

CHAPTER 1

### **Comparable Companies Analysis**

**C**omparable companies analysis ("comparable companies", "trading comps", or simply "comps") is one of the primary methodologies used for valuing a given focus company, division, business, or collection of assets ("target"). It provides a market benchmark against which a banker can establish valuation for a private company or analyze the value of a public company at a given point in time. Comps has a broad range of applications, most notably for various mergers & acquisitions (M&A) situations, initial public offerings (IPOs), restructurings, and investment decisions.

The foundation for comps is built upon the premise that similar companies provide a highly relevant reference point for valuing a given target due to the fact that they share key business and financial characteristics, performance drivers, and risks. Therefore, the banker can establish valuation parameters for the target by determining its relative positioning among peer companies. The core of this analysis involves selecting a universe of comparable companies for the target ("comparables universe"). These peer companies are benchmarked against one another and the target based on various financial statistics and ratios. Trading multiples are then calculated for the universe, which serve as the basis for extrapolating a valuation range for the target's relevant financial statistics.

While valuation metrics may vary by sector, this chapter focuses on the most widely used trading multiples. These multiples—such as enterprise value-to-earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, and amortization (EV/EBITDA) and price-to-earnings (P/E)—utilize a measure of value in the numerator and a financial statistic in the denominator. While P/E is the most broadly recognized in circles outside Wall Street, multiples based on enterprise value are widely used by bankers because they are independent of capital structure and other factors unrelated to business operations (e.g., differences in tax regimes and certain accounting policies).

Comps is designed to reflect "current" valuation based on prevailing market conditions and sentiment. As such, it is often more relevant than *intrinsic valuation* techniques, such as the DCF (see Chapter 3). At the same time, market trading levels may be subject to periods of irrational investor sentiment that skew valuation either too high or too low. Furthermore, no two companies are exactly the same, so assigning a valuation based on the trading characteristics of similar companies may fail to accurately capture a given company's true value. As a result, comps should be used in conjunction with the other valuation methodologies discussed in this book. A material disconnect between the derived valuation ranges from the various methodologies might be an indication that key assumptions or calculations need to be revisited. Or, it may indicate that you have discovered true valuation arbitrage in the market. Therefore, when performing comps and other valuation methodologies, it is imperative to diligently footnote key sources and assumptions both for review and defense of conclusions.

This chapter provides a highly practical, step-by-step approach to performing comps consistent with how this valuation methodology is performed in real world applications (see Exhibit 1.1). Once this framework is established, we walk through an illustrative comparable companies analysis using our target company, ValueCo (see Introduction for reference).

**EXHIBIT 1.1** Comparable Companies Analysis Steps

Step I. Select the Universe of Comparable Companies

Step II. Locate the Necessary Financial Information

Step III. Spread Key Statistics, Ratios, and Trading Multiples

Step IV. Benchmark the Comparable Companies

Step V. Determine Valuation

### SUMMARY OF COMPARABLE COMPANIES ANALYSIS STEPS

Step I. Select the Universe of Comparable Companies. The selection of a universe of comparable companies for the target is the foundation of comps. While this exercise can be fairly simple and intuitive for companies in certain sectors, it can prove challenging for others whose peers are not readily apparent. To identify companies with similar business and financial characteristics, it is first necessary to gain a sound understanding of the target.

As a starting point, the banker typically consults with peers or senior colleagues to see if a relevant set of comparable companies already exists internally. If beginning from scratch, the banker casts a broad net to review as many potential comparable companies as possible. This broader group is eventually narrowed, and then typically further refined to a subset of "closest comparables". A survey of the target's public competitors is generally a good place to start this exercise.

Step II. Locate the Necessary Financial Information. Once the initial comparables universe is determined, the banker locates the financial information necessary to analyze the selected comparable companies and calculate ("spread<sup>1</sup>") key financial statistics, ratios, and trading multiples (see Step III). The primary data for calculating these metrics is compiled from various sources, including a company's SEC filings,<sup>2</sup> consensus research estimates, equity research reports, and press releases.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The notion of "spreading" refers to performing calculations in a spreadsheet program such as Microsoft Excel.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>The Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) is a federal agency created by the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 that regulates the U.S. securities industry. SEC filings can be located online at www.sec.gov.

Step III. Spread Key Statistics, Ratios, and Trading Multiples. The banker is now prepared to spread key statistics, ratios, and trading multiples for the comparables universe. This involves calculating market valuation measures such as enterprise value and equity value, as well as key income statement items, such as EBITDA and net income. A variety of ratios and other metrics measuring profitability, growth, returns, and credit strength are also calculated at this stage. Selected financial statistics are then used to calculate trading multiples for the comparables.

As part of this process, the banker needs to employ various financial concepts and techniques, including the calculation of *last twelve months* (LTM)<sup>3</sup> financial statistics, *calendarization* of company financials, and adjustments for *non-recurring items*. These calculations are imperative for measuring the comparables accurately on both an absolute and relative basis (see Step IV).

Step IV. Benchmark the Comparable Companies. The next level of analysis requires an in-depth examination of the comparable companies in order to determine the target's relative ranking and closest comparables. This requires laying out the calculated financial statistics and ratios for the comparable companies (as calculated in Step III) alongside those of the target in spreadsheet form for easy comparison (see Exhibits 1.53 and 1.54). This exercise is known as "benchmarking".

Benchmarking serves to determine the relative strength of the comparable companies versus one another and the target. The similarities and discrepancies in size, growth rates, margins, and leverage, for example, among the comparables and the target are closely examined. This analysis provides the basis for establishing the target's relative ranking as well as determining those companies most appropriate for framing its valuation. The trading multiples are also laid out in a spreadsheet form for benchmarking purposes (see Exhibits 1.2 and 1.55). At this point, it may become apparent that certain outliers need to be eliminated or that the comparables should be further tiered (e.g., on the basis of size, sub-sector, or ranging from closest to peripheral).

Step V. Determine Valuation. The trading multiples of the comparable companies serve as the basis for deriving a valuation range for the target. The banker typically begins by using the means and medians for the relevant trading multiples (e.g., EV/EBITDA) as the basis for extrapolating an initial range. The high and low multiples for the comparables universe provide further guidance in terms of a potential ceiling or floor. The key to arriving at the tightest, most appropriate range, however, is to rely upon the multiples of the closest comparables as guideposts. Consequently, only a few carefully selected companies typically serve as the ultimate basis for valuation, with the broader group serving as additional reference points. As this process involves as much "art" as "science", industry veterans are typically consulted for guidance on the final decision. The chosen range is then applied to the target's relevant financial statistics to produce an implied valuation range.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>The sum of the prior four quarters of a company's financial performance, also known as Trailing Twelve Months (TTM).

**EXHIBIT 1.2** Comparable Companies Analysis—Trading Multiples Output Page

## ValueCo Corporation

**Comparable Companies Analysis** (\$ in millions, except per share data)

										tine Velue							Duizo /		1
Company	Ticker	Current Share Price	% of 52-wk. High	Equity Value	Enterprise Value	LTM Sales	2019E Sales	2020E Sales	LTM 2 EBITDA E	2019E 2 BITDA ER	020E LT BITDA EB	M 2019 IT EBI	E 2020E F EBIT	EBITDA Margin	Debt / EBITDA	LTM EPS	2019E EPS	2020E EPS	EPS Growth
Tier I: Specialty Chemic	als										 								
BuyerCo Sherman Co. Pearl Corp. Gasparro Corp. Kumra Inc.	BUY SHR JDG KUM	\$70.00 40.00 68.50 50.00 52.50	91% 95% 80% 88%	\$9,800 5,600 5,172 5,000 4,852	\$11,600 8,101 5,856 6,750 5,345	1.8x 1.4x 1.4x 1.7x	1.7x 1.4x 1.4x 1.4x 1.7x	1.5x X 2.5	8.0x 7.7x 7.0x 7.5x 8.0x	7.8x 7.7x 7.0x 7.1x 7.9x	7.3x 9.1 7.2x 10.4 6.5x 9.4 6.6x 9.3 7.4x 10.4	1x 8.8 8x 10.7 1x 9.4 8x 8.8 8x 8.8 6x 10.4	8.2X 10.1x 8.7x 8.2x 9.7x	22% 18% 19% 21%	1.5x 3.0x 2.1x 2.1x	11.5x 11.0x 13.1x 10.7x 15.8x	11.1x 10.6x 12.2x 9.8x 13.6x	10.3x 9.7x 11.1x 9.1x 11.8x	7% 9% 11% 10%
Mean Median						1.5x 1.4x	1.5x 1.4x	1.4x 1.3x	7.7× 7.7×	7.5x 7.7x	7.0x 9.8 7.2x 9.4	3x 9.6x 1x 9.4x	9.0x	20% 20%	1.9x 1.8x	12.4x 11.5x	11.5x 11.1x	10.4x 10.3x	10% 10%
																		-	
Her II: Commodity / Div	ersified Che	emicals																	
Falloon Group Goodson Corp. Pryor Industries Lanzarone Global McMenamin & Co.	FLN GDS PRI MCM MCM	\$31.00 64.00 79.00 32.25 33.50	87% 83% 95% 80%	\$7,480 4,160 3,926 3,230 3,193	\$11,254 5,660 4,166 3,823 3,193	1.0x 1.12x 1.0x 1.0x	1.0x 1.2x 1.2x 0.9x	0.9x 1.1x 1.0x 0.8x	6.9x 7.4x 6.6x 9.0x	7.0x 7.5x 7.4x 6.7x 8.4x	6.7x 10. 7.2x 10. 7.1x 9.9 6.4x 8.9	8x 11.0 8x 11.0 9x 10.1.0 9x 9.0x 2x 13.1.1	x 10.5x x 10.4x x 9.6x x 8.6x x 11.8x	14% 16% 16% 11%	2.5x 2.9x 1.1x 1.2x	13.3x 16.1x 14.3x 11.5x 22.2x	12.4x 15.4x 13.9x 10.7x 19.3x	10.8x 13.5x 12.7x 9.7x 16.8x	5% 9% 8% 12%
Moon						1 1 1	1 10	1 00	7 11	7 11	10.0	10 01	10.04	110/	1 0	10 EV	11.00	10 74	00/
Median						1.0x	1.0x	1.0x	7.3X	7.4x	7.1x 10.8	3x 11.0x	10.4x	15%	1.3x	14.3x	13.9X	12.7x	<mark>6%</mark>
																		• •	
Tier III: Small-Cap Chen	nicals																		
S. Momper & Co. Adler Worldwide Schachter & Sons Girshin Holdings Crespin International	MOMP ADL STM MGP MCR	\$28.00 10.50 4.50 50.00 27.00	95% 80% 67% 80%	\$2,240 1,217 1,125 1,035 872	\$2,921 1,463 1,674 1,298 1,222	1.4x 0.9x 1.0x 0.8x 0.8x	1.4x 1.0x 0.9x 0.8x 0.8x	1.2x 0.9x 0.7x 0.7x	7.7x 6.0x 7.0x 7.3x 6.4x	7.4x 6.1x 6.5x 6.8x 6.0x	6.7x 9.9 5.7x 9.9 6.1x 11. 7.4x 9.8	9.5y 9.15y 5x 9.15y 70.7 8.6y 8.6y	8.6x 7.7x 7.9x 9.7x 7.7x	18% 16% 11% 13%	2.6X 1.6X 2.5X 2.1X 2.1X	14.2x 11.3x 12.2x 16.5x 11.8x	14.4x 12.2x 11.3x 15.6x 11.6x	13.4x 11.3x 10.0x 14.2x 10.5x	5% 11% 6%
Mean						1.0x	1.0x	x6.0	6.9x	6.6x	5.9x 9.7	7X 9.2x	8.3x	14%	2.1x	13.2x	13.0x	11.9x	7%
Median						0.9x	0.9x	0.8x	7.0x	6.5x	5.8x 9.8	3x 9.1x	7.9x	14%	2.1x	12.2x	12.2x	11.3x	7%
Overall																		·	

Source: Company filings, Bloomberg, Consensus Estimates Note: Last twelve months data based on September 30, 2019. Estimated annual financial data based on a calendar year.

12% 5%

16.8x 9.1x

22.2x 10.7x

11.8x 7.7x

13.1x 8.1x 9.9x 9.5x

7.5x 5.4x

8.4x 6.0x

9.0x 6.0x

1.6x 0.7x

1.8x 0.8x

%6 8%

11.7x 11.1x

12.9x 12.2x 19.3x 9.8x

13.7x 13.1x

2.0x 1.8x 3.0x 1.1x

16% 16% 22% 11%

9.2x 8.7x

10.1x 9.9x 14.2x 8.0x

6.6x 6.7x

7.2x 7.1x

7.3x 7.3x

1.1x x1.1

1.2x 1.2x 1.7x 0.8x

1.2× 1.1×

Median Mean

łigh

### STEP I. SELECT THE UNIVERSE OF COMPARABLE COMPANIES

The selection of the right universe of comparable companies is the foundation for performing trading comps. To find companies with similar business and financial characteristics, you must first truly understand the target. At its base, the methodology for determining comparable companies is relatively intuitive. Companies in the same sector (or, preferably, "sub-sector") with similar size tend to serve as good comparables. While this can be a fairly simple exercise for certain companies, it may prove challenging for others with no readily apparent peers.

For a target with no clear, publicly traded comparables, the banker seeks companies outside the target's core sector that share business and financial characteristics on some fundamental level. For example, a medium-sized manufacturer of residential windows may have limited or no truly direct publicly traded peers in terms of products, namely companies that produce windows. If the universe is expanded to include companies that manufacture building products, serve homebuilders, or have exposure to the housing cycle, however, the probability of locating companies with similar business drivers is increased. In this case, the list of potential comparables could be expanded to include manufacturers of related building products such as decking, roofing, siding, doors, and cabinets.

### **Study the Target**

The process of learning the in-depth "story" of the target should be exhaustive. Toward this end, the banker is encouraged to read and study as much company- and sector-specific material as possible. *The actual selection of comparable companies should only begin once this research is completed*.

For targets that are public registrants,<sup>4</sup> annual (10-K) and quarterly (10-Q) SEC filings, consensus research estimates, equity and fixed income research reports, press releases, earnings call transcripts, investor presentations,<sup>5</sup> and corporate websites provide key business and financial information. Private companies present a greater challenge as the banker is forced to rely upon sources such as corporate websites, sector research reports, news runs, and trade journals for basic company data. Public competitors' SEC filings, research reports, and investor presentations may also serve as helpful sources of information on private companies. In an organized M&A sale process<sup>6</sup> for a private company, however, the banker is provided with detailed business and financial information on the target (see Chapter 6).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Public or publicly traded companies refer to those listed on a public stock exchange where their shares can be traded. Public filers ("public registrants"), however, may include privately held companies that are issuers of public debt securities and, therefore, subject to SEC disclosure requirements.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Presentations at investment conferences or regular performance reports, typically posted on a company's corporate website. Investor presentations may also be released for significant M&A events or as part of Regulation FD requirements. They are typically posted on the company's corporate website under "Investor Relations" and filed in an 8-K (current report).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>A process through which a target is marketed to prospective buyers, typically run by an investment banking firm (see Chapter 6).

### Identify Key Characteristics of the Target for Comparison Purposes

A simple framework for studying the target and selecting comparable companies is shown in Exhibit 1.3. This framework, while by no means exhaustive, is designed to determine commonality with other companies by profiling and comparing key business and financial characteristics.

EXHIBIT 1.3	Business	and	Financial	Profile	Framewor	·k
EXHIBIT 1.3	Business	and	Financial	Profile	Framewor	ŀ

<b>Business Profile</b>	Financial Profile
• Sector	Size
Products and Services	<ul> <li>Profitability</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Customers and End Markets</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Growth Profile</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Distribution Channels</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Return on Investment</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Geography</li> </ul>	Credit Profile

### **Business Profile**

Companies that share core business characteristics tend to serve as good comparables. These core traits include sector, products and services, customers and end markets, distribution channels, and geography.

### Sector

Sector refers to the industry or markets in which a company operates (e.g., consumer products, financials, healthcare, industrials, and technology). A company's sector can be further divided into sub-sectors, which facilitates the identification of the target's closest comparables. Within the industrials sector, for example, there are numerous sub-sectors, such as aerospace and defense, automotive, building products, chemicals, and paper and packaging. Even these sub-sectors can be further segmented—for example, chemicals can be divided into specialty and commodity chemicals. For companies with distinct business divisions, the segmenting of comparable companies by sub-sector may be critical for valuation.

A company's sector conveys a great deal about its key drivers, risks, and opportunities. For example, a cyclical sector such as oil & gas will have dramatically different earnings volatility from consumer staples. On the other hand, cyclical or highly fragmented sectors may present growth opportunities that are unavailable to companies in more stable or consolidated sectors. The proper identification and classification of the target's sector and sub-sector is an essential step toward locating comparable companies.

### **Products and Services**

A company's products and services are at the core of its business model. Accordingly, companies that produce similar products or provide similar services typically serve as good comparables. Products are commodities or value-added goods that a company creates, produces, or refines. Examples of products include auto supplies, lumber, oil, machinery, prescription drugs, and steel. Services are acts or functions performed by one entity for the benefit of another. Examples of common services include banking,

consulting, installation, lodging, facilities maintenance, and transportation. Many companies provide both products and services to their customers, while others offer one or the other. Similarly, some companies offer a diversified product and/or service mix, while others are more focused.

Within a given sector or sub-sector, comparable companies may be tiered according to their products and services. For example, within the chemicals sector, specialty chemicals producers tend to consistently trade at a premium to commodity chemicals producers. Hence, they are often grouped together in a tighter comparables category within the broader chemicals universe.

### **Customers and End Markets**

*Customers* A company's customers refer to the purchasers of its products and services. Companies with a similar customer base tend to share similar opportunities and risks. For example, companies supplying automobile manufacturers abide by certain manufacturing and distribution requirements, and are subject to the automobile purchasing cycles and trends.

The quantity and diversity of a company's customers are also important. Some companies serve a broad customer base while others may target a specialized or niche market. While it is generally positive to have low customer concentration from a risk management perspective, it is also beneficial to have a stable customer core to provide visibility and comfort regarding future revenues.

*End Markets* A company's end markets refer to the broad underlying markets into which it sells its products and services. For example, a plastics manufacturer may sell into several end markets, including automotive, construction, consumer products, medical devices, and packaging. End markets need to be distinguished from customers. For example, a company may sell into the housing end market, but to retailers or suppliers as opposed to homebuilders.

A company's performance is generally tied to economic and other factors that affect its end markets. A company that sells products into the housing end market is susceptible to macroeconomic factors that affect the overall housing cycle, such as interest rates and unemployment levels. Therefore, companies that sell products and services into the same end markets generally share a similar performance outlook, which is important for determining appropriate comparable companies.

### **Distribution Channels**

Distribution channels are the avenues through which a company sells its products and services to the end user. As such, they are a key driver of operating strategy, performance, and, ultimately, value. Companies that sell primarily to the wholesale channel, for example, often have significantly different organizational and cost structures from those selling directly to retailers or end users. Selling to a superstore or value retailer requires a physical infrastructure, salesforce, and logistics that may be unnecessary for serving the professional or wholesale channels.

Some companies sell at several levels of the distribution chain, such as wholesale, retail, online, and direct-to-customer. A flooring manufacturer, for example, may distribute its products through selected wholesale distributors and retailers, as well as directly to homebuilders and end users.

### Geography

Companies that are based in (and sell to) different regions of the world often differ substantially in terms of fundamental business drivers and characteristics. These may include growth rates, macroeconomic environment, competitive dynamics, path(s)-to-market, organizational and cost structure, and potential opportunities and risks. Such differences—which result from local demographics, regulatory regimes, consumer buying patterns and preferences, and cultural norms—can vary greatly from country to country and, particularly, from continent to continent. Consequently, there are often valuation disparities for similar companies in different global regions or jurisdictions.<sup>7</sup> For comps, bankers tend to group U.S.-based (or focused) companies in a separate category from European- or Asian-based companies even if their basic business models are the same.

For example, a banker seeking comparable companies for a U.S. retailer would focus primarily on U.S. companies with relevant foreign companies providing peripheral guidance. This geographic grouping is slightly less applicable for truly global industries such as oil and aluminum, for example, where domicile is less indicative than global commodity prices and supply/demand dynamics. Even in these instances, however, valuation disparities by geography are often evident.

### **Financial Profile**

Key financial characteristics must also be examined both as a means of understanding the target and identifying the best comparable companies.

### Size

Size is typically measured in terms of market valuation (e.g., equity value and enterprise value), as well as key financial statistics (e.g., sales, gross profit, EBITDA, EBIT, and net income). Companies of similar size in a given sector are more likely to have similar multiples than companies with significant size discrepancies. This reflects the fact that companies of similar size are also likely to be analogous in other respects (e.g., economies of scale, purchasing power, pricing leverage, customers, growth prospects, and the trading liquidity of their shares in the stock market).

Consequently, differences in size often map to differences in valuation. Hence, the comparables are often tiered based on size categories. For example, companies with under \$5 billion in equity value (or enterprise value, sales) may be placed in one group and those with greater than \$5 billion in a separate group. This tiering, of course, assumes a sufficient number of comparables to justify organizing the universe into sub-groups.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>Other factors, such as the local capital markets conditions, including volume, liquidity, transparency, shareholder base, and investor perceptions, as well as political risk, also contribute to these disparities.

### Profitability

A company's profitability measures its ability to convert sales into profit. Profitability ratios ("margins") employ a measure of profit in the numerator, such as gross profit, EBITDA, EBIT, or net income, and sales in the denominator.<sup>8</sup> As a general rule, for companies in the same sector, higher profit margins translate into higher valuations, all else being equal. Consequently, determining a company's relative profitability versus peers is a core component of the benchmarking analysis (see Step IV).

### **Growth Profile**

A company's growth profile, as determined by its historical and estimated future financial performance, is a critical driver of valuation. Equity investors reward high growth companies with higher trading multiples than slower growing peers. They also discern whether the growth is primarily organic or acquisition-driven, with the former generally viewed as preferable. In assessing a company's growth profile, historical and estimated future growth rates for various financial statistics (e.g., sales, EBITDA, and earnings per share (EPS)) are examined at selected intervals. For mature public companies, EPS growth rates are typically more meaningful. For early stage or emerging companies with little or no earnings, however, sales or EBITDA growth trends may be more relevant.

### **Return on Investment**

Return on investment (ROI) measures a company's ability to provide earnings (or returns) to its capital providers. ROI ratios employ a measure of profitability (e.g., EBIT, NOPAT,<sup>9</sup> or net income) in the numerator and a measure of capital (e.g., invested capital, shareholders' equity, or total assets) in the denominator. The most commonly used ROI metrics are return on invested capital (ROIC), return on equity (ROE), and return on assets (ROA). Dividend yield, which measures the dividend payment that a company's shareholders receive for each share owned, is another type of return metric.

### **Credit Profile**

A company's credit profile refers to its creditworthiness as a borrower. It is typically measured by metrics relating to a company's overall debt level ("leverage") as well as its ability to make interest payments ("coverage"), and reflects key company and sector-specific benefits and risks. Moody's Investors Service (Moody's), Standard & Poor's (S&P), and Fitch Ratings (Fitch) are the three primary independent credit rating agencies that provide formal assessments of a company's credit profile.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>Depending on the sector, profitability may be measured on a per unit basis (e.g., per ton or pound). <sup>9</sup>Net operating profit after taxes, also known as tax-effected EBIT or earnings before interest after taxes (EBIAT).

### Screen for Comparable Companies

Once the target's basic business and financial characteristics are researched and understood, the banker uses multiple resources to screen for potential comparable companies. At the initial stage, the focus is on identifying companies with a similar business profile. While basic financial information (e.g., sales, enterprise value, or equity value) should be assessed early on, more detailed financial benchmarking is performed in Step IV.

Investment banks generally have established lists of comparable companies by sector containing relevant multiples and other financial data, which are updated on a quarterly basis and for appropriate company-specific actions. Often, however, the banker needs to start from scratch. In these cases, an examination of the target's public competitors is usually the best place to begin. Competitors generally share key business and financial characteristics and are susceptible to similar opportunities and risks. Public companies typically discuss their primary competitors in their 10-Ks, annual proxy statement (DEF14A),<sup>10</sup> and, potentially, in investor presentations. Furthermore, equity research reports, especially those known as *initiating coverage*,<sup>11</sup> often explicitly list the research analyst's views on the target's comparables and/or primary competitors. For private targets, public competitors' 10-Ks, proxy statements, investor presentations, research reports, and broader industry reports are often helpful sources.

An additional source for locating comparables is the proxy statement for a relatively recent M&A transaction in the sector ("merger proxy"),<sup>12</sup> as it contains excerpts from a *fairness opinion*. As the name connotes, a fairness opinion opines on the "fairness" of the purchase price and deal terms offered by the acquirer from a financial perspective (see Chapter 6). The fairness opinion is supported by a detailed overview of the methodologies used to perform a valuation of the target, typically including comparable companies, precedent transactions, DCF analysis, and LBO analysis, if applicable.<sup>13</sup> The trading comps excerpt from the fairness opinion generally provides a list of the comparable companies used to value the M&A target as well as the selected range of multiples used in the valuation analysis.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup>A company's annual proxy statement typically provides a suggested peer group of companies that is used for benchmarking purposes.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup>An initiating coverage equity research report refers to the first report published by an equity research analyst beginning coverage on a particular company. This report often provides a comprehensive business description, sector analysis, and commentary.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup>A solicitation of shareholder votes in a business combination is initially filed under SEC Form PREM14A (preliminary merger proxy statement) and then DEFM14A (definitive merger proxy statement).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup>Not all companies are LBO candidates. See Chapter 4 for an overview of the characteristics of strong LBO candidates.

The banker may also screen for companies that operate in the target's sector using SIC, NAICS, or other industry codes.<sup>14</sup> This type of screen is typically used either to establish a broad initial universe of comparables or to ensure that no potential companies have been overlooked. Sector reports published by the credit rating agencies (e.g., Moody's, S&P, and Fitch) may also provide helpful lists of peer companies.

In addition to the aforementioned, senior bankers and industry experts are perhaps the most valuable resources. Given their sector knowledge and familiarity with the target, a brief conversation is usually sufficient to provide a strong starting point. Toward the end of the process—once the legwork to craft and refine a robust list of comparables has been completed—a senior banker should be called upon to provide the finishing touches.

At this stage of the process, there may be sufficient information to eliminate certain companies from the group or tier the selected companies by size, business focus, or geography, for example.

### STEP II. LOCATE THE NECESSARY FINANCIAL INFORMATION

This section provides an overview of the relevant sources for locating the necessary financial information to calculate key financial statistics, ratios, and multiples for the selected comparable companies (see Step III). The most common sources for public company financial data are SEC filings (such as 10-Ks, 10-Qs, and 8-Ks), as well as earnings announcements, investor presentations, equity research reports, consensus estimates, and press releases. A summary list of where to locate key financial data is provided in Exhibit 1.4.

In trading comps, valuation is driven on the basis of both historical performance (e.g., LTM financial data) and expected future performance (e.g., consensus estimates for future calendar years). Depending on the sector and point in the cycle, however, financial projections tend to be more meaningful. Estimates for forward-year financial performance are typically sourced from consensus estimates<sup>15</sup> as well as individual company equity research reports. In the context of an M&A or debt capital raising transaction, by contrast, more emphasis is placed on LTM financial performance. LTM financial information is calculated on the basis of data obtained from a company's public filings (see Exhibits 1.24 and 1.25).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup>Standard Industrial Classification (SIC) is a system established by the U.S. government for classifying the major business operations of a company with a numeric code. Some bankers use the newer North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) codes in lieu of SIC codes. The SEC, however, still uses SIC codes.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup>Bloomberg Estimates, Refinitiv IBES (Institutional Brokers Estimate System), S&P Capital IQ Estimates, and Thomson First Call provide consensus analyst estimates for thousands of publicly traded companies.

### SEC Filings: 10-K, 10-Q, 8-K, and Proxy Statement

As a general rule, the banker uses SEC filings to source historical financial information for comparable companies. This financial information is used to determine historical sales, gross profit, EBITDA, EBIT, and net income (and EPS) on both an annual and LTM basis. SEC filings are also the primary source for other key financial items such as balance sheet data, capital expenditures ("capex"), basic shares outstanding, stock options/warrants data, and information on non-recurring items. SEC filings can be obtained through numerous mediums, including a company's corporate website (typically through an "Investor Relations" link) as well as EDGAR<sup>16</sup> and other financial information services.

**10-K (Annual Report)** The 10-K is an annual report filed with the SEC by a public registrant that provides a comprehensive overview of the company and its prior year performance.<sup>17</sup> It is required to contain an exhaustive list of disclosure items including, but not limited to, a detailed business description, management's discussion & analysis (MD&A),<sup>18</sup> audited financial statements<sup>19</sup> and supplementary data, outstanding debt detail, basic shares outstanding, and stock options/warrants data. It also contains an abundance of other pertinent information about the company and its sector, such as business segment detail, customers, end markets, competition, insight into material opportunities (and challenges and risks), significant recent events, and acquisitions.

**10-Q (Quarterly Report)** The 10-Q is a quarterly report filed with the SEC by a public registrant that provides an overview of the most recent quarter and year-to-date (YTD) period.<sup>20</sup> It is less comprehensive than the 10-K, but provides financial statements as well as MD&A relating to the company's financial performance for the most recent quarter and YTD period versus the prior year periods.<sup>21</sup> The 10-Q also provides the most recent share count information and may also contain the most recent stock options/warrants data. For detailed financial information on a company's final quarter of the fiscal year, the banker refers to the 8-K containing the fourth quarter earnings press release that usually precedes the filing of the 10-K.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup>The Electronic Data Gathering, Analysis, and Retrieval (EDGAR) system performs automated collection, validation, indexing, acceptance, and forwarding of submissions by companies and others who are required to file forms with the SEC.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup>The deadline for the filing of the 10-K ranges from 60 to 90 days after the end of a company's fiscal year depending on the size of its public float.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup>A section in a company's 10-K and 10-Q that provides a discussion and analysis of the prior reporting period's financial performance. It also contains forward-looking information about the possible future effects of known and unknown events, market conditions, and trends.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup>The financial statements in a 10-K are audited and certified by a Certified Public Accountant (CPA) to meet the requirements of the SEC.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup>The deadline for the filing of the 10-Q ranges from 40 to 45 days after the end of a company's fiscal quarter depending on the size of its public float. The 10-K, instead of the 10-Q, is filed after the end of a company's fiscal fourth quarter.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup>The financial statements in a company's 10-Q are reviewed by a CPA, but not audited.

**8-K (Current Report)** The 8-K, or current report, is filed by a public registrant to report the occurrence of *material* corporate events or changes ("triggering event") that are of importance to shareholders or security holders.<sup>22</sup> For the purposes of preparing trading comps, key triggering events include, but are not limited to, earnings announcements, entry into a definitive purchase/sale agreement,<sup>23</sup> completion of an acquisition or disposition of assets, capital markets transactions, investor days,<sup>24</sup> and Regulation FD disclosure requirements.<sup>25</sup>The corresponding 8-Ks for these events often contain important information necessary to calculate a company's updated financial statistics, ratios, and trading multiples that may not be reflected in the most recent 10-K or 10-Q (see "Adjustments for Recent Events").

**Proxy Statement** A proxy statement is a document that a public company sends to its shareholders prior to a shareholder meeting containing material information regarding matters on which the shareholders are expected to vote. It is also filed with the SEC on Schedule 14A. For the purposes of spreading trading comps, the annual proxy statement provides a basic shares outstanding count that may be more recent than that contained in the latest 10-K or 10-Q. As previously discussed, the annual proxy statement also typically contains a suggested peer group for benchmarking purposes.

### **Equity Research**

**Research Reports** Equity research reports provide individual analyst estimates of future company performance, which may be used to calculate forward-looking multiples. They generally include estimates of sales, EBITDA and/or EBIT, and EPS for future quarters and the future two- or three-year period (on an annual basis). More comprehensive reports provide additional estimated financial information from the research analyst's model, including key items from the income statement, balance sheet, and cash flow statement. These reports may also provide segmented financial projections, such as sales and EBIT at the business division level.

Equity research reports often provide commentary on non-recurring items and recent M&A and capital markets transactions, which are helpful for determining *pro forma* adjustments and normalizing financial data. They may also provide helpful sector and market information, as well as explicitly list the research analyst's view on the company's comparables universe. Initiating coverage research reports tend to be more comprehensive than normal interim reports. As a result, it is beneficial to mine these reports for financial, market, and competitive insights.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup>Depending on the particular triggering event, the 8-K is typically filed within four business days after occurrence.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup>The legal contract between a buyer and seller detailing the terms and conditions of an M&A transaction. See Chapter 6 for additional information.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup>From time to time, companies hold investor days to tell their in-depth story directly to current and prospective shareholders. These are typically large public events spanning several hours and can include product demos and facility tours (if held on site). They are led by the senior management team, often including division heads and business development executives.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup>Regulation FD (Fair Disclosure) provides that when a public filer discloses material nonpublic information to certain persons, as defined by the SEC, it must make public disclosure of that information typically through the filing of an 8-K.

Research reports can be located through various subscription financial information services. If you're currently working at an investment bank, you should have access to the bank's research reports through the internal portal. Also, if you're an individual investor reading this book, you should have access to research through your brokerage account(s) as most brokerage houses provide customers with access to in-house or affiliate research.

**Consensus Estimates** Consensus research estimates for selected financial statistics are widely used by bankers as the basis for calculating forward-looking trading multiples in trading comps. The primary sources for consensus estimates are Bloomberg Estimates, Refinitiv IBES, S&P Capital IQ Estimates, and Thomson First Call, among other financial information services. Investment banks typically choose one source or the other so as to maintain consistency throughout the analysis.<sup>26</sup>

### **Press Releases and News Runs**

A company issues a press release when it has something important to report to the public. Standard press releases include earnings announcements, declaration of dividends, and management changes, as well as M&A and capital markets transactions. Earnings announcements, which are accompanied by the filing of an 8-K, are typically issued prior to the filing of a 10-K or 10-Q. Therefore, the banker relies upon the financial data provided in the earnings announcement to update trading comps in a timely manner. A company may also release an investor presentation to accompany its quarterly earnings call, which may be helpful in readily identifying key financial data and obtaining additional color and commentary. In the event that certain financial information is not provided in the earnings press release, the banker must wait until the filing of the 10-K or 10-Q for complete information. A company's press releases and recent news articles are available on its corporate website.

### **Financial Information Services**

As discussed throughout this section, financial information services a key source for obtaining SEC filings, research reports, consensus estimates, and press releases, among other items. They are also a primary source for current and historical company share price information, which is essential for calculating equity value and determining a company's current share price as a percentage of its 52-week high. Corporate credit ratings information can be gleaned form various financial information services. If practical, however, we suggest sourcing credit ratings directly from the official Moody's, S&P, and Fitch websites and attributing such information to its original sources.<sup>27</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup>Once a given consensus estimates source is selected, it is important to screen individual estimates for obsolescent data and outliers. For example, if a company has recently made a transformative acquisition, some analysts may have revised their estimates accordingly, while others may have not. Bloomberg and other sources allow the banker to view individual estimates (and the date when they were posted), which allows for the identification and removal of inconsistent estimates as appropriate.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup>Access to these websites requires a subscription.

### **Summary of Financial Data Primary Sources**

Exhibit 1.4 provides a summary of the primary sources used to obtain the necessary financial information to perform trading comps.

### **EXHIBIT 1.4** Summary of Financial Data Primary Sources

Information Item	Source
Income Statement Data	
Sales	
Gross Profit	
EBITDA <sup>(a)</sup>	Most recent 10-K, 10-Q, 8-K, Press Release
EBIT	
Net Income / EPS	
Research Estimates	Bloomberg Estimates, Refinitiv IBES, S&P Capital IQ Estimates, Thomson First Call, individual equity research reports
Balance Sheet Data	
Cash Balance	
Debt Balance	Most recent 10-K, 10-Q, 8-K, Press Release
Shareholders' Equity	
Cash Flow Statement Data	
Depreciation & Amortization	Mast recent 10 K 10 Q 8 K Pross Palazos
Capital Expenditures	Most recent 10-K, 10-Q, 8-K, Fress Kelease
Share Data	
Basic Shares Outstanding	10-K, 10-Q, or Proxy Statement, whichever is most recent
Options and Warrants Data	10-K or 10-Q, whichever is more recent
Market Data	
Share Price Data	Financial information service
Credit Ratings	Rating agencies' websites

<sup>(a)</sup>As a non-GAAP (generally accepted accounting principles) financial measure, EBITDA is not reported on a public filer's income statement. It may, however, be disclosed as supplemental information in the company's public filings.

### STEP III. SPREAD KEY STATISTICS, RATIOS, AND TRADING MULTIPLES

Once the necessary financial information for each of the comparables has been located, it is entered into an input page (see Exhibit 1.5).<sup>28</sup> This sample input page is designed to assist the banker in calculating the key financial statistics, ratios, and multiples for the comparables universe.<sup>29</sup> The input page data, in turn, feeds into output sheets that are used to benchmark the comparables (see Exhibits 1.53, 1.54, and 1.55).

In the pages that follow, we discuss the financial data displayed on the sample input sheet, as well as the calculations behind them. We also describe the mechanics for calculating LTM financial statistics, calendarizing company financials, and adjusting for non-recurring items and recent events.

### **Calculation of Key Financial Statistics and Ratios**

In this section, we outline the calculation of key financial statistics, ratios, and other metrics in accordance with the financial profile framework introduced in Step I.

- Size (Market Valuation: equity value and enterprise value; and Key Financial Data: sales, gross profit, EBITDA, EBIT, and net income)
- Profitability (gross profit, EBITDA, EBIT, and net income margins)
- Growth Profile (historical and estimated growth rates)
- Return on Investment (ROIC, ROE, ROA, and dividend yield)
- Credit Profile (leverage ratios, coverage ratios, and credit ratings)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup>For modeling/data entry purposes, manual inputs are typically formatted in blue font and yellow shading, while formula cells (calculations) are in black font (electronic versions of our models are available on our website, www.wiley.com/go/investmentbanking3e).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup>This template should be adjusted as appropriate in accordance with the specific company/ sector (see Exhibit 1.33).

**EXHIBIT 1.5** Sample Comparable Company Input Page

## Company A (Nasdaq:AAA)

Input Page (\$ in millions, except per share data)

General Information	
Company Name	Company A
Ticker	AAA
Stock Exchange	Nasdaq
Fiscal Year Ending	Dec-31
Moody's Corporate Rating	NA
S&P Corporate Rating	NA
Predicted Beta	1.00
Marginal Tax Rate	25.0%
Selected Market Data	
Current Price	- 1/1/2000
% of 52-week High	NA
52-week High Price	- 1/1/2000
52-week Low Price	- 1/1/2000
Dividend Per Share (MRQ)	•
Fully Diluted Shares Outstanding	
Equity Value	•

Metric -	LTM Return on Investment Ratios	Return on Invested Capital Retum on Equity	Return on Assets Implied Annual Dividend Per Share	LTM Credit Statistics	Debt/Total Capitalization	Total Debt/EBITDA	Net Debt/EBITDA

Metric	•	•	1	1
I TM Return on	Investment B:	atioe		
Return on Invest	ted Capital			•
Return on Equity				•
Return on Asset	ş			•
Implied Annual L	Dividend Per St	are		NA
LTM Credit Star	tistics			
Debt/Total Capit	alization			•
Total Debt/EBIT	DA			•
Net Debt/EBITD.	A			•
EBITDA/Interest	: Expense			•
(EBITDA-capex)	/Interest Exper	Ise		•
EBIT/Interest Ex	thense			•
Growth Rates				
	Sales	EBITDA	FCF	EPS
Historical				
1-year	•	•	•	•
2-year CAGR	•	•	•	•

	Sales	EBITDA	FCF	EPS
Historical				
1-year	1	•	1	•
2-year CAGR	1	•	1	•
Estimated				
1-year	1	•	1	•
2-year CAGR	•	•	'	•
Long-term				NA

 (1) [to come]
 (2) [to come]
 (3) [to come]
 (4) [to come] Notes

Reported Income Statement						
	Fiscal Yea 2016A	r Ending Decer 2017A	nber 31, 2018A	Prior Stub 9/30/2018	Current Stub 9/30/2019	LTM 9/30/2019
Sales	•	•		•	•	
CUGS (Incl. U&A) Gree Drafit						•
SG&A						• •
Other Expense / (Income)	1	1		1		
EBIT			,	•		•
Interest Expense				1		
Pre-tax Income						•
Income Taxes	•	•	•	1	•	•
Noncontrolling Interest Preferred Dividende	• •	• •		• •		
Effective Tax Rate	NA	NA	. NA	NA	NA :	NA
Wainhtad Aun Diluted Sharae						
Diluted EPS	NA	NA	M	NA	NA	NA
Adjusted Income Statement						
Non-recurring Items in COGS						
Adi Groce Drofit						
% margin	NA	NA	MA	NA	NA	NA
Reported EBIT		•	,	•	,	•
Non-recurring Items in COGS	•	•	,	•	,	•
Other Non-recurring Items	•	•	1	1		•
Adjusted EBIT % margin	- NA	- NA	- NA	- NA	- NA	- NA
Depreciation & Amortization			,			
Adjusted EBITDA		•		•		•
% margin	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Reported Net Income		•				•
Non-recurring Items in COGS	•	•	,	•	•	1
Other Non-recurring Items	•	•	,	•	'	•
Non-operating Non-rec. Items	•	•		•	•	•
ax Adjustment						
Adjusted Net Income		•	·	•	·	
% margin	NA	NA	· NA ·	NA	· NA ·	NA

.

Tax Adjustment	•	•	•	•		•
Adjusted Net Income	•	•	•	•		•
% margin	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Adjusted Diluted EPS						
Cash Flow Statement Data						
Cash From Operations	•	•	•	•	•	•
Capital Expenditures	•	•	1	•	•	•
% sales	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA :	NA
Free Cash Flow	•		•		•	•
% margin	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
FCF / Share	•	•	1		,	'
				_	-	
Depreciation & Amortization	1	•	1	•	•	•
% sales	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA :	NA

<b>Business Description</b>	[to come]	

Balance Sh

urrent Assens urrent Assens qupment, net lé Assais 88	urrent Assets Is uternt Assets Assets Assets Assets Ites
Irrent Assets is in the	Iter Assets
ts Assets e Assets 5.5 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1	ts Iutoment, net Assets Assets ities ities t t t t t t t t t t t t t
Alssens net e Alssens s	e Assets e Assets a Assets is itilities 1 Equity 1 Equity
le Assets	e Assels Ittes Ittes Ittes Ittes Ittes Ittes Ittes Ittes
	Ittes Ittes a Equity
	s Ittes Littes Littes Littes
	s Ittes Ittes Careford
- · ·	s littes fites d Equity
	lities
	ilites
	a Equity
	d Equity
ilities	d Equity
olifies	d Equity -
uitites	d Equity -
uitles	

	ľ	1	1	1	1	•				Proceeds	1	'	1	1		•
dina	,						I		In-the-Money	Shares	•	•	•	•		•
nares Outstan		Options		IS	ecurities	nding			Exercise	Price	•	ł	1	1	1	
Fully Diluted St	utstanding	m In-the-Money	spurchased	res from Option	m Convertible S	Shares Outstai		nts	Number of	Shares	•	•	1	1	-	•
alculation of	asic Shares O	lus: Shares fro	ess: Shares Re	Net New Shar	lus: Shares fro	Fully Diluted		options/Warral		Tranche	ranche 1	ranche 2	ranche 3	ranche 4	ranche 5	Total

Conversion Conversion Amount Price Ratio
--

### **Size: Market Valuation**

**Equity Value** Equity value ("market capitalization") is the value represented by a given company's basic shares outstanding plus "in-the-money" stock options,<sup>30</sup> warrants,<sup>31</sup> and convertible securities—collectively, "fully diluted shares outstanding". It is calculated by multiplying a company's current share price<sup>32</sup> by its fully diluted shares outstanding (see Exhibit 1.6).





While equity value provides perspective on relative size, it does not lend insight on share price performance. The company's current share price as a percentage of its 52-week high is much more informative in this respect. This is a widely used metric that provides perspective on valuation and gauges current market sentiment and outlook for both the individual company and its broader sector. If a given company's percentage is significantly out of line with that of its peers, it is generally an indicator of company-specific (as opposed to sector-specific) issues. For example, a company may have missed its earnings guidance or underperformed versus its peers over the recent quarter(s). It may also be a sign of more entrenched issues involving management, operations, or specific markets.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup>Stock options are granted to employees as a form of non-cash compensation. They provide the right to buy (call) shares of the company's common stock at a set price ("exercise" or "strike" price) during a given time period. Employee stock options are subject to vesting periods that restrict the number of shares available for exercise according to a set schedule. They become eligible to be converted into shares of common stock once their vesting period expires ("exercisable"). An option is considered "in-the-money" when the underlying company's share price surpasses the option's exercise price.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup>A warrant is a security typically issued in conjunction with a debt instrument that entitles the purchaser of that instrument to buy shares of the issuer's common stock at a set price during a given time period. In this context, warrants serve to entice investor interest (usually as a detachable equity "sweetener") in riskier classes of securities such as non-investment-grade bonds and mezzanine debt, by providing an increase to the security's overall return.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup>For trading comps, the banker typically uses the company's share price as of the prior day's close as the basis for calculating equity value and trading multiples.

*Calculation of Fully Diluted Shares Outstanding* A company's fully diluted shares are calculated by adding the number of shares represented by its in-the-money options, warrants, and convertible securities to its basic shares outstanding.<sup>33</sup> A company's most recent basic shares outstanding count is typically sourced from the first page of its 10-K or 10-Q (whichever is most recent). In some cases, however, the latest proxy statement may contain more updated data and, therefore, should be used in lieu of the 10-K or 10-Q. The most recent stock options/warrants information is obtained from a company's latest 10-K or, in some cases, the 10-Q.

The incremental shares represented by a company's in-the-money options and warrants are calculated in accordance with the treasury stock method (TSM). Those shares implied by a company's in-the-money convertible and equity-linked securities are calculated in accordance with the if-converted method or net share settlement (NSS), as appropriate.

Options and Warrants—The Treasury Stock Method The TSM assumes that all tranches of in-the-money options and warrants are exercised at their weighted average strike price with the resulting option proceeds used to repurchase outstanding shares of stock at the company's current share price. In-the-money options and warrants are those that have an exercise price lower than the current market price of the underlying company's stock. As the strike price is lower than the current market price, the number of shares repurchased is less than the additional shares outstanding from exercised options. This results in a net issuance of shares, which is dilutive.

In Exhibit 1.7, we provide an example of how to calculate fully diluted shares outstanding using the TSM.

### **EXHIBIT 1.7** Calculation of Fully Diluted Shares Using the Treasury Stock Method



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup>Investment banks and finance professionals may differ as to whether they use "outstanding" or "exercisable" in-the-money options and warrants in the calculation of fully diluted shares outstanding when performing trading comps. For conservatism (i.e., assuming the most dilutive scenario), many firms employ all outstanding in-the-money options and warrants as opposed to just exercisable as they represent future claims against the company.

As shown in Exhibit 1.7, the 5 million options are in-the-money as the exercise price of \$18.00 is lower than the current share price of \$20.00. This means that the holders of the options have the right to buy the company's shares at \$18.00 and sell them at \$20.00, thereby realizing the \$2.00 differential. Under the TSM, it is assumed that the \$18.00 of potential proceeds received by the company is used to repurchase shares that are currently trading at \$20.00. Therefore, the number of shares repurchased is 90% (\$18.00 / \$20.00) of the options, or 4.5 million shares in total (90% × 5 million). To calculate net new shares, the 4.5 million shares repurchased are subtracted from the 5 million options, resulting in 0.5 million. These new shares are added to the company's basic shares outstanding to derive fully diluted shares of 100.5 million.

*Convertible and Equity-Linked Securities* Outstanding convertible and equitylinked securities also need to be factored into the calculation of fully diluted shares outstanding. Convertible and equity-linked securities bridge the gap between traditional debt and equity, featuring characteristics of both. They include a broad range of instruments, such as traditional cash-pay convertible bonds, convertible hybrids, perpetual convertible preferred, and mandatory convertibles.<sup>34</sup>

This section focuses on the traditional cash-pay convertible bond as it is the most "plain-vanilla" and commonly issued structure. A cash-pay convertible bond ("convert") represents a straight debt instrument and an embedded equity call option that provides for the convert to be exchanged into a defined number of shares of the issuer's common stock under certain circumstances. The value of the embedded call option allows the issuer to pay a lower coupon than a straight debt instrument of the same credit. The strike price of the call option ("conversion price") is typically set at a premium to the company's underlying share price at the time the offering of the converts is priced.

The conversion feature can have different settlement mechanics. The simplest, "physical settlement", requires the issuer to settle conversions entirely in shares (together with cash in lieu of any fractional share). On the other end of the spectrum is "flexible settlement" (sometimes called "Instrument X"), which allows the issuer to settle conversion by delivering any combination of cash and shares, at its election. Finally, "net share settlement" requires the issuer to settle the conversion value in cash up to the principal amount being converted, with any excess of the conversion value of over the principal amount settled in shares.

For the purposes of performing trading comps, to calculate fully diluted shares outstanding, it is standard practice to first determine whether the company's outstanding converts are in-the-money, meaning that the current share price is above the conversion price. The number of diluted shares underlying cash-pay converts is often calculated using either the if-converted method or the treasury stock method, as applicable. Out-of-the-money converts, by contrast, remain treated as debt. Proper treatment of converts requires a careful reading of the relevant footnotes in the company's 10-K or prospectus for the security.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup>While the overall volume of issuance for convertible and equity-linked securities is less than that for straight debt instruments, they are relatively common in certain sectors, such as healthcare and technology.

*If-Converted Method* In accordance with the if-converted method, the impact of physically settled converts on the number of diluted shares is calculated by adding an amount equal to the outstanding principal amount of the convert divided by the conversion price.<sup>35</sup> The convert is then treated as equity and included in the calculation of the company's fully diluted shares outstanding and equity value. The equity value represented by the convert is calculated by multiplying the number of dilutive shares underlying the convert by the company's total debt.

As shown in Exhibit 1.8, as the company's current share price of 20.00 is greater than the conversion price of 15.00, we determine that the 150 million convert is in-the-money. Therefore the convert's outstanding principal amount is simply divided by the conversion price to calculate new shares of 10 million (150 million / 15.00). The number of underlying shares is then added to the company's basic shares outstanding of 100 million and net new shares from in-the-money options of 0.5 million to calculate fully diluted shares outstanding of 110.5 million.

The assumed conversion of in-the-money converts also requires an upward adjustment to the company's net income to account for the foregone interest expense payments associated with the coupon on the convert. This amount must be taxeffected before being added back to net income. Therefore, while the if-converted method can be EPS dilutive due to the additional number of shares, net income is actually higher on a pro forma basis.

### **EXHIBIT 1.8** Calculation of Fully Diluted Shares Using the If-Converted Method

(\$ in millions, except per share data; shares in millions)					
Assumptions					
Company					
Current Share Price	\$20.00				
Basic Shares Outstanding	100.0				
Convertible					
Amount Outstanding	\$150.0				
Conversion Price	\$15.00				

If-Converted		= Amount Outstanding / Conversion Price
Amount Outstanding	\$150.0	= \$150.0 million / \$15.00
/ Conversion Price	\$15.00	Coloulated in Exhibit 17
Incremental Shares	10.0 🖌	Calculated in Exhibit 1.7
Plus: Net New Shares from Options	0.5 /	= New Shares from Conversion
Plus: Basic Shares Outstanding	100.0 🆌	+ Net New Shares from Options
Fully Diluted Shares Outstanding	110.5	+ Basic Shares Outstanding
		= 10.0 million + 0.5 million + 100.0 million

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>35</sup>For GAAP reporting purposes (e.g., for EPS and fully diluted shares outstanding), the if-converted method requires issuers to measure the dilutive impact of the security through a two-test process. First, the issuer needs to test the security as if it were debt on its balance sheet, with the stated interest expense reflected in net income and the underlying shares omitted from the share count. Second, the issuer needs to test the security as if it were converted into equity at the beginning of the reporting period (or, if later, the date when the security was issued), which involves excluding the after-tax interest expense of the convert from net income and including the full underlying shares in the share count (or on a weighted average basis, if the convert was issued in the middle of the reporting period). Upon completion of the two tests, the issuer is required to use the more dilutive of the two methodologies.

*Net Share Settlement* Net share settlement and flexible settlement are common features in convertible bonds and often used by more mature, larger capitalized issuers.<sup>36</sup> These settlement methods serve to limit the dilutive effects of conversion and can in some cases afford the issuer TSM accounting treatment. For example, in net share settlement, only the value represented by the excess of the current share price over the conversion price is assumed to be settled with the issuance of additional shares, which results in less share issuance.<sup>37</sup>

As shown in Exhibit 1.9, the if-converted method results in incremental dilutive shares of 10 million, while NSS results in incremental dilutive shares of only 2.5 million. The NSS calculation is conducted by first multiplying the number of shares underlying the convert of 10 million by the company's current share price of \$20.00 to determine the implied conversion value of \$200 million. The \$50 million spread between the conversion value and par (\$200 million – \$150 million) is then divided by the current share price to determine the number of incremental dilutive shares of 2.5 million (\$50 million / \$20.00).<sup>38</sup> The \$150 million principal amount of the convert remains treated as debt due to the fact that the issuer typically must settle this amount in cash at maturity.

**EXHIBIT 1.9** Incremental Shares from If-Converted Versus Net Share Settlement

(\$ in millions, except per share data; shares in	millions)		
If-Converted		Net Share Settlement	
Amount Outstanding	\$150.0	Amount Outstanding	\$150.0
/ Conversion Price	\$15.00	/ Conversion Price	\$15.00
Incremental Shares	10.0	Incremental Shares	10.0
		× Current Share Price	\$20.00
		Total Conversion Value	\$200.0
		Less: Par Value of Amount Outstanding	(150.0)
		Excess Over Par Value	\$50.0
		/ Current Share Price	\$20.00
Incremental Shares – If-Converted	10.0	Incremental Shares – NSS	2.5
		= Excess Over Par Value / Current St = \$50.0 million / \$20.00	nare Price
		= Total Conversion Value - Par Value = \$200.0 million - \$150.0 million	of Amt. Out.
		= Incremental Shares × Curre = 10.0 million × \$20.00	ent Share Price
		= Amount Outstanding / = \$150.0 million / \$15.00	Conversion Pr

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup>Effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) put into effect guidelines for accounting for converts whose conversion can be settled in cash. These changes effectively bifurcate such converts into their debt and equity components, resulting in higher reported GAAP interest expense due to the higher imputed cost of debt. However, the guidelines do not change the calculation of shares outstanding in accordance with the TSM. Moreover, in July 2019, FASB proposed to eliminate this bifurcated accounting. Therefore, one should consult with a capital markets specialist for accounting guidance on in-the-money converts with NSS features.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup>The NSS feature may also be structured so that the issuer can elect to settle the excess conversion value in cash.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>38</sup>As the company's share price increases, the amount of incremental shares issued also increases as the spread between conversion and par value widens.

**Enterprise Value** Enterprise value ("total enterprise value" or "firm value") is the sum of all ownership interests in a company and claims on its assets from both debt and equity holders. As the graphic in Exhibit 1.10 depicts, it is defined as equity value + total debt + preferred stock + noncontrolling interest<sup>39</sup> – cash and cash equivalents. The equity value component is calculated on a fully diluted basis.





Theoretically, enterprise value is considered independent of capital structure, meaning that changes in a company's capital structure do not affect its enterprise value. For example, if a company raises additional debt that is held on the balance sheet as cash, its enterprise value remains constant as the new debt is offset by the increase in cash (i.e., net debt remains the same, see Scenario I in Exhibit 1.11). Similarly, if a company issues equity and uses the proceeds to repay debt, the incremental equity value is offset by the decrease in debt on a dollar-for-dollar basis (see Scenario II in Exhibit 1.11).<sup>40</sup> Therefore, these transactions are enterprise value neutral.

In both Scenario I and II, enterprise value remains constant despite a change in the company's capital structure. Hence, similar companies would be expected to have consistent enterprise value multiples despite differences in capital structure. One notable exception concerns highly leveraged companies, which may trade at a discount relative to their peers due to the perceived higher risk of financial distress<sup>41</sup> and potential constraints to growth.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>39</sup>Formerly known as "minority interest", noncontrolling interest is a significant, but nonmajority, interest (less than 50%) in a company's voting stock by another company or an investor. Effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008, FAS 160 changed the accounting and reporting for minority interest, which is now called noncontrolling interest and can be found in the shareholders' equity section of a company's balance sheet. On the income statement, the noncontrolling interest holder's share of income is subtracted from net income. <sup>40</sup>These illustrative scenarios ignore financing fees associated with the debt and equity issuance as well as potential breakage costs associated with the repayment of debt (see Chapter 4).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>41</sup>Circumstances whereby a company is unable or struggles to meet its credit obligations, typically resulting in business disruption, insolvency, or bankruptcy. As the perceived risk of financial distress increases, equity value generally decreases accordingly.

(\$ in millions)							
Scenario I: Issuance of Debt							
	Actual	Adjus	stments	Pro forma			
	2018	+	-	2018			
Equity Value	\$750			\$750			
Plus: Total Debt	250	100		350			
Plus: Preferred Stock	35			35			
Plus: Noncontrolling Interest	15			15			
Less: Cash and Cash Equivalents	(50)		(100)	(150)			
Enterprise Value	\$1,000			\$1,000			

### **EXHIBIT 1.11** Effects of Capital Structure Changes on Enterprise Value

Scenario II: Issuance of Equity to Repay Debt								
	Actual	Actual Adjust		Pro forma				
	2018	+	-	2018				
Equity Value	\$750	100		\$850				
Plus: Total Debt	250		(100)	150				
Plus: Preferred Stock	35			35				
Plus: Noncontrolling Interest	15			15				
Less: Cash and Cash Equivalents	(50)			(50)				
Enterprise Value	\$1,000			\$1,000				

### Size: Key Financial Data

- Sales (or revenue) is the first line item, or "top line", on an income statement. Sales represents the total dollar amount realized by a company through the sale of its products and services during a given time period. Sales levels and trends are a key factor in determining a company's relative positioning among its peers. All else being equal, companies with greater sales volumes tend to benefit from scale, market share, purchasing power, and lower risk profile, and are often rewarded by the market with a premium valuation relative to smaller peers.
- Gross Profit, defined as sales less cost of goods sold (COGS),<sup>42</sup> is the profit earned by a company after subtracting costs directly related to the production of its products and services. As such, it is a key indicator of operational efficiency and pricing power, and is usually expressed as a percentage of sales for analytical purposes (gross profit margin, see Exhibit 1.12). For example, if a company sells a product for \$100, and that product costs \$60 in materials, manufacturing, and direct labor to produce, then the gross profit on that product is \$40 and the gross profit margin is 40%.
- EBITDA (earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization) is an important measure of profitability. As EBITDA is a non-GAAP financial measure and typically not reported by public filers within their 10-K's and 10-Q's, it is generally calculated by taking EBIT (or operating income/profit as often reported on the income statement) and adding back the depreciation and amortization

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>42</sup>COGS, as reported on the income statement, may include or exclude D&A depending on the filing company. If D&A is excluded, it is reported as a separate line item on the income statement.

(D&A) as sourced from the cash flow statement.<sup>43</sup> EBITDA is a widely used proxy for operating cash flow as it reflects the company's total cash operating costs for producing its products and services. In addition, EBITDA serves as a fair "apples-to-apples" means of comparison among companies in the same sector because it is free from differences resulting from capital structure (i.e., interest expense) and tax regime (i.e., tax expense).

- EBIT (<u>earnings before interest and taxes</u>) is often the same as reported operating income, operating profit, or income from operations<sup>44</sup> on the income statement found in a company's SEC filings. Like EBITDA, EBIT is independent of tax regime and serves as a useful metric for comparing companies with different capital structures. It is, however, less indicative as a measure of operating cash flow than EBITDA because it includes non-cash D&A expense. Furthermore, D&A reflects discrepancies among different companies in capital spending and/ or depreciation policy as well as acquisition histories (amortization).
- Net income ("earnings" or the "bottom line") is the residual profit after all of a company's expenses have been netted out. Net income can also be viewed as the earnings available to equity holders once all of the company's obligations have been satisfied (e.g., to suppliers, vendors, service providers, employees, utilities, lessors, lenders, state and local treasuries). Wall Street tends to view net income on a per share basis (i.e., earnings per share or EPS).

### Profitability

Gross profit margin ("gross margin") measures the percentage of sales remaining after subtracting COGS (see Exhibit 1.12). It is driven by a company's direct cost per unit, such as materials, manufacturing, and direct labor involved in production. These costs are typically largely variable, as opposed to corporate overhead, which is more fixed in nature.<sup>45</sup> Companies ideally seek to increase their gross margin through a combination of improved sourcing/procurement of raw materials and enhanced pricing power, as well as by improving the efficiency of manufacturing facilities and processes.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>43</sup>In the event a company reports D&A as a separate line item on the income statement (i.e., broken out separately from COGS and SG&A), EBITDA can be calculated as sales less COGS less SG&A.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>44</sup>EBIT may differ from operating income/profit due to the inclusion of income generated outside the scope of a company's ordinary course business operations ("other income").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>45</sup>*Variable* costs change depending on the volume of goods produced and include items such as materials, direct labor, transportation, and utilities. *Fixed* costs remain more or less constant regardless of volume and include items such as lease expense, advertising and marketing, insurance, corporate overhead, and administrative salaries. These costs are usually captured in the SG&A (or equivalent) line item on the income statement.

### **EXHIBIT 1.12** Gross Profit Margin



**EBITDA** and **EBIT margin** are accepted standards for measuring a company's operating profitability (see Exhibit 1.13). Accordingly, they are used to frame relative performance both among peer companies and across sectors.

### **EXHIBIT 1.13** EBITDA and EBIT Margin



• Net income margin measures a company's overall profitability as opposed to its operating profitability (see Exhibit 1.14). It is net of interest expense and, therefore, affected by capital structure. As a result, companies with similar operating margins may have substantially different net income margins due to differences in leverage. Furthermore, as net income is impacted by taxes, companies with similar operating margins may have varying net income margins due to different tax rates.

### **EXHIBIT 1.14** Net Income Margin



### **Growth Profile**

A company's growth profile is a critical value driver. In assessing a company's growth profile, the banker typically looks at historical and estimated future growth rates as well as compound annual growth rates (CAGRs) for selected financial statistics (see Exhibit 1.15).

### **EXHIBIT 1.15** Historical and Estimated Diluted EPS Growth Rates

		Fiscal Year Ending December 31,					
	2016A	2017A	2018A	CAGR ('16 - '18)	2019E	2020E	CAGR ('18 - '20)
Diluted Earnings Per Share	\$1.00	\$1.20	\$1.40	18.3%	\$1.60	\$1.80	13.4%
% growth		20.0%	16.7%		14.3%	12.5%	
Long-term growth rate							12.5%
= (Ending Value / Beginning Value) ^ (1 / Ending Year - Beginning Year) - 1 = (\$1.40 / \$1.00) ^ (1 / (2018 - 2016)) - 1					Sou	rce: Consens	us Estimates

Historical annual EPS data is typically sourced directly from a company's 10-K or a financial information service that sources SEC filings. As with the calculation of any financial statistic, historical EPS must be adjusted for non-recurring items to be meaningful. The data that serves as the basis for a company's projected 1-year, 2-year, and long-term<sup>46</sup> EPS growth rates is generally obtained from consensus estimates.

### **Return on Investment**

Return on invested capital (ROIC) measures the return generated by all capital provided to a company. As such, ROIC utilizes a pre-interest earnings statistic in the numerator, such as EBIT or tax-effected EBIT (also known as NOPAT or EBIAT) and a metric that captures both debt and equity in the denominator (see Exhibit 1.16). The denominator is typically calculated on an average basis (e.g., average of the balances as of the prior annual and most recent periods).

### **EXHIBIT 1.16** Return on Invested Capital



Return on equity (ROE) measures the return generated on the equity provided to a company by its shareholders. As a result, ROE incorporates an earnings metric net of interest expense, such as net income, in the numerator and average shareholders' equity in the denominator (see Exhibit 1.17). ROE is an important indicator of performance as companies are intently focused on shareholder returns.

Return on assets (ROA) measures the return generated by a company's asset base, thereby providing a barometer of the asset efficiency of a business. ROA typically utilizes net income in the numerator and average total assets in the denominator (see Exhibit 1.18).



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>46</sup>Represents a three-to-five-year estimate of annual EPS growth, as reported by equity research analysts.

Dividend yield is a measure of returns to shareholders, but from a different perspective than earnings-based ratios. Dividend yield measures the annual dividends per share paid by a company to its shareholders (which can be distributed either in cash or additional shares), expressed as a percentage of its share price. Dividends are typically paid on a quarterly basis and therefore must be annualized to calculate the implied dividend yield (see Exhibit 1.19).<sup>47</sup> For example, if a company pays a quarterly dividend of \$0.05 per share (\$0.20 per share on an annualized basis) and its shares are currently trading at \$10.00, the dividend yield is 2% ((\$0.05 x 4 payments) / \$10.00).

**EXHIBIT 1.19** Implied Dividend Yield

Implied Dividend Yield =

Most Recent Quarterly Dividend Per Share × 4 Current Share Price

### **Credit Profile**

40

Leverage Leverage refers to a company's debt level. It is typically measured as a multiple of EBITDA (e.g., debt-to-EBITDA) or as a percentage of total capitalization (e.g., debt-to-total capitalization). Both debt and equity investors closely track a company's leverage as it reveals a great deal about financial policy, risk profile, and capacity for growth. As a general rule, the higher a company's leverage, the higher its risk of financial distress due to the burden associated with greater interest expense and principal repayments.

Debt-to-EBITDA depicts the ratio of a company's debt to its EBITDA, with a higher multiple connoting higher leverage (see Exhibit 1.20). It is generally calculated on the basis of LTM financial statistics. There are several variations of this ratio, including total debt-to-EBITDA, senior secured debt-to-EBITDA, net debt-to-EBITDA, and total debt-to-(EBITDA less capex). As EBITDA is typically used as a rough proxy for operating cash flow, this ratio can be viewed as a measure of how many years of a company's cash flows are needed to repay its debt.





<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>47</sup>Not all companies choose to pay dividends to their shareholders.

Debt-to-total capitalization measures a company's debt as a percentage of its total capitalization (debt + preferred stock + noncontrolling interest + equity) (see Exhibit 1.21). This ratio can be calculated on the basis of book or market values depending on the situation. As with debt-to-EBITDA, a higher debt-to-total capitalization ratio connotes higher debt levels and risk of financial distress.

### **EXHIBIT 1.21** Capitalization Ratio



**Coverage** Coverage is a broad term that refers to a company's ability to meet ("cover") its interest expense obligations. Coverage ratios are generally comprised of a financial statistic representing operating cash flow (e.g., LTM EBITDA) in the numerator and LTM interest expense in the denominator. There are several variations of the coverage ratio, including EBITDA-to-interest expense, (EBITDA less capex)-to-interest expense, and EBIT-to-interest expense (see Exhibit 1.22). Intuitively, the higher the coverage ratio, the better positioned the company is to meet its debt obligations and, therefore, the stronger its credit profile.

### **EXHIBIT 1.22** Interest Coverage Ratio

Interest Coverage Ratio =

EBITDA, (EBITDA – Capex), or EBIT Interest Expense

**Credit Ratings** A credit rating is an assessment<sup>48</sup> by an independent rating agency of a company's ability and willingness to make full and timely payments of amounts due on its debt obligations. Credit ratings are typically required for companies seeking to raise debt financing in the capital markets as only a limited class of investors will participate in a corporate debt offering without an assigned credit rating on the new issue.<sup>49</sup>

The three primary credit rating agencies are Moody's, S&P, and Fitch. Nearly every public debt issuer receives a rating from Moody's, S&P, and/or Fitch. Moody's uses an alphanumeric scale, while S&P and Fitch both use an alphabetic system combined with pluses (+) and minuses (–) to rate the creditworthiness of an issuer. The ratings scales of the primary rating agencies are shown in Exhibit 1.23.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>48</sup>Ratings agencies provide opinions, but do not conduct audits.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>49</sup>Ratings are assessed on the issuer (corporate credit ratings) as well as on the individual debt instruments (facility ratings).

	Moody's	S&P	Fitch	Definition
	Aaa	AAA	AAA	Highest Quality
	Aa1	AA+	AA+	
ıde	Aa2	AA	AA	Very High Quality
Ë	Aa3	AA–	AA–	
nt	A1	A+	A+	
me	A2	А	А	High Quality
rest	A3	А-	А–	
Inv	Baa1	BBB+	BBB+	
	Baa2	BBB	BBB	Medium Grade
	Baa3	BBB–	BBB–	
	Ba1	BB+	BB+	
	Ba2	BB	BB	Speculative
de	Ba3	BB-	BB–	
ગાથ	B1	B+	B+	
nt (	B2	В	В	Highly Speculative
mei	B3	В-	B-	
est	Caa1	CCC+	CCC+	
Inv	Caa2	CCC	CCC	Substantial Risk
-uc	Caa3	CCC-	CCC-	
Ž	Ca	CC	CC	
	С	С	C	Extremely Speculative /
	_	D	D	Default

EXHIBIT 1.23	Ratings Scales of the	Primary Rating Agencies
--------------	-----------------------	-------------------------

### **Supplemental Financial Concepts and Calculations**

**Calculation of LTM Financial Data** U.S. public filers are required to report their financial performance on a quarterly basis, including a full year report filed at the end of the fiscal year. Therefore, in order to measure financial performance for the most recent annual or LTM period, the company's financial results for the previous four quarters are summed. This financial information is sourced from the company's most recent 10-K and 10-Q, as appropriate. As previously discussed, however, prior to the filing of the 10-Q or 10-K, companies typically issue a detailed earnings press release in an 8-K with the necessary financial data to help calculate LTM performance. Therefore, it may be appropriate to use a company's earnings announcement to update trading comps on a timely basis.

As the formula in Exhibit 1.24 illustrates, LTM financials are typically calculated by taking the full prior fiscal year's financial data, adding the YTD financial data for the current year period ("current stub"), and then subtracting the YTD financial data from the prior year ("prior stub").

**EXHIBIT 1.24** Calculation of LTM Financial Data



In the event that the most recent quarter is the fourth quarter of a company's fiscal year, then no LTM calculations are necessary as the full prior fiscal year (as reported) serves as the LTM period. Exhibit 1.25 shows an illustrative calculation for a given company's LTM sales for the period ending 9/30/2019.

### EXHIBIT 1.25 Calculation of LTM 9/30/2019 Sales

(\$ in millions)



Calendarization of Financial Data The majority of U.S. public filers report their financial performance in accordance with a fiscal year (FY) ending December 31, which corresponds to the calendar year (CY) end. Some companies, however, report on a different schedule (e.g., a fiscal year ending April 30). Any variation in fiscal year ends among comparable companies must be addressed for benchmarking purposes. Otherwise, the trading multiples will be based on financial data for different periods and, therefore, not truly "comparable".

To account for variations in fiscal year ends among comparable companies, each company's financials are adjusted to conform to a calendar year end in order to produce a "clean" basis for comparison, a process known as "calendarization". This is a relatively straightforward algebraic exercise, as illustrated by the formula in Exhibit 1.26, used to calendarize a company's fiscal year sales projection to produce a calendar year sales projection.<sup>50</sup>

EXHIBIT 1.26 Calendarization of Financial Data



Note: "Month #" refers to the month in which the company's fiscal year ends (e.g., the Month # for a company with a fiscal year ending April 30 would be 4). FYA = Fiscal Year Actual and NFY = Next Fiscal Year.

Exhibit 1.27 provides an illustrative calculation for the calendarization of a company's calendar year 2019 estimated (E) sales, assuming a fiscal year ending April 30.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>50</sup>If available, quarterly estimates should be used as the basis for calendarizing financial projections.



### **EXHIBIT 1.27** Calendarization of Sales

**Adjustments for Non-Recurring Items** To assess a company's financial performance on a "normalized" basis, it is standard practice to adjust reported financial data for non-recurring items, a process known as "scrubbing" or "sanitizing" the financials. Failure to do so may lead to the calculation of misleading ratios and multiples, which, in turn, may produce a distorted view of valuation. These adjustments involve the add-back or elimination of one-time charges and gains, respectively, to create a more indicative view of ongoing company performance. Typical charges include those incurred for restructuring events (e.g., store/plant closings and headcount reduction), losses on asset sales, changes in accounting principles, inventory write-offs, goodwill impairment, extinguishment of debt, and losses from litigation settlements, among others. Typical benefits include gains from asset sales, favorable litigation settlements, and tax adjustments, among others.

Non-recurring items are often described in the MD&A section and financial footnotes in a company's public filings (e.g., 10-K and 10-Q) and earnings announcements. These items are often explicitly depicted as "non-recurring", "extraordinary", "unusual", or "one-time". Therefore, the banker is encouraged to comb electronic versions of the company's public filings and earnings announcements using word searches for these adjectives. Often, non-recurring charges or benefits are explicitly broken out as separate line items on a company's reported income statement and/or cash flow statement. Research reports can be helpful in identifying these items, while also providing color commentary on the reason they occurred.

In many cases, however, the banker must exercise discretion as to whether a given charge or benefit is non-recurring or part of normal business operations. This determination is sometimes relatively subjective, further compounded by the fact that certain events may be considered non-recurring for one company, but customary for another. For example, a generic pharmaceutical company may find itself in court frequently due to lawsuits filed by major drug manufacturers related to patent challenges. In this case, expenses associated with a lawsuit should not necessarily be treated as non-recurring because these legal expenses are a normal part of ongoing operations. While financial information services provide a breakdown of recommended adjustments that can be helpful in identifying potential non-recurring items, ultimately professional judgment needs to be exercised.

When adjusting for non-recurring items, it is important to distinguish between pre-tax and after-tax amounts. For a pre-tax restructuring charge, for example, the full amount is simply added back to calculate adjusted EBIT and EBITDA. To calculate

1 1 6

adjusted net income, however, the pre-tax restructuring charge needs to be tax-effected<sup>51</sup> before being added back. Conversely, for after-tax amounts, the disclosed amount is simply added back to net income, but must be "grossed up" at the company's tax rate (t) (i.e., divided by (1 - t)) before being added back to EBIT and EBITDA.

Exhibit 1.28 provides an illustrative income statement for the fiscal year 2018 as it might appear in a 10-K. Let's assume the corresponding notes to the financials mention that the company recorded one-time charges related to an inventory write-down (\$5 million pre-tax) and restructuring expenses from downsizing the salesforce (\$10 million pre-tax). Provided we gain comfort that these charges are truly non-recurring, we would need to normalize the company's earnings statistics accordingly for these items in order to arrive at adjusted EBIT, EBITDA, and diluted EPS.

EXHIBIT 1.28	Reported I	ncome Statement
--------------	------------	-----------------

(\$ in millions, except per share data)	
Income Statement	
	Reported
	2018
Sales	\$1,000.0
Cost of Goods Sold	625.0
Gross Profit	\$375.0
Selling, General & Administrative	230.0
Restructuring Charges	10.0
Operating Income (EBIT)	\$135.0
Interest Expense	35.0
Pre-tax Income	\$100.0
Income Taxes	25.0
Net Income	\$75.0
Weighted Average Diluted Shares	30.0
Diluted Earnings Per Share	\$2.50

As shown in Exhibit 1.29, to calculate adjusted EBIT and EBITDA, we add back the full pre-tax charges of \$5 million and \$10 million (\$15 million in total). This provides adjusted EBIT of \$150 million and adjusted EBITDA of \$200 million. To calculate adjusted net income and diluted EPS, however, the tax expense on the incremental \$15 million pre-tax earnings must be subtracted. Assuming a 25% marginal tax rate, we calculate tax expense of \$3.8 million and additional net income of \$11.3 million (\$15 million – \$3.8 million). The \$11.3 million is added to reported net income, resulting in adjusted net income of \$86.3 million. We then divide the \$86.3 million by weighted average fully diluted shares outstanding of 30 million to calculate adjusted diluted EPS of \$2.88.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>51</sup>In the event the SEC filing's footnotes do not provide detail on the after-tax amounts of such adjustments, the banker typically uses the marginal tax rate. The marginal tax rate for U.S. corporations is the rate at which a company is required to pay federal, state, and local taxes. The Tax Cuts and Jobs Act of 2017 lowered the U.S. federal corporate tax rate from 35% to 21%. State and local taxes typically add another 2% to 5% or more (depending on the state). Most public companies disclose their federal, state, and local tax rates in their 10-Ks in the notes to their financial statements. We have assumed a federal corporate tax rate of 21% and marginal tax rate of 25% for all analyses in this book.

(\$ in millions, except per share data)					
Income Statement					
	Reported	Adjust	ments	Adjusted	
	2018	+	-	2018	
Sales	\$1,000.0			\$1,000.0	
Cost of Goods Sold	625.0		(5.0)	620.0	Inventory write-down
Gross Profit	\$375.0			\$380.0	intensity into domi
Selling, General & Administrative	230.0			230.0	
Restructuring Charges	10.0		(10.0)	-	Restructuring charge related to
Operating Income (EBIT)	\$135.0			\$150.0	severance from downsizing
Interest Expense	35.0			35.0	the salesforce
Pre-tax Income	\$100.0			\$115.0	
Income Taxes	25.0	3.8	•	28.8	= (Inventory write-down + Bestructuring
Net Income	\$75.0			\$86.3	charge) x Marginal Tax Bate
					= (\$5 million + \$10 million) x 25%
Operating Income (EBIT)	\$135.0	15.0	•	\$150.0	
Depreciation & Amortization	50.0 🎙			50.0	D&A is sourced from the company's cash
EBITDA	\$185.0			\$200.0	flow statement although it is sometimes
					broken out on the income statement
Weighted Avg. Diluted Shares	30.0			30.0	
Diluted EPS	\$2.50			\$2.88	
\$15 million add-back of tota	l non-recurrin	g items			
\$15 million add-back of tota	عد I non-recurrin	g items		φ2.00	

### **EXHIBIT 1.29** Adjusted Income Statement

**Adjustments for Recent Events** In normalizing a company's financials, adjustments also need to be made for recent events, such as M&A transactions, financing activities, conversion of convertible securities, stock splits, or share repurchases in between reporting periods. Therefore, prior to performing trading comps, the banker checks company SEC filings (e.g., 8-Ks, registration statements/prospectuses<sup>52</sup>) and press releases since the most recent reporting period to determine whether the company has announced such activities.

For a recently announced M&A transaction, for example, the company's financial statements must be adjusted accordingly. The balance sheet is adjusted for the effects of the transaction by adding the purchase price financing (including any refinanced or assumed debt), while the LTM income statement is adjusted for the target's incremental sales and earnings. Equity research analysts typically update their estimates for a company's future financial performance promptly following the announcement of an M&A transaction. Therefore, the banker can use updated consensus estimates in combination with the pro forma balance sheet to calculate forward-looking multiples.<sup>53</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>52</sup>A registration statement/prospectus is a filing prepared by an issuer upon the registration/ issuance of public securities, including debt and equity. The primary SEC forms for registration statements are S-1, S-3, and S-4; prospectuses are filed pursuant to Rule 424. When a company seeks to register securities with the SEC, it must file a registration statement. Within the registration statement is a preliminary prospectus. Once the registration statement is deemed effective, the company files the final prospectus as a 424 (includes final pricing and other key terms).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>53</sup>As previously discussed, however, the banker needs to confirm beforehand that the estimates have been updated for the announced deal prior to usage. Furthermore, certain analysts may only update NFY estimates on an "as contributed" basis for the incremental earnings from the transaction for the remainder of the fiscal year (as opposed to adding a pro forma full year of earnings).

### **Calculation of Key Trading Multiples**

Once the key financial statistics are spread, the banker proceeds to calculate the relevant trading multiples for the comparables universe. While various sectors may employ specialized or sector-specific valuation multiples (see Exhibit 1.33), the most generic and widely used multiples employ a measure of market valuation in the numerator (e.g., enterprise value, equity value) and a universal measure of financial performance in the denominator (e.g., EBITDA, net income). For enterprise value multiples, the denominator employs a financial statistic that flows to both debt and equity holders, such as sales, EBITDA, and EBIT. For equity value (or share price) multiples, the denominator must be a financial statistic that flows only to equity holders, such as net income (or diluted EPS). Among these multiples, EV/EBITDA and P/E are the most common.

The following sections provide an overview of the more commonly used equity value and enterprise value multiples.

### **Equity Value Multiples**

**Price-to-Earnings Ratio / Equity Value-to-Net Income Multiple** The P/E ratio, calculated as current share price divided by diluted EPS (or equity value divided by net income), is the most widely recognized trading multiple. Assuming a constant share count, the P/E ratio is equivalent to equity value-to-net income. These ratios can also be viewed as a measure of how much investors are willing to pay for a dollar of a company's current or future earnings. P/E ratios are typically based on forward one- or two-year EPS (and, to a lesser extent, LTM EPS) as investors are focused on future growth. Companies with higher P/Es than their peers tend to have higher earnings growth expectations.

The P/E ratio is particularly relevant for mature companies that have a demonstrated ability to consistently grow earnings. However, while the P/E ratio is broadly used and accepted, it has certain limitations. For example, it is not relevant for companies with little or no earnings as the denominator in these instances is *de minimis*, zero, or even negative. In addition, as previously discussed, net income (and EPS) is net of interest expense and, therefore, dependent on capital structure. As a result, two otherwise similar companies in terms of size and operating margins can have substantially different net income margins (and consequently P/E ratios) due to differences in leverage. Similarly, accounting discrepancies, such as for depreciation or taxes, can also produce meaningful disparities in P/E ratios among comparable companies.

The two formulas for calculating the P/E ratio (both equivalent, assuming a constant share count) are shown in Exhibit 1.30.

### **EXHIBIT 1.30** Equity Value Multiples



### **Enterprise Value Multiples**

Given that enterprise value represents the interests of both debt and equity holders, it is used as a multiple of unlevered financial statistics such as sales, EBITDA, and EBIT. The most generic and widely used enterprise value multiples are EV/EBITDA, EV/EBIT, and EV/sales (see Exhibits 1.31 and 1.32). As with P/E ratios, enterprise value multiples tend to focus on forward estimates in addition to LTM statistics for framing valuation.

**Enterprise Value-to-EBITDA and Enterprise Value-to-EBIT Multiples** EV/EBITDA serves as a valuation standard for most sectors. It is independent of capital structure and taxes, as well as any distortions that may arise from differences in D&A among different companies. For example, one company may have spent heavily on new machinery and equipment in recent years, resulting in increased D&A for the current and future years, while another company may have deferred its capital spending until a future period. In the interim, this situation would produce disparities in EBIT margins between the two companies that would not be reflected in EBITDA margins.

For the reasons outlined above, as well as potential discrepancies due to acquisition-related amortization, EV/EBIT is less commonly used than EV/ EBITDA. However, EV/EBIT may be helpful in situations where D&A is unavailable (e.g., when valuing divisions of public companies) or for companies with high capex.

### **EXHIBIT 1.31** Enterprise Value-to-EBITDA and Enterprise Value-to-EBIT



**Enterprise Value-to-Sales Multiple** EV/sales is also analyzed, although it is typically less relevant than the other multiples discussed. Sales may provide an indication of size, but it does not necessarily translate into profitability or cash flow generation, both of which are key value drivers. Consequently, EV/sales is used largely as a sanity check on the earnings-based multiples discussed above.

In certain sectors, however, as well as for companies with little or no earnings, EV/ sales may be relied upon as a meaningful reference point for valuation. For example, EV/sales may be used to value an early stage technology company that is aggressively growing sales, but has yet to achieve profitability.





### **Sector-Specific Multiples**

Many sectors employ specific valuation multiples in addition to, or instead of, the traditional metrics previously discussed. These multiples use an indicator of market valuation in the numerator and a key sector-specific financial, operating, or production/capacity statistic in the denominator. Selected examples are shown in Exhibit 1.33.

### **EXHIBIT 1.33** Selected Sector-Specific Valuation Multiples

Valuation Multiple	Sector
Enterprise Value /	
Broadcast Cash Flow (BCF)	<ul><li>Media</li><li>Telecommunications</li></ul>
Earnings Before Interest Taxes, Depreciation, Amortization, and Rent Expense (EBITDAR)	<ul><li>Casinos</li><li>Restaurants</li><li>Retail</li></ul>
Earnings Before Interest Taxes, Depreciation, Depletion, Amortization, and Exploration Expense (EBITDAX)	<ul><li>Natural Resources</li><li>Oil &amp; Gas</li></ul>
Population (POP)	<ul> <li>Metals &amp; Mining</li> <li>Natural Resources</li> <li>Oil &amp; Gas</li> <li>Paper and Forest Products</li> </ul>
Reserves	<ul><li>Metals &amp; Mining</li><li>Natural Resources</li><li>Oil &amp; Gas</li></ul>
Square Footage	<ul><li>Real Estate</li><li>Retail</li></ul>
Subscriber	<ul><li>Media</li><li>Telecommunications</li></ul>
Equity Value (Price) /	
Book Value (per share)	<ul><li>Financial Institutions</li><li>Homebuilders</li></ul>
Cash Available for Distribution (per share)	<ul> <li>Real Estate</li> </ul>
Discretionary Cash Flow (per share)	<ul> <li>Natural Resources</li> </ul>
Funds from Operations (FFO) (per share)	<ul> <li>Real Estate</li> </ul>
Net Asset Value (NAV) (per share)	<ul><li>Financial Institutions</li><li>Mining</li><li>Real Estate</li></ul>

### **STEP IV. BENCHMARK THE COMPARABLE COMPANIES**

Once the initial universe of comparable companies is selected and key financial statistics, ratios, and trading multiples are spread, the banker is set to perform benchmarking analysis. Benchmarking centers on analyzing and comparing each of the comparable companies with one another and the target. The ultimate objective is to determine the target's relative ranking so as to frame valuation accordingly. While the entire universe provides a useful perspective, the banker typically hones in on a selected group of closest comparables as the basis for establishing the target's implied valuation range. The closest comparables are generally those most similar to the target in terms of business and financial profile.

We have broken down the benchmarking exercise into a two-stage process. First, we benchmark the key financial statistics and ratios for the target and its comparables in order to establish relative positioning, with a focus on identifying the closest or "best" comparables and noting potential outliers. Second, we analyze and compare the trading multiples for the peer group, placing particular emphasis on the best comparables.

### **Benchmark the Financial Statistics and Ratios**

The first stage of the benchmarking analysis involves a comparison of the target and comparables universe on the basis of key financial performance metrics. These metrics, as captured in the financial profile framework outlined in Steps I and III, include measures of size, profitability, growth, returns, and credit strength. They are core value drivers and typically translate directly into relative valuation. The results of the benchmarking exercise are displayed on spreadsheet output pages that present the data for each company in an easy-to-compare format (see Exhibits 1.53 and 1.54). These pages also display the mean, median, maximum (high), and minimum (low) for the universe's selected financial statistics and ratios.

A thoughtful benchmarking analysis goes beyond a quantitative comparison of the comparables' financial metrics. In order to truly assess the target's relative strength, the banker needs to have a strong understanding of each comparable company's story. For example, what are the reasons for high or low growth rates and profit margins? Is the company a market leader or laggard, gaining or losing market share? Has the company been successful in delivering upon announced strategic initiatives or meeting earnings guidance? Has the company announced any recent M&A transactions or significant ownership/management changes? The ability to interpret these issues, in combination with the above-mentioned financial analysis, is critical to assessing the performance of the comparable companies and determining the target's relative position.

### **Benchmark the Trading Multiples**

The trading multiples for the comparables universe are also displayed on a spreadsheet output page for easy comparison and analysis (see Exhibit 1.55). This enables the banker to view the full range of multiples and assess relative valuation for each of the comparable companies. As with the financial statistics

and ratios, the means, medians, highs, and lows for the range of multiples are calculated and displayed, providing a preliminary reference point for establishing the target's valuation range.

Once the trading multiples have been analyzed, the banker conducts a further refining of the comparables universe. Depending on the resulting output, it may become apparent that certain outliers need to be excluded from the analysis or that the comparables should be further tiered (e.g., on the basis of size, sub-sector, or ranging from closest to peripheral). The trading multiples for the best comparables are also noted as they are typically assigned greater emphasis for framing valuation.

### **STEP V. DETERMINE VALUATION**

The trading multiples for comparable companies serve as the basis for deriving an appropriate valuation range for the target. The banker typically begins by using the means and medians of the most relevant multiple for the sector (e.g., EV/EBITDA or P/E) to extrapolate a defensible range of multiples. The high and low multiples of the comparables universe provide further guidance. The multiples of the best comparables, however, are typically relied upon as guideposts for selecting the tightest, most appropriate range.

Consequently, as few as two or three carefully selected comparables often serve as the ultimate basis for valuation, with the broader group providing reference points. Hence, the selected multiple range is typically tighter than that implied by simply taking the high and low multiples for the universe. As part of this exercise, the banker must also determine which period financial data is most relevant for calculating the trading multiples. Depending on the sector, point in the business cycle, and comfort with consensus estimates, the comparable companies may be trading on the basis of LTM, one-or two-year forward financials.

As shown in the illustrative example in Exhibit 1.34, the target has three closest comparables that trade in the range of approximately 6.5x to 7.5x 2019E EBITDA, versus a high/low range of 5.5x to 8.5x, a mean of 7.0x and a median of 6.8x.



### **EXHIBIT 1.34** Selected Enterprise Value-to-EBITDA Multiple Range

The selected multiple range is then applied to the target's appropriate financial statistics to derive an implied valuation range.

### Valuation Implied by EV/EBITDA

Exhibit 1.35 demonstrates how a given EV/EBITDA multiple range translates into an implied range for enterprise value, equity value, and share price. For these calculations, we assume net debt<sup>54</sup> of \$500 million and fully diluted shares outstanding of 100 million.<sup>55</sup>

### **EXHIBIT 1.35** Valuation Implied by EV/EBITDA

.....

(\$ in million	s, except per s	snare dala)					
EBITDA	Financial Metric	Multiple Range	Implied Enterprise Value	Less: Net Debt	Implied Equity Value	Fully Diluted Shares	Implied Share Price
LTM	\$200	7.0x – 8.0x	\$1,400 - \$1,600	(500)	\$900 - \$1,100	100	\$9.00 - \$11.00
2019E	215	6.5x – 7.5x	1,398 – 1,613	(500)	898 – 1,113	100	\$8.98 - \$11.13
2020E	230	6.0x - 7.0x	1,380 - 1,610	(500)	880 – 1,110	100	\$8.80 - \$11.10

At a 6.5x to 7.5x multiple range for 2019E EBITDA, the endpoints are multiplied by the target's 2019E EBITDA of \$215 million to produce an implied enterprise value range of \$1,398 million to \$1,613 million.

To calculate implied equity value, we subtract net debt of \$500 million from enterprise value, which results in a range of \$898 million to \$1,113 million. For public companies, the implied equity value is then divided by fully diluted shares outstanding to yield implied share price. Dividing the endpoints of the equity value range by fully diluted shares outstanding of 100 million provides an implied share price range of \$8.98 to \$11.13. The same methodology can then be performed using the selected multiple range for EV/LTM EBITDA and EV/2020E EBITDA.

### Valuation Implied by P/E

Exhibits 1.36 and 1.37 demonstrate how the P/E ratio translates into implied share price and enterprise value ranges. As with the example in Exhibit 1.35, we assume net debt of \$500 million and a static fully diluted shares outstanding count of 100 million.

**Implied Share Price** For a public company, the banker typically begins with net income and builds up to implied equity value. The implied equity value is then divided by fully diluted shares outstanding to calculate implied share price. A P/E multiple range of 12.0x to 15.0x 2019E net income, for example, yields an implied equity value of \$900 million to \$1,125 million when multiplied by the target's 2019E net income of \$75 million. Dividing this range by fully diluted shares outstanding of 100 million produces an implied share price range of \$9.00 to \$11.25.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>54</sup>"Net debt" is often defined to include all obligations senior to common equity.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>55</sup>For illustrative purposes, we assume that the number of fully diluted shares outstanding remains constant for each of the equity values presented. As discussed in Chapter 3: Discounted Cash Flow Analysis, however, assuming the existence of stock options, the number of fully diluted shares outstanding as determined by the TSM is dependent on share price, which in turn is dependent on equity value and shares outstanding (see Exhibit 3.31). Therefore, the target's fully diluted shares outstanding and implied share price vary in accordance with its amount of stock options and their weighted average exercise price.

(\$ in millions, except per share data)

					Fully	
Net Income	Financial Metric	Multiple	Range	Implied Equity Value	Diluted Shares	Implied Share Price
LTM	\$70	13.0x -	16.0x	\$910 - \$1,120	100	\$9.10 - \$11.20
2019E	75	12.0x –	15.0x	900 – 1,125	100	\$9.00 - \$11.25
2020E	80	11.0x –	14.0x	880 – 1,120	100	\$8.80 - \$11.20

EXHIBIT 1.36	Valuation	Implied	by	P/E –	Share	Price
--------------	-----------	---------	----	-------	-------	-------

**Implied Enterprise Value** To calculate an implied enterprise value range using the assumptions above, the same P/E multiple range of 12.0x to 15.0x is multiplied by 2019E net income of \$75 million to produce an implied equity value range of \$900 million to \$1,125 million. Net debt of \$500 million is added to the low and high endpoints of the implied equity value range to calculate an implied enterprise value range of \$1,400 million to \$1,625 million.

**EXHIBIT 1.37** Valuation Implied by P/E – Enterprise Value

(\$ in millions)

Net Income	Financial Metric	Multiple	Range	Implied Equity Value	Plus: Net Debt	Implied Enterprise Value
LTM 2019E	\$70 75	13.0x – 12.0x –	16.0x 15.0x	\$910 – \$1,120 900 – 1,125	500 500	\$1,410 - \$1,620 1,400 - 1,625
2020E	80	11.0x –	14.0x	880 – 1,120	500	1,380 - 1,620

As a final consideration, it is necessary to analyze the extrapolated valuation range for the target and test the key assumptions and conclusions. The banker should also compare the valuation derived from comparable companies to other methodologies, such as precedent transactions, DCF analysis, and LBO analysis (if applicable). Significant discrepancies may signal incorrect assumptions, misjudgment, or even mathematical error, thereby prompting the banker to re-examine the inputs and assumptions used in each technique. Common errors in trading comps typically involve the inclusion or over-emphasis of inappropriate comparable companies, incorrect calculations (e.g., fully diluted equity value, enterprise value, LTM financial data, or calendarization), as well as the failure to accurately scrub the financials for non-recurring items and recent events.

### **KEY PROS AND CONS**

### Pros

- Market-based information used to derive valuation for the target is based on actual public market data, thereby reflecting the market's growth and risk expectations, as well as overall sentiment
- Relativity easily measurable and comparable versus other companies
- Quick and convenient valuation can be determined on the basis of a few easy-to-calculate inputs
- *Current* valuation is based on prevailing market data, which can be updated on a daily (or intraday) basis

### Cons

- Market-based valuation that is completely market-based can be skewed during periods of irrational exuberance or bearishness
- Absence of relevant comparables "pure play" comparables may be difficult to identify or even non-existent, especially if the target operates in a niche sector, in which case the valuation implied by trading comps may be less meaningful
- Potential disconnect from cash flow valuation based on prevailing market conditions or expectations may have significant disconnect from the valuation implied by a company's projected cash flow generation (e.g., DCF analysis)
- Company-specific issues valuation of the target is based on the valuation of other companies, which may fail to capture target-specific strengths, weaknesses, opportunities, and risks

### ILLUSTRATIVE COMPARABLE COMPANIES ANALYSIS For valueco

The following section provides a detailed, step-by-step example of how comps is used to establish a valuation range for our illustrative target company, ValueCo. For the purposes of Chapters 1 through 6, we assume that ValueCo is a private company and that the financial statistics and valuation multiples throughout the book represent normalized economic and market conditions.

### **Step I. Select the Universe of Comparable Companies**

**Study the Target** Our first task was to learn ValueCo's "story" in as much detail as possible so as to provide a frame of reference for locating comparable companies. As ValueCo is a private company, for the purposes of this exercise we assumed that it is being sold through an organized M&A sale process (see Chapter 6). Therefore, we were provided with substantive information on the company, its sector, products, customers, competitors, distribution channels, and end markets, as well as historical financial performance and projections. We sourced this information from the confidential information memorandum/presentation (CIM/CIP, see Exhibit 6.5), management presentation (see Exhibit 6.6), and data room, such as those provided by Datasite (formerly Merrill Corporation, see Exhibit 6.7).<sup>56</sup>

**Identify Key Characteristics of the Target for Comparison Purposes** This exercise involved examining ValueCo's key business and financial characteristics in accordance with the framework outlined in Exhibit 1.3, which provided us with a systematic approach for identifying companies that shared key similarities with ValueCo.

**Screen for Comparable Companies** Our search for comparable companies began by examining ValueCo's public competitors, which we initially identified from the CIM as well as selected industry reports. We then searched through equity research reports on these public competitors for the analysts' views on comparable companies, which provided us with additional companies to evaluate. We also reviewed the proxy statements for recent M&A transactions involving companies in ValueCo's sector, and found ideas for additional comparable companies from the enclosed fairness opinion excerpts. To ensure that no potential comparables were missed, we screened companies using SIC/NAICS codes corresponding to ValueCo's sector.

These sources provided us with enough information to create a solid initial list of comparable companies (see Exhibit 1.38). We also compiled summary financial information using data downloaded from a financial information service in order to provide a basic understanding of their financial profiles.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>56</sup> See Chapter 6 for an overview of the key documents and sources of information in an organized sale process.

(\$ in millions)						
List of Comparat	ole Com	panies				
			Equity	Enterprise	LTM	LTM
Company	Ticker	Business Description	Value	Value	Sales	EBITDA
BuyerCo	BUY	produces chemicals and advanced materials including acetyl, acetate, vinyl emulsion, and engineered polymers	\$9,800	\$11,600	\$6,560	\$1,443
Falloon Group	FLN	Manufactures differentiated and commodity chemical products including those in adhesives, aerospace, automotive, and consumer products	7,480	11,254	11,835	1,636
Sherman Co.	SHR	Produces chemicals and plastics including coatings, adhesives, specialty polymers, inks, and intermediates, performance polymers	5,600	8,101	5,895	1,047
Pearl Corp.	PRL	Supplies specialty chemical, construction, and container products for the food, consumer products, petroleum refinery, and construction industries	5,172	5,804	4,284	839
Gasparro Corp.	JDG	Develops various chemical products for use in crop protection, pharmaceuticals, and electronics applications	5,000	6,750	4,725	900
Kumra Inc.	KUM	Manufactures brominated flame retardants, refinery catalysts, and fine chemistry products	4,852	5,345	3,187	665
Goodson Corp.	GDS	Manufactures and markets basic chemicals, vinyls, polymers, and fabricated products	4,160	5,660	4,769	763
Pryor Industries	PRI	Develops and manufactures specialty chemicals for various end users including aerospace, plastics, coatings, and mining industries	3,926	4,166	3,682	569
Lanzarone Global	LNZ	Manufactures plastics and other chemicals including urethane polymers, flame retardants, seed treatment, and petroleum additives	3,230	3,823	3,712	578
McMenamin & Co.	MCM	Manufactures thermoplastic compounds, specialty resins, specialty polymer formulations, engineered films, and additive systems	3,193	3,193	3,223	355
Momper & Co.	MOMP	Manufactures chlorine, caustic soda, sodium hydrosulfite, hydrochloric acid, bleach products, and potassium hydroxide	2,240	2,921	2,077	378
Adler Worldwide	ADL	Produces titanium dioxide pigments for paints, plastics, inks, and cosmetics	1,217	1,463	1,550	245
Schachter & Sons	STM	Manufactures and markets chemical and plastic products including electrochemicals, methanol, and aromatic chemicals	1,125	1,674	1,703	238
Girshin Holdings	MGP	Manufactures carbon compounds and wood treatments	1,035	1,298	1,606	177
Crespin International	MCR	Produces engineered polymers and styrenic block copolymers used in adhesives, coatings, consumer, and personal care products	872	1,222	1,443	190

### **EXHIBIT 1.38** List of Comparable Companies

### Step II. Locate the Necessary Financial Information

In Step II, we set out to locate the financial information necessary to spread the key financial statistics and ratios for each of the companies that we identified as being comparable to ValueCo. For Gasparro Corp. ("Gasparro"), one of ValueCo's closest comparables, for example, this information was obtained from its most recent SEC filings, consensus estimates, and equity research. Additional financial information was sourced from financial information services.

**10-K and 10-Q** We used Gasparro's most recent 10-K and 10-Q for the periods ending December 31, 2018, and September 30, 2019, respectively, as the primary sources for historical financial information. Specifically, these filings provided us with the prior year annual as well as current and prior year YTD financial statistics necessary to calculate LTM data. They also served as sources for the most recent basic shares outstanding count, options/warrants data, and balance sheet and cash flow statement information. The MD&A and notes to the financials were key for identifying non-recurring items (see Exhibit 1.47).

**Earnings Announcement and Earnings Call Transcript** We read through the most recent earnings announcement and earnings call transcript to gain further insight on Gasparro's financial performance and outlook.

**8-K/Press Releases** We confirmed via a search of Gasparro's corporate website that there were no intra-quarter press releases, 8-Ks, or other SEC filings disclosing new M&A, capital markets, or other activities since the filing of its most recent 10-Q that would affect the relevant financial statistics.

**Consensus Estimates and Equity Research** Consensus estimates formed the basis for the 2019E and 2020E income statement inputs, namely sales, EBITDA, EBIT, and EPS. We also read individual equity research reports for further color on factors driving Gasparro's growth expectations as well as insights on non-recurring items.

**Financial Information Service** We used a financial information service to source Gasparro's closing share price on December 20, 2019 (the day we performed the analysis), as well as its 52-week high and low share price data.

**Moody's and S&P Websites** We obtained the Moody's and S&P credit ratings for Gasparro from the respective credit rating agencies' websites.

### Step III. Spread Key Statistics, Ratios, and Trading Multiples

After locating the necessary financial information for the selected comparable companies, we created input sheets for each company, as shown in Exhibit 1.39 for Gasparro. These input sheets link to the output pages used for benchmarking the comparables universe (see Exhibits 1.53, 1.54, and 1.55).

Below, we walk through each section of the input sheet in Exhibit 1.39.

# **EXHIBIT 1.39** Comparable Companies Analysis—Trading Multiples Output Page

## Gasparro Corp. (Nasdaq:JDG)

Input Page (\$ in millions, except per share data)

General Information		
Company Name		<b>Basparro Con</b>
Ticker		Ğ,
Stock Exchange		Nasda
Fiscal Year Ending		Dec-3
Moody's Corporate Rating		Ba
S&P Corporate Rating		8
Predicted Beta		1.30
Marginal Tax Rate		25.0%
Selected Market Data		
Current Price	12/20/2019	\$50.00

650.0 750.0 250.0 **\$1,750.0** 

730.0 225.0

Inventories Prepaids and Other Current Assets

Total Current Assets

Cash and Cash Equivalents

Accounts Receivable **Balance Sheet Data** 

\$1,655.0

625.0

9/30/2019

2018A \$75.0

Business Description Develops various chemical products for use in crop protection, pharmaceuticals, and electronics applications

2,000.0 800.0 450.0 \$5,000.0

\$4,825.0

Property, Plant and Equipment, net Goodwill and Intangible Assets Other Assets Total Assets

1,970.0 775.0

300.0 475.0 150.0 **\$925.0** 

275.0 450.0 125.0 \$850.0

Accounts Payable Accrued Liabilities Other Current Liabilities Total Current Liabilities

1,850.0

1,875.0

Total Debt Other Long-Term Liabilities Total Liabilities

500.0 \$3,275.0

1,725.0 \$5,000.0

1,600.0 \$4,825.0

Shareholders' Equity Total Liabilities and Equity

Balance Check

Noncontrolling Interest Preferred Stock

0.000

0.000

Calculation of Fully Diluted Shares Outstandi

Shares Outstanding

Less: Shares Repurchased Net New Shares from Options Plus: Shares from Convertible Securities Fully Diluted Shares Outstanding Plus: Shares from In-the-Money Options

2.750 1.500 100.000

% of 52-week High		80.0%
52-week High Price	7/19/2019	62.50
52-week Low Price	4/5/2019	40.00
Dividend Per Share (MRQ)		0.25
Fully Diluted Shares Outstanding		100.000
Equity Value		\$5,000.0
Plus: Total Debt		1,850.0
Plus: Preferred Stock		1
Plus: Noncontrolling Interest		•
Less: Cash and Cash Equivalents		(100.0)
Enterprise Value		\$6,750.0

of ED woold Link

	LTM	NFY	NFY+1	NFY+2
	9/30/2019	2019E	2020E	2021E
EV/Sales	1.4x	1.4x	1.3x	1.2x
Metric	\$4,725.0	\$5,000.0	\$5,350.0	\$5,625.0
EV/ EBITDA	7.5x	7.1×	6.6x	6.3x
Metric	\$900.0	\$950.0	\$1,025.0	\$1,075.0
EV/EBIT	9.3x	8.8x	8.2x	7.8x
Metric	\$725.0	\$765.0	\$825.0	\$865.0
P/E	10.7×	9.8x	9.1x	8.7x
Metric	\$4.69	\$5.10	\$5.50	\$5.75
FCF Yield	6.3%	7.5%	8.3%	9.1%
Metric	\$3.15	\$3.75	\$4.15	\$4.55

Return on Invested Capital	21.1%
Return on Equity	28.2%
Return on Assets	9.5%
Implied Annual Dividend Per Share	2.0%
LTM Credit Statistics	
Debt / Total Capitalization	51.7%
Total Debt / EBITDA	2:1x
Net Debt / EBITDA	1.9x
EBITDA / Interest Expense	9.0x
(EBITDA-capex) / Interest Expense	7.0x
EBIT / Interest Expense	7.3x

<b>Growth Rates</b>				
	Sales	EBITDA	FCF	EPS
Historical				
1-year	8.4%	5.1%	13.2%	6.4%
2-year CAGR	9.5%	8.2%	14.2%	11.6%
Estimated				
1-year	11.1%	15.2%	25.0%	24.1%
2-year CAGR	9.0%	11.5%	17.6%	15.7%
Long-term				12.0%

iales DOGS (incl. D&A) Gross Profit G&A Ther Expense / (income) EBIT	Fiscal Year	Ending Decen	nber 31,	Stub	Stub	LTM
tales DGS (incl. D&A) GGS Profit G&A Dither Expense / (Income) EBIT	2016A	2017A	2018A	9/30/2018	9/30/2019	9/30/2019
DGS (incl. D&A) Cocs Profit SG&A Dther Expense / (Income) EBIT	\$3,750.0	\$4,150.0	\$4,500.0	\$3,375.0	\$3,600.0	\$4,725.0
Gross Profit SG&A Dther Expense / (Income)	2,450.0	2,700.0	2,925.0	2,200.0	2,350.0	3,075.0
5G&A Dther Expense / (Income) EBIT	\$1,300.0	\$1,450.0	\$1,575.0 -	\$1,175.0	\$1,250.0 -	\$1,650.0
Dther Expense / (Income) EBIT	750.0	830.0	900.0	675.0	720.0	945.0
EBIT	•		-	1		
L	\$550.0	\$620.0	\$675.0	\$500.0	\$530.0	\$705.0
nterest Expense	110.0	105.0	102.0	75.0	73.0	100.0
Pre-tax Income	\$440.0	\$515.0	\$573.0	\$425.0	\$457.0	\$605.0
ncome Taxes	110.0	128.8	143.3	106.3	114.3	151.3
Incontrolling Interest	1	1		1	1	1
Preferred Dividends				1	•	'
Net Income	\$330.0	\$386.3	\$429.8	\$318.8	\$342.8	\$453.8
Effective Tax Rate	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%
Veighted Avg. Diluted Shares	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Diluted EPS	\$3.30	\$3.86	\$4.30	\$3.19	\$3.43	\$4.54
Idiusted Income Statement						
Reported Gross Profit	\$1,300.0	\$1,450.0	\$1,575.0	\$1,175.0	\$1,250.0	\$1,650.0
Ion-recurring Items in COGS					30.0	30.0
Adj. Gross Profit	\$1,300.0	\$1,450.0	\$1,575.0 -	\$1,175.0	\$1,280.0 -	\$1,680.0
% margin	34.7%	34.9%	35.0%	34.8%	35.6%	35.6%
	00110	0.000		00010	÷	0 
leported EBH	0.066\$	\$620.0	- 0.c/a¢	0.006\$	- 0.05G\$	0.00%
When Non-recurring Items			(25.0)		15.0	(100)
	SEED D	\$620.0	SRED 0	\$500 D	\$575 D	\$795.0
% margin	14.7%	14.9%	14.4%	14.8%	16.0%	15.3%
:						
Depreciation & Amortization	155.0	165.0	175.0	125.0	125.0	175.0
Adjusted EBITDA	\$705.0	\$785.0	\$825.0	\$625.0	\$700.0	\$900.0
% margin	18.8%	18.9%	18.3%	18.5%	19.4%	19.0%
Reported Net Income	\$330.0	\$386.3	\$429.8	\$318.8	\$342.8	\$453.8
Ion-recurring Items in COGS	•	•		•	30.0	30.0
Other Non-recurring Items		•	(25.0)	•	15.0	(10.0)
Ion-operating Non-rec. Items						'
ax Adjustment			6.3	•	(11.3)	(5.0)
Adjusted Net Income	\$330.0	\$386.3	\$411.0	\$318.8	\$376.5	\$468.8
% margin	8.8%	9.3%	9.1%	9.4%	10.5%	9.6%
volusted Diluted EPS	\$3.30	\$3.86	\$4.11	\$3.19	\$3.77	\$4.69

Cash From Operations	400.0	450.0	500.0	360.0	380.0	520.0	-1
Capital Expenditures	170.0	185.0	200.0	150.0	155.0	205.0	
% sales	4.5%	4.5%	4.4%	4.4%	4.3%	4.3%	
Free Cash Flow	\$230.0	\$265.0	\$300.0	\$210.0	\$225.0	\$315.0	
% margin	6.1%	6.4%	6.7%	6.2%	6.3%	6.7%	
FCF / Share	\$2.30	\$2.65	\$3.00	\$2.10	\$2.25	\$3.15	-
			-		-		-
Depreciation & Amortization	155.0	165.0	175.0	125.0	125.0	175.0	
% sales	4.1%	4.0%	3.9%	3.7%	3.5%	3.7%	
Notes							

Tranche         Tranche         Shares         Picon           \$4110         \$318.8         \$576.5         \$468.8         Tranche         2000         3000           \$41.0         \$348.8         \$10.5%         \$468.8         Tranche         1280         3000           \$17.6         \$347.6         \$10.5%         \$95%         Tranche 2         1200         3000           \$17.6         \$34.7         \$10.5%         \$95%         Tranche 2         \$000         3000         4000           \$4.11         \$3.19         \$3.77         \$4.69         Tranche 2         \$0250         6000         4000	•								
1         1		Price	\$10.00	30.00	40.00	60.00	1		
6.0         7.1 <th 7.2<="" th="" th<=""><th></th><th>Shares</th><th>1.250</th><th>1.000</th><th>0.500</th><th>0.250</th><th>-</th><th>3.000</th></th>	<th></th> <th>Shares</th> <th>1.250</th> <th>1.000</th> <th>0.500</th> <th>0.250</th> <th>-</th> <th>3.000</th>		Shares	1.250	1.000	0.500	0.250	-	3.000
0.100         511.0         511.6         (11.0)         (12.0)           \$411.0         \$318.8         \$376.5         \$468.8         (10.0)           \$17.6         \$47.8         \$0.0.5%         \$9.9%         (10.0)           \$4.11         \$31.9         \$3.77         \$4.69		Tranche	Tranche 1	Tranche 2	Tranche 3	Tranche 4	Tranche 5	Total	
6.3         5.1         1.1         6.3           8.10         8.18.8         8.776.5         8.46.8           9.7%         9.4%         10.5%         9.9%           9.11         83.19         83.77         84.69									
9.1% 9.4% 10.5% 10.5% 11.3\% 11.3\%11.3\% 1.	(2021)	•	(5.0)	\$468.8	9.6%		\$4.69		
6.3 - 6.3 - 6.3 - 6.3 - 6.3 - 6.3 - 6.3 - 6.3 - 6.3 - 6.4 -		•	(11.3)	\$376.5	10.5%		\$3.77		
6.3 6.3 9.1% \$4.11		1		\$318.8	9.4%		\$3.19		
	(0.0-)		6.3	\$411.0	9.1%		\$4.11		

Proceeds \$12.5 30.0 20.0

Shares 1.250 1.000 0.500

Exercise In-the-Money

Options/Warrants Number of

\$62.5

2.750

urities	Conversion Conversion New	Amount Price Ratio Shares	•	•	•	•		•
Convertible Seci		J	Issue 1	Issue 2	Issue 3	Issue 4	Issue 5	Total

(1) In O2 2019, Gasparro Corp. recorded a \$30 million pre-tax hvenrory valuation change related to product obscielescence (see O2 2019 10-0 MD&A, page 14).
(2) In AD 2019, Gasparro Corp. rescorded a \$30 million pre-tax for on the sale of a non-over basiness (see 2010 FOA MD&A, page 14).
(3) In AD 2019, Gasparro Corp. rescorded 315 million pre-tax gain on the over basiness (see 2010 FOA MD&A, page 14).
(3) In Ca 2019, Gasparro Corp. rescorded 315 million pre-tax gain on the over baseness (see 2010 FOA MD&A, page 15).

### 58

**General Information** In the "General Information" section of the input page, we entered various basic company data (see Exhibit 1.40). Gasparro Corp., ticker symbol JDG, is a U.S.-based company that is listed on Nasdaq. Gasparro reports its financial results based on a fiscal year ending December 31 and has corporate credit ratings of Ba2 and BB as rated by Moody's and S&P, respectively. Gasparro's predicted levered beta is 1.3, as sourced from Barra (see Chapter 3). We also determined a 25% marginal tax rate from Gasparro's tax rate disclosures in its 10-K.

General Information	
Company Name	Gasparro Corp.
Ticker	JDG
Stock Exchange	Nasdaq
Fiscal Year Ending	Dec-31
Moody's Corporate Rating	Ba2
S&P Corporate Rating	BB
Predicted Beta	1.30
Marginal Tax Rate	25.0%

**Selected Market Data** Under "Selected Market Data", we entered Gasparro's share price information as well as the most recent quarterly (MRQ) dividend paid of \$0.25 per share (as sourced from the latest 10-Q, see Exhibit 1.41). Gasparro's share price was \$50.00 as of market close on December 20, 2019, representing 80% of its 52-week high. As the trading multiples benchmarking output page shows (see Exhibit 1.55), this percentage is consistent with that of most of the comparables, which indicates that the market expects Gasparro to perform roughly in line with its peers.

This section also calculates equity value and enterprise value once the appropriate basic shares outstanding count, options/warrants data, and most recent balance sheet data are entered (see Exhibits 1.42, 1.43, 1.44, and 1.45).

(\$ in millions, except per share	data)			
Selected Market Data				
Current Price	12/20/2019	\$50.00	= Closing Share Price on	December 20, 2019
% of 52-week High		80.0% `		
52-week High Price	7/19/2019	62.50	- Closing Share Price / 52	-week High Price
52-week Low Price	4/5/2019	40.00		Weekinght hee
Dividend Per Share (MRQ)		0.25		
Fully Diluted Shares Outstandin	g	-		
Equity Value		-		
Plus: Total Debt		-		
Plus: Preferred Stock		-		
Plus: Noncontrolling Interest		-		
Less: Cash and Cash Equivaler	nts	-		
Enterprise Value		-		

### **EXHIBIT 1.41** Selected Market Data Section

**Calculation of Fully Diluted Shares Outstanding** Gasparro's most recent basic shares outstanding count is 98.5 million, as sourced from the first page of its latest 10-Q. We searched recent press releases and SEC filings to ensure that no stock splits, follow-on offerings, or major share buybacks, for example, took place following the most recent 10-Q filing. We also confirmed that Gasparro does not have convertible securities outstanding. However, Gasparro has several tranches of options, which must be reflected in the calculation of fully diluted shares in accordance with the TSM.

As shown in Exhibit 1.42 under the "Options/Warrants" heading, Gasparro has four tranches of options, each consisting of a specified number of shares and corresponding weighted average exercise price. The first tranche, for example, represents a group of options collectively owning the right to buy 1.25 million shares at a weighted average exercise price of \$10.00. This tranche is deemed in-the-money given that Gasparro's current share price of \$50.00 is above the weighted average strike price. The exercise of this tranche generates proceeds of \$12.5 million (1.25 million  $\times$  \$10.00), which are assumed to repurchase Gasparro shares at the current share price of \$50.00.





We utilized this same approach for the other tranches of options. The fourth tranche, however, has a weighted average exercise price of \$60.00 (above the current share price of \$50.00) and was therefore identified as out-of-the-money. Consequently, these options were excluded from the calculation of fully diluted shares outstanding.

In aggregate, the 2.75 million shares from the in-the-money options generate proceeds of \$62.5 million. At Gasparro's current share price of \$50.00, these proceeds are used to repurchase 1.25 million shares (\$62.5 million/\$50.00). The repurchased shares are then subtracted from the 2.75 million total in-the-money shares to provide net new shares of 1.5 million, as shown under the net new shares from options line item in Exhibit 1.42. These incremental shares are added to Gasparro's basic shares to calculate fully diluted shares outstanding of 100 million.

**Equity Value** The 100 million fully diluted shares outstanding output feeds into the "Selected Market Data" section, where it is multiplied by Gasparro's current share price of \$50.00 to produce an equity value of \$5,000 million (see Exhibit 1.43). This calculated equity value forms the basis for calculating enterprise value.

### **EXHIBIT 1.43** Equity Value

(\$ in millions, except per share data)					
Sele	ected Market Data				
Curr	rent Price	12/20/2019	\$50.00		
%	of 52-week High		80.0%		
52-v	veek High Price	7/19/2012	62.50		
52-v	week Low Price	4/5/2012	40.00		
Divi	dend Per Share (MRQ)		0.25		
Fully	Fully Diluted Shares Outstanding 100.000				
Ec	quity Value		\$5,000.0		
Plus	: Total Debt		-		
Plus	: Preferred Stock		-		
Plus	: Noncontrolling Interest		-		
Less	s: Cash and Cash Equivaler	nts	-		
Er	nterprise Value		-		

**Balance Sheet Data** In the "Balance Sheet Data" section, we entered Gasparro's balance sheet data for the prior fiscal year ending 12/31/2018 and the most recent quarter ending 9/30/2019, as sourced directly from its 10-Q (see Exhibit 1.44).

(\$ in millions)		
Balance Sheet Data		
	2018A	9/30/2019
Cash and Cash Equivalents	\$75.0	\$100.0
Accounts Receivable	625.0	650.0
Inventories	730.0	750.0
Prepaids and Other Current Assets	225.0	250.0
Total Current Assets	\$1,655.0	\$1,750.0
Property, Plant and Equipment, net	1,970.0	2,000.0
Goodwill and Intangible Assets	775.0	800.0
Other Assets	425.0	450.0
Total Assets	\$4,825.0	\$5,000.0
Assounts Davable	075.0	200.0
Accounts Payable	275.0	300.0
Accrued Liabilities	400.0	475.0
Tetel Current Liabilities	120.U	100.0 ¢005.0
Total Current Liabilities	\$60U.U	\$9∠5.0
Total Debt	1,875.0	1,850.0
Other Long-Term Liabilities	500.0	500.0
Total Liabilities	\$3,225.0	\$3,275.0
Noncontrolling Interest	-	-
Preferred Stock	-	-
Shareholders' Equity	1,600.0	1,725.0
Total Liabilities and Equity	\$4,825.0	\$5,000.0
Palanaa Chaak	0.000	0.000
Dalance Uneck	0.000	0.000

### **EXHIBIT 1.44** Balance Sheet Data Section

**Enterprise Value** We used selected balance sheet data, specifically total debt and cash, together with the previously calculated equity value to determine Gasparro's enterprise value. As shown in Exhibit 1.45, Gasparro had \$1,850 million of total debt outstanding and cash and cash equivalents of \$100 million as of 9/30/2019. The net debt balance of \$1,750 million was added to equity value of \$5,000 million to produce an enterprise value of \$6,750 million.

### **EXHIBIT 1.45** Enterprise Value

(\$ in millions, except per share data)							
Selected Market Data							
Current Price	12/20/2019	\$50.00					
% of 52-week High		80.0%					
52-week High Price	7/19/2019	62.50					
52-week Low Price	4/5/2019	40.00					
Dividend Per Share (MRQ)	0.25						
Fully Diluted Shares Outstanding 100.000							
Equity Value \$5,000.0							
Plus: Total Debt 1,850.0							
Plus: Preferred Stock		-					
Plus: Noncontrolling Interest		-					
Less: Cash and Cash Equivaler	nts	(100.0)					
Enterprise Value		\$6,750.0					
= Equity Value = \$5,000 million	= Equity Value + Total Debt - Cash = \$5,000 million + \$1,850 million - \$100 million						

**Reported Income Statement** In the "Reported Income Statement" section, we entered the historical income statement items directly from Gasparro's most recent 10-K and 10-Q. The LTM column automatically calculates Gasparro's LTM financial data on the basis of the prior annual year, and the prior and current year stub inputs (see Exhibit 1.46).

### **EXHIBIT 1.46** Reported Income Statement Section

(\$ in millions, except per share of	lata)					
Reported Income Statement						
	Fiscal Year	r Ending Decen	nber 31,	Prior Stub	Current Stub	LTM
	2016A	2017A	2018A	9/30/2018	9/30/2019	9/30/2019
Sales	\$3,750.0	\$4,150.0	\$4,500.0	\$3,375.0	\$3,600.0	\$4,725.0
COGS (incl. D&A)	2,450.0	2,700.0	2,925.0	2,200.0	2,350.0	3,075.0
Gross Profit	\$1,300.0	\$1,450.0	\$1,575.0	\$1,175.0	\$1,250.0	\$1,650.0
SG&A	750.0	830.0	900.0	675.0	720.0	945.0
Other Expense / (Income)		-	-		-	-
EBIT	\$550.0	\$620.0	\$675.0	\$500.0	\$530.0	\$705.0
Interest Expense	110.0	105.0	102.0	75.0	73.0	100.0
Pre-tax Income	\$440.0	\$515.0	\$573.0	\$425.0	\$457.0	\$605.0
Income Taxes	110.0	128.8	143.3	106.3	114.3	151.3
Noncontrolling Interest					-	-
Preferred Dividends			-		-	-
Net Income	\$330.0	\$386.3	\$429.8	\$318.8	\$342.8	\$453.8
Effective Tax Rate	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%
Weighted Avg. Diluted Shares	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Diluted EPS	\$3.30	\$3.86	\$4.30	\$3.19	\$3.43	\$4.54
			= F	Prior Fiscal Year	+ Current Stub	- Prior Stub

= \$4,500 million + \$3,600 million - \$3,375 million

**Adjusted Income Statement** After entering the reported income statement, we made adjustments in the "Adjusted Income Statement" section, as appropriate, for those items we determined to be non-recurring (see Exhibit 1.47), namely:

- \$25 million pre-tax gain on the sale of a non-core business in Q4 2018
- \$30 million pre-tax inventory valuation charge in Q2 2019 related to product obsolescence
- \$15 million pre-tax restructuring charge in Q3 2019 related to severance costs

As the adjustments for non-recurring items relied on judgment, we carefully footnoted our assumptions and sources.

### **EXHIBIT 1.47** Adjusted Income Statement Section

			Inventory	valuation charg	ge ("write-off")		
	Gain on sale of no	on-core busines	s ("asset sale")	]		_	
	L		. ,	Res	tructuring charge		
(\$ in millions, except per share	data)					1	
Adjusted income Statement				Prior	Current		
	Fiscal Yea	r Ending Decer	nber 31.	Stub	Stub	LTM	
	2016A	2017A	2018A	9/30/2018	9/30/2019	9/30/2019	
Reported Gross Profit	\$1,300.0	\$1,450.0	\$1,575.0 ;	\$1,175.0	\$1,250.0	\$1,650.0	
Non-recurring Items in COGS	-	-	-	-	30.0	30.0	(1)
Adj. Gross Profit	\$1,300.0	\$1,450.0	\$1,575.0	\$1,175.0	\$1,280.0	\$1,680.0	
% margin	34.7%	34.9%	35.0%	34.8%	35.6%	35.6%	
			:		:		
Reported EBIT	\$550.0	\$620.0	\$675.0	\$500.0	\$530.0	\$705.0	
Non-recurring Items in COGS	-	-	- +	-	30.0	30.0	
Other Non-recurring Items	-	-	(25.0)	-	15.0	(10.0)	(2), (3)
Adjusted EBIT	\$550.0	\$620.0	\$650.0	\$500.0	\$575.0	\$725.0	
% margin	14.7%	14.9%	14.4%	14.8%	16.0%	15.3%	
		105.0	175 A	105.0	105.0	175.0	
Depreciation & Amortization	155.0	165.0	1/5.0 :	125.0	125.0	1/5.0	
	\$705.0	\$785.0	\$825.0	\$625.0	\$700.0	\$900.0	
% margin	18.8%	18.9%	18.3%	18.5%	19.4%	19.0%	
Reported Net Income	\$330.0	\$386.3	\$429.8	\$318.8	\$342.8	\$453.8	
Non-recurring Items in COGS	-	-		-	30.0	30.0	
Other Non-recurring Items	-	-	(25.0)	-	15.0	(10.0)	
Non-operating Non-rec. Items	-	-	- 1	-	-		
Tax Adjustment	-	-	6.3	-	(11.3)	(5.0)	
Adjusted Net Income	\$330.0	\$386.3	\$411.0	\$318.8	\$376.5	\$468.8	
% margin	8.8%	9.3%	9.1%	9.4%	10.5%	9.9%	
			:		:		
Adjusted Diluted EPS	\$3.30	\$3.86	\$4.11	\$3.19	\$3.77	\$4.69	
				1			

### Notes

(1) In Q2 2019, Gasparro Corp. recorded a \$30 million pre-tax inventory valuation charge related to product obsolescence (see Q2 2019 10-Q MD&A, page 14).

(2) In Q4 2018, Gasparro Corp. realized a \$25 million pre-tax gain on the sale of a non-core business (see 2018 10-K MD&A, page 45).

(3) In Q3 2019, Gasparro Corp. recognized \$15 million of pre-tax restructuring costs in connection with the closure of a manufacturing facility (see Q3 2019 10-Q MD&A, page 15).

Negative adjustment for pre-tax gain on asset sale × Marginal tax rate
 - (\$25) million × 25%

= Add-back for pre-tax inventory and restructuring charges  $\times$  Marginal tax rate = - (\$30 million + \$15 million)  $\times$  25%

As shown in Exhibit 1.47, we entered the \$30 million non-recurring product obsolescence charge as an add-back in the non-recurring items in COGS line item under the "Current Stub 9/30/2019" column heading. We also added back the \$15 million restructuring charge in the other non-recurring items line under the "Current Stub 9/30/2019" column. The \$25 million gain on asset sale, on the other hand, was backed out of reported earnings (entered as a negative value) under the "2018A" column. These calculations resulted in adjusted LTM EBIT and EBITDA of \$725 million and \$900 million, respectively.

To calculate LTM adjusted net income after adding back the full non-recurring charges of \$30 million and \$15 million, respectively, and subtracting the full \$25 million gain on sale amount, we made tax adjustments in the tax adjustment line item. These adjustments were calculated by multiplying each full amount by Gasparro's marginal tax rate of 25%. This resulted in adjusted net income and diluted EPS of \$468.8 million and \$4.69, respectively. The adjusted financial statistics then served as the basis for calculating the various LTM profitability ratios, credit statistics, and trading multiples used in the benchmarking analysis (see Exhibits 1.53, 1.54, and 1.55).

**Cash Flow Statement Data** Gasparro's historical *cash from operations*, D&A, and capex were entered directly into the input page as they appeared in the cash flow statement from its 10-K and 10-Q with adjustments made as necessary for non-recurring items (see Exhibit 1.48). We also calculated free cash flow (FCF) by subtracting capex from cash from operations for each reporting period. This enabled us to calculate a FCF-to-sales margin of 6.7% and FCF per share of \$3.15 for LTM 9/30/2019.

(\$ in millions, except per share of	data)					
Cash Flow Statement Data						
				Prior	Current	
	Fiscal Yea	r Ending Dece	ember 31,	Stub	Stub	LTM
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2016A	2017A	2018A	9/30/2018	9/30/2019	9/30/2019
Cash From Operations	400.0	450.0	500.0	360.0	380.0	520.0
Capital Expenditures	170.0	185.0	200.0	150.0	155.0	205.0
% sales	4.5%	4.5%	4.4%	4.4%	4.3%	4.3%
Free Cash Flow	\$230.0	\$265.0	\$300.0	\$210.0	\$225.0	\$315.0
% margin	6.1%	6.4%	6.7%	6.2%	6.3%	6.7%
FCF / Share	\$2.30	\$2.65	\$3.00	\$2.10	\$2.25	\$3.15
			1		1	
Depreciation & Amortization	155.0	165.0	175.0	125.0	125.0	175.0
% sales	4.1%	4.0%	3.9%	3.7%	3.5%	3.7%

### **EXHIBIT 1.48** Cash Flow Statement Data Section

### **LTM Return on Investment Ratios**

**Return on Invested Capital** For ROIC, we calculated 21.1% (see Exhibit 1.49) by dividing Gasparro's LTM 9/30/2019 adjusted EBIT of \$725 million (as calculated in Exhibit 1.47) by the sum of its average net debt and shareholders' equity balances for the periods ending 12/31/2018 and 9/30/2019 (\$725 million / ((\$1,875 million – \$75 million + \$1,600 million) + (\$1,850 million – \$100 million + \$1,725 million) / 2)).

**Return on Equity** For ROE, we calculated 28.2% by dividing Gasparro's LTM 9/30/2019 adjusted net income of \$468.8 million (as calculated in Exhibit 1.47) by its average shareholders' equity balance for the periods ending 12/31/2018 and 9/30/2019 ((\$1,600 million + \$1,725 million) / 2).

**Return on Assets** For ROA, we calculated 9.5% by dividing Gasparro's LTM 9/30/2019 adjusted net income of \$468.8 million by its average total assets for the periods ending 12/31/2018 and 9/30/2019 ((\$4,825 million + \$5,000 million) / 2).

**Dividend Yield** To calculate dividend yield, we annualized Gasparro's dividend payment of \$0.25 per share for the most recent quarter (see Exhibit 1.41), which implied an annual dividend payment of \$1.00 per share. We checked recent press releases to ensure there were no changes in dividend policy after the filing of the 10-Q. The implied annualized dividend payment of \$1.00 per share was then divided by Gasparro's current share price of \$50.00 to calculate an implied annual dividend yield of 2%.

EXHIBIT 1.49	LTM Return on Investment Ratios Section	

	LTM Return on Inve	estment Ratios						
	Return on Invested C	Capital	21.1%					
	Return on Equity		28.2%					
	Return on Assets		9.5%					
	Implied Annual Divide	end Per Share	2.0%					
= LTM A = \$725 n	djusted EBIT / Average (Tota Total Debt <sub>9/30</sub> hillion / ((\$1,875 million - \$75 (\$1,850 million - \$10	al Debt <sub>2018</sub> - Cash <sub>2018</sub> + Sharehold <sub>/2019</sub> - Cash <sub>9/30/2019</sub> + Shareholders million + \$1,600 million) + 0 million + \$1,725 million) / 2)	lers' Equity <sub>2018</sub> , 5' Equity <sub>9/30/2019</sub> )					
= LTM Adjusted = \$468.8 million	= LTM Adjusted Net Income / Average (Shareholders' Equity <sub>2018</sub> ,Shareholders' Equity <sub>9/30/2019</sub> ) = \$468.8 million / (\$1,600 million + \$1,725 million) / 2							
	= LTM Adjusted Net Income = \$468.8 million / (\$4,825 m	/ Average (Total Assets <sub>2018</sub> , Tota illion + \$5,000 million) / 2	l Assets <sub>9/30/2019</sub> )					
-		= (Quarterly Dividend × 4) / Curr = (\$0.25 × 4) / \$50.00	ent Share Price					

### **LTM Credit Statistics**

**Debt-to-Total Capitalization** For debt-to-total capitalization, we divided Gasparro's total debt of \$1,850 million as of 9/30/2019 by the sum of its total debt and shareholders' equity for the same period (\$1,850 million + \$1,725 million). This provided a debt-to-total capitalization ratio of 51.7% (see Exhibit 1.50).

**Total Debt-to-EBITDA** For total debt-to-EBITDA, we divided Gasparro's total debt of \$1,850 million by its LTM 9/30/2019 adjusted EBITDA of \$900 million. This provided a total leverage multiple of 2.1x (1.9x on a net debt basis).

**EBITDA-to-Interest Expense** For EBITDA-to-interest expense, we divided Gasparro's LTM 9/30/2019 adjusted EBITDA of \$900 million by its interest expense of \$100 million for the same period. This provided a ratio of 9.0x. We also calculated the company's (EBITDA – capex)-to-interest expense and EBIT-to-interest expense ratios at 7.0x and 7.3x, respectively.

### **EXHIBIT 1.50** LTM Credit Statistics Section

	LTM Cr Debt / T Total Deb Net Deb EBITDA (EBITDA EBIT / In	edit Statistics otal Capitalizati abt / EBITDA it / EBITDA . / Interest Exper A-capex) / Inter interest Expension	ion ense est Expense e	51.7% 2.1x 1.9x 9.0x 7.0x 7.3x
=	: Total De \$1,850 r	≥bt <sub>9/30/2019</sub> / (Tot million / (\$1,850	al Debt <sub>9/30/2019</sub> + Shareholders' ) million + \$1,725 million)	Equity <sub>9/30/2019</sub> )
			= Total Debt <sub>9/30/2019</sub> / LTM Adj = \$1,850 million / \$900 million	usted EBITDA
		= (Total Debt <sub>9/</sub> = (\$1,850 millio	<sub>30/2019</sub> - Cash <sub>9/30/2019</sub> ) / LTM Adj on - \$100 million) / \$900 millior	usted EBITDA
		= LT = \$9	M Adjusted EBITDA / LTM Inte 200 million / \$100 million	erest Expense

### **Trading Multiples**

In the "Trading Multiples" section, we entered consensus estimates for Gasparro's 2019E, 2020E, and 2021E sales, EBITDA, EBIT, and EPS (see Exhibit 1.51). These estimates, along with the calculated enterprise and equity values, were used to calculate forward trading multiples. Gasparro's LTM adjusted financial data is also linked to this section and used to calculate trailing trading multiples.

**Enterprise Value Multiples** For enterprise value-to-LTM EBITDA, we divided Gasparro's enterprise value of \$6,750 million by its LTM 9/30/2019 adjusted EBITDA of \$900 million, providing a multiple of 7.5x. For EV/2019E EBITDA, we divided the same enterprise value of \$6,750 million by Gasparro's 2019E EBITDA of \$950 million to calculate a multiple of 7.1x. This same methodology was used for EV/2020E EBITDA and EV/2021E EBITDA, as well as for the trailing and forward sales and EBIT enterprise value multiples.

**Price-to-Earnings Ratio** The approach for calculating P/E mirrors that for EV/ EBITDA. We divided Gasparro's current share price of \$50.00 by its LTM, 2019E, 2020E, and 2021E EPS of \$4.69, \$5.10, \$5.50, and \$5.75, respectively. These calculations provided P/E ratios of 10.7x, 9.8x, 9.1x, and 8.7x respectively.

**Free Cash Flow Yield** Free cash flow (FCF) generation is an important metric for determining valuation. FCF is an indicator of a company's ability to return capital to shareholders or repay debt, which accrues to equity holders. Therefore, many investors focus on FCF yield, calculated as (cash from operations – capex) / market capitalization or FCF per share / share price. Gasparro's FCF yield for LTM, 2019E, 2020E, and 2021E is 6.3%, 7.5%, 8.3%, and 9.1%, respectively.

(\$ in million	ns, except per share	data)		
Trading M	ultiples			
	LTM	NFY	NFY+1	NFY+2
	9/30/2019	2019E	2020E	2021E
EV/Sales	1.4x	1.4x	1.3x	1.2x
Metric	\$4,725.0	\$5,000.0	\$5,350.0	\$5,625.0
EV/ EBITD	A 7.5x	7.1x	6.6x	6.3x
Metric	\$900.0	\$950.0	\$1,025.0	\$1,075.0
EV/EBIT	9.3x	8.8x	8.2x	7.8x
Metric	\$725.0	\$765.0	\$825.0	\$865.0
P/E	10.7x	9.8x	9.1x	8.7x
Metric	\$4.69	\$5.10	\$5.50	\$5.75
FCF Yield	6.3%	7.5%	8.3%	9.1%
Metric	\$3.15	\$3.75	\$4.15	\$4.55
- Entorprico	Value / LTM Sales			
$=$ $\leq 1100$ $= 1000$	llion / \$1 750 million			
= \$0,750 min				
ſ				
4				
	=	Current Share Pi	rice / 2020 EPS	
	=	\$50.00 / \$5.50		
	_	- 2021E ECE	per Share / Cur	- rent Share Price
		- \$4 55 / \$50	00	
		= \$4.557 \$50	.00	

### **EXHIBIT 1.51** Trading Multiples Section

### **Growth Rates**

In the "Growth Rates" section, we calculated Gasparro's historical and estimated growth rates for sales, EBITDA, and EPS for various periods. For historical data, we used the adjusted income statement financials from Exhibit 1.47. As shown in Exhibit 1.52, Gasparro's EPS grew 6.4% over the past year (1-year historical growth) and at an 11.6% CAGR over the past two years (2-year historical compounded growth).

For the forward growth rates, we used consensus estimates from the "Trading Multiples" section. On a forward year basis, Gasparro's expected EPS growth rate for 2018A to 2019E is 24.1%, with an expected 2018A to 2020E CAGR of 15.7%. We sourced Gasparro's long-term EPS growth rate of 12%, which is based on equity research analysts' estimates, from consensus estimates.

	<b>Growth Rates</b>				
		Sales	EBITDA	FCF	EPS
	Historical				
	1-year	8.4%	5.1%	13.2%	6.4%
	2-year CAGR	9.5%	8.2%	14.2%	11.6%
	Estimated				
	1-year	11.1%	15.2%	25.0%	24.1%
	2-year CAGR	9.0%	11.5% 👗	17.6%	15.7%
	Long-term				12.0%
<mark>=</mark> 2 = \$	019E Sales / 2018 5,000 million / \$4,5	A Sales - 1 500 million - 1			
= (2020E EBITDA / 2018 = (\$1,025 million / \$825	BA Adjusted EBITD million) ^ (1/2) - 1	A) ^ (1 / (2020E	- 2018A)) - 1		

### Step IV. Benchmark the Comparable Companies

After completing Steps I through III, we were prepared to perform the benchmarking analysis for ValueCo.

The first two benchmarking output pages focused on the comparables' financial characteristics, enabling us to determine ValueCo's relative position among its peers for key value drivers (see Exhibits 1.53 and 1.54). This benchmarking analysis, in combination with a review of key business characteristics (outlined in Exhibit 1.3), also enabled us to identify ValueCo's closest comparables—in this case, BuyerCo, Gasparro Corp., and Sherman Co. These closest comparables were instrumental in helping to frame the ultimate valuation range.

Similarly, the benchmarking analysis allowed us to identify outliers, such as McMenamin & Co. and Adler Worldwide among others, which were determined to be less relevant due to their profitability and size, respectively. In this case, we did not eliminate the outliers altogether. Rather, we elected to group the comparable companies into three tiers based on subsector and size—Specialty Chemicals, Commodity/Diversified Chemicals, and Small-Cap Chemicals. The companies in the "Specialty Chemicals" group are more similar to ValueCo in terms of key business and financial characteristics and therefore more relevant. The companies in the "Commodity/Diversified Chemicals" and "Small-Cap Chemicals" groups, however, provided further perspective as part of a more thorough analysis.

We used the output page in Exhibit 1.55 to analyze and compare the trading multiples for ValueCo's comparables. As previously discussed, financial performance typically translates directly into valuation (i.e., the top performers tend to receive a premium valuation to their peers, with laggards trading at a discount). Therefore, we focused on the multiples for ValueCo's closest comparables as the basis for framing valuation.

Exhibit 1.55(a) presents a comparable companies output page in a format preferred by certain equity research analysts and equity investors, namely those focused primarily on FCF generation for their valuation and investment decisions.

**EXHIBIT 1.53** ValueCo Corporation: Benchmarking Analysis – Financial Statistics and Ratios, Page 1

## ValueCo Corporation

Benchmarking Analysis – Financial Statistics and Ratios, Page 1 (\$ in millions, except per share data)

		Market V	/aluation		LTM Fine	ancial Stat	tistics		E	// Profitabi	litv Maroi	su			Gro	wth Rate	ŝ		
menand	Tickot	Equity	Enterprise	Calos	Gross		Las	Net	Gross Profit	EBITDA	EBIT 1%)	Net Income	Sal Hist.	es Est. <sup>1</sup> -voor	EBITI Hist.	DA Est.	Hist.	EPS Est.	Est.
ValueCo Corporation	NA	-	-	\$3,385	\$1,155	\$700	\$500	\$300	34%	21%	15%	6%	10%	9%	15%	9%	NA	NA	AN
Tier I. Specialty Chemic	ala																		
BuyerCo	BUY	\$9,800	\$11,600	\$6,560	\$2,329	\$1,443	\$1,279	\$853	36%	22%	20%	13%	14%	8%	22%	8%	27%	6%	7%
Sherman Co.	SHR	5,600	8,101	5,895	1,945	1,047	752	507	33%	18%	13%	%6	10%	7%	10%	7%	11%	11%	%6
Pearl Corp.	PRL	5,172	5,804	4,284	1,585	839	625	393	37%	20%	15%	%6	10%	2%	10%	2%	10%	15%	11%
Gasparro Corp.	JDG	5,000	6,750	4,725	1,680	900	725	469	36%	19%	15%	10%	8%	11%	5%	15%	9% 91%	24%	12%
		4,002	0,040	0,107	375	000	anc	000	0/ R7	% I 7	%D1	0/01	%/OI	% 0	°/01	0/0	<u>%</u>	%/NZ	0/01
Mean Median									34% 36%	20% 20%	16% 15%	10% 10%	10% 10%	8% 8%	11% 10%	9% 8%	13% 11%	16% 15%	10% 10%
Tior II. Commodity / Div	Proifind Ch	amicale																	
		IEIIICais																	
Falloon Group Goodson Corp.	FLN GDS	\$7,480 4,160	\$11,254 5,660	\$11,835 4,769	\$3,373 1,431	\$1,636 763	\$1,044 525	\$563 258	29% 30%	14% 16%	9% 11%	5% 5%	5% 10%	4% 5%	5% 10%	4% 5%	5% 17%	18% 16%	5% 9%
Pryor Industries	PRI	3,926	4,166	3,682	1,178	569	421	275	32%	15%	11%	7%	5%	5%	5%	5%	2%	11%	10%
Lanzarone Global	LNZ	3,230	3,823	3,712	854	578	430	282	23%	16%	12%	8%	5%	5%	4%	5%	4%	16%	8%
McMenamin & Co.	MCM	3,193	3,193	3,223	903	355	226	144	28%	11%	%/	4%	2%	15%	2%	15%	%/	20%	12%
Mean									28%	14%	10%	6%	6%	7%	6%	7%	7%	16%	<mark>6%</mark>
Median									29%	15%	11%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	16%	<mark>6%</mark>
Tier III: Small-Cap Chen	nicals																		
Momper & Co. Adler Worldwide Schachter & Sons	MOMP ADL STM	\$2,240 1,217 1.125	\$2,921 1,463 1.674	\$2,077 1,550 1.703	\$457 387 426	\$378 245 238	\$295 183 170	\$158 108 92	22% 25% 25%	18% 16% 14%	14% 12% 10%	8% 5%	5% 5% 11%	11% 5% 15%	5% 5% 11%	11% 5% 15%	7% 7% 16%	8% 8% 19%	5% 7% 11%
Girshin Holdings	MGP	1,035	1,298	1,606	273	177	112	63	17%	11%	7%	4%	5%	15%	5%	15%	11%	15%	8%
Crespin International	MCR	872	1,222	1,443	390	190	133	74	27%	13%	6%	5%	5%	15%	4%	14%	5%	10%	%9
Mean Median									23% 25%	14% 14%	10% 10%	6% 5%	6% 5%	12% 15%	6% 5%	12% 14%	9% 7%	12% 10%	7% 7%
									202		20	20	20	20	20		2	2/21	2
Overall																			
Mean Median									29% 29%	16% 16%	12% 12%	7% 7%	8% 5%	9% 8%	8% 5%	9% 8%	10% 7%	15% 15%	9% 9%
High Low									37% 17%	22% 11%	20% 7%	13% 4%	14% 5%	15% 4%	22% 4%	15% 4%	27% 2%	24% 8%	12% 5%

Source: Company filings, Bloomberg, Consensus Estimates Note: Last twelve months data based on September 30, 2019. Estimated annual financial data based on a calendar year.

	Value	Cotpotat		anna ruis	2 MILALY SI	s – Fillall	רומו סומוו	sucs all	Nauos,	rage 4					
ValueCo Co Benchmarking A \$ in millions, except per	orpora Inalysis - share data)	t <b>ion</b> - Financi	ial Statistic	s and R	latios, Pa	age 2									
		ueg	aral		Return on I	nuestment		T MT	everade Ra	tioe	MT 1	Towerade Ba	tioe	Cradit Rs	tinge
Company	Ticker	FYE	Predicted Beta	ROIC (%)	ROE (%)	ROA (%)	Implied Div. Yield (%)	Debt / Tot. Cap. (%)	Debt / EBITDA (x)	Net Debt / EBITDA (x)	EBITDA / Int. Exp. (x)	EBITDA EBITDA - Cpx/ Int. (x)	EBIT / Int. Exp. (x)	Moody's	S&P
ValueCo Corporation	NA	Dec-31	NA	10%	%6	5%	NA	30%	2.1x	1.9x	7.0x	5.5X	5.0x	NA	NA
Tier I: Specialty Chemid	als														
BuyerCo Sherman Co.	BUY SHR	Dec-31 Dec-31	1.35 1.46	30% 16%	35% 22%	11% 7%	0% 2%	47% 57%	1.5x 3.0x	1.2x 2.4x	10.1x 13.8x	8.8x 10.7x	9.0x 9.9x	Baa3 Ba1	BBB- BB+
Pearl Corp.	PRL	Dec-31	1.28	20%	17%	9% 10%	%0	37%	1.8X 2.1X	0.8X 1.9X	8.4x 9.0x	7.1x 7.0x	6.2X 7.3X	Ba1 Ba2	BB+ BB+
Kumra Inc.	KUM	Dec-31	1.50	17%	12%	7%	2%	25%	1.3x	0.6x	11.0x	8.7x	8.4x	Ba1	BB+
Mean Median			1.38 1.35	21% 20%	23% 22%	9% 9%	1% 2%	44% 47%	1.9x 1.8x	1.4x 1.2x	10.5x 10.1x	8.4x 8.7x	8.2x 8.4x		
Tier II: Commodity / Div	ersified Che	emicals													
Falloon Groun		enneais Dec-31	1 30	16%	17%	5%	3%	55%	2 5 4	2 24	5 7v	3.87	3 6v	Ra3	aa
anour curop Pryor Industries -anzarone Global McMenamin & Co.	GDS LNZ MCM	Dec-31 Dec-31 Dec-31 Dec-31	1.53 1.26 1.34	15% 14% 12%	13% 11% 8%	5% 5% 5%	1% 1% 1%	52% 19% 27%	2.9x 1.1x 1.2x	2.0x 0.4x 0.0x 0.0x	4.2x 11.1x 10.7x 10.6x	3.0x 3.0x 7.9x 8.2x	2:9x 8:2x 7.9x 6.7x	Ba2 Ba2 Ba3 Ba2	88 88 - 88 - 88 - 88
Mean Median			1.36 1.34	15% 15%	12% 13%	6% 5%	1% 1%	34% 27%	1.8x 1.3x	1.1x 1.0x	8.5x 10.6x	6.4x 7.9x	5.9x 6.7x		
Fier III: Small-Cap Chen	nicals														
Momper & Co. Adler Worldwide		Dec-31 Dec-31	1.50 1.46	19% 12%	17% 8%	7% 4%	4% 4%	50% 22%	2.6X 1.6X	1.8X 1.0v	4.5x 6 2x	3.7x 5.0x	3.5x 4.7x	Ba1 Ba2	88 88
Schachter & Sons	MTS	Dec-31	1.40	12%	10%	4%	1%	38%	2.5x	2.3x	5.0X	3.2x	3.6x	Ba3	
Crespin International	MCR	Dec-31	1.70	10%	8%	5%	%0	28%	2.1x	1.8X	5.7x	4.4x 4.4x	4.UX 3.9X	Ba3	<u>8</u> 4
Mean			1.52	13%	11%	5%	2%	35%	2.1x	1.7x	5.5x	4.2x	3.9x		
Median			1.50	12%	10%	5%	3%	34%	2.1x	1.8x	5.7x	4.4x	3.9x		
Overall															
						Ĭ					0	0			
Vean Median			1.42 1.40	16% 16%	15% 13%	7% 6%	1%	37% 37%	2.0x 1.8x	1.4x 1.4x	8.2x 8.4x	6.3x 7.0x	6.0x 6.2x		
High Low			1.70 1.26	30% 10%	35% 8%	11% 4%	4% 0%	57% 18%	3.0x 1.1x	2.4x 0.0x	13.8x 4.2x	10.7x 3.0x	9.9x 2.9x		

**EXHIBIT 1.54** ValueCo Corporation: Benchmarking Analysis – Financial Statistics and Ratios Dage 2

Source: Company filings, Bloomberg, Consensus Estimates Note: Last twelve months data based on September 30, 2019. Estimated annual financial data based on a calendar year.

**EXHIBIT 1.55** ValueCo Corporation: Comparable Companies Analysis—Trading Multiples Output Page

## ValueCo Corporation

Comparable Companies Analysis (\$ in millions, except per share data)

ta,	
la	
0	
5	
ä	
5	
÷.	
ø	
2	
ã	
ē.	
Š	
۵,	
ŵ	
č	
9.	
1	
Ε	
2	

Company	Ticker	Current Share Price	% of 52-wk. High	Equity Value	Enterprise Value	LTM Sales	2019E Sales	2020E Sales	Enter LTM EBITDA	prise Value 2019E EBITDA E	e / 2020E EBITDA	LTM EBIT	2019E EBIT	2020E E EBIT I	LTM BITDA Margin E	Total Debt / BITDA	LTM EPS	Price / 2019E EPS	2020E EPS (	LT EPS Srowth
Tier I: Specialty Chemicals	6																			
BuyerCo Sherman Co. earl Corp. àasparro Corp. tumra Inc.	BUY SHR JDG KUM	\$70.00 40.00 68.50 50.00 52.50	91% 76% 80% 88%	\$9,800 5,600 5,172 5,000 4,852	\$11,600 8,101 5,856 6,750 5,345	1.8x 1.4x 1.4x 1.4x 1.7x	1.7x 1.4x 1.4x 1.4x 1.7x		8.0x 7.7x 7.0x 7.5x 8.0x	7.8x 7.7x 7.0x 7.1x 7.1x 7.9x	7.3x 7.2x 6.5x 7.4x 7.4x	9.1x 10.8x 9.4x 9.3x 10.6x	8.8x 10.7x 9.4x 8.8x 10.4x	8.2X 10.1x 8.7X 8.2X 9.7X	22% 18% 19% 21%	1.5x 3.0x 1.8x 2.1x 1.3x	11.5x 11.0x 13.1x 10.7x 15.8x	11.1x 10.6x 12.2x 9.8x 13.6x	10.3x 9.7x 11.1x 9.1x 11.8x	7% 9% 11% 10%
Vlean Aedian						1.5X 1.4X	1.5x 1.4x	1.4x 1.3x	7.7× 7.7×	7.5x 7.7x	7.0x 7.2x	9.8x 9.4x	9.6x 9.4x	9.0x 8.7x	20% 20%	1.9x 1.8x	12.4x 11.5x	11.5x 11.1x	10.4x 10.3x	10% 10%
Q =											••									
lier II: Commodity / Diver	sified Che	micals																		
alloon Group 3oodson Corp. ⊅ryor Industries anzarone Global ΛcMenamin & Co.	FLN GDS PRI MCM MCM	\$31.00 64.00 79.00 32.25 33.50	87% 83% 95% 80%	\$7,480 4,160 3,926 3,230 3,193	\$11,254 5,660 4,166 3,823 3,193	1.0x 1.2x 1.0x 1.0x	1.0x 1.2x 1.2x 0.9x	0.9x 1.1 1.0 0.8x 0.8x	6.9x 7.4x 6.6x 9.0x	7.0x 7.5x 7.4x 6.7x 8.4x	6.7x 7.2x 7.1x 6.4x 7.5x	10.8x 10.8x 9.9x 14.2x	11.0x 11.0x 10.1x 9.0x 13.1x	10.5x 9.6x 8.6x 11.8x	14% 16% 16% 11%	2.5X 2.9X 1.1X 1.2X 1.2X	13.3x 16.1x 14.3x 11.5x 22.2x	12.4x 15.4x 13.9x 10.7x 19.3x	10.8x 13.5x 12.7x 9.7x 16.8x	5% 9% 8%
Mean Aedian						1.1x 1.0x	1.1x 1.0x	1.0x 1.0x	7.4x 7.3x	7.4x 7.4x	7.0x 7.1x	10.9x 10.8x	10.8x 11.0x	10.2x 10.4x	14% 15%	1.8x 1.3x	15.5x 14.3x	14.3x 13.9x	12.7x 12.7x	9% 9%
Fier III: Small-Cap Chemic	als																			
5. Momper & Co. Adler Worldwide Schachter & Sons àirshin Holdings Crespin International	MOMP ADL STM MGP MCR	\$28.00 10.50 4.50 50.00 27.00	95% 80% 67% 80%	\$2,240 1,217 1,125 1,035 872	\$2,921 1,463 1,674 1,298 1,222	1.4x 0.9x 1.0x 0.8x 0.8x	1.4x 1.0x 0.9x 0.8x 0.8x	1.2x 0.9x 0.7x 0.7x	7.7x 6.0x 7.0x 7.3x 6.4x	7.4x 6.1x 6.5x 6.8x 6.0x	6.7x 5.8x 5.7x 6.1x 5.4x	9.9x 8.0x 9.8x 9.2x 9.2x	9.5x 8.1x 9.1x 10.7x 8.6x	8.6x 7.7x 7.9x 9.7x 7.7x	18% 16% 11% 13%	2.6X 1.6X 2.5X 2.1X 2.1X	14.2x 11.3x 12.2x 16.5x 11.8x	14.4x 12.2x 11.3x 15.6x 11.6x	13.4x 11.3x 10.0x 10.5x	5% 11% 6%
dean						1.0x	1.0x	0.9x	6.9x	6.6x	5.9x	9.7x	9.2x	8.3X	14%	2.1x	13.2x	13.0x	11.9x	7%
Vedian						0.9X	0.9X	0.8X	X0.7	6.5X	- X8.C	9.8X	9.1X	7.9X	14%	· XL.2	12.2X	12.2X	- XE.I	<b>%</b>
Dverall																				
Mean Median						1.2x 1.1x	1.2x 1.2x	1.1x 1.1x	7.3x 7.3x	7.2x 7.1x	6.6x 6.7x	10.1x 9.9x	9.9x 9.5x	9.2x 8.7x	16% 16%	2.0x 1.8x	13.7x 13.1x	12.9x 12.2x	11.7x 11.1x	9% 9%
Hgh .ow						1.8x 0.8x	1.7x 0.8x	1.6x 0.7x	9.0x	8.4x 6.0x	7.5x 5.4x	14.2x 8.0x	13.1x 8.1x	11.8x 7.7x	22% 11%	3.0x 1.1x	22.2x 10.7x	19.3x 9.8x	16.8x   9.1x	12% 5%

Source: Company filings, Bloomberg, Consensus Estimates Note: Last twelve months data based on September 30, 2019. Estimated annual financial data based on a calendar year.

**EXHIBIT 1.55(a)** ValueCo Corporation: Trading Multiples Output Page for Equity Research Analysts/Equity Investors

### ValueCo Corporation Comparable Companies Analysis

(\$ in millions, except per share data)

Company	Ticker	Current Share Price	% of 52-wk. Hich	Equity	Enterprise Value	EV / EE 2019F	BITDA 2020F	2019E EBITDA Marcin	LTM Debt / FRITDA	LTM Int Exp / FBITDA	P /	E	LT EPS Growth	Div Viald	FCF \	ield 2020F
Tier I: Specialty Chemical	u		6					0								
BuverCo	ΒUΥ	\$70.00	91%	\$9.800	\$11.600	7.8x	7.3x	22%	1.5x	10.1.X	11.1x	10.3x	7%	0.0%	8.6%	8.9%
Sherman Co.	SHR	\$40.00	76%	5,600	8,101	7.7x	7.2x	18%	3.0x	13.8.x	10.6x	9.7x	9%	1.8%	10.4%	11.5%
Pearl Corp.	PRL	\$68.50	95%	5,172	5,804	6.9x	6.5x	20%	1.8x	8.4.x	12.2x	11.1x	11%	0.0%	9.4%	10.4%
Gasparro Corp.	JDG	\$50.00	80%	5,000	6,750	7.1x	6.6x	19%	2.1x	9.0.x	9.8x	9.1x	12%	2.0%	7.5%	8.3%
Kumra Inc.	KUM	\$52.50	88%	4,852	5,345	7.9x	7.4x	21%	1.3x	11.0.x	13.6x	11.8x	10%	1.5%	7.1%	7.8%
						i i		/000	10	10 10	100	40.4	1001	101	0.00/	100 0
wean Median						XC.1 X7.7	7.2x	20% 20%	1.9x 1.8x	X6.01 10.1x	x6.11 x1.11	10.4x 10.3x	10% 10%	1.1% 1.5%	8.6% 8.6%	9.4% 8.9%
I ler II: Commodity / Diver			1010	÷100			ľ	1401	L C	ľ			) C	2000	10	)00 O
Falloon Group		\$31.00	%/8	\$7,480	\$11,254 5,000	7.0X	7.0 10	14%	X 9.7	5./.c	12.4X	10.8X	5%	2.6%	8.4%	9.2%
Goodson Corp.	אומ	\$64.00	83%	4,160	5,66U	XC./	7 4 X	16%	Z.9X	4.2.X	15.4X	13.5X	9% 10%	1.0%	6.8%	0.4%
		9/ 9.00	00 /0	0,920	4,100	7.7X	7.1X	0/ CI	×1.1	X-1-1-1	10.9X	×1.21	00/01	0.0%	0.1%	0.070/0
Lanzarone Global McMenamin & Co	MCM	\$33.50 \$33.50	80% 80%	3,193	3,023 3,193	0./X 8.4x	0.4X 7.5x	10%	1.2x 1.2x	10.6 x	19.3x	9./X 16.8x	8% 12%	0.0% 1.2%	0.9% 6.2%	9.7% 6.8%
		2000 2000		6	5	2.0	X0. 1	2	×		x0.0	X0.0	2	2	2	200
Mean						7.4x	7.0x	14%	1.8x	8.5x	14.3x	12.7x	6%	1.1%	7.7%	8.4%
Median						7.4x	7.1x	15%	1.3x	10.6x	13.9x	12.7x	6%	1.0%	8.1%	8.8%
lier III: Small-Cap Chemid	cals															
Momper & Co.		\$28.00	95%	\$2,240	\$2,921	7.4x	6.7x	18%	2.6x	4.5.X	14.4X	13.4x	5%	3.7%	8.0%	8.7%
Sahaahtar 8 Sana		00.010	%/00	1,217	1,400	0. IX	0.0X	10%	X0.1	X.2.0	14 9V	X0.11	0/_1	4.0%	9.0% 6.7%	%C'01
Girshin Holdings	MGP	\$50.00	%29	1,035	1,298	0.0X	6.1×	11%	1.8x	2.0.0 X E 9	15.6x	14.2x	8%	2.8%	8.1%	%6.8
Crespin International	MCR	\$27.00	80%	872	1,222	6.0x	5.4x	13%	2.1x	5.7.x	11.6x	10.5x	%9	0.0%	10.4%	11.3%
Mean						6.6x	5.9x	14%	2.1x	5.5x	13.0x	11.9x	7%	2.2%	8.6%	9.3%
Median						6.5x	5.8x	14%	2.1x	5.7x	12.2x	11.3x	7%	2.8%	8.1%	8.9%
Overall																
Mean						7.2x	6.6x	16%	2.0x	8.2x	12.9x	11.7x	9%	1.5%	8.3%	9.0%
Median						XL.7	6.7X	16%	1.8X	8.4X	12.2X	XL.LL	9%	1.2%	8.1%	8.9%
Hich						8.4x	7.5x	22%	3.0x	13.8x	19.3x	16.8x	12%	4.0%	10.4%	11.5%
Low						6.0x	5.4x	11%	1.1x	4.2x	9.8x	9.1x	5%	0.0%	6.2%	6.8%

Source: Company filings, Bloomberg, Consensus Estimates

### **Step V. Determine Valuation**

The means and medians for the Specialty Chemicals comparables universe helped establish an initial valuation range for ValueCo, with the highs and lows providing further perspective. We also looked to the Commodity/Diversified Chemicals and Small-Cap Chemicals comparables for peripheral guidance. To fine-tune the range, however, we focused on those comparables deemed closest to ValueCo in terms of business and financial profile—namely, BuyerCo, Gasparro Corp., and Sherman Co., as well as Goodson Corp. and Momper & Co. to a lesser extent.

Companies in ValueCo's sector tend to trade on the basis of forward EV/EBITDA multiples. Therefore, we framed our valuation of ValueCo on the basis of the forward EV/EBITDA multiples for its closest comparables, selecting ranges of 6.75x to 7.75x 2019E EBITDA, and 6.5x to 7.5x 2020E EBITDA. We also looked at the implied valuation based on a range of 7.0x to 8.0x LTM EBITDA.

**EXHIBIT 1.56** ValueCo Corporation: Implied Valuation Range – Enterprise Value

ValueC Implied V (\$ in millions,	O Corpo aluation Ra	ration inge hs ending 9/30	0/2019)		
EBITDA	Metric	Multiple	Range	Ir Enter	nplied orise Value
LTM 2019E 2020E	\$700.0 725.0 779.4	7.00x – 6.75x – 6.50x –	8.00x 7.75x 7.50x	\$4,900.0 4,893.8 5,065.9	- \$5,600.0 - 5,618.8 - 5,845.3

The chosen multiple ranges in Exhibit 1.56 translated into an implied enterprise value range of approximately \$4,900 million to \$5,850 million. This implied valuation range is typically displayed in a format such as that shown in Exhibit 1.57 (known as a "football field") for eventual comparison against other valuation methodologies, which we discuss in the following chapters.

**EXHIBIT 1.57** ValueCo Football Field Displaying Comparable Companies

