## Chapter

1

INTRODUCTION TO RISK

The risk management department was one of the fastest growing areas in investment and commercial banks during the 1990s, and again after the crash of 2008. A string of high-profile banking losses and failures, typified by the fall of Barings Bank in 1995, highlighted the importance of risk management to bank managers and shareholders alike. In response to the volatile and complex nature of risks that they were exposed to, banks set up specialist risk management departments, whose functions included both measuring and managing risk. As a value-added function, risk management can assist banks not only in managing risk, but also in understanding the nature of their profit and loss, and so help increase return on capital. It is now accepted that senior directors of banks need to be thoroughly familiar with the concept of risk management. One of the primary tools of the risk manager is valueat-risk (VaR), which is a quantitative measure of the risk exposure of an institution. For a while VaR was regarded as somewhat inaccessible, and only the preserve of mathematicians and quantitative analysts. Although VaR is indeed based on statistical techniques that may be difficult to grasp for the lavman, its basic premise can, and should, be explained in straightforward fashion, in a way that enables non-academics to become comfortable with the concept. The problem with VaR is that while it was only ever a measure, based on some strong assumptions, of approximate market risk exposure (it is unsuited to measuring risk exposure in the banking book, it suffers in the eyes of its critics in having the cachet of science. This makes it arcane and inaccessible, while paradoxically being expected to be much more accurate than it was ever claimed to be. Losses suffered by banks during the crash of 2007-08 were much larger than any of their VaR values, which is where the measure comes in for criticism. But we can leave that aside for now, and concentrate just on introducing the technicalities.

Later in the book we describe and explain the calculation and application of VaR. We begin here with a discussion of risk.

#### **DEFINING RISK**

Any transaction or undertaking with an element of uncertainty as to its future outcome carries an element of risk: risk can be thought of as uncertainty. To associate particular assets such as equities, bonds or corporate cash flows with types of risk, we need to define 'risk' itself. It is useful to define risk in terms of a risk *horizon*, the point at

which an asset will be realised, or turned into cash. All market participants, including speculators, have an horizon, which may be as short as a half-day. Essentially then, the horizon is the time period relating to the risk being considered.

Once we have established a notion of horizon, a working definition of risk is the uncertainty of the future total cash value of an investment on the investor's horizon date. This uncertainty arises from many sources. For participants in the financial markets risk is essentially a measure of the volatility of asset returns, although it has a broader definition as being any type of uncertainty as to future outcomes. The types of risk that a bank or securities house is exposed to as part of its operations in the bond and capital markets are characterised below.

# THE ELEMENTS OF RISK: CHARACTERISING RISK

Banks and other financial institutions are exposed to a number of risks during the course of normal operations. The different types of risk are broadly characterised as follows:

- Market risk risk arising from movements in prices in financial markets. Examples include foreign exchange (FX) risk, interest rate risk and basis risk. In essence market risk applies to 'tradeable' instruments, ones that are marked-to-market in a trading book, as opposed to assets that are held to maturity, and never fermally repriced, in a banking book.
- *Credit risk* something called *issuer risk* refers to risk that a customer will default. Examples include sovereign risk, marginal risk and *force majeure* risk.
- Liquidity risk this refers to two different but related issues: for a Treasury or money markets' person, it is the risk that a bank has insufficient funding to meet commitments as they arise. That is, the risk that funds cannot be raised in the market as and when required. For a securities or derivatives trader, it is the risk that the market for assets becomes too thin to enable fair and efficient trading to take place. This is the risk that assets cannot be sold or bought as and when required. We should differentiate therefore between funding liquidity and trading liquidity whenever using the expression liquidity.

				0 -					
		Market	Reinvestment	Credit	Sovereign	FX	Basis	Prepayment	Counterparty
Government bond Developed country Developing country									
Zero-coupon bond									
Corporate bond									
Asset-backed bond									
Bank deposit									
FRA									
Futures contract					32				
Forward contract		,	19						
Interest rate swap			3						
Repo	^	00							
Equity (listed exchange)									

Table 1.1 Characterising risk.

• Operational rick – risk of loss associated with non-financial matters such as fraud, system failure, accidents and ethics. Table 1.1 assigns sources of risk for a range of fixed interest, FX, interest rate derivative and equity products. The classification has assumed a 1-year horizon, but the concepts apply to any time horizon.

### Forms of market risk

Market risk reflects the uncertainty as to an asset's price when it is sold. Market risk is the risk arising from movements in financial market prices. Specific market risks will differ according to the type of asset under consideration:

- Currency risk this arises from exposure to movements in FX rates. A version of currency risk is transaction risk, where currency fluctuations affect the proceeds from day-to-day transactions.
- *Interest rate risk* this arises from the impact of fluctuating interest rates and will directly affect any entity borrowing or investing funds. The most common exposure is simply to the level of interest rates but some institutions run positions that are exposed to changes in the shape of the yield curve. The basic risk arises from revaluation of the asset after a change in rates.
- Equity risk this affects anyone holding a portfolio of shares, which will rise and fall with the level of individual share prices and the level of the stock market.
- Other market risk there are residual market risks which fall in this category. Among these are *volatility* risk, which affects option traders, and *basis* risk, which has a wider impact. Basis risk arises whenever one kind of risk exposure is hedged with an instrument that behaves in a similar, but not necessarily identical manner. One example would be a company using 3-month interest rate futures to hedge its commercial paper (*CP*) programme. Although eurocurrency rates, to which futures prices respond, are well correlated with CP rates, they do not invariably move in lock step. If CP rates moved up by 50 basis points but futures prices dropped by only 35 basis points, the 15-bps gap would be the basis risk in this case.

#### Other risks

- Liquidity risk in banking, this refers to the risk that a bank cannot raise funds to refinance loans as the original borrowing becomes past due. It is sometimes also referred to as rollover risk. In other words, it refers to the risk of an inability to continue to raise funds to replace maturing liabilities. There is also another (related) liquidity risk, which refers to trading liquidity. This is the risk that an asset on the balance sheet cannot be sold at a previously perceived fair value, or cannot be sold at all, and hence experiences illiquidity.
- *Credit risk* the risk that an *obligor* (the entity that has borrowed funds from you) defaults on the loan repayments.
- *Counterparty risk* all transactions involve one or both parties in counterparty risk, the potential loss that can arise if one party

were to default on its obligations. Counterparty risk is most relevant in the derivatives market, where every contract is marked-to-market daily and so a positive MTM is taken to the profit & loss (P&L) account. If the counterparty defaults before the contract has expired, there is risk that the actual P&L will not be realized. In the credit derivatives market, a counterparty that has sold protection on the third-party reference name on the credit derivative contract and which subsequently defaults will mean the other side to the trade is no longer protected against the default of that third party.

- Reinvestment risk if an asset makes any payments before the investor's horizon, whether it matures or not, the cash flows will have to be reinvested until the horizon date. Since the reinvestment rate is unknown when the asset is purchased, the final cash flow is uncertain.
- Sovereign risk this is a type of credit risk specific to a
  government bond. Post 2008, there is material risk of default
  by an industrialised country. A developing country may
  default on its obligation (or declare a debt 'moratorium') if
  debt payments relative to domestic product reach unsustainable
  levels.
- Prepayment risk this is specific to mortgage-backed and asset-backed bonds. For example, mortgage lenders allow the homeowner to repay outstanding debt before the stated maturity. If interest rates fall prepayment will occur, which forces reinvestment at rates lower than the initial yield.
- Model risk some financial instruments are heavily dependent on complex mathematical models for pricing and hedging. If the model is incorrectly specified, is based on questionable assumptions or does not accurately reflect the true behaviour of the market, banks trading these instruments could suffer extensive losses.

#### **Risk estimation**

There are a number of different ways of approaching the estimation of market risk. The key factors determining the approach are the user's response to two questions:

Can the user accept the assumption of normality – is it reasonable to assume that market movements follow the normal distribution? If so, statistical tools can be employed.

 Does the value of positions change linearly with changes in market prices? If not (as is typical for option positions where market movements are not very small), simulation techniques will be more useful.

Were the answers to both questions to be 'yes' then we could be comfortable using standard measures of risk such as duration and convexity (these concepts are covered later). If the answers are 'no' then we are forced to use scenario analysis combined with simulation techniques. If, as is more likely, the answer to the first question is 'yes' and the second 'no', then a combination of statistical tools and simulation techniques will be required.

For most banks and securities houses the portfolio will almost certainly behave in a non-linear manner because that is the nature of financial markets. Hence, a combination of statistical tools and simulation is likely to be the most effective risk measurement approach. The scenarios used in simulations are often a mixture of observed rate and price changes from selected periods in the past, and judgement calls by the risk manager. The various alternative methods are examined in Chapter 3.

## RISK MANAGEMENT

The risk management function grew steadily in size and importance within commercial and investment banks during the 1990s. Risk management departments exist not to eliminate the possibility of all risk, should such action indeed be feasible or desirable; rather, to control the frequency, extent and size of such losses in such a way as to provide the minimum surprise to senior management and shareholders.

Risk exists in all competitive business although the balance between financial risks of the type described above and general and management risk varies with the type of business engaged in. The key objective of the risk management function within a financial institution is to allow for a clear understanding of the risks and exposures the firm is engaged in, such that monetary loss is deemed acceptable by the firm. The acceptability of any loss should be on the basis that such (occasional) loss is to be expected as a result of the firm being engaged in a particular business activity. If the bank's risk management function is effective, there will be no over-reaction to

any unexpected losses, which may increase eventual costs to many times the original loss amount.

## The risk management function

While there is no one agreed organisation structure for the risk management function, the following may be taken as being reflective of the typical bank set-up:

- an independent, 'middle office' department responsible for drawing up and explicitly stating the bank's approach to risk, and defining trading limits and the areas of the market that the firm can have exposure to;
- the head of the risk function reporting to an independent senior manager, who is a member of the executive board;
- monitoring the separation of duties between front, middle and back office, often in conjunction with an internal audit function;
- reporting to senior management, including firm's overall exposure and adherence of the front office to the firm's overall risk strategy;
- communication of risks and risk strategy to shareholders;
- where leading edge systems are in use, employment of the risk management function to generate competitive advantage in the market as well as control.

The risk management function is more likely to deliver effective results when there are clear lines of responsibility and accountability. It is also imperative that the department interacts closely with other areas of the front and back office.

In addition to the above the following are often accepted as ingredients of a risk management framework in an institution engaged in investment banking and trading activity:

- proactive management involvement in risk issues;
- daily overview of risk exposure profile and profit & loss (P&L) reports;
- VaR as a common measure of risk exposure, in addition to other measures including 'jump risk' to allow for market corrections;
- defined escalation procedures to deal with rising levels of trading loss, as well as internal 'stop-loss' limits;
- independent daily monitoring of risk utilisation by middle-office risk management function;

- independent production of daily P&L, and independent review of front-office closing prices on a daily basis;
- independent validation of market pricing, and pricing and VaR models.

These guidelines, adopted universally in the investment banking community, should assist in the development of an influential and effective risk management function for all financial institutions. We say 'should', but of course the experience of JPMorgan, Soc Gen and UBS in the 21st century, shows that the existence of large and seemingly sophisticated risk management infrastructures does not preclude multi-billion dollar trading losses.

## Managing risk

The different stakeholders in a bank or financial institution will have slightly different perspectives on risk and its management. If we were to generalise, shareholders will wish for stable earnings as well as the highest possible return on capital. From the point of view of business managers though, the perspective may be slightly different and possibly shorter term. For them, risk management often takes the following route:

- create as diversified a set of business lines as possible, and within each business line diversify portfolios to maximum extent;
- establish procedures to enable some measure of forecasting of market prices;
- hedge the portfolio to minimise losses when market forecasts suggest that losses are to be expected.

The VaR measurement tool falls into the second and third areas of this strategy. It is used to give an idea of risk exposure (generally, to market and credit risk only) so that banks can stay within trading limits, and to feed into the hedge calculation.

## QUANTITATIVE MEASUREMENT OF RISK-REWARD

Before introducing the concept of VaR we will consider three standard measures of risk-reward exposure used in the investment community.

#### Standard deviation

We defined 'risk' above as a prelude to considering its measurement in a VaR context. Investment 'risk' tends to be viewed differently by academics and investors. Academics consider risk within modern portfolio theory to be defined as standard deviation or volatility. To investors risk usually is the probability of loss. Standard deviation is a traditional measure often used by investment professionals. It measures an investment's variability of returns; that is, its volatility in relation to its average return.

While standard deviation has the cachet of science it is a narrow measure and may not provide sufficient information by itself. It is simply a measure of volatility and as a measure of the *probability* of loss is of limited use. However, its usefulness is increased if one pairs it with returns, as in the Sharpe Ratio or the Van Ratio. Moving on from here, the concept of VaR is built on obtaining *probabilities* of loss based on the distribution of returns from a market investment instrument.

## **Sharpe Ratio**

The Sharpe Ratio is a reward-risk ratio. It measures the extent to which the return of an investment (above the *risk-free* return) exceeds its volatility. The higher the ratio, the more reward an investment provides for the risk incurred. The ratio is calculated according to the following equation:

Sharpe Ratio = 
$$\frac{R_m - R_f}{V_m}$$
 (1.1)

where

 $R_m$  = Rate of return of investment m;  $R_f$  = Risk-free rate of return (e.g., T-Bill);

 $V_m$  = Standard deviation of instrument m.

A ratio of 0.5 is considered fair return for risk incurred. For an investor it is more useful as a relative measure, in comparing the ratio of one investment with that of another. For bank trading desks it is a useful measure of the return generated against the risk incurred, for which the return and volatility of individual trading books can be compared with that on the risk-free instrument (or a bank book trading only T-bills).

#### Van Ratio

The Van Ratio expresses the probability of an investment suffering a loss for a defined period, usually 1 year. For example, a Van Ratio of 20% indicates that there is a 1 in 5 chance of a loss during every four-quarter rolling window. The ratio first uses the following fraction to calculate this probability:

Compound annual return for the measurement period Average four-quarter standard deviation for the measurement period

(1.2)

The probability of a loss is then calculated using standard normal curve probability tables.

The Van Ratio provides an intuitive measure of absolute risk, the concept of the probability of a loss. To this end its calculation has assumed a normal distribution of returns. The assumption of normality of returns is important in the concept of VaR as calculated by most of the models and methodologies in use in financial institutions.

http://www.phookshop.com