

Contents—Summary

| | |
|--|--------|
| <i>Table of Cases</i> | xvii |
| <i>List of Abbreviations</i> | xxxiii |
| Introduction | 1 |
| 1. Optimizing the Process of Fact-Finding | 9 |
| 2. Basic Concepts | 68 |
| 3. Functioning of the Burden of Proof | 103 |
| 4. The Allocation of the Burden of Proof | 151 |
| 5. The Main Actors in the Process of Fact-Finding and the Development of the Factual Record | 231 |
| Conclusion | 354 |
| Appendix: Understanding on Rules and Procedures Governing the Settlement of Disputes | 365 |
| <i>Bibliography</i> | 387 |
| <i>Index</i> | 407 |

<http://www.pbookshop.com>

<http://www.pbookshop.com>

Contents

| | |
|---|------------|
| <i>Table of Cases</i> | xvii |
| <i>List of Abbreviations</i> | xxxiii |
| Introduction | 1 |
| I. Background | 1 |
| II. Outline of the Book | 4 |
| 1 Optimizing the Process of Fact-Finding | 9 |
| Introduction | 9 |
| I. Legal Adjudication and the Process of Fact-Finding | 10 |
| A. The goals of legal adjudication | 10 |
| B. Optimization of goals | 17 |
| C. Managing uncertainty and the risk of error | 19 |
| II. The WTO Dispute Settlement System | 21 |
| A. A system of legal adjudication | 21 |
| B. The goals/values of the system | 28 |
| C. The institutional and procedural framework | 42 |
| Concluding Remarks | 66 |
| 2 Basic Concepts | 68 |
| Introduction | 68 |
| I. The Concept of the Burden of Proof | 70 |
| A. General scope | 70 |
| B. Differences between the common law and the civil law systems | 74 |
| C. Proceedings before international courts and tribunals | 80 |
| D. Does the burden of proof shift? | 83 |
| II. Standard of Proof | 86 |
| A. General scope | 86 |
| B. Differences between common law and civil law systems | 87 |
| C. Proceedings before international courts and tribunals | 89 |
| III. Presumptions | 93 |
| A. General scope | 93 |
| B. International law including WTO law | 96 |
| Concluding Remarks | 101 |
| 3 Functioning of the Burden of Proof | 103 |
| Introduction | 103 |
| I. The 'Prima Facie Case' or 'Presumption' Approach | 105 |

| | |
|---|------------|
| A. Exploring the meaning of ‘ <i>prima facie</i> case’ | 105 |
| B. The uses of a ‘ <i>prima facie</i> case’ in WTO dispute settlement | 108 |
| C. Concluding remarks on the meaning of ‘ <i>prima facie</i> case’ | 132 |
| II. The ‘holistic’ or ‘weighing of all evidence’ approach | 132 |
| A. What is the holistic approach? | 132 |
| B. The favoured approach for WTO dispute settlement | 135 |
| III. The Standard of Proof for WTO Dispute Settlement | 137 |
| A. The importance of setting a standard of proof | 137 |
| B. Considerations when setting the standard of proof | 138 |
| C. Is the <i>prima facie</i> case standard ever optimal? | 142 |
| Concluding Remarks | 149 |
| 4 The Allocation of the Burden of Proof | 151 |
| Introduction | 151 |
| I. The Current Status of the WTO Rules | 152 |
| A. The problem at issue | 152 |
| B. The WTO jurisprudence | 155 |
| II. Critique of the WTO Jurisprudence | 168 |
| A. Have panels and the Appellate Body been consistent? | 168 |
| B. Are the criteria relied upon effective? | 179 |
| III. What are the Alternatives? | 190 |
| A. A look at domestic and international law | 190 |
| B. Can law and economics analysis help? | 199 |
| C. What are the options for the WTO dispute settlement system? | 202 |
| D. <i>India—Additional Import Duties</i> : a paradigm shift? | 215 |
| IV. Allocation of the Burden of Proof in Article 21.5 of the DSU | |
| Compliance Cases | 217 |
| A. ‘Regular’ Article 21.5 proceedings | 218 |
| B. Post-suspension of concessions Article 21.5 proceedings | 219 |
| Concluding Remarks | 223 |
| Annex: Text of Relevant Provisions from WTO Agreements | 225 |
| 5 The Main Actors in the Process of Fact-Finding and the Development of the Factual Record | 231 |
| Introduction | 231 |
| I. The Panel as a Reviewer | 233 |
| A. Trade remedies agreements | 233 |
| B. SPS and TBT Agreements | 239 |
| C. Final considerations | 245 |
| II. The Panel as the Original-Trier-of-Facts | 246 |
| A. Context: institutional and procedural framework | 246 |
| B. The role of the disputing parties | 250 |
| C. The role of the panel | 304 |
| Concluding Remarks | 351 |

| | |
|---|------------|
| Conclusion | 354 |
| <i>The route to optimizing the process of fact-finding</i> | 354 |
| <i>Conceptual clarity</i> | 355 |
| <i>The prima facie case requirement</i> | 355 |
| <i>The standard of proof for WTO dispute settlement</i> | 356 |
| <i>Determining that the standard of proof is met</i> | 357 |
| <i>Allocation of the burden of proof</i> | 358 |
| <i>Burden of pleading</i> | 358 |
| <i>Avoiding decisions based on the burden of proof</i> | 359 |
| <i>Duty of cooperation</i> | 359 |
| <i>The role of the panel in promoting cooperation</i> | 360 |
| <i>Alternatives to managing asymmetries of information</i> | 361 |
| <i>Confidential information</i> | 362 |
| <i>Panel-controlled disclosure</i> | 363 |
| <i>Active panel</i> | 363 |
| <i>Final considerations and way forward</i> | 364 |
| Appendix: Understanding on Rules and Procedures Governing the Settlement of Disputes | 365 |
| <i>Bibliography</i> | 387 |
| <i>Index</i> | 407 |