

Contents

<i>Acknowledgements</i>	vii
<i>Preface</i>	ix
<i>Table of Cases</i>	xxv
<i>Table of Legislation</i>	xlix

PART ONE: THE FORMATION OF A CONTRACT

1. OFFER AND ACCEPTANCE	3
1. Introduction	3
(1) What is a Contract?	3
(2) Offer and Acceptance	4
2. Offers and Invitations to Treat	4
(1) Two General Illustrative Cases	5
<i>Harvey v Facey</i>	5
<i>Gibson v Manchester City Council</i>	5
(2) Display of Goods for Sale	7
<i>Fisher v Bell</i>	7
<i>Pharmaceutical Society of Great Britain v Boots Cash Chemists (Southern) Ltd</i>	8
(3) Advertisements	10
<i>Partridge v Crittenden</i>	10
<i>Carlill v Carbolic Smoke Ball Company</i>	11
(4) Auction Sales	16
<i>Barry v Davies</i>	16
(5) Tenders	19
<i>Spencer v Harding</i>	19
<i>Harvela Investments Ltd v Royal Trust Company of Canada (CI) Ltd</i>	20
<i>Blackpool and Fylde Aeroclub Ltd v Blackpool Borough Council</i>	22
3. Acceptance	26
(1) Acceptance by Conduct	26
<i>Brogden v Metropolitan Railway Co</i>	26
(2) 'Battle of the Forms'	27
<i>Butler Machine Tool Co Ltd v Ex-Cell-O Corporation (England) Ltd</i>	27
(3) Communication of Acceptance	33
(a) The General Rule: Acceptance must be Received by Offeror	33
<i>Entores Ltd v Miles Far East Corporation</i>	33
<i>Brinkibon Ltd v Stahag Stahl und Stahlwarenhandels-gesellschaft mbH</i>	36

(b) Acceptance by Post	38
<i>Household Fire and Carriage Accident Insurance Co Ltd v Grant</i>	38
<i>Holwell Securities Ltd v Hughes</i>	40
(c) Waiver by Offeror of the Need for Communication of Acceptance	42
<i>Felthouse v Bindley</i>	42
(4) Prescribed Mode of Acceptance	44
<i>Manchester Diocesan Council for Education v Commercial and General Investments Ltd</i>	44
(5) Acceptance in Ignorance of an Offer	45
<i>R v Clarke</i>	45
(6) Acceptance in Unilateral Contracts	48
<i>Errington v Errington</i>	48
<i>Soulsbury v Soulsbury</i>	49
4. Termination of an Offer	50
(1) Revocation	51
<i>Byrne & Co v Van Tienhoven & Co</i>	51
<i>Dickinson v Dodds</i>	52
(2) Rejection	55
<i>Hyde v Wrench</i>	55
<i>Stevenson, Jacques & Co v McLean</i>	55
5. Problematic Offer and Acceptance	56
<i>The Satanita</i>	57
Additional Reading	59
2. CERTAINTY AND INTENTION TO CREATE LEGAL RELATIONS	61
1. Certainty	61
(1) Vagueness	61
<i>Hillas & Co Ltd v Arcos Ltd</i>	61
<i>Scammell and Nephew Ltd v Ouston</i>	64
(2) Price Not Fixed	66
<i>May and Butcher Ltd v R</i>	66
<i>Sudbrook Trading Estate Ltd v Eggleton</i>	69
(3) Agreements to Negotiate	71
<i>Walford v Miles</i>	71
(4) A Non-contractual Solution	76
<i>British Steel Corporation v Cleveland Bridge and Engineering Co Ltd</i>	76
2. Intention to Create Legal Relations	79
(1) Social and Domestic Agreements	79
<i>Balfour v Balfour</i>	79
<i>Jones v Padavatton</i>	81
(2) Commercial Agreements	83
<i>Esso Petroleum Co Ltd v Commissioners of Customs and Excise</i>	83
Additional Reading	86

3. CONSIDERATION AND PROMISSORY ESTOPPEL	89
1. Consideration	89
(1) The Consideration Need Not Be Adequate	90
<i>Chappell & Co Ltd v Nestlé Co Ltd</i>	90
(2) Past Consideration Does Not Count	94
<i>Eastwood v Kenyon</i>	94
<i>PaO On v Lau Yiu Long</i>	95
(3) Consideration and the Promise to Perform, or Performance of, a Pre-existing Duty	98
(a) Pre-existing Duty Imposed by the General Law (ie a Non-contractual Duty)	98
<i>Collins v Godefroy</i>	98
<i>Glasbrook Brothers Ltd v Glamorgan County Council</i>	98
<i>Ward v Byham</i>	100
<i>Williams v Williams</i>	101
(b) Pre-existing Duty under a Contract with a Third Party	102
<i>Shadwell v Shadwell</i>	102
<i>Scotson v Pegg</i>	104
<i>PaO On v Lau Yiu Long</i>	105
(c) Pre-existing Duty under a Contract with the Promisor	106
<i>Stilk v Myrick</i>	106
<i>Williams v Roffey Bros & Nicholls (Contractors) Ltd</i>	108
<i>Foakes v Beer</i>	114
<i>Re Selectmove Ltd</i>	117
2. Promissory Estoppel	120
(1) The Emergence of Promissory Estoppel	120
<i>Hughes v Metropolitan Ry Co</i>	120
<i>Central London Property Trust Ltd v High Trees House Ltd</i>	121
<i>Collier v P & MJ Wright (Holdings) Ltd</i>	123
(2) Promissory Estoppel Not a Cause of Action	125
<i>Combe v Combe</i>	125
<i>Crabb v Arun District Council</i>	128
<i>Amalgamated Investment & Property Co Ltd v Texas Commerce International Bank Ltd</i>	132
<i>Waltons Stores (Interstate) Ltd v Maher</i>	134
<i>Baird Textiles Holdings Ltd v Marks & Spencer plc</i>	139
(3) Promissory Estoppel Requires a Clear and Unequivocal Promise	143
<i>Woodhouse AC Israel Cocoa SA v Nigerian Produce Marketing Co Ltd</i>	143
(4) Does Promissory Estoppel Require Reliance or Detrimental Reliance?	145
<i>WJ Alan & Co Ltd v El Nasr Export and Import Co</i>	145
<i>Société Italo-Belge pour le Commerce et l'Industrie SA v Palm and Vegetable Oils (Malaysia) Sdn Bhd, The Post Chaser</i>	147
(5) Promissory Estoppel Cannot be Founded on a Promise Induced by the Promisee's Inequitable Conduct	149
<i>D & C Builders Ltd v Rees</i>	149
(6) Does Promissory Estoppel Extinguish or Suspend Rights?	152

<i>Tool Metal Manufacturing Co Ltd v Tungsten Electric Co Ltd</i>	152
Additional Reading	155

PART TWO: THE TERMS OF A CONTRACT

4. IDENTIFYING THE TERMS	159
1. Terms or Mere Representations?	159
<i>Oscar Chess Ltd v Williams</i>	160
<i>Dick Bentley Productions Ltd v Harold Smith (Motors) Ltd</i>	164
<i>Esso Petroleum Co Ltd v Mardon</i>	166
2. Incorporation of a Party's Written Terms	168
(1) Signature	169
<i>L'Estrange v F Graucob Ltd</i>	169
<i>Curtis v Chemical Cleaning and Dyeing Co</i>	170
(2) Reasonable Notice	172
<i>Parker v The South Eastern Railway Company</i>	172
<i>Chapelton v Barry Urban District Council</i>	175
<i>Olley v Marlborough Court Ltd</i>	176
<i>Thornton v Shoe Lane Parking Ltd</i>	177
<i>Interfoto Picture Library Ltd v Stiletto Visual Programmes Ltd</i>	181
<i>AEG (UK) Ltd v Logic Resource Ltd</i>	184
<i>O'Brien v MGN Ltd</i>	189
(3) Course of Dealing	191
<i>McCutcheon v David MacBrayne Ltd</i>	191
<i>Hollier v Rambler Motors (AMC) Ltd</i>	193
(4) The Parties' Trade Practice	195
<i>British Crane Hire Corporation Ltd v Ipswich Plant Hire Ltd</i>	195
3. Implied Terms	197
(1) Terms Implied by the Courts	197
(a) Terms Implied by Fact	197
<i>The Moorcock</i>	197
<i>Attorney-General of Belize v Belize Telecom Ltd</i>	201
(b) Terms Implied by Law	204
<i>Liverpool City Council v Irwin</i>	204
<i>Shell UK Ltd v Lostock Garage Ltd</i>	210
<i>Sally v Southern Health and Social Services Board</i>	213
(2) Terms Implied by Statute	217
(a) Contracts for the Sale of Goods	217
<i>Sale of Goods Act 1979, sections 12–15</i>	217
(b) Contracts for Services	220
<i>Supply of Goods and Services Act 1982, sections 13–15</i>	220
Additional Reading	221

5. INTERPRETING THE TERMS	223
1. The Modern Approach to Construction	223
<i>Investors Compensation Scheme Ltd v West Bromwich Building Society</i>	223
<i>Chartbrook Ltd v Persimmon Homes Ltd</i>	226
2. Construction of Exemption Clauses	231
(1) Excluding or Limiting Liability for Negligence	231
<i>Alderslade v Hendon Laundry Ltd</i>	231
<i>Canada Steamship Lines Ltd v R</i>	233
<i>Hollier v Rambler Motors (AMC) Ltd</i>	236
(2) Excluding or Limiting Liability for Fundamental Breach	239
<i>Photo Production Ltd v Securicor Transport Ltd</i>	239
(3) Construing Limitation Clauses	244
<i>Ailsa Craig Fishing Co Ltd v Malvern Fishing Co Ltd</i>	244
<i>George Mitchell (Chesterhall) Ltd v Finney Lock Seeds Ltd</i>	246
Additional Reading	249
6. STATUTORY CONTROL OF UNFAIR TERMS	251
1. The Unfair Contract Terms Act 1977	252
<i>The Unfair Contract Terms Act 1977, sections 1–14, 26–27, 29, Schedules 1 and 2</i>	252
(1) Overview of the Central Scheme of UCTA 1977	260
(2) Excluding Liability for Breach of the Statutory Implied Terms in Contracts for the Sale of Goods and Analogous Contracts	261
(3) Cases on UCTA 1977	262
(a) What is Meant by ‘Dealing as Consumer’?	262
<i>R & B Customs Brokers Co Ltd v United Dominions Trust Ltd</i>	262
(b) What Counts as an Exclusion of Liability, or as Equivalent to such an Exclusion, so as to Fall within the Act?	266
<i>Phillips Products Ltd v Hyland</i>	266
<i>Thompson v F Lohan (Plant Hire) Ltd</i>	268
<i>Smith v Eric S Bush</i>	271
<i>Stewart Gill Ltd v Horatio Myer & Co Ltd</i>	273
<i>Tudor Grange Holdings Ltd v Citibank NA</i>	274
(c) Does the Clause Pass, or Fail, the Reasonableness Test?	277
<i>George Mitchell (Chesterhall) Ltd v Finney Lock Seeds Ltd</i>	277
<i>Phillips Products Ltd v Hyland</i>	279
<i>Smith v Eric S Bush</i>	283
<i>Stewart Gill Ltd v Horatio Myer & Co Ltd</i>	285
<i>St Albans City and District Council v International Computers Ltd</i>	287
<i>Watford Electronics Ltd v Sanderson CFL Ltd</i>	290
2. The Unfair Terms in Consumer Contracts Regulations 1999	293
<i>Unfair Terms in Consumer Contracts Regulations 1999</i>	294
(1) Overview of the Central Scheme of the 1999 Regulations	300
(2) What is the Relationship Between the 1999 Regulations and UCTA 1977?	301
(3) Case-law on the 1999 Regulations	302

<i>Director General of Fair Trading v First National Bank Plc</i>	303
(4) A Note on Good Faith	307
3. Reform of the Legislation on Unfair Terms	308
Additional Reading	310

PART THREE: REMEDIES FOR BREACH OF CONTRACT

7. TERMINATION	315
1. The Meaning of Termination for Breach	316
<i>Photo Production Ltd v Securicor Transport Ltd</i>	316
2. Conditions, Warranties and Innominate Terms	318
<i>Sale of Goods Act 1979, sections 12–15</i>	318
<i>Hongkong Fir Shipping Co Ltd v Kawasaki Kisen Kaisha Ltd</i>	319
<i>Maredelanto Compania Naviera SA v Bergbau – Handel GmbH,</i> <i>The Mihalis Angelos</i>	323
<i>L Schuler AG v Wickman Machine Tool Sales Ltd</i>	325
<i>Cehave NV v Bremer Handelsgesellschaft mbH, The Hansa Nord</i>	328
<i>Bunge Corporation v Tradax Export SA</i>	331
<i>Sale of Goods Act 1979, section 15A</i>	334
3. Termination Clauses	335
<i>Lombard North Central plc v Butterworth</i>	335
<i>Rice v Great Yarmouth Borough Council</i>	338
4. Anticipatory Breach	342
<i>Hochster v De La Tour</i>	342
5. Restitution after Termination for Breach	344
Additional Reading	345
8. DAMAGES	347
1. Compensatory Damages	347
(1) Basic Issues in Applying the Compensatory Aim	348
(a) The Precise Aim of Contractual Compensation: Protecting the Expectation Interest	348
<i>Robinson v Harman</i>	348
(b) Difference in the Value of Property or Cost of Cure?	349
<i>Radford v de Froberville</i>	349
<i>Ruxley Electronics and Construction Ltd v Forsyth</i>	352
(c) Assessment According to the Chances	357
<i>Chaplin v Hicks</i>	357
(d) Contractual Damages Protecting the Reliance Interest?	360
<i>Anglia TV v Reed</i>	360
<i>C & P Haulage v Middleton</i>	362
<i>CCC Films (London) Ltd v Impact Quadrant Films Ltd</i>	364

<i>Omak Maritime Ltd v Mamola Challenger Shipping Co, The Mamola Challenger</i>	367
(e) The Date for Assessment of Damages	370
<i>Golden Strait Corp v Nippon Yusen Kubishika Kaisha, The Golden Victory</i>	370
(2) Limitations on Compensation	375
(a) Remoteness	375
<i>Hadley v Baxendale</i>	375
<i>Victoria Laundry (Windsor) Ltd v Newman Industries Ltd</i>	376
<i>Koufos v C Czarnikow Ltd, The Heron II</i>	379
<i>Parsons (Livestock) Ltd v Uttley Ingham & Co Ltd</i>	383
<i>Brown v KMR Services Ltd</i>	388
<i>Jackson v Royal Bank of Scotland plc</i>	391
<i>Transfield Shipping Inc v Mercator Shipping Inc, The Achilleas</i>	392
(b) Intervening Cause	404
<i>Quinn v Burch Bros (Builders) Ltd</i>	405
<i>Galoo Ltd v Bright Grahame Murray</i>	405
(c) The Duty to Mitigate and Mitigation	407
<i>British Westinghouse Electric v Underground Electric Railways Co of London Ltd</i>	407
(d) Contributory Negligence	409
<i>Law Reform (Contributory Negligence) Act 1945 sections 1(1) and 4</i>	409
<i>Forsikringsaktieselskapet Vesta v Butcher</i>	410
<i>Barclays Bank Plc v Fairclough Building Ltd</i>	412
(e) Mental Distress	416
<i>Addis v Gramophone Co Ltd</i>	416
<i>Jarvis v Swan's Tours Ltd</i>	418
<i>Watts v Morrow</i>	419
<i>Farley v Skinner</i>	420
(f) Loss of Reputation	427
<i>Mahmud v Bank of Credit and Commerce International SA</i>	427
2. Restitutionary Damages/An Account of Profits	430
<i>Attorney-General v Blake</i>	430
<i>Experience Hendrix LLC v PPX Enterprises Inc</i>	436
3. Agreed/Liquidated Damages	442
<i>Dunlop Pneumatic Tyre Ltd v New Garage and Motor Co Ltd</i>	442
<i>Philips Hong Kong Ltd v Attorney General of Hong Kong</i>	444
Additional Reading	447
9. DIRECT ENFORCEMENT	453
1. The Award of an Agreed Sum	453
<i>White and Carter (Councils) Ltd v McGregor</i>	454
<i>Clea Shipping Corporation v Bulk Oil International Ltd, The Alaskan Trader</i>	458
<i>Reichman v Beveridge</i>	460
2. Specific Performance	462

(1) The Primary Restriction—Adequacy of Damages	462
<i>Falcke v Gray</i>	462
<i>Sky Petroleum Ltd v VIP Petroleum Ltd</i>	463
<i>Beswick v Beswick</i>	465
(2) The Constant Supervision Objection	466
<i>Co-operative Insurance Society Ltd v Argyll Stores (Holdings) Ltd</i>	466
(3) Contracts for Personal Service	472
<i>Trade Union and Labour Relations (Consolidation) Act 1992, section 236</i>	472
<i>Powell v Brent London Borough Council</i>	472
(4) Want of Mutuality	474
<i>Price v Strange</i>	474
3. Injunctions	477
<i>Lumley v Wagner</i>	478
<i>Warner Bros Pictures Inc v Nelson</i>	479
<i>Page One Records v Britton</i>	481
<i>Warren v Mendy</i>	481
<i>LauritzenCool AB v Lady Navigation Inc</i>	485
Additional Reading	487

PART FOUR: PRIVACY OF CONTRACT AND THIRD PARTY RIGHTS

10. PRIVACY OF CONTRACT AND THIRD PARTY RIGHTS	491
1. Introduction	491
2. Four Cases Establishing or Confirming the Privity Doctrine (on its Benefit Side)	492
<i>Tweddle v Atkinson</i>	492
<i>Dunlop Pneumatic Tyre Co Ltd v Selfridge</i>	493
<i>Scruttons v Midland Silicones Ltd</i>	496
<i>Beswick v Beswick</i>	498
3. Exceptions to Privity (on its Benefit Side)	503
(1) Agency	505
(2) Assignment	505
(3) Trusts of the Promise	505
(4) Statutory Exceptions	506
(5) Covenants Concerning Land	506
(6) The Tort of Negligence	507
(7) Enforcement by Third Parties of Exemption Clauses	507
<i>New Zealand Shipping Co Ltd v AM Satterthwaite & Co Ltd, The Eurymedon</i>	507
4. The Promisee's Remedies in a Contract made for the Benefit of a Third Party	512
(1) Specific Performance and Injunctions	512
<i>Beswick v Beswick</i>	512

(2) Damages	512
<i>Jackson v Horizon Holidays Ltd</i>	512
<i>Woodar Investment Development Ltd v Wimpey Construction UK Ltd</i>	514
<i>Darlington Borough Council v Wiltshier Northern Ltd</i>	518
<i>Alfred McAlpine Construction Ltd v Panatown Ltd</i>	521
5. The Contracts (Rights of Third Parties) Act 1999	531
<i>Contracts (Rights of Third Parties) Act 1999</i>	532
(1) The Tests of Enforceability	536
<i>Nisshin Shipping Co Ltd v Cleaves & Co Ltd</i>	538
<i>Laemthong International Lines Company Ltd v Artis, The Laemthong Glory (No 2)</i>	545
(2) Variation and Rescission by the Contracting Parties	550
(3) Defences Available to the Promisor	550
(4) Promisee's Rights	551
(5) Exclusions from the Act	552
(6) Existing Exceptions	553
(7) The Relationship with the Unfair Contract Terms Act 1977	553
(8) The Meaning of 'Contract' under the 1999 Act	553
6. Exceptions to the Privity Doctrine on its Burden Side	554
(1) Bailment or Sub-bailment on Terms	554
<i>Morris v C W Martin & Sons Ltd</i>	554
<i>KH Enterprise v Pioneer Container, The Pioneer Container</i>	556
(2) Burden Running with Goods	557
<i>Lord Strathcona Steamship Company Ltd v Dominion Coal Company Ltd</i>	557
<i>Swiss Bank Corporation v Lloyds Bank Ltd</i>	560
Additional Reading	563

PART FIVE: FACTORS ALLOWING ESCAPE FROM A CONTRACT

11. MISREPRESENTATION	569
1. Requirements of Misrepresentation	570
(1) False Statement of Fact or Law	570
(a) Statements of Opinion	571
<i>Smith v Land and House Property Corporation</i>	571
<i>Bisset v Wilkinson</i>	572
(b) Statements of Intention	573
<i>Edgington v Fitzmaurice</i>	573
(c) Silence: No Duty of Disclosure	574
<i>With v O'Flanagan</i>	575
(2) Reliance	576
<i>Redgrave v Hurd</i>	576
<i>Edgington v Fitzmaurice</i>	579

2. Rescission for Misrepresentation	580
(1) What Does Rescission Mean?	581
<i>Whittington v Seale-Hayne</i>	581
(2) Bars to Rescission	582
<i>Leaf v International Galleries</i>	583
<i>Erlanger v New Sombrero Phosphate Co</i>	585
(3) How Does One Rescind?	587
<i>Car and Universal Finance Co Ltd v Caldwell</i>	587
3. Damages for Misrepresentation	589
(1) Fraudulent Misrepresentation: the Tort of Deceit	590
<i>Derry v Peek</i>	590
<i>Doyle v Olby (Ironmongers) Ltd</i>	591
<i>Smith New Court Ltd v Scrimgeour Vickers (Asset Management) Ltd</i>	593
(2) Negligent Misrepresentation Actionable in the Tort of Negligence	596
<i>Hedley Byrne & Co Ltd v Heller & Partners Ltd</i>	596
<i>Esso Petroleum Co Ltd v Mardon</i>	597
(3) Negligent and Purely Innocent Misrepresentation under the Misrepresentation Act 1967	598
<i>Misrepresentation Act 1967, section 2</i>	598
(a) Overview of Section 2	599
(b) Cases on Section 2(1) of the Misrepresentation Act 1967	599
<i>Howard Marine and Dredging Co Ltd v A Ogden & Sons (Excavations) Ltd</i>	599
<i>Royscot Trust Ltd v Rogerson</i>	601
(c) Cases on Section 2(2) of the Misrepresentation Act 1967	605
<i>William Sindall Plc v Cambridgeshire County Council</i>	605
<i>Government of Zanzibar v British Aerospace (Lancaster House) Ltd</i>	609
4. Exclusion of Liability for Misrepresentation: Misrepresentation Act 1967, section 3	612
<i>Misrepresentation Act 1967, section 3</i>	612
(1) What Counts as an Exemption Clause Falling Within Section 3?	613
<i>Overbrooke Estates Ltd v Glencombe Properties Ltd</i>	613
<i>Cremdean Properties Ltd v Nash</i>	614
<i>Government of Zanzibar v British Aerospace (Lancaster House) Ltd</i>	615
(2) Does the Clause Pass or Fail the Reasonableness Test?	617
<i>Howard Marine & Dredging Co v A Ogden & Sons (Excavations) Ltd</i>	617
<i>Walker v Boyle</i>	618
Additional Reading	620

12. MISTAKE 623

1. Unilateral Mistake	624
(1) The General Position: No Escape for Unilateral Mistake	624
<i>Smith v Hughes</i>	624
(2) Exceptions where Unilateral Mistake Invalidates the Contract	627
(a) Objective Ambiguity	627
<i>Raffles v Wichelhaus</i>	627

<i>Scriven Brothers & Co v Hindley & Co</i>	627
(b) Unilateral Mistake as to Terms or Identity Known to the Other Party	629
<i>Hartog v Colin & Shields</i>	629
<i>Cundy v Lindsay</i>	630
<i>Phillips v Brooks Ltd</i>	632
<i>Ingram v Little</i>	634
<i>Lewis v Averay</i>	636
<i>Shogun Finance Ltd v Hudson</i>	638
(c) Non Est Factum	648
<i>Saunders v Anglia Building Society (sub nom Gallie v Lee)</i>	648
(d) Equitable Rectification for Unilateral Mistake	652
<i>Thomas Bates & Son Ltd v Wyndham's (Lingerie) Ltd</i>	652
<i>Commission for the New Towns v Cooper (GB) Ltd</i>	654
2. Common Mistake	657
(1) The Narrow Scope of Common Mistake Rendering a Contract Void	657
<i>Couturier v Hastie</i>	657
<i>Bell v Lever Brothers Ltd</i>	658
<i>McRae v Commonwealth Disposals Commission</i>	662
<i>Associated Japanese Bank International Ltd v Credit du Nord SA</i>	664
<i>Great Peace Shipping Ltd v Tsaviris Salvage (International) Ltd,</i> <i>The Great Peace</i>	666
<i>Brennan v Bolt Burdon</i>	670
(2) A Wider Equitable Doctrine of Common Mistake Rejected	672
<i>Solle v Butcher</i>	672
<i>Great Peace Shipping Ltd v Tsaviris Salvage (International) Ltd,</i> <i>The Great Peace</i>	675
(3) Equitable Rectification for Common Mistake	677
<i>Rose (Frederick E) (London) v Pim (William H) Junior & Co</i>	677
<i>Joscelyn v Nissen</i>	679
<i>Chartbrook Ltd v Persimmon Homes Ltd</i>	681
Additional Reading	683

13. FRUSTRATION **687**

1. The Early Approach of Absolute Liability	688
<i>Paradine v Jane</i>	688
2. The Kinds of Events that Amount to Frustration	688
(1) Physical Impossibility	688
<i>Taylor v Caldwell</i>	688
<i>Jackson v Union Marine Insurance Co Ltd</i>	690
(2) Legal Impossibility (ie Subsequent Illegality)	691
<i>Metropolitan Water Board v Dick Kerr and Co Ltd</i>	691
(3) Cancellation of Events	693
<i>Krell v Henry</i>	693
<i>Herne Bay Steam Boat Company v Hutton</i>	694
(4) No Frustration merely because Performance made more Onerous/ Expensive	696

	<i>Davis Contractors Ltd v Fareham Urban District Council</i>	696
	<i>Ocean Tramp Tankers Corporation v V/O Sovfracht, The Eugenia</i>	700
3.	Factors Excluding Frustration	702
	(1) Self-induced Frustration	702
	<i>Maritime National Fish Ltd v Ocean Trawlers Ltd</i>	702
	<i>J Lauritzen AS v Wijsmuller BV, The Super Servant Two</i>	703
	(2) Express Provision	706
	<i>Metropolitan Water Board v Dick Kerr and Co Ltd</i>	706
4.	The Juristic Basis of Frustration	708
	<i>National Carriers v Panalpina (Northern) Ltd</i>	708
5.	The Effects of Frustration	711
	<i>Law Reform (Frustrated Contracts) Act 1943</i>	712
	(1) Overview of the Act	714
	(2) Cases on the 1943 Act	715
	<i>BP Exploration Co (Libya) v Hunt (No 2)</i>	715
	<i>Gamerco SA v ICM/Fair Warning (Agency) Ltd</i>	722
6.	The Relationship between Common Mistake and Frustration	724
	<i>Amalgamated Investment & Property Co v John Walker & Sons Ltd</i>	725
	Additional Reading	727
14.	DURESS	731
1.	Duress of the Person	732
	<i>Barton v Armstrong</i>	732
2.	Economic Duress	735
	(1) Threats to Break (or to Induce Another to Break) a Contract	735
	<i>North Ocean Shipping Co Ltd v Hyundai Construction Co Ltd, The Atlantic Baron</i>	735
	<i>PaO On v Lau Yiu Long</i>	737
	<i>Universe Tankships of Monrovia v International Transport Workers Federation, The Universe Sentinel</i>	738
	<i>B & S Contracts & Design Ltd v Victor Green Publications Ltd</i>	741
	<i>Atlas Express Ltd v Kafco (Importers and Distributors) Ltd</i>	744
	<i>Williams v Roffey Bros & Nicholls (Contractors) Ltd</i>	745
	<i>Dimskal Shipping Co SA v International Transport Workers Federation, The Evia Luck</i>	746
	<i>Huyton SA v Peter Cremer GmbH & Co</i>	747
	(2) 'Lawful Act' Duress	753
	<i>CTN Cash and Carry Ltd v Gallaher Ltd</i>	753
	<i>R v Attorney General for England and Wales</i>	756
	Additional Reading	759

15. UNDUE INFLUENCE AND EXPLOITATION OF WEAKNESS	761
1. Undue Influence	761
<i>Allcard v Skinner</i>	762
<i>Barclays Bank plc v O' Brien</i>	765
<i>Cheese v Thomas</i>	769
<i>Credit Lyonnais Nederland NV v Burch</i>	771
<i>Royal Bank of Scotland plc v Etridge (No 2)</i>	773
<i>R v Attorney General for England and Wales</i>	789
2. Exploitation Of Weakness	792
(1) Exploitation of Mental Weakness	793
<i>Earl of Aylesford v Morris</i>	793
<i>Fry v Lane</i>	794
<i>Cresswell v Potter</i>	796
<i>Boustany v Pigott</i>	798
<i>Portman Building Society v Dusangh</i>	800
(2) Exploitation of the Claimant's Circumstantial Weakness	802
<i>The Medina</i>	802
<i>Alec Lobb Garages Ltd v Total Oil (GB) Ltd</i>	804
(3) Rejection of a Principle of 'Inequality of Bargaining Power'	807
<i>Lloyds Bank Ltd v Bundy</i>	807
<i>National Westminster Bank v Morgan</i>	809
Additional Reading	811
<i>Index</i>	815