

Index

- Aberdeen, 105
- Accenture, 145
- Acceptance, 22, 83
- Accountability, 115, 280
- Accounts Payable (AP) ledger, 11, 12
- ACH (automated clearinghouse), 94
- Actionable training, 275–276
- Actual lost savings, 114
- Additional information, RFP response, 54
- Ad hoc sourcing events, 238–239
- Adjacent industries, collaborations involving, 189–190
- Adjacent technologies, 140–141
- Administration, 58–60, 160, 222–223, 248–251
- Administrative expenses, 47, 173, 179
- Adoption time, tool, 159
- Adversarial approach to negotiations, 79
- Age, of product or service data, 141
- Aggregation, data, 198, 242
- Alignment, 225, 230, 247, 249, 253, 255–256
- Alternative processes, 39–40, 62
- Alternative products and services, 39–40, 186–187. *See also* Substitution
- Alternative suppliers, 112, 113, 169
- Alternative technologies, 39
- AMR, 17
- Analytics, software suite, 160
- Ancillary fees, 29
- Annual revenue, 123
- AP (Accounts Payable) ledger, 11, 12
- Appendix, RFP, 55
- Appropriate training, 271
- Ariba, 145
- Artificial intelligence, 203
- Attachments, contract, 96–99
- Auctions, 60–61, 85–86, 146, 152–153
- Audits, 95–96, 111
- Automated clearinghouse (ACH), 94
- Automation, 115, 117, 157–158, 284–287
- Auto-renew clauses, *see* Renewal clauses
- Auto-substitution, 119
- Availability, of historical sales data, 23
- Avoidance response, line-item detail request, 22
- Award criteria, 53
- Awareness, market, 247–248
- Balanced training content, 274–275
- Bankruptcy, 91
- Baseline document, 29–31, 50, 112
- Baseline perception, of procurement, 260–261
- Baseline skills assessments, 273
- BATNA (Best Alternative to Negotiated Agreement) approach, 67–68, 81–83
- The beg, 85
- Benchmark data, 135–136, 178
- Best Alternative to Negotiated Agreement (BATNA) approach, 67–68, 81–83
- Best-case scenario, 210
- Best-in-breed technology tools, 159–160
- Best Single Offer approach, 68
- Better solution, finding, 186, 193–194
- Bidding process, *see* RFX phase
- Bidding suppliers, 45, 51, 58–60, 76, 128–129, 170–171, 249
- Bid evaluation process, 67–68
- Big picture thinking, 107
- Billing, 111, 175
- Blanket indemnification, 92
- Blanket training, 274
- Board members, 166
- Bonus structure, of supplier's salesperson, 193–195
- BravoSolution, 145
- Brokers, 37
- Budgets, 60, 117, 234
- Business description, RFP, 52
- Business partnering model, 264
- Buy-in, 15–16, 60–61

- Buying trends, 111–112
 Buy-side focused contracts, 153
- Cancellation, contract, 95
 Capabilities reviews, 62, 273
 Car buying process, 133
 Career advancement, 268
 Catalog management, 175
 Category experience, 229–230, 254–255
 Category management, xiii, 3–4, 173, 203, 204, 219, 264
 Category owners, as stakeholders, 163
 Center-led teams, 259
 Centralized teams, 259, 261–262, 264
 CEO (chief executive officer), 258
 Certification, 40, 124
 CFO (chief financial officer), 258–259
 “The CFO’s View of Procurement” (Aberdeen), 105
 Change management, 31, 106–108, 175, 252, 277–278
 Channel conflicts, for GPO suppliers, 179
 Chief executive officer (CEO), 258
 Chief financial officer (CFO), 258–259
 Chief operations officer (COO), 258
 China, steel market, 134
 CI (continuous improvement) clause, 103–104
 Cisco, 37
 Clarity, of scope, 77–78
 Classification, data, 148, 198
 Cleansing, data, 11, 12, 14, 198, 199
 CLM tools, *see* Contract lifecycle management (CLM) tools
 Collaboration, 279. *See also* Supplier collaboration
 Commodity projects, complexity of, 233, 239
 Commodity-specific GPOs, 174
 Communication, 21, 25–26, 35, 45, 63, 110, 170–171, 177–178, 195, 242, 249, 250. *See also* Supplier feedback
 Competitive leverage, 80–81, 179, 207, 228–229, 253
 Complexity, 50, 121–122, 217–231, 233, 237–239. *See also* High-complexity projects; Low-complexity projects
 Compliance, industry-standard, 98
 Compliance-driven procurement, 278–279
 Compliance services, from GPOs, 175
 Components, cost of, 142
- Conducting research, *see* Research phase
 Consignment inventory programs, 116
 Consistency, 98, 282, 283
 Consolidation, 13–17, 36, 175
 Consumers, supplier selection by, 105
 Contact information, in RFP, 52
 Continuous improvement (CI) clause, 103–104
 Continuous improvement initiatives, 115–119.
 See also Implementation and Continuous Improvement phase
 Contract(s), 20, 89–99, 101–104, 179, 251
 Contract analysis, 26–29
 Contracting phase, 6, 86, 89–104, 225–226, 251, 263–264
 Contract lifecycle management (CLM) tools, 146, 147, 153–154, 156
 Contract management, 99–100, 262–263, 285
 Contract management repositories, 153
 Contractual requirements, on baseline document, 30
 Control, 134, 160, 237–238
 COO (chief operations officer), 258
 Corcentric, 145, 148
 Corporate banking, 41
 Corrugated cardboard boxes, 28–29, 113, 118, 142
 Cost, 37, 46–49, 70, 142, 159, 200
 Cost control, in weak economy, 134
 Cost factors, researching, 38
 Cost reduction, asking for further, 85, 86
 Cost savings, 7, 33–34, 103–105, 108–109, 111–112, 115, 176–177. *See also* entries *beginning* Savings
 Cost structure, on baseline document, 30
 Cover page, RFP, 51
 COVID pandemic, 177
 Credibility, 86
 Credit card payments, 94
 Critical products or services, 46, 48–49
 Cure, right to, 91
 Current state, 219, 243–244, 288
 Customer-led implementation, 105
 Customization, 28–29, 56, 176, 253
 Cycle time metrics, 285
- Data, 11, 12, 14, 148, 198, 199, 203–205, 242–243
 Data collection, defined, 9–10

- Data Collection and Spend Analysis phase, 5–6, 9–31, 48–49, 100, 137, 147, 164, 168, 218–219, 241–243
- Data requirements, 241–242
- Data sets, decentralized, 12–13, 242
- Data sources, 168
- Date of submission, in RFP, 52
- D&B (Dunn and Bradstreet), 205
- Deadlines, in RFx documents, 57–58
- Debrief meetings, 128
- Decentralized data sets, 12–13, 242
- Decentralized spending, 206
- Decentralized teams, 259, 261
- Decision makers, 83–84, 166
- Default clauses, 91
- Delivery, 70, 274–275
- Demographic specifications, on RFPs, 123
- Department heads, 166
- Determine (company), 145
- Digitization, 284–287
- Direct negotiations, 84–85
- Direct-spend focused sourcing tools, 151–152
- Disclosure, RFP, 55–56
- Discounts, 10, 38, 93, 102, 103
- Distributors, 28, 34, 36–37, 190
- DocuSign, 159
- Downstream effects, of complexity, 237–238
- Downstream technology solutions, 147, 155–156
- Dual-role resource strategy, 261–262
- Dunn and Bradstreet (D&B), 205
- Duration, project complexity and, 229, 254
- Dutch auctions, 153

- Early adopters, 264–265
- Early payments, 93
- Early termination, 91, 96
- Ease of use, selecting tools based on, 159
- EDI Connection function, tools with, 158
- 80/20 rule, 14
- Electronic data interchange (EDI), 158
- Electronic funds transfers (ETFs), 94
- Electronic sourcing (e-sourcing) tools, 59, 73, 128, 146, 150–152
- Elitist attitude, RFPs with, 127, 128
- E-mail, 24, 41, 42, 59–60, 125–126, 185
- E-mail template, 126
- Employee development, 271
- End goal, providing supplier with, 187–188
- End-state vision, 246, 257, 261, 266, 269, 277–279
- End users, 18–21, 39–40, 87, 106–110, 113, 138, 163, 167, 279
- Engagement, *see* Stakeholder engagement; Supplier engagement
- English auctions, 153
- Enterprise resource planning (ERP) systems, 13, 37, 147
- Entitled attitude, in RFPs, 127, 128
- ERP systems, *see* Enterprise resource planning systems
- ETFs (electronic funds transfers), 94
- Events, GPO-sponsored, 178
- Evergreen clauses, *see* Renewal clauses
- Exceptions, procurement policy, 281–282
- Execution of sourcing roadmap, 213
- Executive summary, 54
- Executive team, 18, 31, 110, 278
- Expectations, core competency, 272
- Expenses, administrative, 47, 173, 179
- Expiration date, contract, 100
- External drivers, 220, 237
- External training, 274
- Extraction, data, 198

- Facilities management, requirements development, 221
- Feature and function specifications, 124
- Feedback, 260–261. *See also* Supplier feedback
- Fees, ancillary, 29
- Final targets, 6, 76, 81–83, 86–87
- Finance department, 13, 112, 169–170, 258–259
- Financial information, from end users, 20
- First-round bids, 76, 81
- First-tier suppliers, supply chain reviews with, 188–189
- Flexibility, 50, 62, 70, 121–130, 160
- FOB (free on board) destination freight terms, 93
- FOB (free on board) origin freight terms, 93
- Follow-up contact, 129
- Food and Drug Administration, 205
- Footer, RFP, 51
- Force majeure clause, 94–95
- Forecasted savings, 210–211
- Formality, RFP as, 126
- Former suppliers, noncompliant spend with, 112

- Forrester, 17
- Fortune 500 market, 123
- Foundational requirements, 246
- FreeMarkets, 145
- Free on board (FOB) destination freight terms, 93
- Free on board (FOB) origin freight terms, 93
- Freight, 36, 38, 93, 189
- Frequency, of audit requests, 96
- Frictionless buying experience, 278
- Full-suite solutions, 159–160
- Future state, 220, 244–245, 288
- Gartner, 17
- Generalist GPOs, 174
- General ledger, 11–12
- General terms, contract/pricing agreement, 26
- Generic e-mail addresses, spamming, 125–126
- Gentlemen's agreements, 104
- Global market intelligence, 134–135
- Go-forward strategy, 30–31
- Governance, 178, 259, 278–284
- GPOs, *see* Group purchasing organizations
- Group purchasing organizations (GPOs), 37, 173–181
- Guaranteed savings programs, 117
- Handshake deals, 104
- Hard copies, retrieving data from, 243
- Hard-copy RFP spam method, 126
- Hard metrics, 138
- Header, RFP, 51
- Hidden charges, 73
- High-complexity projects, 235–236, 238–239, 241–256
- Higher learning institutions, 49
- Historical experience, complexity determination based on, 217, 224
- Historical sales information, 22–24
- Hocus Pocus* (Vonnegut), xiii
- Hold harmless clauses, 91–92
- Hosted catalogs, 154, 156
- Hurricane Katrina, 94
- Hybrid technology approach, 159, 160
- ICG, 145
- Ideal (perfect) customer, 78, 191–193
- Ideal supplier, 192
- Implementation, 49, 54, 175, 287
- Implementation and Continuous Improvement phase, 7, 98, 105–120, 171, 226–227, 251–252
- Implementation costs, technology, 39
- Implementation plan, 212
- Implementation team, 108
- Inappropriate suppliers, RFP spamming, 126
- Incentives, early payment, 93
- Incumbent supplier(s), 22–26, 28–29, 40, 60, 66, 96, 135–136, 164, 170, 230, 255
- Indemnification clauses, 91–92
- Independence, 120
- Index-based price change mechanism, 97
- Indirect procurement team, 257–269, 271–288
- Individual technology products, full-suite solutions vs., 159–160
- Industries, supplier collaboration in similar, 189–190
- Industry-specific data, 205
- Industry-specific GPOs, 174
- Industry-standard compliance, 98
- Influencers, 166–167
- Information, 9, 13–17, 107, 109–110. *See also* Requests for information (RFIs)
- Information Technology (IT) department, 13, 169–170
- In-house capabilities, 204
- In-house category experience, 229–230, 254–255
- Integration, software suite, 160
- Intelligence, market, *see* Market intelligence
- Intent, letters of, 98–99
- Interested parties, as stakeholders, 167–168
- Internal benchmarks, 135
- Internal change management, 108–110
- Internal documents and information, 84, 96
- Internal training materials, 274
- Interviews, 18–21, 24–26, 28–29, 164–165
- Intuition, complexity determination based on, 217
- Inventory, 116, 117, 211
- Invoices, 21–22, 111, 117, 157–158, 283
- Invoice only purchases, 281
- Invoice Scan and Capture function, tools with, 158
- Invoice-to-Pay platforms, 117
- In-year savings, 210–211

- ISO certification, 124
- IT (Information Technology) department, 13, 169–170
- Jaggaer, 145
- Japanese auctions, 153
- Key performance indicators (KPIs), 98
- Kickoffs, project, 18, 164, 213
- Knowledge sharing, with GPOs, 178
- Kraft Liner Board Index, 142
- Language, contract, 101–104
- Late payment penalties, 93
- Laziness, RFP spamming due to, 127
- Leadership, 264, 278
- Leading edge technology, tools with, 160
- Ledgers, 11–12
- Legacy processes, 34
- Legal team or department, 100–101, 251
- Length, 89–90, 121–122
- Letters of intent, 98–99
- Leverage, 79–80, 180–181, 193–196, 228–229, 253. *See also* Competitive leverage
- Leveraged products or services, 46, 48
- Leverage points, 79–81, 84–85, 195–196
- Liability clauses, 27, 56, 91–92
- Limit-of-liability clauses, 92
- Line-item detail, 21–25, 114
- Local suppliers, 36
- Location, 20, 123
- Logo use, 195
- Loss, 46–49, 93
- Lost costs, recovering, 104
- Lost savings, 112–115
- Low-complexity projects, 233–240
- Low-complexity segments, of projects, 235–236, 238–239
- Low-cost analysis, 82
- Machine learning, 203
- Maintenance, repair, and operations (MRO) supplies, 37, 47
- Mandated requirements, 39–40
- Manual procurement methods, 285–286
- Manufacturers, 34, 36–37, 140–141
- Manufacturer representatives, 37
- Market, going to, 49–50, 122, 170–171, 180
- Market awareness, 247–248
- Market competition, 228–229, 253. *See also* Competitive leverage
- Market conditions, 34, 38, 86–87, 130, 136, 204, 245
- Marketing department, spend analysis of, 15–16
- Market intelligence, 41–44, 84, 133–143, 178, 187, 245–246
- Marketplace, end user perspective on, 20
- Market speculation, 143
- Master data management, 148–150
- Master platform, software suite, 160
- Master taxonomy, 202
- Materials, as cost factor, 38
- Meetings, savings analysis reviews, 110
- Methanol suppliers, 190
- Metrics, 98, 138–139, 210–211, 263, 285. *See also specific metrics*
- Microlearning approach to training, 275–276
- Microsoft Excel, 75, 138
- Microsoft licensing, 37
- Microsoft Power BI, 149
- Misinformation, 107, 109–110
- Missing information, 107, 109–110
- Month-to-month contract extensions, 90
- Most-favored-nations clause, 101–103
- Motivation, 77–78, 109, 194–195
- MRO (maintenance, repair, and operations) supplies, 37, 47
- Multiplier effect, 230, 238
- Multi-referential data, 202
- NAICS (North American Industry Classification System) taxonomy, 199–200
- Name normalization, 148
- National suppliers, 36
- Natural disasters, 94–95
- Needs, approaching suppliers with specifications vs., 186
- Negative leverage opportunities, 80–81
- Negotiation, 48, 76–81, 102
- Negotiations phase, 6, 44, 75–87, 89, 164, 224–225, 249–251
- Net cost per unit, 10
- Net price, 33
- NetSuite, 159
- Networking, with GPOs, 178
- New suppliers, 171, 255–256
- Niche technology solutions, 288

- Nintendo, 135
- “No” answer, in negotiations, 83–85
- Non-catalog requests, 157
- Noncompliant spend, 112–115
- Nondisclosure agreements, 29
- Nonhierarchical data, 202–203
- Nonprofit organizations, influencers in, 166
- Non-proprietary three-level taxonomy, 201
- Normalization, data, 148, 198
- North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) taxonomy, 199–200
- Notification, of supplier selection, 74
- Objectives, project complexity and, 220, 244–245
- Objectivity, 4–5, 20, 72–73, 106–108
- Office supplies, 117, 119
- Onboarding, 266–267
- Open-ended RFPs, 51
- Openness, 185
- Operations department, 171, 258
- Opportunity analysis tools, 146, 150
- Opportunity assessment, 150, 197–213
- Opportunity profiles, 205–207
- Optimization, 73–74, 76, 81–83, 118, 152
- Oracle, 159
- Organization abstract, 52
- Organizational importance, project complexity and, 227–228, 252–253
- Organizational need, for indirect procurement team, 259
- Outage risk, 35–36
- Outsourcing, 177, 205
- Overall account review, 24
- Overconfidence, in category experience, 230
- Overpayment recovery, 96
- Oversight of indirect spend, 4–5
- P2P tools, *See* Procure to Pay (P2P) tools
- Pachter, Michael, 135
- Partnerships with suppliers, 49, 78, 136, 139–140
- Part numbers, 28, 113
- Past experience, implementation challenges due to, 107–108
- Payment, 13, 54, 93–94, 146, 155, 158–159, 193
- Payments due, 93
- P-card transactions, 13
- Peer training, 273
- Penalties, late payment, 93
- Perfect (ideal) customer, 78, 191–193
- Performance evaluations, skills matrix in, 272–273
- Personal requirements, of end users, 39–40
- Phishing, 35, 59, 126
- Phone calls, 24, 42, 43, 71–72, 129
- Pivot tables, 14–17
- Plan B offer, 81
- POs, *see* Purchase orders
- Point in time, project complexity and, 229, 254
- Point-in-time view, in spend analysis tools, 148, 149
- Porter’s Five Forces analysis, 206
- Positive leverage opportunities, 80
- PO to Invoice Flip function, 158
- Prebrief meetings, 128
- Preferred suppliers, of GPOs, 177
- Presentations, 31, 62, 191–192
- Price, 6, 10, 30, 76, 80, 86
- Price change mechanisms, 26, 97
- Pricing, 26–27, 29–30, 54, 61, 67–68, 97, 100, 118, 179–180, 248
- Pricing agreements, 6, 26–29, 104
- Pricing audits, 111
- Printing standardization, 118
- Prioritization, 77–78, 236, 241, 273
- Process(es), 33, 39–40, 62, 117, 190, 279, 282–284, 288
- Process drivers of complexity, 217–227, 237, 241–252
- “Procurement 2020,” xiii
- Procurement card transactions, 13
- Procurement-centered taxonomy, 148, 201–203
- Procurement department or team, 112, 163, 234, 258–261, 281. *See also* Indirect procurement team
- Procurement policy, 274, 279–282, 284
- Procurement technology, 11, 17, 33, 115, 117, 127, 145–161, 175, 197, 283, 284–288
- Procurement tools, 146
- Procure to Pay (P2P) tools, 117, 146, 155–157, 175, 283
- Procurian, 145
- Product descriptions, searching, 113
- Product lifecycle, phase of, 137
- Product offerings, 54, 169

- Product procured, 20, 33, 39–40, 46–49, 140, 141, 186–187, 227–228, 252
- Profiles, opportunity, 205–207
- Profit margin, 38
- Program building, by GPOs, 176
- Program goals, in RFP, 52
- Project drivers of complexity, 217, 227–230, 237, 252–256
- Projected lost savings, 113–114
- Project kickoffs, 18, 164, 213
- Project lead, 21
- Project management, 5
- Project road map, 16–17
- Proposal, requests for, *see* Requests for proposal (RFPs)
- Proprietary products, specifications for, 29
- Proprietary taxonomy, building, 202
- Publicly-traded companies, 99
- Pulp and Paper Weekly*, 142
- Punchout catalog, 117, 157
- Purchase Decision Matrix, 281
- Purchase orders (POs), 6, 111, 117, 158, 283
- Purpose statement, procurement policy, 280
- Pushback response, to line-item detail request, 22–23

- Qlik, 149
- Qualitative analysis, for scorecarding, 65, 68–71
- Qualitative requirements, 5–6, 222
- Quality information, in RFP response, 54
- Quantitative analysis, for scorecarding, 65, 67–69
- Quantitative requirements, 5, 6, 222
- Quantity of suppliers, complexity of project and, 250–251
- Questions, 53, 141–142, 193–194
- Quick-sourcing solutions, 151
- Quick-win opportunities, 213, 235
- Quotes, requests for, *see* Requests for quotes (RFQs)

- Ranked auctions, 153
- Rapport building, 43
- Raw materials sourcing, 35–36, 118, 142
- Reactionary processes, 282–283
- Real-time view, spend analysis tools with, 148–150
- References, 62, 65, 71–72
- Regional suppliers, 36
- Relationship management, with GPOs, 180–181
- Relevant training, 275
- Renewal, contract, 90–91
- Renewal clauses, 26, 90, 100
- Replacement parts, 48–49
- Reports, 20, 24, 25, 115
- Reporting services, of GPOs, 176
- Reporting structure, 258–259, 261–263
- Requests for information (RFIs), 6, 41–46, 122
- Requests for proposal (RFPs), 6, 38, 47–57, 80, 121–125, 191–194, 222–223, 247–251. *See also* RFP spam
- Requests for purchase (requests for requisition), 283–284
- Requests for quotes (RFQs), 6, 49, 50, 56–58. *See also* Reverse auctions
- Requirements, 5–6, 27–28, 30, 39–40, 97–98, 141–142, 169–170, 185–187, 221–222, 241–242, 246–247, 284
- Requisitioning process, 283
- Research phase, 6, 33–45, 126, 128–129, 168–169, 220–221, 245–246
- Resources, 108, 127, 210, 213, 287
- Respect, 130
- Response from suppliers, 22–24, 46, 51, 53, 54, 122, 127–128, 191
- Responsibilities, 21, 280–281
- Retention, team members, 267–268
- Return on investment (ROI), 261, 263
- Revenue, RFP questions about, 123
- Reverse auctions, 60–61, 85–86, 146, 152–153
- Reviewers, contract, 251
- RFIs, *see* Requests for information
- RFPs, *see* Requests for proposal
- RFP matrix (scorecard), 68–72
- RFP spam, 59, 125–130
- RFQs, *see* Requests for quotes
- RFx phase, 6, 35, 36, 44–63, 66, 100, 121–130, 170–171, 222–223
- Right-of-first-refusal clause, 96
- Right-to-audit clause, 95–96
- Right to cure, 91
- Risk management, supplier, 155
- Risk-of-loss clause, 93
- Risk of supply loss, 46–49
- ROI (return on investment), 261, 263
- Role definition, 21, 257–262, 269, 280

- Role description, for talent acquisition, 266
- Root cause of unexpected complexity, 237
- S2P (Source-to-Pay) software, 146. *See also specific types*
- Sales pipeline, priority in, 77–78
- Sales representatives (from supplier), 23, 84–85, 122–123, 193
- SAP, 145, 159
- Sarbanes-Oxley Act, 99
- Savings analysis, 106, 109, 110, 115
- Savings metrics, 210–211. *See also Cost savings*
- Savings reporting, 176
- Savings targets, 206–207, 210–211
- Schedule, for pricing reviews and modifications, 97
- Scope, procurement policy, 280
- Scope of work, 27–28, 40–41, 53, 77–78, 97, 195–196
- Scorecarding process, 58, 65–74, 123, 155, 223–224, 249
- Scoring criteria, 58, 66–67, 249
- Segmentation, 235–236, 238–239, 262
- Selectica, 145
- “Selling” the RFP, 51
- Sell-side focused contracts, 153
- Sentient analysis, 205
- Service, 20, 33, 39–40, 46–49, 54, 70, 140, 141, 174–176, 186–187, 227–228, 252
- Service agreements, 94–95
- Service level, 21, 30, 37, 98, 104
- Service-level requirements, 27–28, 39–40, 97–98
- Service offerings, 54, 169
- Services-focused e-sourcing tools, 152
- Servicing metrics, 98
- Servicing proposals, 80
- Shipping, 73–74
- Shortages, GPO leverage during, 177
- SIM (supplier information management) tools, 146, 154
- Skeptical end users, 106, 109
- Skills assessments, 268, 271–274
- Skills matrix, 271–274
- Smaller organizations, 121–122, 128, 136, 160
- Soft-cost benefits, 195
- Soft metrics, 138–139
- Software, 40, 49. *See also Procurement technology; specific types*
- Solution, finding better, 186, 193–194
- Source-to-Pay (S2P) software, 146. *See also specific types*
- Sourcing Innovation, 17
- Sourcing phase, 45–46, 263–264
- Sourcing roadmap, 197, 210–213, 239, 241
- Sourcing services, from GPOs, 176
- Sourcing strategy(-ies), 6, 30–31, 34, 44–50, 180
- Sourcing tools, *see Electronic sourcing (e-sourcing) tools*
- Spam, RFX, 59, 125–130
- Specifications, 28–29, 124, 125, 141–142, 186
- Specification sheets, supplier, 29
- Spend analysis, 13–16, 197–199. *See also Data Collection and Spend Analysis phase*
- Spend analysis tools, 11, 17, 115, 146–150, 197
- Spend category(-ies), 5–6, 14–17, 20–21, 24, 28, 29, 34, 50, 113, 168, 169, 176, 203–204, 229–230, 243–244, 246–247, 254–255. *See also entries beginning Category*
- Spend cube, 203
- Spending data, 198
- Spending systems, 198
- Spend Matters, 17
- Spend under management, 263
- SPM tools, *see Supplier performance management tools*
- Sponsorship, by end users, 19
- Spreadsheets, spend analysis on, 148, 149
- SRM (supplier risk management) tools, 155
- Stakeholders, 31, 67, 72, 87, 163–168, 245, 249, 260. *See also specific types, e.g.: End users*
- Stakeholder alignment, 225, 247
- Stakeholder engagement, 19, 163–172, 204, 221, 223–225, 243, 244, 250, 282
- Standard agreements, supplier, 225–226
- Standardization, 13–17, 118–119, 285
- Staples, 36
- Statement of accountability, 280
- Static data, 141
- Status reports, 110
- Steel market, Chinese, 134

- Strategic procurement, 261–262, 264
- Strategic products or services, 46, 49, 95
- Strategic requirements, 246–247
- Strategic sourcing, xiii–xiv, 3
- Strategic Sourcing process, xiii, 3–7, 76–81.
See also specific phases
- Subjectivity, 71, 228, 247, 253
- Subject matter expertise, 178, 220, 245–246
- Subsets, stakeholder, 247
- Substitution, 48–49, 119, 186–187. *See also entries beginning Alternative*
- Superset, 149
- Supervisor, of supplier's sales representative, 24–25
- Supplier(s). *See also Bidding suppliers;*
 Incumbent supplier(s)
 advantages in negotiations for, 75–76
 alignment of, 230, 255–256
 alternative, 112, 113, 169
 business requirements and, 169
 channel conflicts for GPO, 179
 commercial terms favoring, 95
 communication with, 21, 25–26, 35, 63, 177–178
 excluding, 35
 at GPO networking events, 178
 handling response to line-item detail request from, 23–24
 identifying, 35, 38, 165
 implementation support from, 171
 independence from, 120
 influence of, in high-complexity projects, 248–249
 influencers of, 167
 interviews with, 24–26, 28–29, 164–165
 Legal team meetings with, 101
 local, regional, and national, 36
 in market intelligence initiatives, 139–140
 motivating, 77–78
 new, 171, 255–256
 overreliance on, for implementation, 108
 partnerships with, 49, 78, 136, 139–140
 prequalifying, 24
 presentations by, 62, 191–192
 providing, with end goal, 187–188
 quantity of, in high-complexity projects, 250–251
 RFP writing by, 122–125
 scorecarding, *see Scorecarding process*
 support from, during data collection, 243
 team environment in negotiations with, 79
 visibility of, with GPOs, 177–178
- Supplier budget reviews, 117
- Supplier collaboration, 24, 37, 49, 119, 130, 185–190
- Supplier engagement, 46, 130, 164–165, 169, 171, 172
- Supplier Evaluation Ratings, 205
- Supplier feedback, 191–196, 261
- Supplier governance, SIM tools for, 154
- Supplier information management (SIM) tools, 146, 154
- Supplier management, 177, 244, 248, 255–256, 263–264
- Supplier performance management (SPM) tools, 146, 154, 155
- Supplier portals, SIM, 154
- Supplier ranking, by end user, 21
- Supplier relationship, 20, 23, 43, 98, 104, 106, 111, 121, 152, 167, 168, 180–181, 195, 234, 244, 250
- Supplier risk management (SRM) tools, 155
- Supplier selection, 58, 66–67, 74, 105
- Supplier-stock inventory programs, 116
- Supply base experience, in high-complexity projects, 250
- Supply chain, 3, 35–37, 188–189
- Supply loss risk, 46–49
- Support, 18, 110, 129–130, 220, 243, 261–265, 278, 280
- Supporting technology, spend analysis with, 149
- System integration, 117
- Tableau, 149
- Table of contents, RFP, 52
- Tactical products or services, 46–48, 117, 233, 239
- Tail spend, 233–234
- Talent acquisition, 266
- Tariffs, telecommunications, 187
- TCO (total cost of ownership), 116, 177
- Team(s), 18, 31, 100–101, 108, 110, 137–138, 187–188, 251, 278. *See also Procurement department or team*
- Teamwork, 72–73, 79
- Technical teams, 187–188

- Technology(-ies), 39, 54, 70, 73–74, 161, 176, 219, 229, 276, 284–288. *See also*
Procurement technology
- Technology roadmap, 287–288
- Telecommunications, 96, 142, 187–188, 193–194, 235–236
- Telecommunications Act of 1934, 187
- Templates, 123–126, 225–226, 251
- Termination, early, 91, 96
- Termination clauses, 26, 91
- Third parties, 37, 136, 155, 205, 236, 255, 273–275
- Three-bid-and-buy process, xiii, 35
- Tiered pricing levels, 27, 118
- Time investment, 60–61, 137, 177, 192
- Time limits, in contracts, 93, 96
- Timeline, sourcing roadmap, 211–212
- Time period, savings report, 115
- Timing, 38, 84–85, 137, 193–195, 206, 229, 254
- Title transfer, 93
- Total cost of ownership (TCO), 116, 177
- Training, 124, 127, 129, 268, 271–276
- Training plan, 273–274, 278
- Transactional procurement, 261–262, 264, 268
- Transfer-of-ownership terms, contract, 93
- Transformative change, 277–278
- Transparency, in reverse auctions, 61
- Travel spend, managing, 209
- Trends, 111–112, 207
- Turnover prevention, 267–268
- Unexpected complexity, projects with, 237–238
- Uniform Commercial Code, 92
- Uniforms, leveraged classification for, 48
- Unit cost, 10
- United Nations Standard Products and Services Code (UNSPSC), 148, 200
- Unit-of-measure issues, with BATNA, 83
- Unit price reduction, 112
- Unmanaged spends, 233
- Unplanned purchases, 283–284
- Unsolicited RFPs, *see* RFP spam
- UNSPSC (United Nations Standard Products and Services Code), 148, 200
- Upstream technology solutions, 147
- Usage data, 10, 30
- User experience, 156, 160, 283
- Value, 46–49, 66–67, 288
- Value-added services, from GPOs, 174–176
- Vendor-managed inventory (VMI), 116
- VerticalNet, 145
- Vetting, of requests to purchase, 283–284
- Virtual card payments, 94
- Virtual machine (VM) systems, 186
- Visibility, 4, 177–178, 284–285
- Vision, end-state, 246, 257, 261, 266, 269, 277–279
- Visuals, opportunity assessment, 207–209
- VMI (vendor-managed inventory), 116
- VM (virtual machine) systems, 186
- Volume, 10, 37, 111–112, 116–117, 176
- Zonnegut, Kurt, xiii
- Want-versus-need assessment, 40–41, 170
- Warranties clause, 92–93
- Waterfall charts, 211–212
- Weak economy, 134, 191
- Well-known suppliers, including only, 35
- Wii Fit, 135
- Win-win scenarios, 79, 84, 195
- Workflow-driven sourcing solutions, 151, 160
- Written extension agreements, 91
- Written notice, of early termination, 91
- Yes/no approach, in RFx documents, 124–125
- Zero-cost model, 137–138

<http://www.pbookshop.com>

<http://www.pbookshop.com>