, 190-91 internal auditor, 180, 182, 185, 199, 204, 215 internal controls, 180–83, 186–87, 189, 191, 195, 197, 203-4, 210 IT general controls (ITCGs), 204–5, 209 - 10 $non sampling \ situations, \ 202-3$ objective, your, 181–83 operating controls, infrequently, 201 plans, best-laid, 209 Principle 11: selects and develops information technology general controls, 194, 204 reporting date issues for internal controls opinions, 195-97 sad story, 191–92 sample size guidance, 203-4 sample size tutorial, 211–16 sample sizes for computerized controls, sampling and sample sizes, 198-202 test of one, 189 test timing, 195 testing and sampling, 194–202 testing new system development and implementation, 207-8 testing operations, 208–9 testing program modifications, 206-7 testing security and access controls, 205 - 10

tests, factors determining the extent of, 201 top-down concept, 194–95 true story, 208 walk-through, 181, 186, 188–95 Exchange Act filings, 40 external auditors, 168, 174, 215

#### F

fair value determination, 183
FASB. See Financial Accounting
Standards Board
FDA. See Food and Drug Administration
(FDA)
Federal Communications Commission
(FCC), 50

Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASE)

Concept Statements, 53
Interpretation Number (FIN) 46, 36
Statement No. 5: Accounting for
Contingencies, 253–54
Financial Executives International (FEI)

Code of Ethics Statement, 91–92 focus groups, 227–28
Food and Drug Administration (FDA), 50
Foreign Corrupt Practices Act in 2012, 62, 64

forms and templates

1992 COSO Internal Control— Integrated Framework: Evaluation Tools, 338

2006 COSO Internal Control over Financial Reporting—Guidance for Smaller Public Companies, 329 2013 Framework examples, 340–47 component and final assessment,

346-47

control environment component evaluation summary, 372 deficiency summary, 346 documentation of financial reporting software and spreadsheets, 364–67

Consideration of Fraud in an Audit of

#### 384 Index

forms and templates (Continued) form, sampling, 345-46 form, walk-through, 342-44 forms, principle-based information-gathering, 341 forms, transaction-based, 342 forms and reports, some illustrative, 341 - 47historical perspective, 338-40 information technology general controls assessment form, 355 - 63information-gathering form principle focused, 348-49 information-gathering form—revenue, 350 - 52internal control deficiencies summary, 371 IT general controls (ITCGs), 344 Principle 1: demonstrates commitment to integrity and ethical values, 371 - 72Principle 10: selects and develops control activities to mitigate risks; Principle 11: selects and develops information technology general controls, 340 Principle 12: deploys controls through policies and procedures, 341–42 sampling form for tests of controls, 368 - 70software and spreadsheets and standing data files, 344-45 story, true, 343 walk-through documentation form, 353 - 54work papers, flow of, 340 for-profit business organization, 106 The Fortune Cookie, 175 fraud. See also antifraud; "CPA's Handbook of Fraud and Commercial Crime Prevention"; SAS No. 99, Auditor's

Financial Statements Principle 8: assesses fraud risk, 5, 20, 62-65, 113, 115, 118, 160-61, 236 - 37"Achilles' Heel of Fraud Prevention." 225 AU-C Section 240, Consideration of Fraud in a Financial Statement Audit, 238 auditor responsibility to detect fraud, 65 Guidance To Help Prevent, Deter, and Detect Fraud, 72 Management Antifraud Programs and Controls (2002), 66 Management Override of Internal Controls: The Achilles' Heel of Fraud Detection (2005), 66 National Commission on Fraudulent Financial Reporting, 2 fraud officer, 78 fraud prevention, 65–66, 75, 86 fraud risk assessment about, 62, 65, 80-81, 86 equipment, inventory, and anything not bolted down, 95 fraud, detecting, 97–98 fraud risk areas and schemes, common, 93-96 fraud triangle, 96-97 inventory mischief, 96 payroll, 95 personal information risks, 95–96 purchasing and cash disbursements, 94-95 sales and cash receipts, 94 fraud risk-management program, 81 Funk, Arlene, 221, 221n1

gambling habit, 103 GAO. See Government Accountability Office

generally accepted accounting principles Principle 14: communicates internally, (GAAP), 9, 168, 181, 184, 251, 168 - 70267 Principle 15: communicates governance externally, 170–72 control environment and, 104-8, Information Systems Audit and Control 113, 117 Association (ISACA), 140 information and communication and, IT Control Objectives for Sarbanes-Oxley, 167 - 71reporting requirements, 303, 314, information technology (IT), 54-55, 81 317 auditors, 189 Government Accountability Office (GAO) professionals, 131, 139-41 about, 272, 303, 330 specialist, 114 Account Risk Analysis (ARA) forms, Information Technology Control Objectives 129 for Sarbanes-Oxley, 205, 323 Financial Auditing Manual, 129 information technology general controls Specific Control Evaluation (SCE) (ITGCs) forms, 129 about, 54-55, 258 Great Salad Oil Swindle, 96 control desciencies, 258-59, 266-67, 270, 273, 277–81 H evidence and testing, 204-5, harassment policy, 273 209-10 How to Conduct Surveys (Funk), 221 forms and templates, 344 human resources (HR), 103, 111, 226 reporting requirements, 308-9 Hurricane Katrina, 50, 140 Information Technology Governance Hurricane Sandy, 50, 140 Institute (ITGI) IT Control Objectives for Sarbanes-Oxley, IIA. See Institute of Internal Auditors Institute of Internal Auditors (IIA), incentives, 101, 112–13 34 - 35, 233independent auditors 175, 182–83, Standards for the Professional Practice of 185 - 86Internal Auditing (IIA Standards), information and communication external communications, 170 Institute of Management Accountant's informal communications, 168 Ethics Center, 77 intellectual property rights, 167 information, importance of, 167 internal auditors new framework, transitioning to, about, 34-35 171 - 72Principle 10: selects and develops control environment, 107, 110, 119 control activities to mitigate risks, documentation project, 34-35 evidence and testing, 180, 182, 185, Principle 12: deploys controls through 199, 204, 215 policies and procedures, 166, 169 monitoring, 175 Principle 13: uses relevant reports, 171 risk assessment, 65, 80, 83, 85-86 information, 166-68, 172



internal control(s) IT Governance Institute (ITGI) auditing standard, 105 IT Control Objectives for Sarbanes Oxley, deficiencies, 177-78 evidence and testing, 180–83, ITGCs. See information technology (IT) 186–87, 189, 191, 195, 197, general controls 203-4,210over financial reporting, 252, 302, 304 - 5JOBS Act of 2012, 18 performance, 173 project management and tools  $\mathbf{L}$ assessment design, 319-24, legal counsel, 177 328-29, 332-35 Lie, Dr. Eric, 24 Internal Revenue Service litigation exposure, 184 Form 990, 275 Form 990 A, 275 interviews. See questionnaire M development and interviews management discussion and analysis Iran (MD&A), 309 Advisory on the Use of Exchange management intervention, 102 Houses and Trading Companies to material weaknesses Evade U.S. Economic Sanctions about, 136, 160, 164 deficiencies over time, 276 Against Iran, 62 Amendment of Iranian Financial identified controls deficiencies, Sanctions Regulations to assessing severity of, 248, 250, Implement Sections 503 and 504 252-67, 269-72, 276, 278-81, 284, 286, 288-92, 294-97, 299 of Threat Reduction Act and Provisions of Executive Order management's year-end report, 306 13622,62 in risk assessment, 62 Guidance re Iran Threat Reduction significant deficiency and, 44 and Syria Human Rights Act of special deficiencies, 256-58 2012, 62 when is a material weakness not a Iran Freedom and Countermaterial weakness?, 258–59 Proliferation Act of 2012, Matthau, Walter, 27 MD&A. See management discussion and Iran Sanctions Loophole Elimination analysis Act of 2013, 61 mission statement, 103 Nuclear Iran Prevention Act of 2013. monitoring 61 oversight procedures, 173, 175 ISACA. See Information Systems Principle 3: establishes structure, Audit and Control authority, and responsibility, 176 Association Principle 4: demonstrates commitment ISO/IEC 27001, 137 to competence, 174 ISO/IEC 27002, 137 Principle 5: enforces accountability, IT. See information technology 176



risk, 174

Principle 9: identifies and analyzes
significant changes, 174

Principle 10: selects and develops
control activities to mitigate risks,
176

Principle 14: communicates internally,
176

Principle 16: conducts ongoing and/or
separate evaluations, 174–76

Principle 17: evaluates and
communicates deficiencies,
176–78

revised framework, transitioning to,

Principle 7: identifies and analyzes

## N

National Association of Securities Dealers (NASD), 106

National Commission on Fraudulent Financial Reporting, 2

177 - 78

New York State Information Security Breach and Notification Act, 95–96

New York Stock Exchange, 106 nonaccelerated filers, 182–83 Nonprofit Integrity Act of 2004, 275 nonpublic companies, 195 nonstatistical sampling methods, 219 North Korea Nonproliferation and Accountability Act of 2013, 61 not-for-profit company, 250, 270, 275 not-for-profit foundations, 104

## 0

Office of Management and Budget (OMB), 13, 47, 201, 203 oral evidence, 188 Orange County, CA, 27

## P

paper-tiger mentality, 113 Parmalat, 23 passwords, 203, 206 PCAOB. See Public Company Accounting Oversight Board performance and rewards structure, 113 performance targets, 101 personal identifying information, 136 POF. See point of focus point of focus (POF), 11 PricewaterhouseCoopers whitepaper on spreadsheets, 133, 202 Principles of 2013 COS Framework Principle 1: demonstrates commitment to integrity and ethical values, 20, 100-103, 108, 110, 114, 116, 158, 234-35, 371-72 Principle 2: exercises oversight

responsibility, 20, 104–8, 159 Principle 3: establishes structure.

Principle 3: establishes structure, authority, and responsibility, 20, 109–10, 142, 159, 176, 235

Example 4: demonstrates commitment to competence, 10, 20, 103, 110–13, 116, 143, 159, 174

Principle 5: enforces accountability, 20, 113–16, 158, 160, 176

Principle 6: specifies clear objectives, 20, 56–59, 160, 236

Principle 7: identifies and analyzes risk, 20, 56–59, 160, 174, 236

Principle 8: assesses fraud risk, 5, 20, 62–65, 113, 115, 118, 160–61, 236–37

Principle 9: identifies and analyzes significant changes, 17, 20, 26, 66–67, 161, 174

Principle 10: selects and develops control activities to mitigate risks, 20, 59, 110, 120–32, 145, 159–62, 164, 166, 176, 341

Principle 11: selects and develops information technology general controls, 5, 20, 54, 120, 131–34, 142, 145, 162, 194, 204, 259, 340

Principles of 2013 COS Framework operations and accounting personnel, 321 - 22(Continued) pilot project, value of a, 331-34 Principle 12: deploys controls through project management, 318–19 policies and procedures, 20, 120, project team, structuring, 319–26 141-43, 145, 158-61, 163, project team members, 320–21 166, 169, 237, 341-42 Principle 13: uses relevant responsibilities and lines of reporting, 319 - 20information, 20, 61, 120, 133, status indicators and warnings, 163, 166-68, 172 328 - 29Principle 14: communicates internally. technical specialists, 322–24 20, 61, 115, 133, 163, 168–70, testing and evaluation teams, 324 tool solution features, 326-31 Principle 15: communicates externally, tools assessment design, 325-26 20, 61, 158, 163-64, 170-72 user interface design, 327 Principle 16: conducts ongoing and/or work paper discipline, 329 separate evaluations, 20, 110, 164, 174-76, 237-38 public companies control deficiencies, 248-49, 251-53, Principle 17: evaluates and 260, 263, 266, 270, 273, 276 communicates deficiencies, 20, evidence and testing, 182, 185–86, 110, 114, 164, 176-78, 237-38 7 195–96, 230, 235 2013 COSO guidance and, 7-11 project management and tools Type 2 Service Organizations report, 305 assessment design, 318-19, 323, privacy laws, 55 325, 330, 335-36 private companies control deficiencies, 250 Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (PCAOB), 6, 11, 14, 179 270 COSO, 14 Auditing Standard AS No. 2:, 21, 31, 194, 250 project management and tools Auditing Standard AS No. 4, 314-15 assessment design, 318 Auditing Standard AS No. 5, 21, 31, private enterprises, 104 38-39, 54, 182, 186, 253, 297, project management and tools 303, 310–11, 313–14, 320, 322 assessment design Auditing Standard AS No. 15, 126–27 archiving capability, 330–31 backups and recovery, 329 auditing standards, 103 cost control, 46 contextual help screens, 328 Statement on Standards for Attestation criteria, additional, 329-31 Engagements (SSAE) No. 15, 303 cross referencing and linking, 328 public disclosures, 197 flexibility and adaptability, 327 forms migration, 329-30 independent auditors, 334-36 internal control, 319-24, 328-29, quarterly 10-Q reports, 314 332 - 35questionnaire development and network compatible, 327 interviews. See also sample one-write capability, 328 practice aids

controls, reporting on, 230-31 R data analysis and reporting results, random selection procedures, 219 222 - 23records of complaints, 170 entity-level controls and management, Red Cross, 303 232 - 34regulators, 168, 170 focus groups, 227–28 regulatory agency, 171 inquiries for walk-throughs, 232-34 regulatory issues, 167 interview follow-up, 231 Release of 2012 Terrorist Assets Report, interview procedures, setting the scope 62 of, 230-31reporting requirements interview process, 228-30 AICPA report on internal controls, interviews, conducting, 224–25 illustrative, 316-17 interviews, examples of use, 225-26 as-of reporting implications, 307-9 interviews, planning and strategy for, auditor reports on internal control, 226 - 27independent 311-12 interviews, tips for effective and auditors and legal counsel, efficient, 228 coordinating independent, 315 management inquiries: sample communications, company and questions, 234–38 auditor, 312-14 Principle 1: demonstrates commitment financial statements and internal to integrity and ethical values, controls, 312 management's report on material Principle 3: establishes structure, weakness at year-end, 306 authority, and responsibility, 235 management's responsibilities for Principle 6: specifies clear objectives, internal control, 309-12 nonpublic entity reporting, 302–3 Principle 7: identifies and analyzes public company annual and quarterly risk, 236 reporting requirements, 304–5 Principle 8: assesses traud risk, 236–37 reporting the remediation of Principle 12: deploys controls through weaknesses, 314-15 policies and procedures, 237 risk assessment Principle 16: conducts ongoing and/or 2013 principles, transitioning to, separate evaluations, 237–38 70 - 71Principle 17: evaluates and antifraud controls for management to communicates deficiencies, consider, 66-67 237 - 38assertions, 51-55 assessments of inherent and control survey, testing the, 221-24 survey questions, writing, 223–24 risks, 50-51 surveys, common problems, 219 auditor responsibility to detect fraud, surveys, Web-based, 222, 240 surveys of employees, 219-24 balance sheet accounts at period-end, when and how often?, 221 53 whom and how many to survey?, basics, 47–48 219 - 21compliance objectives, 58



risk assessment (Continued) compliance risks, 61-62 control environment, 45, 81, 84 cost control, 46-47 financial reporting objectives, external, financial statements, presentation and disclosure in, 53-54 income statement and current-period transactions, 52–53 information gathering to support the risk assessment and consider change, 68-69 information technology issues and risk assessment, 54-55 inquiries and corroboration, 70 internal reporting objectives, 58 material weaknesses in, 62 nonfinancial reporting objectives, external, 58 operations objectives, 57-58 Principle 6: specifies clear objectives, 56-59 Principle 7: identifies and analyzes risk, 56–59 Principle 8: assess fraud risk, 62–65 Principle 9: identify and assess significant change, 66-67 Principle 10: selects and develops control activities to mitigate risks, 59 Principle 11: selects and develops information technology general controls, 54 Principle 13: uses relevant information, 61 Principle 14: communicates internally, 61 Principle 15: communicates externally, 61 Risk Assessment Principles: 2013 vs. 2006, 70 risk assessment principles in COSO, 46

risk information, external sources of, 60–61
risk information, internal sources of, 61
risk measurement using likelihood and magnitude, 49
risks, identifying, 59–61
risks and changes, consideration of, 69
statistics, some, 63–65
ties to other principles and components, 66
upper management and, 65, 82
Russian aggression in Crimea and the Ukraine, 62

## C

SALY. See same as last year same as last year (SALY), 24, 67 sample practice aids. See also evidence and testing; questionnaire development and interviews employee survey, sample, 241-42 employee survey of corporate culture and personnel policies, sample, 240 - 42employee survey results, guidance on the evaluation of, 242-45 letter to employees in advance of employee survey, sample, 239-40 walk-throughs and transaction controls sample, 245-47 sample size tutorial. See also evidence and testing AU-C No. 530, Audit Sampling, 211 decision rule for results, 213 deviations, cautions about, 216 sample size formula, 212–13 sample sizes, computer-determined, 215 - 16sample sizes determined using a table, 213 - 14

sampling plan, two-stage sequential,

215



Sarbanes-Oxley (SOX) Act of 2002 deficiency and material weakness, existence of significant, 44 about, 5, 18, 24, 55, 123, 176, 179, 250, 309, 318 engagement scope, 43 Section 302, 176, 235, 310 internal control considerations, Section 404, 62, 176, 194 43 - 44SEC. See Securities and Exchange SAS No. 99, Auditor's Consideration of Fraud in an Audit of Commission Financial Statements. See also SEC v. Livent. 279 American Institute of Certified Securities and Exchange Commission **Public Accountants:** (SEC) fraud about, 1-2, 7, 13, 105, 123, 176, about, 65-66, 72-76, 238 179, 183, 318 antifraud processes and controls, Blue Ribbon Commission on audit evaluating, 80-82 committees, 105-7 audit committee or board of directors, cost control, 46 82 - 84internal control, 166 auditor's considerations, 72–73 public companies, 14 confirmation, 79-80 Release No. 33-8238, 304 culture of honesty and high ethics, Release No. 33-8809, 218 creating, 76-86 Release No. 33-8810, 30-31, 252, discipline, 80 256, 260, 304–6, 312 employees, hiring and promoting Release No. 34–47986, 304 appropriate, 78-79 SEC 10-K annual filing, 13 fraud risks, identifying and measuring, SEC Form 10-K, 36, 42-43 80 - 81Security Breach and Notification Act, 96 fraud risks, mitigating, 81 security breaches, 49, 96 fraud triangle, 96-97 segregation of duties, 167 Guidance To Help Prevent, Deter, And service organization controls (SOC), 34 Detect Fraud, 72-74 significant deficiency, 136 independent auditors, 86 social media, 171 information, other, 86 software testing, 208 internal auditors, 85 sole proprietorship, 108 internal controls, implementing and standards of conduct, 100 monitoring, 81–82 Statement of Auditing Standards. management, 84 See also SAS No. 99, Auditor's oversight process, 82-86 Consideration of Fraud in an Audit of preface, 74 Financial Statements tone at the top, setting the, 76-77Guidance To Help Prevent, Deter, and training, 79 Detect Fraud, 72 workplace environment, creating a statistical sampling methods, 220 positive, 77–80 stratified samples yield, 220 scoping inquiries summary surveys. See questionnaire development company operations and industry and interviews; sample practice characteristics, 42-43 aids

Syria

Guidance re Iran Threat Reduction and Syria Human Rights Act of 2012, 62 Syria Transition Support Act of 2013, 61 systems development life cycle, 139

## T

Target Stores, 49
tax shelter, aggressive, 101
temptations, 101
terrorist attacks of 9/11, 140
thirty-fifth of December, 53
training programs, 72, 78
Treasury Department, 67
Turnbull Report (United Kingdom),
2
Type I reports, 34, 176
Type II report, 33–34, 176

## U

United Way, 303 upper limit methodology, 278 upper management, 65, 82

## V

variable interest entities (VIEs), 36 VIEs. *See* variable interest entities

## W

Wall Street Journal, 171 Web-based surveys, 222, 240 whistleblower policies, 273 WorldCom, 23, 250

## Y

Y2K, 137-39

## 7

ZZBest, 27