

Contents

<i>Preface</i>	v
<i>Acknowledgements</i>	ix
<i>Summary Contents</i>	xi
<i>List of Contributors</i>	xxiii

Introduction: Federalism's Janus Face	1
<i>Elke Cloots, Geert De Baere and Stefan Sottiaux</i>	

PART I: FEDERALISM IN THE EU'S CONSTITUTIONAL STRUCTURE	11
--	-----------

1. EU Federalism in 3-D	13
<i>Koen Lenaerts</i>	
I. Introduction.....	13
II. The Vertical Dimension: Articulating Different Federal Structures.....	15
A. General Observations	15
B. State Aids.....	17
C. Other Fields.....	21
D. Concluding Remarks	22
III. The Horizontal Dimension: The Appropriate Legal Basis	23
A. General Observations on the Choice of the Appropriate Legal Basis.....	23
B. <i>Parliament v Council</i> (the <i>IFI Case</i>)	26
C. Concluding Remarks	29
IV. The Diagonal Dimension: The Emancipation of EU Citizenship.....	31
A. General Observations	31
B. Focusing on 'the Status of Citizen of the Union': <i>Rottmann</i>	33
C. A Ground-breaking Judgment: <i>Ruiz Zambrano</i>	35
D. The Sequel: <i>McCarthy</i>	39
E. Concluding Remarks	42
V. Conclusion	43
2. Federalism and Jurisdiction	45
<i>Pavlos Eleftheriadis</i>	
I. Introduction.....	45
II. The Question of Federation.....	45
III. Historical Federalism.....	47

IV. Constitutional Federalism	51
V. Pluralist Federalism	52
VI. The Question of Jurisdiction	53
VII. Jurisdiction and Legitimacy	56
VIII. States or the Union?.....	59
IX. The Question of a Union of Peoples	60
X. Conclusion	63
3. Federalism, the EU and International Law: On the Possible (and Necessary) Role of Subsidiarity in Legitimate Multilevel Trade Governance.....	65
<i>Alexia Herwig</i>	
I. Introduction.....	65
II. Meanings Ascribed to Subsidiarity	66
III. Critiques of Subsidiarity.....	68
A. Fundamental Critiques of Subsidiarity	68
B. Howse and Nicolaïdis's Critique.....	69
C. Howse and Nicolaïdis's Reasoning.....	72
D. Howse and Nicolaïdis's Solutions	75
IV. The Subsidiarity Principle in WTO and EU Law.....	76
A. WTO Law	76
B. Implications for the EU Principle of Subsidiarity	81
V. Conclusion	82
4. The Court of Justice as a Federal Constitutional Court: A Comparative Perspective.....	83
<i>Monica Claes and Maartje de Visser</i>	
I. Introduction.....	83
II. Two Assumptions.....	84
A. The Federal Character of the EU	84
B. The Constitution of the EU	85
III. Key Features of National Federal Constitutional Courts	86
A. Situational Logic and Composition.....	87
B. Design of the Procedure for Adjudicating Vertical Competence Disputes.....	90
C. Identification of Some Core Common Features.....	95
D. Prominence and Salience of Federal Issues in the Court's Docket.....	96
IV. Comparison with the CJEU as a Federal Constitutional Court	98
A. Situational Logic and Composition.....	98
i. The CJEU as a Federal Constitutional Court	98
ii. The CJEU as a Supreme Court.....	100
iii. The CJEU as an International Court	102
iv. The CJEU as a Human Rights Court.....	102
v. Composition.....	104

B. Procedural Issues Regarding Vertical Competence Adjudication	105
C. Prominence and Salience of Federal Issues.....	107
V. Conclusion.....	107
5. The Dual System of Rights Protection in the European Union in Light of US Federalism.....	110
<i>Aida Torres Pérez</i>	
I. Introduction	110
II. The Scope of Application of EU Fundamental Rights to the States.....	112
A. The Incorporation of Federal Rights in the US.....	112
B. From ‘Half-way’ to ‘Full’ Incorporation in the EU?	113
i. Non-discrimination on Grounds of Nationality.....	115
ii. EU Citizenship	116
III. Overlapping EU and Constitutional Rights	121
A. The ‘New Judicial Federalism’ and the Federal Floor of Protection	122
B. Rights Conflicts and Judicial Dialogue in the EU	125
IV. Concluding Remarks	129
6. Federalism and International Relations in the European Union and the United States: A Comparative Outlook.....	131
<i>Geert De Baere and Kathleen Gutman</i>	
I. Introduction	131
II. Conceptual Framework of Federalism and International Relations in the EU and the US	134
A. Federalism in the EU and the US	134
B. Federalism and International Relations in the EU and the US	135
III. Constitutional Framework of Federalism and External Relations in the EU	139
A. Overview of the Allocation of Competences in the Treaties.....	139
i. The Principle of Conferral	139
ii. Existence of EU External Competences.....	143
iii. The Nature of EU External Competences	144
B. Case Law of the European Court of Justice	147
i. Case Law on the Existence of EU External Competences	147
ii. Case Law on the Nature of EU External Competences	149
IV. Constitutional Framework of Federalism and Foreign Relations in the US	153
A. Overview of the Allocation of Competences in the US Constitution.....	153
B. Case Law of the US Supreme Court.....	157
V. Conclusion.....	165

PART II: EU LAW AND MEMBER STATE FEDERALISM	167
7. European Ties that Bind: Political or Cultural?	169
<i>Helder De Schutter</i>	
I. Introduction	169
II. Defining the Terms	171
III. The Exclusion Critique: Working Both Ways.....	175
IV. National Pluralism: Domestic and European	180
V. Conclusion	189
8. Does EU Decision-Making Take into Account Regional Interests?	191
<i>Piet Van Nuffel</i>	
I. Introduction	191
II. How Political Interests Become ‘Regional’ Interests	192
III. Regional Interests and Regional Autonomy in the Treaties	193
IV. Influence of Regional Interests in EU Decision-Making.....	195
A. Direct and Indirect Defence of Regional Interests	196
B. Institutional Avenues for Direct Influence since the Maastricht Treaty.....	196
i. Presence of Regional Ministers in the Council	196
ii. Defence of Regional Interests in the European Parliament and the Committee of the Regions	198
C. The Lisbon Treaty: New Institutional Avenues.....	200
i. Accountability of Ministers to National Parliaments.....	200
ii. Subsidiarity Scrutiny by National Parliaments.....	203
V. Influence of Regional Interests in Constitutional Decision-Making	207
VI. Concluding Remarks	209
9. The Role of Sub-State Entities in the EU Decision-Making Processes: A Comparative Constitutional Law Approach	210
<i>Nikos Skouraris</i>	
I. Introduction	210
II. Participation in the Internal Decision-Making Process	212
A. Informing the Regional Tier in the Preparatory Phase.....	212
B. Mechanisms for Involving the Regional Tier in Internal EU Decision-Making Processes	214
i. Upper Chambers	215
ii. Interregional and Joint National–Regional Bodies	216
III. Participation in the Union Decision-Making Processes.....	219
A. Participation of the Regions in the Union Institutions	219
i. The Council.....	219
ii. The European Parliament.....	222
iii. The Court of Justice.....	223

iv. The Committee of the Regions	223
v. The Regional Representation and Liaison Offices	224
B. The Role of the Regional Authorities in the Application of the Subsidiarity Principle	225
IV. Conclusion	229
10. Autonomous Constitutional Regions in a Federal Europe.....	230
<i>Joxerramon Bengoetxea</i>	
I. Introduction.....	230
II. The Regional Sub-State Question in the EU.....	232
A. Diversity and Complexity.....	232
B. Cities and Other Sub-State Entities	233
C. Undeniable Relevance of Regions	233
III. The Evolution of the Regional Issue: Gaining a Vision.....	235
A. Phase One: Regional Blindness	235
i. Institutional or Constitutional Autonomy of the State	235
ii. State Personality.....	235
iii. Internal Organisation Is No Excuse, No Defence	236
B. Phase Two: Regional Myopia.....	236
i. Subsidiarity.....	237
ii. EU Involvement in Some Regions	237
C. Phase Three: Regions Gain Visibility, EU Institutions Acquire a Vision	238
IV. The Paradox of EU Regionalism: 'Neither With Nor Without You'.....	239
V. A Possible Solution: A Special Status for Constitutional Regions.....	239
A. The Real Change of Vision: the Court of Justice	239
B. A Changing Parameter: the New Interpretation of Institutional Autonomy of the Member States.....	241
C. Recognition and Accommodation	242
D. Special Institutional (Legal) Status	242
E. A New Interpretation of the Equality of Member States and their Institutional Autonomy	244
VI. Recognition, Accommodation and Institutional Consequences	245
A. Committee of the Regions.....	245
B. European Parliament	246
C. European Commission	246
D. Council of Ministers	247
E. Economic and Social Council of the EU	247
F. Court of Justice	247
G. Appointments and Nominations	248
VII. Conclusion	248

11. The European Court of Justice and the Devolution of Taxation Powers	249
<i>Suzanne Kingston</i>	
I. Introduction and Background.....	249
II. Regional Taxation and the Scope of Article 107(1) TFEU.....	252
A. Early Judgments.....	252
B. The <i>Azores</i> Judgment—Taking Devolution Seriously?	254
C. <i>UGT-Rioja</i> —Defining the Limits of <i>Azores</i>	258
D. Subsequent Cases: <i>Gibraltar</i> and <i>Regione Sardegna</i>	261
III. Conclusion.....	263
12. The Impact of EU Law on the Devolution of Social Powers in the Member States	265
<i>Herwig Verschueren</i>	
I. Introduction	265
II. The European Strand of Social Federalism	266
A. No Separate European Social Protection.....	266
B. The Impact of the European Principle of Free Movement of Persons on Social Protection Schemes in the Member States.....	267
i. European Social Security Co-ordination	267
ii. Determination of the Legislation Applicable in Cross-border Situations: State of Employment and State of Residence.....	268
III. The Impact of European ‘Social Federalism’ on Social Devolution in the Member States.....	272
A. Can EU Law Intervene in a Regionalised Member State’s Internal Distribution of Competences in the Field of Social Protection?.....	272
B. Critical Reflections.....	276
i. Failure to See the Internal Distribution of Powers in the Field of Social Protection as an Aspect of Domestic Social Security Legislation.....	277
ii. Legal Uncertainty over Who Precisely Has Recourse to EU Law.....	278
iii. The (Non-)Applicability of the Place-of-Employment Principle to Purely Internal Situations and the Issue of Reverse Discrimination.....	279
iv. Treating Sub-National Entities as Member States in the Application of the Freedom of Movement for Persons Disregards the Member States’ Singular Nature.....	281
IV. Conclusion.....	284

13. EU Law and Language Regulation in (Quasi-)Federal Member States	287
<i>Elke Cloots and Stefan Sottiaux</i>	
I. Introduction	287
II. Public Language Regulation Where Neutrality Is Impossible.....	289
A. Political Theory and Official Language Policy in Multinational Member States.....	289
B. Official Language Policy in (Quasi-)Federal Multinational Member States.....	292
C. EU Law and Official Language Policy in (Quasi-)Federal Multinational Member States.....	293
i. Designation of Official Languages in (Quasi-)Federal Member States.....	293
ii. Peripheral Issues.....	296
D. EU Law and Official Language Policy in Unitary Multinational Member States.....	301
III. Public Language Regulation Where Neutrality Is Possible.....	306
A. Legitimate Aim.....	308
i. Communication	309
ii. Language Promotion.....	309
iii. Linguistic Diversity.....	310
B. Proportionality.....	311
i. Suitability.....	311
ii. Necessity.....	311
iii. Proportionality <i>Stricto Sensu</i>	312
iv. Intensity.....	314
IV. Private Language Regulation.....	315
V. Conclusion.....	319
14. The European Court of Justice and Member State Federalism: Balancing or Categorisation?	322
<i>Elke Cloots</i>	
I. Introduction	322
II. Distinct Treatment of Constitutional Rights and Federalism Provisions.....	323
A. Constitution-based Limitations of Freedom of Movement	324
i. Constitutional Rights	324
ii. Constitutional State Structure Provisions.....	325
iii. Conclusion.....	326
B. The Rationale behind the Distinction.....	328
i. Textual Arguments	328
ii. A Duty of Respect on the Part of the Union	330
iii. Intrinsic Qualitative Differences.....	333
iv. Alternative (Not Less) Protection.....	337

III. Balancing versus Categorisation	338
A. In American Constitutional Theory.....	338
B. In the ECJ Case Law.....	340
IV. A Categorical Approach to Member State Federalism: Merits and Pitfalls.....	345
A. Merits	345
i. Minimising Decision-maker Errors	345
ii. The Rationales behind the Treaty Provisions	350
iii. The Structure of Federalism Provisions.....	351
B. Pitfalls.....	353
i. Under- and Over-inclusiveness.....	353
ii. A Genuine Alternative to Balancing?	357
V. Conclusion	360
15. The Impact of ‘Regional Blindness’ on the Italian Regional State.....	362
<i>Giuseppe Martinico</i>	
I. Introduction.....	362
II. Substantive Norms and Techniques Concerning the Relationship between State and Regions.....	364
A. The Principle of Conferred Powers.....	365
B. The Substitution Power and <i>Cedevolezza</i>	368
i. <i>A Posteriori</i> Substitution	369
ii. <i>A Priori</i> Substitution	371
C. The Principle of Subsidiarity.....	373
III. Procedural Norms and Techniques Concerning the Italian Constitutional Court.....	376
A. Convergence and Divergence between the Italian Constitutional Court and the ECJ.....	376
B. Centralised Review of the Compatibility of Regional Legislation with EU Law: Exceptions to ‘Procedural Impermeability’	377
IV. Final Remarks	379
16. The Spanish State Structure and EU Law: The View of the Spanish Constitutional Court	381
<i>Maite Zelaia Garagarza</i>	
I. Introduction.....	381
II. Participation of Autonomous Communities in European Affairs.....	383
III. Implementation of EU Law by Autonomous Communities.....	385
IV. Conclusion.....	391

17. Economic and Monetary Union: Caught between Brussels and Luxembourg? The Influence of EU law on Belgian Federalism

Case Law392

Stef Feyen

 I. Introduction392

 II. Belgian Federalism: An Overview393

 III. The EMU: Convergence?395

 A. Freedom of Trade and the EMU395

 B. The Rules Governing the Belgian and the European EMU396

 C. The Question of Convergence in a Broader Perspective.....398

 D. The Flemish Care Insurance Saga400

 E. The Flemish Inheritance Tax Case402

 F. Comparative Conclusion403

Index405

<http://www.pbookshop.com>